

---

|        |        |           |
|--------|--------|-----------|
| SERİ   | CİLT   | SAYI      |
| SERIES | VOLUME | NUMBER    |
| SERIE  | BAND   | HEFT      |
| SÉRIE  | TOME   | FASCICULE |

**A                    51                    1                    2001**

---

İSTANBUL ÜNİVERSİTESİ  
**ORMAN FAKÜLTESİ**  
D E R G İ S İ

REVIEW OF THE FACULTY OF FORESTRY,  
UNIVERSITY OF ISTANBUL

ZEITSCHRIFT DER FORSTLICHEN FAKULTÄT  
DER UNIVERSITÄT ISTANBUL

REVUE DE LA FACULTÉ FORESTIÈRE  
DE L'UNIVERSITÉ D'ISTANBUL



## SOME TECHNOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF *Quercus vulcanica*

(Boiss. and Heldr.) Kotschy<sup>1)</sup>

Prof. Dr. Yener GÖKER<sup>2)</sup>  
Doç. Dr. Nusret AS<sup>2)</sup>  
Y. Doç. Dr. Öner ÜNSAL<sup>2)</sup>

### Abstract

In this study, some physical and mechanical properties of *Q.vulcanica* wood, an endemic species with unknown technological properties has been investigated. According to the results annual ring width was 1,64 mm, oven dry density 0,654 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, air dry density 0,695 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, density value in volume was 0,563 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, swelling was 4,35% in radial direction and 9,51% in tangential direction, shrinkage was 4,7% in radial direction, 8,89% in tangential direction, hardness(N/mm<sup>2</sup>); cross section 60,602, radial section 42,794, tangential section 44, compression strength 55,867 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, bending strength 113,014 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, modulus of elasticity in bending 10785 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, impact bending 0,465 kN/cm, shear strength 7,382 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, tensile strength perpendicular to grain 3,84 N/mm<sup>2</sup> were found.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

*Quercus vulcanica*, a native tree species of Turkey, belongs to white oaks group. It has 25-30 m height and 1,6 m diameter(YALTIRIK 1984). It grows in Kütahya (Türkmen mountain), Afyon(Derekaya), Isparta and Eğirdir.

In the first step, its stand type was determined(GÖKŞİN 1973), and its macroscopic and microscopic properties were investigated (KAYACIK/AYTUĞ/YALTIRIK/EKEN/ERGÜVEN/BATUR 1977). In 21-26 september 1998, a symposium was held on *Q.vulcanica* and some papers were presented. Additionally, there were some investigations regarding with oaks (BERKEL/BOZKURT 1961; BERKEL/GÖKER 1974; DÜNDAR 1996; GÜRSU 1966)

<sup>1)</sup> This work was supported by The Research Fund of The University of İstanbul. Project Number: 1002/250897

<sup>2)</sup> İ.Ü. Orman Fakültesi Odun Mekaniği ve Teknolojisi Anabilim Dalı

The aim of this study was to define the physical and mechanical properties of *Q.vulcanica*, to point out the areas that can be used and to compare with *Q.petraea* (Matt.)liebl. named Limusin oak in Europea and the other oak species.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Wood material used in this study was obtained from 5 *Q.vulcanica* trees felled in Isparta. The trees with 42-56 cm diameter and with 11,25-15,65 m length were harvested from Beşbahçe site, Egirdir district of Regional Forestry Directory of Isparta. Discs were cut in 5 cm height at every 2 m length through the stem. In addition to discs, logs were removed as a 1 m section from sections between 2 and 4 m length through stem. Totally, 38 discs and 5 logs were obtained. Boards with 3 and 6 cm width were cut from discs and logs, respectively including heartwood in North-South and West-East directions. After sawdust was removed from board surfaces, the boards were delivered to Faculty of Forestry, where they were placed in a room for air-drying. Following air-drying process, small, clear specimens were cut from the boards according to Turkish Standards indicated below and the specimens were conditioned at 20 °C with 65% relative humidity. In addition, annual ring and latewood width were measured on the specimens using Brinell microscope. The following formulas were used to find cell wall rate, fiber saturation point of moisture content and maximum moisture content.

$$\text{CWR: } \text{Do}/\text{I} = 0,667 * \text{Do}$$

**CWR:** cell wall rate

**Do:** oven dry density(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)

$$\text{FSP: } \beta v/R$$

**FSP:** fiber saturation point(%)

**βv:** shrinkage in volume(%)

**R:** density value in volume(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)

$$\text{Mmax: } (1/R) - 0,667$$

**Mmax:** maximum moisture content(%)

$$\text{Static Quality Value : } I = Z_B / 100 \times D_{12}$$

where;

$$\text{Dynamic Quality Value : } I_d = a / D_{12}^2$$

$Z_B$ = Compression strength parallel to grain in 12% moisture content

$$\text{Specific Quality Value : } I_s = Z_B / 100 \times D_{12}^2$$

$D_{12}$ = air dry density

a : impact strength

The formulas belong to the other tests were given in the following standards.

**Following are the tests made and standards applied.**

Moisture content

TS 2471/1976

Density

TS 2472/1976

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Bending strength                        | TS 2474/1976      |
| Tensile strength perpendicular to grain | TS 2476/1976      |
| Impact bending                          | TS 2477/1976      |
| Modulus of elasticity in bending        | TS 2478/1976      |
| Janka hardness                          | TS 2479/1976      |
| Compression strength parallel to grain  | TS 2595/1977      |
| Shear strength parallel to grain        | TS 3459/1980      |
| Shrinkage and swelling                  | TS 4083,4084/1983 |

### 3. RESULTS

Table 1 and 2 show physical and mechanical properties of *Q.vulcanica*, respectively. Cell wall rate, maximum moisture content, fiber saturation point, static quality value in compression strength, specific quality value and dynamic quality values were 43,61%, 110,92%, 24,14%, 8,03 km, 11,55 km and 0,6 km, respectively.

**Table 1: Physical Properties of *Q.vulcanica***

Tablo 1: Kasnak Meşesi Fiziksel Özelliklerine İlişkin Değerler

|  | Mean<br>Ortalama<br>(X)                       | Standard<br>deviation<br>Standart<br>Sapma<br>(S) | Variance<br>Varyans<br>(S <sup>2</sup> ) | Coefficient of<br>variation<br>Varyasyon<br>Katsayı<br>(V) | Number of<br>specimens<br>Örnek<br>Sayısı<br>(n) |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Density Yoğunluk<br>(g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Oven-dry<br>Tam Kuru (D <sub>o</sub> )        | 0,654   | 0,075                                    | 0,0057   | 11,55  |
|  | Air-dry<br>Hava Kuru (D <sub>12</sub> )       | 0,695   | 0,079                                    | 0,0062   | 11,39  |
|  | Density value in volume<br>Hacim Ağırlığı (R) | 0,363   | 0,058                                    | 0,0034   | 10,38  |
| Swelling Genişleme<br>(%)                | Radial<br>Radyal                              | 4,35  | 1,04                                     | 1,09   | 32   |
|  | Tangential<br>Teget                           | 9,51  | 1,28                                     | 1,64   | 32   |
| Shrinkage Döşeme<br>(%)                  | Radial<br>Radyal                              | 4,70  | 0,71                                     | 0,51   | 40   |
|  | Tangential<br>Teget                           | 8,89  | 1,27                                     | 1,61   | 40   |
| Latewood width<br>Y.O.G(mm)              |   | 1,07  | 3,12                                     | 9,77   | 634  |
| Annual ring width<br>Y.H.G(mm)           |   | 1,64  | 0,86                                     | 0,75   | 634  |
| Latewood rate<br>Y.O.K.O. (%)            |   | 62,63   | 170,28                                   | 28996,92   | 271,87   |

Y.O.G.:yaz odunu genişliği Y.H.G.:yılık halka genişliği Y.O.K.O.:yaz odunu katılım oranı

### 4. DISCUSSION

In this chapter, mean values of Oak species were compared (Table 3). To find a statistical difference (if there is) tests can be applied to the results at the significance level. The aim of the article was only to give an idea.

**Table 2: Mechanical Properties of *Q.vulcanica***

Tablo 2: Kasnak Meşesi Mekanik Özelliklerine İlişkin Değerler.

|   | Mean<br>Ortalama<br>(X)           | Standard<br>deviation<br>Standart<br>Sıfırma<br>(S) | Variance<br>Varyans<br>(S <sup>2</sup> ) | Coefficient of<br>Variation<br>Varyasyon<br>Katsayı<br>(V) | Number<br>of<br>specimens<br>Örnek<br>Sayısı<br>(n) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Bending strength<br>Eğilme Direnci (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )                                     | 113,014                           | 13,471  | 181,4678                                 | 11,92  | 31  |
| Modulus of elasticity<br>Eğilmede Elastiklik Modülü (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )                    | 10785,093                         | 1996,889  | 3987529,73                               | 18,51  | 31  |
| Impact bending<br>Dinamik Eğilme (kN/cm)  | 0,465                             | 0,29  | 0,084                                    | 63,78  | 42  |
| Compression strength parallel to grain<br>Liftre Paralel Basın Direnci (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 55,867                            | 7,291   | 53,1586                                  | 13,05  | 42  |
| Shear strength<br>Makuslama Direnci (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )                                    | 7,382                             | 2,435   | 5,9299                                   | 32,98  | 36  |
| Tensile strength perpendicular to grain<br>Liftre Dik Çekme Direnci (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )    | 3,840                             | 1,002   | 1,0040                                   | 26,11  | 44  |
| Janka hardness<br>(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )  | Radial section<br>Radyal Yüzey    | 42,794  | 8,987                                    | 80,7745  | 21  |
|   | Tangential section<br>Teğet Yüzey | 44  | 8,588                                    | 73,7575  | 19,51   |
|   | Cross section<br>Enine Yüzey      | 60,602  | 10,435                                   | 108,8805   | 34  |

## 4.1 Physical Properties

### 4.1.1 Annual Ring Width

This value was found 1,64 mm in *Q.vulcanica*. Annual ring values of *Q. dschorochensis*(Belgrat forest), *Q. frainetto* and *Q. cerris* were 1,91 mm, 1,92 mm, 1,89 mm respectively(BERKEL/BOZKURT/GÖKER 1969). Therefore, *Q.vulcanica* had narrower annual rings than other oak species. Latewood percentage were found 62,63% for *Q.vulcanica*.

### 4.1.2 Density

*Q. vulcanica*'s density values (0,69 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) were lower than *Q. petraea*, *Q. hartwissiana* and *Q. dschorochensis*. Additionally air dry density values were 0,76 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for *Q.cerris*, 0,75 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for *Q. frainetto*(BERKEL/BOZKURT/GÖKER 1969). *Q.vulcanica* had lower annual ring width, percentage of latewood(62,63%) and density than other species. Low density was a good property for easy machining.

### 4.1.3 Sorption

Shrinkage values of *Q.vulcanica* were lower than other oak species given Table 3. Because *Q.vulcanica* had low density comparing other oak speccesis mentioned before. Small sorption(swelling and shrinkage) were required in parquet, door, window and similar usage places. Volume shrinkage values were found 13,5% for *Q.vulcanica*, 15,28% for *Q.petraea* and 14,5% for *Q.hartwissiana* and 17,37% for *Q.dschorochensis*.

**Table 3 : Technological Properties of Some Oak Species****Tablo 3 : Bazı Meşe Türlerinin Teknolojik Özellikleri**

| Properties<br>Özellikler  | <i>Q.petraea*</i><br>(Linnusin)<br>Sapsız meşe | <i>Q.dschorochensis**</i><br>Çoruh meşe | <i>Q.hartwissiana***</i><br>İstiranca meşe | <i>Q.vulcanica</i><br>Kasunk meşe |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Oven-dry density,<br>Tamkuru Yoğunluk ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )                                      | 0,675  | 0,681                                   | 0,674                                      | 0,654                             |
| Air-dry density<br>Havakurusu<br>Yoğunluk ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )                                  |  | 0,731                                   | 0,711                                      | 0,695                             |
| Density in volume<br>Hacim Ağırlık<br>Degeri ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )                               | 0,570  | -                                       | 0,582                                      | 0,563                             |
| Cell wall rate<br>Hücre Çeperci Maddesi<br>Oranı (%)  | -  | -                                       | 44,95                                      | 43,61                             |
| Shrinkage parallel to grain<br>Liflere Paralel Daralma (%)  | 0,53   | 0,44                                    | -  | -                                 |
| Radial shrinkage<br>Radyal Daralma (%)  | 5,49   | 7,30                                    | 5,2  | 4,70                              |
| Tangential shrinkage<br>Teğet Daralma (%)   | 10,12  | 10,0                                    | 9,3  | 8,89                              |
| Shrinkage in volume<br>Hacim Daralma (%)  | 15,28  | 17,37                                   | 14,5                                       | 13,5                              |
| Fiber saturation point<br>Lif Doygunluğu Noktası (%)  | 26   | -                                       | 24,9                                       | 24,1                              |
| Maximum moisture content<br>Max. Su Miktarı (%)   | -  | -                                       | 105,2                                      | 110,9                             |
| Compression strength parallel to<br>grain<br>Liflere Paralel Basınç ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ )        | 60,6   | 57,1                                    | 65,24                                      | 55,86                             |
| Static quality value<br>Statik Kalite Değeri (km)   | -  | 8,4                                     | 9,17                                       | 8,03                              |
| Spesific quality value<br>Spesifik Kalite Değeri  | -  | 12,3                                    | 12,89                                      | 11,5                              |
| Bending strength<br>Eğilme Direnci ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ )   | 118,5  | 127,81                                  | 107,55                                     | 113,01                            |
| Modulus of elasticity in bending<br>Eğilmede E-Modülü ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ )                      | 11300,0  | -                                       | 11056,10                                   | 10785,09                          |
| Impact bending<br>Dinamik Eğilme ( $\text{kN}/\text{cm}$ )  | 0,68   | 0,65                                    | 0,78                                       | 0,46                              |
| Dynamic quality value<br>Dinamik Kalite Değeri  | -  | 1,41                                    | 1,85                                       | 0,95                              |
| Tensile strength perpendicular to<br>grain<br>Liflere Dök Çekme<br>Direnci ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ ) | -  | 4,51                                    | -  | 3,84                              |
| Shear strength<br>Makuslama Direnci ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ )  | -  | 10,36                                   | 8,73                                       | 7,38                              |
| Janka hardness<br>Janka Sertlik ( $\text{N}/\text{mm}^2$ )  | -  | -                                       | -  | -                                 |
| Cross section<br>Emline   | -  | -                                       | 78,0                                       | 60,6                              |
| Radial section<br>Radyal  | -  | -                                       | 58,3                                       | 42,79                             |
| Tangential section<br>Teğet   | -  | -                                       | 54,9                                       | 44                                |

\*Gürsu 1966 \*\*Berkel, Göker 1974 \*\*\*Dündar 1996

#### **4.1.4 Fiber Saturation Point(FSP) and Maximum Moisture Content**

FSP of *Q.vulcanica*(24,1%) were lower than *Q.petraea* and *Q.hartwissiana*. Maximum moisture content (110,9%) were higher than *Q.hartwissiana*. Small FPS value was an advantage for drying and it could be reached from FPS to final moisture content in a short time. Drying costs were decreased. Additionally under FPS value strength properties of wood increases and processing properties of wood were improved. Determining of the maximum moisture content was important for preservative treatment.

### **4.2 Mechanical Properties**

#### **4.2.1 Compression Strength Parallel to Grain**

Compression strength was found 55,8 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in *Q.vulcanica*. This value was found lower than similar species. If static quality value was higher than 7, quality would be accepted well, if this value was between 6-7, quality middle, or smaller than 6, quality would be poor (BOZKURT/GÖKER 1996). According to these values the wood of *Q.vulcanica* (8,03) had a good quality. This value was 8,4 for *Q.dshorochensis*. Specific quality value was 11,5 and this value was close to *Q.dschorochensis* and *Q.hartwissiana*.

#### **4.2.2 Bending Strength**

This value was found 113 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in *Q.vulcanica*. As shown in Table 3, mean bending strength of *Q.vulcanica* was higher than *Q.hartwissiana* but lower than other mentioned oak species. But actually there were not important differences among these values. This species may be used in places where bending strength is important.

#### **4.2.3 Modulus of Elasticity in Bending**

This value(10785 N/mm<sup>2</sup>) was found less than *Q.hartwissiana*. This means that *Q.vulcanica* shows more deformation at the same loading. These properties are very important for using building material and producing bending furniture and barrel.

#### **4.2.4 Impact Bending**

*Q.vulcanica* had lower impact bending strength than other oak species compared (0,46 kN/cm). This can be result of anatomical structure and low density. If dynamic quality value is smaller than 1, quality is accepted low, between 1-2, quality middle, more than 2, quality is good(BERKEL 1970). According to this, *Q.vulcanica* had lower dynamic quality value(0,95). This value is very important in uses places like sports material producing.

#### **4.2.5 Tensile Strength Perpendecular to Grain**

This value (3,84 N/mm<sup>2</sup>) was lower than *Q.dshorochensis*. This can be results of *Q.vulcanica*'s wood had low density and high rays in mm<sup>2</sup> (KAYACIK/AYTUĞ/YALTIRIK/EKEN/ERGÜVEN/BATUR 1977). However tensile strength, obtained from *Q.vulcanica*, was higher than other oak species given in the literature(BOZKURT/GÖKER 1996). This strength is very important especially in the jointing places.

#### 4.2.6 Shear Strength Parallel to Grain

Radial shear strength was found as 7,38 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for *Q.vulcanica*. Those values were 8,73 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 10,36 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for *Q.hartwissiana* and *Q.dschorochensis* respectively. Shear strength of *Q.vulcanica* was found lower than *Q.dschorochensis* and *Q.hartwissiana*. It was estimated that density and anatomical structure were affected. This strength type is also important in jointing points of wood products.

#### 4.2.7 Hardness(Janka)

This value was found 60,6 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in cross section, 42,78 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in radial section and 44 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in tangential section. Janka hardness in *Q.vulcanica* wood was found to be lower in *Q.hartwissiana* wood. In case of usage in furniture, parquet and veneer, hardness in wood is an important characteristic.

*Q.vulcanica* wood may substitute for *Q.petraea* wood, which is used in veneer production since technological properties and wood quality value of *Q.vulcanica* wood seem to have similarity to those of *Q.petraea* wood. Due to lower density of *Q.vulcanica* wood, the wood can be processed easily and electricity cost during several processes can be diminished. In addition, because of lower shrinkage rate, *Q.vulcanica* wood has advantages in several applications.

It may be concluded that *Q.vulcanica* wood is suitable for veneer production since the wood has narrow and uniform annual rings besides its technological properties. *Q.vulcanica* wood is similar to *Q.petraea* wood based on anatomical and chemical properties(KAYACIK/AY-TUĞ/YALTIRIK/EKEN/ERGÜVEN/BATUR 1977).

*Q.vulcanica* wood can be used in wooden barrel because the wood has tyloses in vessel elements. The wood has been used in rim, hoop and washtub production for a long time due to its lower MOE. It was named 'Kasnak' because the wood has been traditionally used in rim production. The wood can be also used in plywood and laminated veneer lumber(LVL) manufacture.

Plantation of *Q.vulcanica*, which grows locally in Isparta is of great importance in the manner of valuable wood material. However it needs to be investigated based on edaphic, climatic and biological aspects.

## KASNAK MEŞESİ (*Quercus vulcanica* (Boiss. and Heldr.) Kotschy.) ODUNUNUN BAZI TEKNOLOJİK ÖZELLİKLERİ

Prof.Dr.Yener GÖKER  
Doç.Dr.Nusret AS  
Y.Doç.Dr.Öner ÜNSAL

### Kısa Özет

Bu çalışmada, endemik bir tür olan ve teknolojik özellikleri daha önce saptanmamış bulunan Kasnak meşesi odununun bazı fiziksel ve mekanik özellikleri belirlenmiştir. Yıllık halka genişliği 1,64 mm, hava kurusu yoğunluk  $0,69 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , tam kuru yoğunluk  $0,65 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , hacim yoğunluk değeri 0,56  $\text{g/cm}^3$ , Radyal genişleme % 4,35, Teğet genişleme % 9,51; Radyal daralma % 4,70, Teğet daralma % 8,89; Enine kesit sertliği  $60,602 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Radyal yüzey sertliği  $42,794 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Teğet yüzey sertliği  $44 \text{ N/mm}^2$ ; Basınç direnci  $55,867 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Eğilme direnci  $113,014 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , E-Modülü  $10785 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Dinamik eğilme direnci  $0,46 \text{ kN/cm}$ , Makaslama direnci  $7,382 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , Liflere dik çekme direnci  $3,84 \text{ N/mm}^2$  bulunmuştur.

### ÖZET

Akmeşeler grubuna giren ve kışın yaprağını döken Kasnak meşesi ülkemizin endemik türlerindendir. 1970 yılından önce çok düşük fiyatlarla, tâhsîsli olarak satın alınıp İzmir'den yurt dışına ihraç edilen bu tür, biliçsiz kullanıcılar sonucu büyük zarara uğramıştır.

Bu çalışmanın amacı bu türün fiziksel ve mekanik özelliklerini tam olarak belirlemek ve uygun kullanım alanlarını ortaya koymaktır. Ayrıca Avrupa da Limuzin meşesi olarak bilinen *Q.petraea*(Matt.) Liebl. ile Kasnak meşesinin özelliklerini karşılaştırmak ve benzer kullanım alanlarında değerlendirme imkanlarının bulunup bulunmadığını belirlemek son derece önemlidir.

Araştırma için; Isparta Orman Bölge müdürlüğüne bağlı Eğirdir İşletmesi, Yukarı Gökdeğirmen orman işletme şefliği, Beşbahçe mevkiiinden 5 adet deneme ağacı alınmıştır. Deneme ağaçlarından Türk standartlarına uygun boyutlarda kesilen örnekler %65 bağılı nem ve  $20^\circ\text{C}$  sıcaklıkta klimatize edilmiş, ardından TSE standartlarına uygun olarak testler yapılmıştır.

Yapılan testler neticesinde; Fiziksel özelliklerden, tam kuru yoğunluk  $0,654 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , hava kurusu yoğunluk  $0,695 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , hacim ağırlık değeri  $0,563 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , radyal genişleme %4,35, teğet genişleme %9,51, radyal daralma %4,7, teğet daralma %8,89 yaz odunu genişliği 1,07 mm, yıllık halka genişliği 1,64 mm, yaz odunu katılım oranı %62,63 olarak bulunmuş, mekanik özelliklerden, eğilme direnci  $113,014 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , eğilmeye elastiklik modülü  $10785,093 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , dinamik eğilme direnci  $0,465 \text{ kN/cm}$ , liflere paralel basınç direnci  $55,867 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , makaslama direnci  $7,382 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , liflere dik çekme direnci  $3,84 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , radyal yüzeyde janka sertlik  $42,794 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , teğet yüzeyde janka sertlik  $44 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , enine yüzeyde janka sertlik  $60,602 \text{ N/mm}^2$  bulunmuştur.

Bu sonuçlara göre; Limuzin meşesi de denilen ve belirli özellikleri içeren tomrulkardan oldukça değerli kaplama üretilen Sapsız meşe (*Q. petraea*) odunun teknolojik özelliklerine yakın değerlerin elde edildiği söylenebilir. Bu da bu türün Sapsız meşenin kullanıldığı alanlarda kolayca değerlendirilebileceğini bize açıklamaktadır. Yoğunluğunun ve daralma miktarının Sapsız meşeye göre düşük bulunması, kolay işlenebileceğini ve az çalışması nedeniyle mobilya, doğrama ve parke üretiminde kullanılabileceğini göstermektedir. Beyaz meşeler grubuna dahil olduğundan, tül oluşumu nedeniyle fiçı üretiminde de değerlendirilebilir. Ayrıca elastiklik modülünün düşük bulunması bükme mobilya, kontraplak ve lamine ağaç malzeme(LVL) üretimi bakımından önemli bir özelliklektir.

Isparta ve diğer bazı bölgelerde lokal yayılış gösteren bu türün, gereklisi endemik, klimatik ve biyolojik incelemeler yapıldıktan sonra diğer bölgelerde de yetiştirilmesi, orman ürünlerini endüstrisine değerli bir ham madde kazandırılması bakımından son derece önemlidir.

## KAYNAKLAR

- BERKEL, A., 1970: Ağaç Malzeme Teknolojisi, İ.Ü. Yayın No: 1448, O.F. Yayın No: 147, İstanbul.
- BERKEL, A., BOZKURT, Y., GÖKER, Y., 1969: Çeşitli Meşe Türlerimizin Kaplama İmalı Bakımından Elverişliliği Üzerine Araştırmalar, İ.Ü. Yayın No: 1430, O.F. Yayın No: 139, İstanbul.
- BERKEL, A., BOZKURT, Y., 1961: Türkiye' nin Önemli Bazı Meşe Türleri Odunlarının Makroskopik ve Mikroskopik Özellikleri Hakkında Araştırmalar, İ.Ü. Yayın No: 922, O.F. Yayın No: 73, İstanbul.
- BERKEL, A., GÖKER, Y., 1974: Belgrad Ormanı Çoruh Meşesi (*Q. dschorochensis* K. Koch) nin Bazı Fiziksel ve Mekanik Özellikleri ve Kullanış Olanakları Üzerine Araştırmalar, İ.Ü. Orman Fak. Dergisi, Seri A, Cilt 24, Sayı 1, İstanbul.
- BOZKURT, Y., GÖKER, Y., 1996: Fiziksel ve Mekanik Ağaç Teknolojisi, İ.Ü. Yayın No: 3944, O.F. Yayın No: 436, İstanbul.
- DÜNDAR, T., 1996: Demirköy Istranca Meşeleri (*Q. hartwissiana* Stev.)'nin Teknolojik Özellikleri, Basılmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi, İ.Ü. Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, İstanbul.
- GÖKŞİN, A., 1973: Kasnak Orman (Eğirdir) Florası ve *Q. vulcanica* Boiss. Et. Heldr. (Kasnak Meşesi)'nin Oluşturduğu Meşcere Tipleri Üzerine Araştırmalar, Ormancılık Araştırma Enstitüsü Yayınları.
- GUYSU, İ., 1966: Karabük Mintikası Sapsız Meşelerin Anatomik ve Teknolojik Özellikleri Üzerine Araştırmalar, Ormancılık Araştırma Enstitüsü Yayınları.

KASNAK MEŞESİ ve TÜRKİYE FLORASI SEMPOZYUMU, 1998: Makale Özетleri Kitapçığı, İ.Ü. Orman Fakültesi, İstanbul, 21-26 Eylül

KAYACIK, H., AYTUĞ, B., YALTIRIK, F., EKEN, R., ERGÜVEN, R., BATUR, N., 1977: Türk Tipi Kanyak İmalinde Sumaların Dimlendirilmesine Elverişli Olan Meşelerden Türkiye'de Doğal Yetişen Türlerin Araştırılması, TÜBİTAK Yayınları, No: 325, TOAG Seri No: 57, Ankara.

TS 2471, 1976: Odunda Fiziksel ve Mekaniksel Deneyler İçin Rutubet Miktarı Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2472, 1976: Odunda Fiziksel ve Mekaniksel Deneyler için Birim Hacim Ağırlığı Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2474, 1976: Odunun Statik Eğilme Dayanımının Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2476, 1976: Odunun Liflere Dik Doğrultuda Çekme Gerilmesinin Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2477, 1976: Odunun Çarpmadada Eğilme Dayanımının Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2478, 1976: Eğilmede Elastikiyet Modülü, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2479, 1976: Odunun Statik Sertliğinin Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 2595, 1977: Odunun Liflere Paralel Doğrultuda Basınç Dayanımının Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 3459, 1980: Odunun Liflere Paralel Doğrultuda Makaslama Dayanımının Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 4083, 1983: Odunda Radyal ve Teğet Doğrultuda Çekme Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

TS 4084, 1983: Odunda Radyal ve Teğet Doğrultuda Şişmenin Tayini, TSE, Ankara.

YALTIRIK, F., 1984: Türkiye Meşeleri Teşhis Klavuzu, Tarım Orman ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı, OGM Yayınları.