

Etiological examination of neonatal calf diarrhea cases detected in Burdur region

Nuri Mamak¹, Reyda Kıyıcı², Şima Şahinduran¹, Süleyman Şensoy², Hasan Altan Akkan¹, Mehmet Karaca¹, Ramazan Yıldız¹, Yavuz Musabeşoğlu¹, H.İbrahim Gökçe¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Burdur, TURKEY

²Department of Animal Husbandry and Breeding, Dairy and Livestock Breeding, Food, Agriculture and Livestock Vocational School, Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Burdur, TURKEY

Key Words:

calf
diarrhea
etiology
neonatal
rapid diagnostic test

Received :24.05.2022
Accepted :26.08.2022
Published Online : 31.08.2023
Article Code : 1120634

Correspondence:
R KIYICI
(rkiyici@mehmetakif.edu.tr)

ORCID
N. MAMAK : 0000-0001-9752-9709
R. KIYICI : 0000-0002-0667-5477
Ş. ŞAHİNDURAN : 0000-0002-7718-2020
S. ŞENSOY : 0000-0003-2130-783X
HA. AKKAN : 0000-0002-5115-7687
M. KARACA : 0000-0002-6070-2819
R. YILDIZ : 0000-0001-5772-0891
Y. MUSABEŞOĞLU : 0000-0001-6152-7787
Hİ. GÖKÇE : 0000-0002-4458-0671

INTRODUCTION

The neonatal period is the most sensitive period of calf rearing, covering the first 28 days from birth. Diarrhea is one of the most important symptoms threatening the life of calves during this period. Because diarrhea increases the mortality rate in sick calves and causes great economic losses, it is very important to combat diarrhea in newborn calves (Tokgoz et al., 2013).

Diarrhea is a symptom characterized by an increase in the daily amount of stool and the number of stools, and the consistency of the stool is watery. It can be shaped due to infectious and non-infectious causes (Roy, 1980). Diarrhea in studies; Enteropathogenic bacterial species such as *Clostridium perfringens* (*Cl. Perfringens*), *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Salmonella* spp., *Chlamydia* spp., and *Camphylobacter jejuni*, Viruses such as Rotavirus, coronavirus, Coccidia, *Cryptosporidium* spp and *Giardia* spp, environmental factors, care and nutritional disorders, enzyme deficiencies and the immunological status of the calf have been reported to play a

ABSTRACT

This work was conducted to determine the prevalence of *E. coli*, *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia* sp., rotavirus and coronavirus agents involved in the etiology of diarrhea in cases of neonatal calf diarrhea detected in Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Animal Hospital Clinics and other farms in the region between 2019-2020 years. The material of the study consisted of 50 neonatal calves with diarrhea. Stool samples were taken from calves with diarrhea and examined with immunochromatographic rapid test kits. One or more enteropathogenic agents were detected in 70% of the calves. In calves with diarrhea, *Cryptosporidium* spp (42%) and rotavirus (30%) were detected as single and mixed enteropathogen. Mixed enteropathogens (14% *Cryptosporidium* spp. + Rotavirus, 2% Rotavirus + coronavirus, 2% *Cryptosporidium* spp. + rotavirus + coronavirus + *E. coli* K99 + *Giardia* spp, 2% *Cryptosporidium* spp. + coronavirus + *E. coli* K99 + *Giardia* spp) were determined in 20% of calves. As a result, it was concluded that in neonatal calf diarrhea, *Cryptosporidium* spp. and rotavirus factors are common and necessary precautions should be taken in enterprises against these factors.

It has been determined that the risk of diarrhea in neonatal calves varies between 15% and 20% between 0 and 30 days, and mortality rates in calves with diarrhea are between 0.2% and 8% in studies conducted in developed countries (Bendali et al, 1999). It has been reported that 75% to 90% of neonatal calf diarrhea is associated with rotavirus, coronavirus, enterotoxigenic *E. coli* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Senturk, 2018).

Rotavirus usually causes diarrhea in 1 to 2 week old calves. The virus has a very short incubation period of 12-24 hours and induces peracute diarrhea in affected calves. The virus replicates in the cytoplasm of small intestinal villus epithelial cells. Viral infection causes villous atrophy and usually affects the caudal part of the small intestine. After being infected, calves shed large amounts of virus in the feces for 5-7 days (Steele et al., 2004).

Coronavirus infection can occur in cattle as three separate clinical pictures. These symptoms are a diarrheal complex consisting of winter dysentery in 1-2 week old calves and hemorrhagic diarrhea in adult animals and a complex of bovine respiratory disease in both young and adult cattle (Liu L, et al., 2006). The pathogenesis of coronavirus and rotavirus is

similar. However, atrophy of both the small and large intestines is more common in coronavirus infections (McGavin and Carlton, 1995).

The first 4 days after birth is the period when newborn calves are most susceptible to *Escherichia coli* infection (Foster and Smith, 2009). *E. coli* septicemia is common in neonatal cases. The most common cause of diarrhea in calves is enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) (Nataro and Kaper, 1998).

Table 1. Gender distribution of calves with neonatal diarrhea.

Gender	Number of Calves (n)	Ratio to Total Number of Calves (%)
Female	21	%42
Male	29	%58
Total	50	

Infected calves with *Cryptosporidium* spp may be asymptomatic or develop severe diarrhea leading to dehydration (Fayer R., 2010). The disease causes severe villous atrophy and causes long-term malnutrition and growth retardation in infected animals (Nydham and Mohammed, 2005). Although calves can be infected with giardiasis from the age of 4 days, the 4-12 week period is the period in which stool cyst distribution is most common (O’Handley et al., 2003).

Neonatal calf diarrhea is a complex condition due to its multifactorial nature. Therefore, considering the risk factors of each pathogen that detects pathogens with laboratory tests and ensuring correct farm management may be beneficial in controlling calf diarrhea. With the rapid test kits developed in recent years, the diagnosis of some of the factors that cause diarrhea in calves can be determined quite easily under field conditions, without the need for a laboratory environment and experienced personnel.

This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of *E. coli*, *cryptosporidium* spp, *giardia*, rotavirus and coronavirus agents in newborn calves brought to Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Animal Hospital Clinics and diagnosed with diarrhea in farms in the center and districts of Burdur

between 2019-2020.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The animal material of the study was collected from 20 Simmental, 24 Holstein, and 6 other breeds, at the age of 1-30 days, with neonatal calf diarrhea detected in Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Animal Hospital Clinics and other farmers in the region between 2019-2020. (Table 1).

General clinical examinations of calves with diarrhea were performed. In stool samples, Commercial in vitro Rapid Diagnostic Test (BoviD-5 Ag Test kit, Bionote, Inc. Korea) was used for the detection of rotavirus, coronavirus, cryptosporidium, *E. coli* (K99) and *Giardia* spp. The tests were performed according to the manufacturer’s test procedure.

RESULTS

In this study, while no agent could be detected in 30% (15/50) of calves with diarrhea, some enteropathogenic agents were detected in 70% (35/50) of them. Of these enteropathogenic agents, 24% (12/50) of the calves were *Cryptosporidium* spp., 12% (6/50) rotavirus, 6% (3/50) *E. coli* K99, 6% (3/50) of the calves *Giardia* spp, 2% (1/50) coronavirus, 14% (7/50) *Cryptosporidium* spp. + Rotavirus, 2% (1/50) Rotavirus + coronavirus, 2% (1/50) *Cryptosporidium* spp. + rotavirus + coronavirus + *E. coli* K99 + *Giardia* spp, *Cryptosporidium* spp. + coronavirus + *E. coli* K99 + *Giardia* spp were detected (Table 2). Mixed enteropathogen was detected in 20% (10/50) of the calves. In calves with diarrhea, *Cryptosporidium* spp. at the rate of 42% (21/50) and rotavirus at the rate of 30% (15/50) were detected as single and mixed enteropathogens.

Table 2. Distribution rates of enteropathogen agents in calves with neonatal diarrhea

Agent	Number of Animals (n=50)	Ratio (%)
Positive	35	70
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	12	24
Rotavirus	6	12
<i>E.Coli</i> K99	3	6
<i>Giardia</i> spp	3	6
Coronavirus	1	2
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp + Rotavirus	7	14
Rotavirus+ Coronavirus	1	2
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp + Rotavirus+ Coronavirus+ <i>E.Coli</i> K99+ <i>Giardia</i> spp	1	2
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp + Coronavirus+ <i>E.Coli</i> K99+ <i>Giardia</i>	1	2
Negative	15	30

The incidence of *E. coli* as a single and mixed enteropathogen was 10%, the rate of *Giardia lamblia* as a single and mixed enteropathogen was 10%, and the rate of coronavirus as a single and mixed enteropathogen was determined as 8%. Treatment for the agent detected and symptomatic treatment protocols were applied to the calves with diarrhea. The treatment results of the calves were followed up by calling the owners, 26 calves recovered and 11 died. 13 patient owners could not be contacted. The data of the calves used in the study are given in Table 3.

When the distribution of neonatal diarrheal calves with enteropathogenic agents according to age groups is examined, 13 (37.14%) of diarrhea cases are at the age of 1-7 days, 11 (31.43%) at the age of 8-14 days, 7 (20%) at the age of 15-21 days, and at the age of 15-21 days. It was determined that 4 of them (11.43%) were 22-30 days old (Table 3). Of the causative neonatal calves with diarrhea, 17 (48.57%) were female and 18 (51.43%) were male. When the recovery rates of the calves with neonatal diarrhea were examined, the information that

7 of the calves died and 19 of them recovered was obtained from the owners of the patients, and the owners of 9 calves could not be reached. 18 of these calves were from Holstein, 14 of them were from Simmental and 3 of them were from other breeds.

DISCUSSION

Detection of enteropathogens in stool with rapid diagnostic kits has advantages compared to other diagnostic methods, such as rapid results in field conditions, inexpensive, simple and easy application, analysis of more than one factor at the same time, and determination of treatment strategies in a short time, without the need for a laboratory environment (Kaya and Coşkun, 2018).

Many researchers in our country, in various provinces, conducted studies to reveal the etiological factors in diarrhea cases of calves in the neonatal period with different methods such as agent isolation, search for parasites in the stool, serological

Table 3. Data of calves with detected enteropathogen

	Current status of the patient	Patient's race	Age (days)	Gender	Agent			
1.	Died	Holstein	20	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp			
2.	Healed	Holstein	11	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus		
3.	Healed	Norwegian red	6	Female		Rota virus	Coronavirus	
4.	Healed	Simmental	8	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus		
5.	Not reached	Holstein	22	Female				<i>Giardia</i> spp
6.	Healed	Simmental	2	Male				<i>E.coli</i>
7.	Not reached	Simmental	10	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus		
8.	Healed	Hybrid	10	Male			Coronavirus	
9.	Healed	Simmental	10	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp			
10.	Healed	Simmental	7	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus		
11.	Not reached	Simmental	24	Male		Rota virus		
12.	Died	Holstein	10	Female				<i>Giardia</i> spp
13.	Died	Belgian blue	10	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp		Coronavirus	<i>E.coli</i> <i>Giardia</i> spp
14.	Not reached	Simmental	11	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp			
15.	Died	Holstein	15	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus	Coronavirus	<i>Giardia</i> spp
16.	Healed	Holstein	3	Female		Rota virus		
17.	Not reached	Holstein	11	Female		Rota virus		

Table 3 Continue. Data of calves with detected enteropathogen

	Current status of the patient	Patient's race	Age (days)	Gender	Agent	
18.	Not reached	Holstein	15	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
19.	Healed	Simmental	3	Male		Rota virus
20.	Healed	Holstein	10	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus
21.	Died	Holstein	4	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
22.	Healed	Simmental	8	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
23.	Died	Holstein	15	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
24.	Not reached	Simmental	7	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
25.	Not reached	Simmental	5	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
26.	Healed	Holstein	15	Female	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus
27.	Healed	Simmental	4	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	Rota virus
28.	Healed	Holstein	15	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
29.	Healed	Simmental	2	Female		<i>E.coli</i>
30.	Healed	Holstein	15	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
31.	Died	Simmental	28	Female		<i>Giardia</i> spp
32.	Not reached	Holstein	2	Female		<i>E.coli</i>
33.	Healed	Holstein	7	Male	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp	
34.	Healed	Holstein	7	Male		Rota virus
35.	Healed	Holstein	11	Female		Rota virus

examinations or the use of rapid test kits (Kaya and Coşkun, 2018).

In this study, calf stool samples were taken and immunochromatographic test kits were used, which can diagnose different enteropathogens within 10-15 minutes and give rapid results (Klein et al., 2009). Mixed enteropathogens, in which at least two of these disease factors were found together, were diagnosed in 10 of 35 patients evaluated in the study. 5 enteropathogens were diagnosed together in 1 of these 10 patients, 4 enteropathogens were diagnosed together in 1, and 2 enteropathogens were diagnosed together in 8 of them. Diarrhea caused by a single enteropathogen was detected in 25 patients. Of these 25 patients, 12 of them were cryptosporidium spp., 6 of them rotavirus, 3 of them *Giardia lamblia*, 3 of them *E. coli* K99 and 1 of them coronavirus, alone caused diarrhea (Table 2). Diarrhea in mixed infections can develop as osmotic and secretory. In such cases, rotavirus, coronavirus, *Cl. Perfr-*

ringens, *Cryptosporidium* and *E. coli* two or more factors may coexist, leading to the development of osmotic and secretory diarrhea. It has been reported that mixed infections increase the severity of the disease (Baljer and Wieler, 1989).

Among the parasitic agents of neonatal diarrhea, *Cryptosporidium* spp constitutes a zoonotic disease with diarrhea, with a high probability of death in young and immune-compromised patients (Birdane, 2017). In studies conducted in our country, ((Kulig and Coskun, 2019) determined *Cryptosporidium* spp at a rate of 7% by rapid ELISA method (Çitil, 2003; Al and Balikci, 2012), but they could not detect *Cryptosporidium* spp in calves with diarrhea with the same method. Emre and Fidancı (Emre and Fidancı, 1998) detected *Cryptosporidium* spp infection in feces with a rate of 63.3% using the safranin methylene blue technique. Çitil et al. (2003) found 32.9% *Cryptosporidium* spp. in stool samples in a study they conducted in Kars region. Kaya and Coşkun (2018) found

the rate of *Cryptosporidium* spp to be 11.21% in the province of Tokat. Külig and Coşkun (21) detected 7% of cryptosporidi-um spp in calves with diarrhea in Sivas. In the calves used in this study, *Cryptosporidium* spp the incidence as a single and mixed enteropathogen was found to be 42%. This rate differs from the values reported by other researchers. This difference may be due to the different methods used.

Rotavirus infections are one of the important causes of diarrhea in the neonatal period. This virus usually causes infection in calves aged 4-21 days, with 100% morbidity and 2% mortality (Sahal, et al., 2018). In studies conducted in our country in calves with neonatal diarrhea (Duman R ve Aycan AE., 2010), rotavirus infections were found between 8.5-53%. Kaya and Coşkun (Kaya and Coskun, 2018) found rotavirus infection in Tokat province to be 44.86%. Kulig and Coskun (Kulig and Coskun, 2019) found rotavirus infection at a rate of 22% in calves with diarrhea in Sivas. In studies related to the subject abroad, it has been reported that the incidence of this factor varies between 7-80% (Azkur and Aksoy, 2018). The incidence of rotavirus as a single and mixed enteropathogen in the calves used in this study was found to be 30%. This rate is between the percentiles reported by other researchers. In studies conducted in Turkey, the prevalence of rotavirus infections in calves with diarrhea at the age of 1-28 days was found to be between 0-53% (Alkan, 1998). The fact that a total of 35 calves with diarrhea included in this study were in this age range was found to be compatible with the average age range determined in previous studies.

Escherichia coli is one of the leading factors among the bacteria that cause neonatal diseases in calves. It is a factor that causes fatal diarrhea in the first week of neonatal life from one day old. It mostly causes diarrhea in calves younger than 4 days old (Sahal, et al., 2018). In studies conducted in our country, *E. coli* infections were found between 11.88-69.3% (Sen, et al., 2013). Kaya and Coskun (Kaya and Coskun, 2018) detected 7.48% of *E. coli* in Tokat province. Külig and Coşkun (Kulig and Coskun, 2019) determined *E. coli* at a rate of 26% in mixed form in calves with diarrhea in Sivas. The incidence of *E. coli* as a single and mixed enteropathogen in the calves used in this study was determined as 10%. This rate is lower than what many researchers have reported. This difference may be due to the different methods used.

Giardia lamblia is another parasitic agent of parasitic diarrhea in newborns. It is a protozoan that can be found in the small intestines during the 4-day to 12-week period of the neonatal period (Kaya and Coskun, 2018). In studies conducted in our country, *Giardia lamblia* infections were detected between 1.98% and 16.82% (Sen et al., 2013). Kaya and Coskun (Kaya and Coskun, 2018) found giardia to be 16.82% in Tokat province. In studies related to the subject abroad, it is stated that the incidence of this factor varies between 17-73% (Sen et al., 2013). The incidence of *Giardia lamblia* as a single and mixed enteropathogen in the calves used in this study was determined as 10%. This rate is among the percentiles reported by researchers in our country.

Coronavirus is another important viral diarrhea agent in the neonatal period. It mostly causes approximately 100% morbi-

dity and 50% mortality in 4-21-day-old calves (Şahal M, et al., 2018), (Gül Y., 2016). In studies conducted in our country, coronavirus infections were detected between 1.04% and 37.1% (Uyunmaz et al., 2019). Kaya and Coskun (Kaya and Coskun, 2018) found the rate of coronavirus in Tokat to be 9.35%. Külig and Coşkun (Kulig and Coskun, 2019) detected 9% mixed coronavirus infection in calves with diarrhea in Sivas. In studies related to the subject abroad, it is stated that the incidence of this factor is between 3 and 80.8% (Citel et al., 2003). The incidence of coronavirus as a single and mixed enteropathogen in the calves used in this study was determined as 8%. This rate is in line with the studies conducted.

Diarrhea factors detected in this study are found at different rates, both in our country and abroad, it can be affected by many factors such as the number of materials used, the variety of methods, the diversity of the causative population in barns or businesses, the awareness and sensitivity of animal owners to neonatal calf diarrhea, the season in which the study was conducted, and the geographical region. The results obtained in this study, when compared with other studies, *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *E. coli* rates are similar. (Baljer and Wieler 1989; Kulig and Coskun, 2019)

As a result, in neonatal calf diarrhea, *Cryptosporidium* spp and rotavirus agents are common and necessary precautions should be taken in enterprises against these agents, attention should be paid to the care and nutrition of calves against these enteropathogens as well as other enteropathogens, colostrum management for calves to receive adequate colostrum and vaccination programs for pregnant cows and calves concluded that it should be implemented.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval

Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Experimental Animals Local Ethics Committee Presidency, E-93773921-770-160273, Etiological examination of neonatal calf diarrhea cases detected in Burdur region, due to the use of fecal material, Animal Experiments Ethics Committees dated 15 February 2014 and numbered 28914. According to article 8 of the Regulation on Working Procedures and Principles, Ethics Committee Approval is not required.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Consent for Publication

N/A

Author contribution

Idea, concept and design: HAA, MK

Data collection and analysis: RK, SS, YM

Drafting of the manuscript: RY, HIG, NM, SSD

Critical review: HAA, MK

Data Availability

The data is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

N/A

REFERENCES

1. Alkan, F. (1998). The role of rotavirus and coronaviruses in calf diarrhea. *Journal of Ankara University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine*. 45, 29-37.
2. Al, M., & Balikci, E. (2012). Diagnosis of rotavirus, coronavirus, *E. coli* K99 and *Cryptosporidium parvum* with rapid test kits in calves with neonatal diarrhea and the relationship between enteropathogen and maternal immunity. *Firat University Journal of Health Sciences Medicine*. 26(2):73-78.
3. Azkur, A.K., & Aksoy E. (2018). Preventive measures in calf diseases. *Lalahan Animal Res. Inst. Journal*. 58(Special issue): p.56-63.
4. Baljer, G., & Wieler, L.Ä. (1989). Pathogenese und immunprophylaxe der neonatalen Durchfallerkrankungen der Kälber. *Vet*. 5: 18-26.
5. Bendali, F., Bichet, H., Schelcher, F., & Sanaa, M. (1999). Pattern of diarrhea in newborn beef calves in south-west France. *Veterinary Research* 30, 61–74.
6. Birdane, M.F. (2017). Cryptosporidiosis diarrheas in farm animals. *Kocatepe Veterinary Journal*.10(2): 91-98.
7. Citiş, M., Gunes, V., Karademir, B. (2003). A retrospective study on diarrheal calves brought to KAU veterinary faculty internal medicine clinic between 1996-2001. *Vet. Fac. Journal*. 39-42.
8. Duman, R., & Aycan, A.E. (2010). Prevalence of rotavirus infection in calves with diarrhea in Konya region. *Journal of Anim and Vet. Advances*. 9(1):136-138.
9. Emre, Z. & Fidancı, H. (1998). Prevalence of mix infections of *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Escherichia coli* K99 and rotavirus in the faeces of diarrheic and healthy cattle in Ankara, Turkey and in vitro resistance of *Escherichia coli* K99 to antimicrobial agents. *Tr. J. Of veterinary and Animal Science*. 22:175-178.
10. Fayer R. (2010). Taxonomy and species delimitation in *Cryptosporidium*. *Exp. Parasitol*. 124:90–97.
11. Foster, D.M., & Smith, G.W. (2009). Pathophysiology of diarrhea in calves. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract*. 25:13–36.
12. Gul, Y. (2016). Internal diseases of ruminant animals. Medipres Publishing. 4th Edition.
13. Kalınbacak, A. (2003). The use of hypertonic salindextran and oral electrolyte solution in fluid therapy of calves with diarrhea. *AU Vet Fak Journal*. 50, 113-118.
14. Kaya, U., & Coskun, A. (2018). Determination of the etiology of neonatal calf diarrhea in the Tokat region. *Manas J Agr Vet Life Sci.*; 8 (1), 75- 80.
15. Klein, D., Kern, A., Lapan, G., Benetka, V., Möstl, K., Hassl, A., & Baumgartner, W. (2009). Evaluation of rapid assays for the detection of bovine coronavirus, rotavirus A and *Cryptosporidium parvum* in faecal samples of calves. *Vet J*.182: 484–486.
16. Kulig, C.C., & Coskun, A. (2019). Prevalence of *E. coli*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Clostridium perfringens*, rotavirus and coronavirus in neonatal diarrheal calves in Sivas and its districts. *Turk Vet. J*.1(2):69-73
17. Liu, L., Hägglund, S., Hakhverdyan, M., Alenius, S., Larsen, L.E., & Belák, S. (2006). Molecular epidemiology of bovine coronavirus on the basis of comparative analyses of the S gene. *J Clin Microbiol*. 44:957–960.
18. McGavin, M.D., & Carlton, W.T. (1995): *Special Veterinary Pathology*, 2nd ed, St. Louis: Mosby. p. 48-58.
19. Nataro, J. P., & Kaper, J.B. (1998). Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*, *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. Vol 11, No.1. p. 142-201.
20. Nydam, D.V., & Mohammed, H.O. (2005). Quantitative risk assessment of *Cryptosporidium* species infection in dairy calves. *J Dairy Sci*. 88: 3932–3943.
21. O’Handley, R.M., Ceri, H., Anette, C., & Olson, M.E. (2003). Passive immunity and serological immune response in dairy calves associated with natural *Giardia duodenalis* infections. *Vet Parasitol*;113(2): 89-98.
22. Roy, J.H.B. (1980). Factors affecting susceptibility of calves to disease. *J Dairy Sci*, 63(4), 650-664.
23. Steele, A.D., Geyer, A., & Gerdes, G.H. (2004). Rotavirus infections. In: Coetzer JAW, Tustin RC, editors. *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*. 2nd ed. Cape Town: Oxford University Press Southern Africa; p. 1256–1264.
24. Sahal, M., Terzi, O.S., Ceylan, E., & Kara, E. (2018). Calf diarrhea and prevention methods. *Lalahan Hay. Research. Ins. Journal*. 58 (special issue): p. 41-49.
25. Sen, I., Güzelbektas, H., & Yıldız, R. (2013). Neonatal Calf Diarrhea: Pathophysiology, Epidemiology, Clinic, Treatment and Prevention. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Vet Sci*. 4(1), 71-78.
26. Sentürk, S. (2018) *Internal Diseases of Calves with Case Controversial*. F Özsan Matbaacılık San ve Tic Ltd Şti, Bursa., Extended 3. Edition.
27. Tokgoz, B.S., Ozdemir, R., Turut, N., Miriöglu, M., Ince, H., Mahanoöglu, B., Yoldas, A., & Tuzcu, N. (2013). Determination of morbidity and mortality and risk factors of neonatal calf infections in Adana region. *AVKAE Journal*. 3(1):7-14.
28. Uyunmaz, S.G., Bulut, O., Hasoksuz, M., & Hadimli, H.H. (2019). Investigation of bovine coronavirus and bovine rotavirus by rapid diagnosis kit and RT-PCR in diarrheic calf feces. *Journal of Istanbul Veterinary Science*. 3(3):57-63.