# Generalized Cross Product in $(2+s)$-Dimensional Framed Metric Manifolds with Application to Legendre Curves 

Saniye Can ${ }^{1}$ © , Çetin Camcı ${ }^{2}$ ©


#### Abstract

Article Info Received: 1 Dec 2022 Accepted: 10 Mar 2023 Published: 31 Mar 2023 doi:10.53570/jnt. 1213002 Research Article

Abstract - This study generalizes the cross product defined in 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds and describes a new generalized cross product for $n=1$ in $(2 n+s)$-dimensional framed metric manifolds. Moreover, it studies some of the proposed product's basic properties. It also performs characterizations of the curvature of a Legendre curve on an $S$-manifold and calculates the curvature of a Legendre curve. Furthermore, it shows that Legendre curves are also biharmonic curves. Next, this study observes that a Legendre curve of osculating order 5 on $S$-manifolds is imbedded in the 3-dimensional $K$ contact space. Lastly, the current paper discusses the need for further research.
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## 1. Introduction

Yano [1] has led up to the groundwork of $S$-manifolds and has defined the concept of $f$-structures in $M^{2 n+s}$ manifolds. Almost complex $(s=0)$ and almost contact $(s=1)$ structures are examples of $f$-structures. Goldberg and Yano [2] have defined the concept of the framed $f$-structures. Moreover, they have suggested a complex structure by the concept of $f$-structures. Furthermore, they have proposed the concept of framed metric manifolds by examining the normality condition of a metric framed structure. Blair [3] has introduced $S$-manifolds, generalizing almost complex Kaehler and almost contact Sasakian structures. Sarkar et al. [4] have found the curvature and torsion of Legendre curves in 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the semisymmetric metric connection. Özgür and Güvenç [5] have propounded biharmonic Legendre curves in $S$-space forms. They have analyzed characterizations of the curvature of the biharmonic Legendre curves in 4 cases.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the concept of $S$-manifolds and some of their basic properties. Section 3 generalizes the new cross-product in a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds defined by Camcı [6] and defines a generalized cross-product in $(2+s)$-dimensional $S$-manifolds. Besides, it demonstrates that this cross-product in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is coherent with a triple product [7] by an example. In addition, Section 3 provides the basic properties of the generalized cross-product. Section 4 calculates the curvature of Legendre curves using the generalized cross-product and demonstrated that $(2+3)$-dimensional $S$-manifolds are imbedded in 3-dimensional space. Finally, the need for further research is discussed.

[^0]
## 2. Preliminaries

This section provides the concept of $S$-manifolds and some of their basic properties.
Definition 2.1. [1] Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+s$. It is said to be a framed $f$ structure if $f$ is a tensor field of type $(1,1)$ and rank $2 n$ satisfying $f^{3}+f=0$.

Definition 2.2. [2] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be a manifold with an $f$-structure of rank $2 n$. Then, $f$-structure is said to be has completed frames if there exists vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{s}$ on $M^{2 n+s}$, and $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{s}$ are 1-forms, then $\eta_{i} \circ f=0, f \circ \xi_{i}=0$, and $f^{2}=-I+\sum_{i=1}^{S} \eta_{i} \otimes \xi_{i}$.
Definition 2.3. [8] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be an $f$-structure with completed frames. The framed $f$-structure is normal if the tensor field $S$ of type $(1,2)$ given by

$$
S=[f, f]+2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} d \eta_{i} \otimes \xi_{i}
$$

vanishes.
Definition 2.4. [2] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be a manifold. Then, $M$ is said to be an $S$-manifold if the $f$-structure is normal.
Definition 2.5. [1] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be a Riemannian manifold. The distribution on $M$ spanned by the structure vector fields is denoted by $\mathcal{M}$, and its complementary orthogonal distribution is denoted by $D$. Consequently, $T M=D \oplus \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, if $X \in D$, then $\eta_{i}(X)=0$, for any $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$, and if $X \in \mathcal{M}$, then $f X=0$.
Definition 2.6. [2] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ has an $f$-structure with completed frames. If there exists a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M^{2 n+s}$ such that

$$
g(X, Y)=g(f X, f Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta_{i}(X) \eta_{i}(Y)
$$

and $X, Y \in \chi\left(M^{2 n+s}\right)$, then $M^{2 n+s}$ is called that has a metric $f$-structure.
From now on, the notation $\phi$ is used instead of $f$.
Definition 2.7. [3] Let $M^{2 n+s}$ be an $S$-manifold. The covariant differentiation $\nabla$ of $M^{2 n+s}$ satisfies

$$
\nabla_{X} \xi_{i}=-\phi X, \quad i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}
$$

and

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left[g(\phi X, \phi Y) \xi_{i}+\eta_{i}(Y) \phi^{2} X\right]
$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Besides, for all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}, \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{s} \wedge\left(d \eta_{i}\right)^{n} \neq 0$ and $\Phi=d \eta_{i}$ on an $S$ manifold such that $\Phi$ is the fundamental 2 -form defined by

$$
\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \phi Y), \quad X, Y \in T M
$$

Definition 2.8. [9] A submanifold of an $S$-manifold is called an integral submanifold if

$$
\eta_{i}(X)=0, \quad i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}, \text { for all } X \in \chi(M)
$$

Definition 2.9.[5] A 1 -dimensional integral submanifold of an $S$-manifold ( $\left.M^{2 n+s}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in$ $\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ is called a Legendre curve of $M$. In other words, a curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ is called a Legendre curve if $\eta_{i}(T)=0$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ such that $T$ is the tangent vector field of $\gamma$.

## 3. Generalized Cross product in $(2+s)$-dimensional Framed Metric Manifolds

This section, firstly, generalizes the cross-product in a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds defined by Camcı [5] and defines a generalized cross-product in $(2+s)$-dimensional $S$-manifolds.
Definition 3.1. Let $A$ be a matrix of type $s \times(s+1)$. Then,
i. $\tilde{A}_{i j k}$ such that $i, k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ and $j \in\{i+1, i+2, \cdots, s+1\}$ is the matrix obtained by deleting the $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ columns and $k^{\text {th }}$ row of the matrix $A$. Specially, for $s=1, \operatorname{det} \tilde{A}_{121}=1$.
ii. $\tilde{\tilde{A}}_{m n}$ such that $m \in\{2,3, \cdots, s\}$ and $n \in\{m+1, m+2, \cdots, s+1\}$ is the matrix obtained by deleting the $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{t h}$ columns of the matrix $A$ and adding the first column of the matrix $A$ to the left of the first column as a new column.

For example, for $s=3$, let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then,

$$
\tilde{A}_{231}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{21} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{34}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\tilde{A}}_{23}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{11} & a_{14} \\
a_{21} & a_{21} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{31} & a_{34}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 3.2. Let $M=\left(M^{2+s}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$, be a framed metric manifold in $(2+s)$ dimensional space. We define a generalized cross-product $\times$ by

$$
X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s+1}(-1)^{i+j+k} \Phi\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \operatorname{det} \tilde{A}_{i j k}\right) \xi_{k}+\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\phi X_{1} & \phi X_{2} & \cdots & \phi X_{s+1}  \tag{1}\\
\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{1}\left(X_{s+1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_{s}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{s}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{s}\left(X_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

such that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{s+1} \in T M$ and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{1}\left(X_{s+1}\right) \\
\eta_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{2}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{2}\left(X_{s+1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_{s}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{s}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{s}\left(X_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover, $\tilde{A}_{i j k}, i, k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ and $j \in\{i+1, i+2, \cdots, s+1\}$, is as in Definition 3.1. For example, for $s=$ 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} \times X_{2}=\Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \xi_{1}+\eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) \phi X_{1}-\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) \phi X_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 2 gives the cross product in 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds defined in [6]. For $s=2$, the generalized cross-product is

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3}= & \left(\Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \eta_{2}\left(X_{3}\right)-\Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) \eta_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)+\Phi\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \eta_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \xi_{1} \\
& +\left(-\Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \eta_{1}\left(X_{3}\right)+\Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \xi_{2} \\
& +\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi X_{1} & \phi X_{2} & \phi X_{3} \\
\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{3}\right) \\
\eta_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{2}\left(X_{2}\right) & \eta_{2}\left(X_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, it demonstrates that this cross-product in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is coherent with a triple product [7] by an example.
Example 3.3. For the subspace $V=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right) \mid x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ of the 4-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, the projection function $\pi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right)$, and the almost complex plane $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(x_{2},-x_{1},-x_{4}, x_{3}\right),\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2\}$, is a framed metric manifold such that $\phi=J \circ \pi, \eta_{1}=d x_{3}, \eta_{2}=d x_{4}, \xi_{1}=(0,0,1,0), \xi_{2}=(0,0,0,1)$, and $g$ is the standard Euclidean metric. As a result, $X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3}=X_{1} \wedge X_{2} \wedge X_{3}$ such that $X_{1} \wedge X_{2} \wedge X_{3}$ is the triple product in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ provided in [7].

Finally, this section provides some of the basic properties of the generalized cross-product.
Theorem 3.4. Let $M=\left(M^{2+s}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ be a framed metric manifold in ( $2+s$ )dimensional space. Then, for all $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{s+1} \in T M$, the generalized cross-product has the following properties:
$i$. The generalized cross-product is bilinear and antisymmetric.
ii. $X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}$ is perpendicular to each of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{s+1}$.
iii. $\phi X=\xi_{1} \times \xi_{2} \times \cdots \times \xi_{s} \times X$.

Proof.
$i$. The proof is straightforward from the fundamental 2-form $\Phi$ and the determinant function's bilinearity.
ii. We need to show that $g\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}, X_{t}\right)=0$, for $t \in\{1,2, \cdots, s+1\}$. For $t=1$, from Equation 1, we obtain

$$
g\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}, X_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s+1}(-1)^{i+j+k} \Phi\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \operatorname{det} \tilde{A}_{i j k}\right) \eta_{k}\left(X_{1}\right)+\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & g\left(\phi X_{2}, X_{1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\phi X_{s+1}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{1}\left(X_{s+1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_{s}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{s}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{s}\left(X_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & g\left(\phi X_{2}, X_{1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\phi X_{s+1}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\eta_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{1}\left(X_{s+1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_{s}\left(X_{1}\right) & \eta_{s}\left(X_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{s}\left(X_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right|=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{s+1}(-1)^{j+k} \Phi\left(X_{1}, X_{j}\right) \operatorname{det} \widetilde{A}_{1 j k}\right) \eta_{k}\left(X_{1}\right)
$$

If we substitute Equation 4 for Equation 3, we get

$$
g\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}, X_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{s} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s+1}(-1)^{i+j} \Phi\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \operatorname{det} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_{i j}
$$

Here, the $\tilde{\tilde{A}}_{i j}, i \in\{2,3, \cdots, s\}$ and $j \in\{i+1, i+2, \cdots, s+1\}$, is as in Definition 3.1. Thus, $\operatorname{det} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_{i j}=0$. Therefore,

$$
g\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}, X_{1}\right)=0
$$

Similarly, it is proved that $g\left(X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3} \times \cdots \times X_{s+1}, X_{t}\right)=0$, for $t \in\{2,3, \cdots, s+1\}$.
iii. If $\xi_{i}=Y_{i}$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$, and $X=Y_{s+1}$, for all $X, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{s} \in T M$, from Equation 1 ,

$$
\xi_{1} \times \xi_{2} \times \ldots \times \xi_{s} \times X=Y_{1} \times Y_{2} \times \ldots \times Y_{s+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s+1}(-1)^{i+j+k} \Phi\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \operatorname{det} \tilde{A}_{i j k}\right) \xi_{k}+\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\phi Y_{1} & \phi Y_{2} & \cdots & \phi Y_{s+1}  \tag{5}\\
\eta_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(Y_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{1}\left(Y_{s+1)}\right. \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\eta_{s}\left(Y_{1}\right) & \eta_{s}\left(Y_{2}\right) & \cdots & \eta_{s}\left(Y_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

From Equation 5, $\Phi\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=0, i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s+1\}$. Then, if we substitute the expressions $\phi Y_{i}=\phi \xi_{i}=0$ and $Y_{s+1}=X$ in Equation 5, we get $\xi_{1} \times \xi_{2} \times \ldots \times \xi_{s} \times X=\phi X$.

## 4. Legendre Curves in $S$-manifolds

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a unit-speed curve in an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) and $k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{r}$ be positive functions on $I$. If there is an orthonormal basis $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \cdots, V_{r}\right\}$ along $\gamma$ that satisfies the following Frenet equations, $\gamma$ is called a Frenet curve of osculating order $r$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=\gamma^{\prime} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}=k_{1} V_{2} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{2}=-k_{1} V_{1}+k_{2} V_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{r}=-k_{r-1} V_{r-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $M=\left(M^{2+s}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ be an $S$-manifold and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a Legendre curve of osculating order $(2+s)$. Then, for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$, the following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{2}=\varepsilon \phi V_{1} \\
V_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_{s} \\
k_{2}=\sqrt{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
k_{3}=0
$$

Proof.
For the function $\sigma_{i j}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\sigma_{i j}(s)=g\left(V_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)$, for $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s+2\}$ and $j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \sigma_{i j} V_{i}, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma$ be a Legendre curve. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{11}=\sigma_{12}=\cdots=\sigma_{1 s}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from Theorem 3.4,

$$
\phi V_{1}=(-1)^{s+1}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
V_{2} & V_{3} & \ldots & V_{s+1} & V_{s+2}  \tag{8}\\
\sigma_{21} & \sigma_{31} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) 1} & \sigma_{(s+2) 1} \\
\sigma_{22} & \sigma_{32} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) 2} & \sigma_{(s+2) 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{2 s} & \sigma_{3 s} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) s} & \sigma_{(s+2) s}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If we take the derivative from $\sigma_{11}=0=g\left(V_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{21}=\sigma_{22}=\sigma_{23}=\cdots=\sigma_{2 s}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 8-10,

$$
\phi V_{1}=(-1)^{s+1}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{31} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) 1} & \sigma_{(s+2) 1}  \tag{10}\\
\sigma_{32} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) 2} & \sigma_{(s+2) 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{3 s} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+1) s} & \sigma_{(s+2) s}
\end{array}\right| V_{2}
$$

If we substitute Equations 7 and 9 in Equation 6, then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi_{1}  \tag{11}\\
\xi_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\xi_{s}
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{31} & \sigma_{41} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+2) 1} \\
\sigma_{32} & \sigma_{42} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+2) 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{3 s} & \sigma_{42} & \ldots & \sigma_{(s+2) s}
\end{array}\right]}_{B}\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{3} \\
V_{4} \\
\vdots \\
V_{s+2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{V_{3}, \ldots, V_{s+2}\right\}$ are orthonormal systems, $B$ is an orthonormal matrix. In this case, $|B|=$ $\pm 1$ where $|B|$ is the determinant of the matrix $B$. From Equation 10 , for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi V_{1}=\varepsilon V_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 12,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi V_{2}=\varepsilon V_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the derivative from $\sigma_{2 i}=g\left(V_{2}, \xi_{i}\right)=0, i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2} \sigma_{3 k}=g\left(V_{2}, \phi V_{1}\right), \quad k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 8,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(V_{2}, \phi V_{1}\right)=1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Equations 14 and 15,

$$
k_{2} \sigma_{3 k}=1, \quad k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{3 k}=\frac{1}{k_{2}}, \quad k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B$ is an orthonormal matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sigma_{3 k}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 16 and 17 , for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{3 k}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}}, \quad k \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
k_{2}=\sqrt{s}
$$

If we take the derivative from Equation 17, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{2} g\left(V_{2}, \xi_{1}\right)+k_{3} g\left(V_{4}, \xi_{1}\right)+g\left(V_{3}, \phi V_{1}\right)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 9 and 12, Equation 19 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3} \sigma_{41}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3} \sigma_{42}=k_{3} \sigma_{43}=\cdots=k_{3} \sigma_{4 s}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the matrix $B$ is orthonormal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{41}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{42}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\sigma_{4 s}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 20-22,

$$
k_{3}=0
$$

Since $B$ is an orthonormal matrix, we can write Equation 11 as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{3}  \tag{23}\\
V_{4} \\
\vdots \\
V_{s+2}
\end{array}\right]=B^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\xi_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Equations 11 and 18,

$$
B^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}} & \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}} & \cdots & \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}}  \tag{24}\\
\sigma_{41} & \sigma_{42} & \cdots & \sigma_{4 s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{(s+2) 1} & \sigma_{(s+2) 2} & \cdots & \sigma_{(s+2 s,) s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and from Equations 23 and 24,

$$
V_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_{\alpha}
$$

is obtained.
Remark 4.2. In [5], Özgür and Güvenç assumed that $V_{2}=\phi V_{1}$ and obtained the same results as in Theorem 4.1 for biharmonic Legendre curves. Thus, Legendre curves are also biharmonic.

In [10], Hasegawa et al. have introduced $\mathbb{R}^{2+s}(-3 s)$ space as follows: Let the coordinate functions' set of $M=\mathbb{R}^{2+s}$ be $\left\{x, y, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right\}$. In this space,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{i}=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \\
\eta_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d z_{j}-y d x\right), \quad j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
g=\frac{1}{4}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s} \eta_{j} \otimes \eta_{j}
$$

with

$$
X=e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \in \chi(M)
$$

Thus, $\left(M=\mathbb{R}^{2+s}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right)$ is an $S$-space form with the constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c=-3 s$. Besides, $\left\{e, \phi e, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{s}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis on $\mathbb{R}^{2+s}(-3 s)$ such that

$$
e_{1}=e=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_{2}=\phi e=2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{i}=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}
$$

According to this basis, the Levi-Civita connection is calculated as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{e} e=\nabla_{\phi e} \phi e=0 \\
\nabla_{e} \phi e=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{\phi e} e=-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \\
\nabla_{e} \xi_{i}=\nabla_{\xi_{i}} e=-\phi e \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{\phi e} \xi_{i}=\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \phi e=e
$$

We will examine Legendre curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2+s}(-3 s)$. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+s}(-3 s)$ be a Legendre curve. Let

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(x(t), y(t), z_{1}(t), \ldots, z_{S}(t)\right)
$$

such that $t$ is the arc-length parameter. If the tangent vector field of $\gamma$ is $V_{1}$, then $\eta_{j}\left(V_{1}\right)=0, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}$ since $\gamma$ is a Legendre curve. From Equation 25,

$$
z_{1}^{\prime}(t)=z_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\cdots=z_{s}^{\prime}(t)=y(t) x^{\prime}(t)
$$

If $z_{i}(t)=f(t)$, then

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(x(t), y(t), f(t)+c_{1}, f(t)+c_{2}, \cdots, f(t)+c_{s}\right)
$$

If the tangent vector field of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of basis $\left\{e, \phi e, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right\}$ is as follows:

$$
V_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{\prime} e+x^{\prime} \phi e\right)
$$

Since $\gamma$ is a unit speed curve,

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4
$$

Hence, we have the following example:
Example 4.3. $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+s}(-3 s), \gamma(t)=\left(x(t), y(t), f(t)+c_{1}, f(t)+c_{2}, \ldots, f(t)+c_{s}\right)$ is a unit speed Legendre curve such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t)=\frac{2}{c} \cos \theta(t)+x_{0} \\
y(t)=\frac{2}{c} \sin \theta(t)+y_{0} \\
f(t)=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \sin 2 \theta+\frac{2 y_{0}}{c} \cos \theta-\frac{2}{c} t
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\theta(t)=c t+c_{0}
$$

The tangent vector field of $\gamma$ in terms of basis $\left\{e, \phi e, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}\right\}$ is $V_{1}=\cos \theta e-\sin \theta \phi e$. From 26,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{V_{1}} e=\sin \theta \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi e=\cos \theta \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} \xi_{i}=-\sin \theta e-\cos \theta \phi e, \quad i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}=-c \sin \theta e-c \cos \theta \phi e
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
k_{1}=c
$$

Then, $V_{2}=-(\sin \theta e+\cos \theta \phi e)$ and $\phi V_{1}=\cos \theta \phi e+\sin \theta e=-V_{2}$. If

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}=\gamma^{\prime}=V_{1} \\
E_{2}=\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E_{3}=\nabla_{V_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right)-\frac{\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right), \nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}, \nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right\rangle} \nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}-\frac{\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right), V_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle} V_{1}
$$

then

$$
V_{3}=\frac{E_{3}}{\left\|E_{3}\right\|}
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right)=-c^{2} \cos \theta e+c^{2} \sin \theta \phi e-c \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right), \nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right\rangle=0 \\
\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}}\left(\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}\right), V_{1}\right\rangle=-c^{2} \\
E_{3}=-c \sum_{i}^{s} \xi_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
V_{3}=\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{S} \xi_{i}}{\sqrt{s}}
$$

From $k_{2}=\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{2}, V_{3}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{2}=-\left(c \cos \theta e-c \sin \theta \phi e+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i}\right)
$$

we obtain $k_{2}=\sqrt{s}$. Similarly, since

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{3}=\sqrt{s}(\sin \theta e+\cos \theta \phi e)
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{3}=-k_{2} V_{2}+k_{3} V_{4}
$$

we obtain $k_{3} V_{4}=0$. Thus, $k_{3}=0$.
Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a unit-speed curve in a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ). The Frenet vectors of the curve $\alpha$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=\alpha^{\prime}, \quad V_{2}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\|}, \quad V_{4}=-\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \times \alpha^{\prime \prime} \times \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \times \alpha^{\prime \prime} \times \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|}, \quad \text { and } \quad V_{3}=V_{4} \times V_{1} \times V_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the system $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}\right\}$ is an orthonormal system in 4-dimensional space [11]. From Equation 27,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}=-V_{1} \times V_{2} \times V_{3} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained.
Theorem 4.4. Let $M=\left(M^{2+2}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2\}$, be an $S$-manifold and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M^{2+2}$ be a Legendre curve of osculating order 4 . Then, $V_{4}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)$.

Proof.
From Equations 1 and 28,

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{4}= & \left(g\left(V_{1}, \phi V_{2}\right) \eta_{2}\left(V_{3}\right)-g\left(V_{1}, \phi V_{3}\right) \eta_{2}\left(V_{2}\right)+g\left(V_{2}, \phi V_{3}\right) \eta_{2}\left(V_{1}\right)\right) \xi_{1} \\
& +\left(-g\left(V_{1}, \phi V_{2}\right) \eta_{1}\left(V_{3}\right)+g\left(V_{1}, \phi V_{3}\right) \eta_{1}\left(V_{2}\right)-g\left(V_{2}, \phi V_{3}\right) \eta_{1}\left(V_{1}\right)\right) \xi_{2}+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi V_{1} & \phi V_{2} & \phi V_{3} \\
\eta_{1}\left(V_{1}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(V_{2}\right) & \eta_{1}\left(V_{3}\right) \\
\eta_{2}\left(V_{1}\right) & \eta_{2}\left(V_{2}\right) & \eta_{2}\left(V_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right| \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equations 9, 12, 13, 18, 28, and 29,

$$
V_{4}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)
$$

is obtained.
Example 4.5. Let $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+2}(-6)$ defined by

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(2 \ln \left|\sqrt{1+t^{2}}+t\right|, 2 \sqrt{1+t^{2}}, 4 t+c_{1}, 4 t+c_{2}\right)
$$

is a unit speed Legendre curve. The tangent vector field of $\gamma$ in terms of basis $\left\{e, \phi e, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$ is

$$
V_{1}=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} e+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} \phi e
$$

From Equation 26,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{V_{1}} e=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi e=\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} \xi_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}(e-t \phi e), \quad i \in\{1,2\} \\
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} e-\frac{t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \phi e
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
k_{1}=\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}
$$

then

$$
V_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} e-\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} \phi \text { and } \phi V_{1}=-V_{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{2}=-\frac{t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} e-\frac{1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \phi e-\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{2}=-k_{1} V_{1}+k_{2} V_{3}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
k_{2}=\sqrt{2} \text { and } V_{3}=-\frac{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

Since $\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{3}=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}(e+t \phi e)$ and $\nabla_{V_{1}} V_{3}=-k_{2} V_{2}+k_{3} V_{4}$, we obtain $k_{3}=0$. From Equation 28,

$$
V_{4}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
e & \phi e & \xi_{1} & \xi_{2} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} & -\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)
$$

is obtained.
Theorem 4.6. Let $M=\left(M^{2+3}, \phi, \xi_{i}, \eta_{j}, g\right), i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, be an $S$-manifold and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M^{2+3}$ be a Legendre curve of osculating order 5. $\gamma$ is imbedded in the 3 -dimensional $K$-contact space.
Proof.
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}=\cos \theta V_{4}-\sin \theta V_{5}  \tag{30}\\
& U_{2}=\sin \theta V_{4}+\cos \theta V_{5}
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(s)=\int k_{4} d s \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 30 and 31,

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} U_{1}=0
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} U_{2}=0
$$

Therefore, $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are constant. From Equation $30,\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis. For the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}: I & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
s & \rightarrow f_{i}(s)=\left\langle\gamma(s)-\gamma(0), U_{i}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $i \in\{1,2\}$ from Equations 30 and 31, we get, for all $s \in I$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{i}^{\prime}(s)=\left\langle V_{1}, U_{i}\right\rangle=0 \\
f_{i}(s)=c \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
f_{i}(0)=\left\langle\gamma(0)-\gamma(0), U_{i}\right\rangle=c=0
$$

Hence, for all $s \in I$,

$$
f_{i}(s)=0, \quad i \in\{1,2\}
$$

Then, $\gamma(s)-\gamma(0) \in \operatorname{Sp}\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right\}$. Let $\omega=\left\{X \in \chi(M): g\left(X, U_{1}\right)=0 \wedge g\left(X, U_{2}\right)=0\right\}$. Since

$$
\omega=\operatorname{Sp}\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right\} \text { and } \gamma(s)-\gamma(0) \in \omega
$$

For the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi: \chi(M) & \rightarrow \omega \\
X & \rightarrow \pi(X)=\bar{X}+\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}{3} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\bar{X} \in D=\left\{X \in \chi(M): \eta_{i}(X)=0, \forall i \in\{1,2,3\}\right\}, X=\bar{X}+\lambda_{1} \xi_{1}+\lambda_{2} \xi_{2}+\lambda_{3} \xi_{3}$, and $\xi=\frac{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}}{3}$, if we get $\eta=\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}, \tilde{\phi}=\phi$, and $\tilde{g}=3 g$, then $\left(w^{3}, \tilde{\phi}, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a $K$-contact space. Since $\tilde{g}=3 g$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k}=\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}=\nabla$. Because $d \eta=d \eta_{1}+d \eta_{2}+d \eta_{3}=3 d \eta_{1}=3 \Phi=3 g=\tilde{g}$, then $\widetilde{\Phi}=d \eta$. Moreover,

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\pi X} \xi=\nabla_{\pi X}\left(\frac{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\nabla_{\pi X} \xi_{1}+\nabla_{\pi X} \xi_{2}+\nabla_{\pi X} \xi_{3}\right)=\frac{-3}{3} \phi(\pi X)=-\phi(\pi X)=-\tilde{\phi}(\pi X)
$$

As

$$
\eta\left(V_{1}\right)=\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}\right)\left(V_{1}\right)=\eta_{1}\left(V_{1}\right)+\eta_{2}\left(V_{1}\right)+\eta_{3}\left(V_{1}\right)=0
$$

The curve $\gamma$ is also a Legendre curve at $\omega$.

## 5. Conclusion

This study generalized the cross product defined in 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds and defined a new generalized cross product in $(2 n+s)$-dimensional framed metric manifolds such that $n=1$. Moreover, it characterized the curvatures of Legendre curves in $S$-manifolds. Moreover, this study proved that Legendre curves are biharmonic. Besides, it demonstrated that $(2+3)$-dimensional $S$-manifolds are imbedded in 3dimensional space. In future studies, researchers can investigate Slant curves in $S$-manifolds using the generalized cross product herein.
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