

Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Modelling

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/jmsm ISSN 2636-8692 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.33187/jmsm.1238633



Existence and Decay of Solutions for a Parabolic-Type Kirchhoff Equation with Variable Exponents

Erhan Pişkin^{1*} and Gülistan Butakın²

¹Dicle University, Department of Mathematics, Diyarbakır, Turkey ²Dicle University, Institute of Natural and Applied Sciences, Diyarbakır, Turkey *Corresponding author

Article Info

Abstract

Keywords: Decay, Existence, Parabolic-type Kirchhoff equation, Variable exponent. 2010 AMS: 35A01, 35B40, 35K35 Received: 18 January 2023 Accepted: 2 April 2023 Available online: 30 April 2023

This paper deals with a parabolic-type Kirchhoff equation with variable exponents. Firstly, we obtain the global existence of solutions by Faedo-Galerkin method. Later, we prove the decay of solutions by Komornik's inequality.

1. Introduction

In this work, we study the following parabolic-type Kirchhoff equation with variable exponents

$$\begin{cases} \left(1+|u|^{p(x)-2}\right)u_t+\Delta^2 u-M\left(\|\nabla u\|^2\right)\Delta u=|u|^{q(x)-2}u, & \text{in } (x,t)\in\Omega\times(0,T),\\ u(x,t)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(x,t)=0, & \text{on } x\in\partial\Omega\times(0,T),\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x), & \text{in } x\in\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 1)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and

 $M(s) = 1 + s^{\gamma}, \ \gamma \ge 1.$

The variable exponents p(.) and q(.) are given as measurable functions on Ω satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq p^- \leq p\left(x\right) \leq p^+ \leq p^*, \\ 2 \leq q^- \leq q\left(x\right) \leq q^+ \leq q^*, \end{array} \right.$$

where

 $\begin{cases} p^{-} = ess \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \ p^{+} = ess \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \\ q^{-} = ess \inf_{x \in \Omega} q(x), \ q^{+} = ess \sup_{x \in \Omega} q(x), \end{cases}$

and

$$p^*, q^* = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } n \le 4, \\ \frac{2n}{n-4} & \text{if } n > 4. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

We also suppose that p(.) and q(.) satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition:

$$\left|p\left(x\right)-p\left(y\right)\right| \leq -\frac{A}{\log\left|x-y\right|},$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$, $|x - y| < \delta$ with $A > 0, 0 < \delta < 1$.

Cite as "E. Pişkin, G. Butakın, Existence and Decay of Solutions for a Parabolic-Type Kirchhoff Equation with Variable Exponents, J. Math. Sci. Model., 6(1) (2023), 32-41"



Email addresses and ORCID numbers: episkin@dicle.edu.tr, 0000-0001-6587-4479 (E. Pişkin), gulistanbutakin@gmail.com, 0000-0003-1140-9672 (G. Butakın)

- Parabolic type equation: Many phenomena in physics lead up to problems that deal with parabolic type equations, such as; mathematical description of the reaction-diffusion or diffusion, population dynamic processes and heat transfer [1].
- Kirchhoff equation: The Kirchhoff equation is among the famous wave equation's model which describe small vibration amplitude of elastic strings. This equation has been introduced in 1876 by Kirchhoff [2].
- Variable exponent: The problems with variable exponents arises in many branches in sciences such as electrorheological fluids, nonlinear elasticity theory and image processing [3]-[5].

In [6], Wu et al. established the blow up of solutions with positive initial energy for the following equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = u^{p(x)}$$

Later, some authors get new results for the same equation to blow up result (see [7]-[10]). In [11], Qu et al. studied the fourth order parabolic equation as follows

$$u_t + \Delta^2 u = u^{p(x)}.$$

The authors studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions.

When there is no fourth-order term $\Delta^2 u$, (1.1) is reduced to the following equation

$$u_t - M(||\nabla u||^2) \Delta u + |u|^{m(x)-2} u_t = |u|^{r(x)-2} u.$$

Khaldi et al. [12] studied the global existence and stability of solutions.

Recently, problems with variable exponents have been handled carefully in several papers, some results relating the local existence, global existence, blow up and stability have been found ([13]-[17]).

In this work, we considered the existence and decay of solutions of the parabolic type Kirchhoff equation with variable exponents, motivated by above works. To our best knowledge, there is no research, related to the parabolic type Kirchhoff equation (1.1) with fourth-order term $(\Delta^2 u)$ and variable exponent source term $(|u|^{q(x)-2}u)$, hence, our work is the generalization of the above studies.

This work consists of four parts: Firstly, in part 2, we give some needed theories about Lebesgue and Sobolev space with variable-exponents. Then, in Section 3, we get the existence result by the Faedo-Galerkin method. Moreover, in Section 4, we obtain the decay of solutions by the Komornik's inequality.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this work, we denote by $\|.\|_p$ the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm. Also, we give some needed theories about Lebesgue space and Sobolev space with variable-exponents (for detailed, see [4, 18, 19]).

Let $p: \Omega \to [1,\infty]$ be a measurable function. We introduce the Lebesgue space with variable exponent p(.)

$$L^{p(.)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u: \Omega \to R \text{ measurable in } \Omega, \ \rho_{p(.)}(\lambda u) < \infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\rho_{p(.)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$

The norm, called Luxemburg's norm, is defined by

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\},$$

 $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.

Next we define the variable-exponent Sobolev space $W^{m,p(.)}(\Omega)$ as

$$W^{m,p(.)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega) \text{ such that } D^{\alpha}u \text{ exists and } D^{\alpha}u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega), \ |\alpha| \le m \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. [4]. If

$$1\leq p_{1}:= ess \inf_{x\in \Omega} p\left(x\right) \leq p\left(x\right) \leq p_{2}:= ess \sup_{x\in \Omega} p\left(x\right) < \infty,$$

then we have

$$\min\left\{\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_1}, \|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_2}\right\} \le \rho_{p(.)}(u) \le \max\left\{\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_1}, \|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_2}\right\}$$

for any $u \in L^{p(.)}$.

Lemma 2.2. (Hölder's inequality)[4]. Assume that $p,q,s \ge 1$ are measurable functions defined on Ω such that

$$\frac{1}{r(y)} = \frac{1}{p(y)} + \frac{1}{q(y)} \text{ for a.e. } y \in \Omega.$$

If $u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$, then $uv \in L^{s(.)}(\Omega)$ with

$$||uv||_{s(.)} \le c ||u||_{p(.)} ||v||_{q(.)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [4]. If $p: \Omega \to [1,\infty)$ is a measurable function satisfying (1.2) then the embedding $H_0^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(.)}$ is continuous and compact.

Lemma 2.4. [20]. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nonincreasing function and suppose that there are two constants $\alpha > 0$ and c > 0 such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi^{\alpha+1}(s) \, ds \leq c \varphi^{\alpha}(0) \, \varphi(s) \, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}.$$

Then we have

$$\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(0) \left(\frac{c+\alpha t}{c+\alpha c}\right)^{-1/\alpha} \ \forall t \geq c$$

3. Existence

In this part, we state and prove the global existence result. Now, let us introduce some functionals as follows:

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx,$$
$$I(t) = \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (1.2) holds. Then

$$E'(t) = - \|u_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)-2} |u_t|^2 dx \le 0,$$
(3.1)

and

$$E\left(t\right) \leq E\left(0\right)$$

Proof. We multiply the eq. (1.1) by u_t and integrate over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx \right) \\ &= - \|u_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)-2} |u_t|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$E'(t) = - \|u_t\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)-2} |u_t|^2 dx \le 0.$$

A simple integration of (3.1) over (0, T), yields

$$E(t) \le E(0).$$

Lemma 3.2. Let assumption (1.2) holds. Further assume that $q_1 > 2(\gamma + 1)$, I(0) > 0 and

$$\beta_1+\beta_2<1,$$

where

$$\beta_{1} = \max\left\{\alpha c_{*}^{q_{1}}\left(\frac{2q_{1}}{q_{1}-2}E(0)\right)^{(q_{1}-2)/2}, \alpha c_{*}^{q_{2}}\left(\frac{mq_{1}}{q_{1}-m}E(0)\right)^{(q_{2}-2)/2}\right\},\$$

$$\beta_{2} = \max\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left(1-\alpha\right)c_{*}^{q_{1}}\left(\frac{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}}{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}E(0)\right)^{(q_{1}-2(\gamma+1))/(2(\gamma+1))}\\ \left(1-\alpha\right)c_{*}^{q_{2}}\left(\frac{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}}{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}E(0)\right)^{(q_{2}-2(\gamma+1))/(2(\gamma+1))}\right\},$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and c_* is the best embedding constant of $H_0^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$. Then I(t) > 0 for all $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. Since I(0) > 0, then by continuity there exists T_* such that

$$I(t) \ge 0, \, \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$
 (3.2)

Now, we have for all $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\begin{split} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ \geq & \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{q_{1}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} - I(t) \right) \\ \geq & \frac{q_{1}-2}{2q_{1}} \left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{q_{1}}I(t) \,. \end{split}$$

Using (3.2), we have

$$\frac{q_1-2}{2q_1}\left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2+\|\nabla u\|_2^2\right)+\frac{q_1-2(\gamma+1)}{2(\gamma+1)q_1}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(\gamma+1)}\leq E(t).$$

By the definition of E, we obtain

$$\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2q_{1}}{q_{1}-2}E(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{2q_{1}}{q_{1}-2}E(0), \qquad (3.3)$$

and

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \leq \frac{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}}{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}E(t) \leq \frac{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}}{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}E(0).$$
(3.4)

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx &\leq \max\left\{ \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_1}, \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_2} \right\} \\ &= \alpha \max\left\{ \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_1}, \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_2} \right\} + (1-\alpha) \max\left\{ \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_1}, \|u\|_{q(.)}^{q_2} \right\} \end{split}$$

By the embedding of $H_0^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx &\leq \alpha \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{1}}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{2}}\right\} \\ &+ (1-\alpha) \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{1}}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{2}}\right\} \\ &\leq \alpha \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{1-2}}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{2-2}}\right\} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \\ &+ (1-\alpha) \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{1-2}(\gamma+1)}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{2-2}(\gamma+1)}\right\} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ &\leq \alpha \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{1-2}}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{q_{2-2}}\right\} \left(\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ (1-\alpha) \max\left\{c_{*}^{q_{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{1-2}(\gamma+1)}, c_{*}^{q_{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{q_{2-2}(\gamma+1)}\right\} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}. \end{split}$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \le \beta_1 \left(\|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) + \beta_2 \|\nabla u\|_2^{2(\gamma+1)}.$$
(3.5)

Since $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx < \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^{2(\gamma+1)} .$$
(3.6)

This implies that

$$I(t) > 0, \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

Repeating the above procedure, we can extend T_* to T.

Theorem 3.3. (*Existence of weak solution*). Suppose that (1.2) holds. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ be given. Then the problem (1.1) admits a weak local solution

$$u \in L^{\infty}\left(\left(0,T\right), H_{0}^{2}\left(\Omega\right)\right), \ u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\left(0,T\right), L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)\right).$$

Proof. We shall use the Faedo-Galerkin method of approximation. Let $\{v_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis of $H_0^2(\Omega)$ which forms a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega)$. Denote by

$$V_k = span\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\},\$$

the subspace generated by the first k vectors of the basis $\{v_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$. After normalization, we get $||v_l|| = 1$ and for any given integer k, we consider the approximate solution

$$u_k(t) = \sum_{l=1}^k u_{lk}(t) v_l,$$

where u_k are the solutions to the problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'_{k}(t), v_{l} \end{pmatrix} + \left(\Delta^{2} u_{k}(t), v_{l} \right)$$

$$- \left(M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{k}(t)|^{2} dx \right) \Delta u_{k}(t), v_{l} \right) + \left(|u_{k}(t)|^{p(x)-2} u'_{k}(t), v_{l} \right)$$

$$= \left(|u_{k}(t)|^{q(x)-2} u_{k}(t), v_{l} \right), \quad l = 1, 2, ..., k,$$

$$(3.7)$$

$$u_k(0) = u_{0k} = \sum_{l=1}^k (u_k(0), v_l) v_l \to u_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$
(3.8)

Note that we can solve the system (3.7) and (3.8) by Picard's iterative method for ordinary differential equations. Therefore, there exists a solution in $[0, T_*)$ for some $T_* > 0$ and we can extend this solution to the whole interval [0, T] for any given T > 0 by making use of the a priori estimates below. We multiply the equation (3.7) by $u'_{lk}(t)$ and summing over l from 1 to k, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ -\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u_{k}(t)|^{q(x)} dx \end{pmatrix} = -\|u_{t,k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} - \int_{\Omega} |u_{k}(t)|^{p(x)-2} |u_{t,k}(t)|^{2} dx.$$
(3.9)

Then

$$E'(u_{k}(t)) = - \left\| u_{t,k}(t) \right\|_{2}^{2} - \int_{\Omega} \left| u_{k}(t) \right|^{p(x)-2} \left| u_{t,k}(t) \right|^{2} dx \le 0$$

Integrating (3.9) over (0, T), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|^{2(\gamma+1)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u_{k}(t)|^{q(x)} dx
+ \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t,k}(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u_{k}(s)|^{p(x)-2} |u_{t,k}(s)|^{2} dx ds
\leq E(0).$$
(3.10)

Then, from (3.6), the inequality (3.10) becomes

$$\frac{q_{1}-2}{2q_{1}} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|\Delta u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{q_{1}-2}{2q_{1}} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2} \\
+ \frac{q_{1}-2(\gamma+1)}{2(\gamma+1)q_{1}} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|\nabla u_{k}(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} + \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{t,k}(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u_{k}(s)|^{p(x)-2} |u_{t,k}(s)|^{2} dx ds \\
\leq E(0).$$
(3.11)

From (3.11), we conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \{u_k\} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], H_0^2(\Omega)\right), \\ \{u'_k\} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right). \end{cases}$$
(3.12)

Furthermore, we have from Lemma 2.3 and (3.12) that

$$\begin{cases}
\left\{ |u_k|^{q(x)-2} u_k \right\} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty} \left([0,T], L^2(\Omega) \right), \\
\left\{ |u_k|^{p(x)-2} u'_k \right\} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty} \left([0,T], L^2(\Omega) \right).
\end{cases}$$
(3.13)

By (3.12) and (3.13) we infer that there exist a subsequence of u_k and a function u such that

$$u_{k} \rightarrow u \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right),$$

$$u_{k}' \rightarrow u' \text{ weakly star in } L^{2}\left([0,T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right),$$

$$|u_{k}|^{q(x)-2}u_{k} \rightarrow |u|^{q(x)-2}u \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right),$$

$$|u_{k}|^{p(x)-2}u_{k}' \rightarrow |u|^{p(x)-2}u' \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right).$$
(3.14)

By the Aubin-Lions compactness lemma (see [21]), we conclude from (3.14) that

$$u_k
ightarrow u$$
 strongly in $C\left([0,T], H_0^2(\Omega)\right)$,

yields

$$u_k \rightarrow u$$
 everywhere in $\Omega \times [0, T]$. (3.15)

It follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$\begin{cases} |u_k|^{q(x)-2}u_k \rightharpoonup |u|^{q(x)-2}u \text{ weakly in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right), \\ |u_k|^{p(x)-2}u'_k \rightharpoonup |u|^{p(x)-2}u' \text{ weakly in } L^{\infty}\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right). \end{cases}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ and passing to the limit in (3.7) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'(t), v_l \end{pmatrix} + \left(\Delta^2 u(t), v_l \right) - \left(M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 \, dx \right) \Delta u(t), v_l \right)$$

+ $\left(|u(t)|^{p(x)-2} u'_k(t), v_l \right),$
= $\left(|u(t)|^{q(x)-2} u(t), v_l \right), \ l = 1, 2, ..., k.$

Since $\{v_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is a basis of $H_0^2(\Omega)$, we deduce that *u* satisfies equation (1.1). From (3.14) and Lemma 3.1.7 of [22] with $B = L^2(\Omega)$ we infer that

$$u_k(0) \rightarrow u(0)$$
 weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. (3.16)

We get from (3.8) and (3.16) that $u(0) = u_0$. The proof of the Theorem is now finished.

Theorem 3.4. Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold. Then the local solution of (1.1) is global.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} E\left(u\left(t\right)\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\|\Delta u\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\left(\gamma+1\right)} \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{2}^{2\left(\gamma+1\right)} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q\left(x\right)} \left|u\right|^{q\left(x\right)} dx, \\ &\geq \frac{q_{1}-2}{2q_{1}} \left\|\Delta u\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{q_{1}-2}{2q_{1}} \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{q_{1}-2\left(\gamma+1\right)}{2\left(\gamma+1\right)q_{1}} \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{2}^{2\left(\gamma+1\right)}, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \le CE(t).$$
(3.17)

By Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \le CE(0).$$

4. Decay

In this part, we state and prove the decay of solutions. Firstly, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \le c E(t),$$

where c > 0.

Proof.

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx = \max \left\{ \|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_1}, \|u\|_{p(.)}^{p_2} \right\},$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ c_*^{p_1} \|\Delta u\|_2^{p_1}, c_*^{p_2} \|\Delta u\|_2^{p_2} \right\},$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ c_*^{p_1} \|\Delta u\|_2^{p_1-2}, c_*^{p_2} \|\Delta u\|_2^{p_2-2} \right\} \|\Delta u\|_2^2.$$

Using (3.3), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \le cE(t).$$

Theorem 4.2. Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold. Then

$$E(t) \le E(0) \left(\frac{c+rt}{c+rc}\right)^{-1/r}, \ \forall t \ge c,$$

where c > 0.

Proof. Multiplying the equation (1.1) by $u(t)E^{q}(t)$ (q > 0) and then integrating over $\Omega \times (S,T)$, we get

$$\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{q}(t) \left[u\Delta^{2}u + uu_{t} - u \left(M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} dx \right) \Delta u + uu_{t} |u|^{p(x)-2} \right) \right] dxdt$$
$$= \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dxdt.$$

Then

$$\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{q}(t) \left(|\Delta u|^{2} + uu_{t} + |\nabla u|^{2} + ||\nabla u||_{2}^{2\gamma} |\nabla u|^{2} + uu_{t} |u|^{p(x)-2} \right) dxdt$$

=
$$\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dxdt.$$

We adding and substracting the term

$$\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\beta_{1} \left(|\Delta u|^{2} + |\nabla u|^{2} \right) + \beta_{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2\gamma} |\nabla u|^{2} \right) dx dt,$$

and use (3.5), we obtain

$$(1-\beta_{1})\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}\right)dxdt$$

$$+(1-\beta_{1})\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dxdt$$

$$+(1-\beta_{2})\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}\left(||\nabla u||_{2}^{2\gamma}||\nabla u|^{2}\right)dxdt$$

$$+\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}(uu_{t})dxdt$$

$$+\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}\left(uu_{t}|u|^{p(x)-2}\right)dxdt$$

$$-\int_{S}^{T}E^{q}(t)\int_{\Omega}\left(\beta_{1}|\Delta u|^{2}+\beta_{1}||\nabla u|^{2}+\beta_{2}||\nabla u||_{2}^{2\gamma}||\nabla u|^{2}-|u|^{q(x)}\right)dxdt$$

$$0.$$
(4.1)

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} |\Delta u|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} ||\nabla u||_{2}^{2\gamma} |\nabla u|^{2} - \frac{|u(t)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} \end{array} \right) dx dt \\ \leq & (1 - \beta_{1}) \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{2} dx dt \\ & + (1 - \beta_{1}) \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} dx dt \\ & + (1 - \beta_{2}) \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} ||\nabla u||_{2}^{2\gamma} |\nabla u|^{2} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.2)$$

where

$$\xi = \min\{(1 - \beta_1), (1 - \beta_2)\}.$$

By (4.1), (4.2) and the definition of E(t), we otain

=

 \leq

$$\xi \int_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt \leq -\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} dx dt \qquad (4.3)$$
$$-\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} |u|^{p(x)-2} dx dt.$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.3). For the first term, we use the Young's inequality

T

$$AB \leq rac{arepsilon}{\eta_1} A^{\eta_1} + rac{1}{\eta_2 arepsilon^{\eta_2} \eta_1} B^{\eta_2}, \ A, B \geq 0, \ arepsilon > 0 \ ext{and} \ rac{1}{\eta_1} + rac{1}{\eta_2} = 1,$$

and get

$$-\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} dx dt \leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon c \left| u \right|^{2} + c_{\varepsilon} \left| u_{t} \right|^{2} \right) dx dt.$$
(4.4)

We use again the Young's inequality to get

$$-\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} uu_{t} |u|^{p(x)-2} dx dt$$

$$= -\int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{(p(x)-2)/2} u_{t} |u|^{(p(x)-2)/2} u dx dt$$

$$\leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon c |u|^{p(x)} + c_{\varepsilon} |u_{t}|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}^{2} \right) dx dt.$$
(4.5)

By (4.4) and (4.5) the inequality (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{split} \xi \int_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt &\leq \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon c \left| u \right|^{2} + c_{\varepsilon} \left| u_{t} \right|^{2} \right) dx dt \\ &+ \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon c \left| u \right|^{p(x)} + c_{\varepsilon} \left| u_{t} \right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}^{2} \right) dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| u \right|^{2} + \left| u \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx dt \\ &+ c_{\varepsilon} \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{2} + \left| u \right|^{p(x)-2} u_{t}^{2} \right) dx dt. \end{split}$$

We use (3.17), Lemma 4.1 and definition of E'(t) to obtain

$$\xi \int_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt \leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} \int_{S}^{T} E^{q}(t) \left(-E'(t)\right) dt.$$

This implies

$$\begin{split} \xi \int\limits_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt &\leq \varepsilon c \int\limits_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} \left(E^{q+1}(s) - E^{q+1}(T) \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int\limits_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} E^{q}(0) E(s) \,. \end{split}$$

Choosing ε so small such that $\xi > \varepsilon c$, we arrive at

$$\int_{S}^{T} E^{q+1}(t) dt \le c E^{q}(0) E(s).$$

By taking $T \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_{S}^{\infty} E^{q+1}(t) dt \le c E^{q}(0) E(s).$$

Thus, Komornik's Lemma implies the desired result.

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

References

- [1] Z. Jiang, S. Zheng, X. Song, Blow up analysis for a nonlinear diffusion equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Lett., 17(2004),
- G. Kirchhoff, Vorlesungen über Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883. [2]
- [4] O. Khen, N. Levine, M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66(2006), 1383-1406.
 [4] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, M. Ruzicka, Lebesque and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, Springer, 2011.
- [5] M. Ruzicka, Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 2000.

- [6] X. Wu, B. Guo, W. Gao, Blow-up of solutions for a semilinear parabolic equation involving variable source and positive initial energy, Appl. Math. Lett., 26(2013), 539-543
- [7] K. Baghaei, M. B. Ghaemi, M. Hesaaraki, Lower bounds for the blow-up time in a semilinear parabolic problem involving a variable source, Appl. Math. Lett., 27(2014), 49-52
- A. Khelghati, K. Baghaei, Blow up in a semilinear parabolic problem with variable source under positive initial energy, Appl. Anal., 94(9)(2015), [8] 1888-1896
- [9] A. Rahmoune, B. Benabderrahmane, Bounds for blow-up time in a semilinear parabolic problem with variable exponents, Stud. Univ. Babe s-Bolyai Math., 67(2022), 181-188.
- [10] H. Wang, Y. He, On blow-up of solutions for a semilinear parabolic equation involving variable source and positive initial energy, Appl. Math. Lett., 26(2013), 1008-1012.
- [11] C. Qu, W. Zhou, B. Liang, Asymptotic behavior for a fourth-order parabolic equation modeling thin film growth, Appl. Math. Lett., 78(2018), 141-146. [12] A. Khaldi, A. Ouaoua, M. Maouni, Global existence and stability of solution for a nonlinear Kirchhoff type reaction-diffusion equation with variable
- exponents, Math. Bohem., 147(2022), 471-484. [13] S. Antontsev, J. Ferreira, E. Pişkin, Existence and blow up of solutions for a strongly damped Petrovsky equation with variable-exponent nonlinearities,
- Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 2021(2021), 1-18. [14] S. A. Messaoudi, A. A. Talahmeh, Blow up of negative initial-energy solutions of a system of nonlinear wave equations with variable-exponent
- nonlinearities, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 15(5)(2022), 1233-1245.
- [15] E. Pişkin, N. Yılmaz, Blow up of solutions for a system of strongly damped Petrovsky equations with variable exponents, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 71(2022) 87-99 [16] A. Rahmoune, Bounds for blow-up time in a nonlinear generalized heat equation, Appl. Anal., 101(6) (2022) 1871-1879.
- [17] M. Shahrouzi, J. Ferreira, E. Pişkin, N. Boumaza, Blow-up analysis for a class of plate viscoelastic p(x)-Kirchhoff type inverse source problem with variable-exponent nonlinearities, Siberian Electron. Math. Report., **19**(2) (2022), 912-934.
- [18] S. Antontsev, S. Shmarev, Evolution PDEs with nonstandard growth conditions: Existence, uniqueness, localization, blow-up, Atlantis Studies in Differential Equations, 2015.
- [19] E. Pişkin, B. Okutmuştur, An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces, Bentham Science, 2021.
- [20] V. Komornik, Exact Controllability and Stabilization: The Multiplier Method, Wiley, 1994.
- [21] J. L. Lions, Quelques Methodes de Resolution des Problemes Aux Limites non Lineaires, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
 [22] S. Zheng, Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Chapman Hall/CRC, 2004.