



Received: 14.03.2017

Accepted: 18.08.2017

Editors-in-Chief: **Ebubekir ALTUNTAS**

Area Editor: **Yasar GULMEZ**

An Investigation on the Benthic Diatoms of Murat River (Muş) and Comparison with Ehrenberg's Study

Zekeriya ALTUNER

Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Science, Gaziosmanpasa University, 60240 Tokat, Turkey.

email: zekeriya.altuner@gop.edu.tr

ABSTRACT: In this study, benthic diatoms of Murat River between June 2015 and September 2015 were examined. *Cymbella*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia* and *Cocconeis* species were intensively recorded in benthic flora. The results of this study were compared to the result of benthic algal research in Murat River by a German scientist, Ehrenberg, 170 years ago.

Keywords- river, benthic algae, diatom

1. Introduction

In this study, it was aimed to determine the benthic diatoms of Murat River. In addition, findings from this research have been compared with results of the research on Murat River's benthic diatomites made by German scientist Ehrenberg, 170 years ago. The benthic algae of the Murat River have been examined in accordance with the procedures, taking samples from specific regions; epipellic, epilithic and epiphytic habitats.

2. Definition of Research Area

The Murat River is one of the two important branches of the Euphrates River and is poured into the Keban Dam Reservoir near the Palu Town, (Google Earth, 2017), (Figure 1). The waters of the Murat River, which is fed with snow and rain water, float in April and go down to the lowest level in September. Average flow of the river is 126 m³/s. The length is 600 km long and debt is 200-300 m³. The flow rate reaches 2500 m³ at the time of the storm. When the water decreases, it falls to 50-70 m³ (Muş, 2015).

2.1. Example Stations

Three stations were selected to investigate the benthic diatom flora of the study area. Plant, stone and mud samples were collected from June 2015 to September 2015 at the following stations:

1. Station: It is located near the village of Toklu, approximately 5 km ahead of I. Alparslan Dam.
2. Station: It was taken near Rüstemgedik Village and Kotanlı Village.
3. Station: It is located near the Muratgören Village.



Figure 1. Murat River Pour into the Keban Dam in the vicinity of Palu District (This map was modified from Google Earth, 2017).

3. Material and Methods

Sediment, stone and plant specimens were taken from three stations in order to examine the benthic algae of Murat River. In these samples benthic algae (Round, 1953; Hasle, 1978) method was investigated. Many sources have been utilized in the identification of diatoms (Husted, 1930, Creve Euler, 1951; Patrick and Reimer, 1966; Vanlandingham, 1967-1978).

4. Results and Discussion

Chemical and physical properties of Murat River were taken from Ankara General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (Ankara DSI, 2015). The highest temperature in the working period was in July (22.4 C°). The high pH values were observed in May and July (pH: 8.0). The water was observed to be slightly mildly alkaline in the working section of the Murat River (Table 1. and Table 2).

Table 1. Chemical properties of the Murat River

Parameter	Symbol	Unit	May	July	September	October
Calcium	Ca	mg/L	9,0	26,4	24,8	21,8
Chlorine	Cl	mg/L	26,3	20,9	11,0	24,5
Potassium	K	mg/L	6,24	5,46	4,68	3,51
Magnesium	Mg	mg/L	8,6	10,9	8,8	7,7
Sodium	Na	mg/L	28,98	26,68	24,84	23,23
Ammonium Nitrogen	NH ₄ -N	mg/L	0,740	0,235	0,575	0,190
Nitrite Nitrogen	NO ₂ -N	mg/L	0,011	0,021	0,020	0,100
Nitrate Nitrogen	NH ₃ -N	mg/L	0,060	2,10	2,90	2,80
Orthophosphate	oPO ₄	mg/L	0,13	0,22	0,26	0,29
Fenolfitalin Alkalinity	P-Al	mg/L CaCO ₃	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Parmanganate Value	pV	mg/L	1,30	0,80	5,80	4,40
pH		mg/L	8,0	8,0	7,7	7,6
Total amount of dissolved substance	TDS	mg/L	189	342	201	302
Sulfate	SO ₄	mg/L	23,0	19,5	31,5	49,5
Methyl Orange Alkalinity		mg/L CaCO ₃	120,0	127,0	108,5	

Table 2. Physical properties of the Murat River

Parameter	Symbol	Unit	May	July	September	October
Conductivity	EC	ppm	296	441	314	286
Temperature	T	C°	20,5	22,4	15,0	10,0
Total hardness	TH	ppm	108,0	111,0	98,0	86,0
Turbidity	Turb	TTU	20,0	20,0	15,0	20,0

In this study, benthic diatoms were examined with examples taken between June 2015 and September 2015. At selected stations, diatoms in epilithic, epiphytic and epipelagic habitats in the Murat River were analyzed. A total of 39 benthic diatom taxa were identified in the analysis of samples taken from Murat River (Table 3.). These algae have been identified from three different habitats, 28 taxa epipelagic, 28 taxa epilithic and 33 epiphytic habitats. 18 of these were very common which have been recorded from all three habitats.

In benthic habitats, species belonging to *Cymbella*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia* and *Cocconeis* genera were intensively recorded. The densities of *Cymbella* and *Cocconeis* genus are generally noticed in the benthic diatomis floristic studies near work zone in Turkey (Altuner, 1988; Altuner and Gürbüz, 1989; Altuner and Gürbüz, 1991, Ersin et al., 2006).

Ehrenberg, a German scientist, studied Murat River algae flora by taking samples through a scientist named Prof. Koc in Turkey 170 years ago. Ehrenberg compared algae found in two rivers by studying the algae of Murat and Aras Rivers in Turkey on November 16, 1843 (Ehrenberg, 1885). Ehrenberg stations from the Murat river were; Çolbuhur Water, Kaşbel Water, Badişan Stream, Göksu, Hınıs water, Muş Stream and Karasu.

In this study, samples were taken from near the stations of Ehrenberg (1845) and they are compared with that of his taxa, which have been collected 170 years ago. However, some taxa that were recorded by Ehrenberg were not found in our study. Conversely, some of our taxa have not been recorded in his study, but overall 8 taxa were common in both studies; *Amphora*, *Cocconeis*, *Gomphonema*, *Navicula*, *Surirella*, *Synedra*, *Eunotia*, *Fragilaria* (Table 4- 5).

Table 3. The distributions of diatoms taxa according to habitats are shown in the table below.

Divisio : Bacillariophyta Classis : Centrobacillariophyceae Ordo : Centrales	Epp.*	Epl.	Epf.
<i>Stephanodiscus astraea</i> (Kützing) Grunow	+		+
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Melosira varians</i> C.A.Ag		+	+
<i>Melosira</i> sp.			+
<i>Stephanodiscus aegypticus</i> Ehr.	+	+	
Classis: Pennatibacillariophyceae Ordo: Pennales			
<i>Amphora ovalis</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Achnantheidium minutissimum</i> (Kützing) Czarnecki	+	+	+
<i>Cocconeis pediculus</i> Ehr.	+	+	+
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehr.			+
<i>Cymbella affinis</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Cymbopleura angustata</i> (W.Smith) Krammer		+	+
<i>Cymbella cistula</i> Grun.	+		
<i>Encyonema triangulum</i> (Ehrenberg) Kützing	+	+	+
<i>Eunotia amphioxys</i> Ehr.	+	+	
<i>Fragilaria rhabdosoma</i> Ehr.	+		+
<i>Fragilaria</i> sp.	+	+	+
<i>Gomphonema augur</i> Ehr.			+
<i>Gomphonema parvulum</i> Grun.	+	+	+
<i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Gomphonema truncatum</i> Ehr.		+	+
<i>Navicula cincta</i> Ehr.	+		
<i>Navicula cluthensis</i> Greg.	+	+	
<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Navicula dicephala</i> W.Smith	+	+	+
<i>Navicula dissipata</i> Kütz.		+	+
<i>Fallacia pygmaea</i> (Kützing) D.G.Mann	+	+	+
<i>Navicula rhyncocephala</i> Kütz.	+	+	+
<i>Navicula venata</i> Kütz.			+
<i>Neidium dubium</i> Ehr.	+		+
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i> Grun.	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia angustata</i> (W.Smith) Grun.		+	+
<i>Nitzschia cursoria</i> Donkin			+
<i>Nitzschia palea</i> (Kütz). W. Smith	+	+	+
<i>Nitschia vivax</i> W. Smith	+		+
<i>Rhoicosphenia abbreviata</i> (C.Agardh) Lange-Bertalot	+	+	+
<i>Surirella linearis</i> var. <i>eliptica</i>		+	+
<i>Surirella ovalis</i> Breb.	+	+	+
<i>Synedra acicularis</i> Lemm.	+	+	
<i>Ulnaria ulna</i> (Nitzsch) Compère	+	+	+

*Epp: Epipelagic, Epl: Epilithic, Epf: Epiphytic

Table 4. The types of diatoms that found by Ehrenberg

▪ <i>Amphora crystallina</i>	▪ <i>Eunotia textricula</i>	▪ <i>Navicula biceps</i>
▪ <i>Amphora gracilis</i>	▪ <i>Fragilaria sp.</i>	▪ <i>Navicula dilatata</i>
▪ <i>Amphora hyalina</i>	▪ <i>Fragilaria hyemalis</i>	▪ <i>Navicula fulva</i>
▪ <i>Amphora libyca</i>	▪ <i>Fragilaria mesodon</i>	▪ <i>Navicula leptcephala</i>
▪ <i>Amphora ovalis</i>	▪ <i>Fragilaria nodulosa</i>	▪ <i>Navicula mesotyla</i>
▪ <i>Biblarium gibbum</i>	▪ <i>Fragilaria rhabdosoma</i>	▪ <i>Navicula silicula</i>
▪ <i>Cocconeis crux</i>	▪ <i>Gallionella laevis</i>	▪ <i>Navicula undosa</i>
▪ <i>Cocconeis finnica</i>	▪ <i>Gomphonema gracile</i>	▪ <i>Pinnularia amphioxys</i>
▪ <i>Cocconeis pediculus</i>	▪ <i>Meridion pupula</i>	▪ <i>Stauroptera cardinalis</i>
▪ <i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	▪ <i>Meridion vernale</i>	▪ <i>Surirella brevis</i>
▪ <i>Cocconema lanceolatum</i>	▪ <i>Mononeis dicephala</i>	▪ <i>Surirella lepida</i>
▪ <i>Discoplea sp.</i>	▪ <i>Mononeis viridis</i>	▪ <i>Surirella librile</i>
▪ <i>Eunotia amphioxys</i>	▪ <i>Navicula aequalis</i>	▪ <i>Synedra ulna</i>

Table 5. Taxa detected from the Murat river comparison

Names of taxa recorded from Murat River benthic diatom research	Ehrenberg's record in his work	In our own work we record
<i>Achnanthes minustissima</i> Kütz.		+
<i>Amphora crystallina</i>	+	
<i>Amphora gracilis</i>	+	
<i>Amphora hyalina</i>	+	
<i>Amphora libyca</i>	+	
<i>Amphora ovalis</i> Kütz.	+	+
<i>Biblarium gibbum</i>	+	
<i>Cocconeis crux</i>	+	
<i>Cocconeis finnica</i>	+	
<i>Cocconeis pediculus</i> Ehr.	+	+
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehr.	+	+
<i>Cocconema lanceolatum</i>	+	
<i>Cyclotella astrea</i> Kütz.		+
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> Kütz.		+
<i>Cymbella affinis</i> Kütz.		+
<i>Cymbella angustata</i>		+
<i>Cymbella cistula</i> Grun.		+
<i>Cymbella triangulum</i>		+
<i>Discoplea sp.</i>	+	
<i>Eunotia amphioxys</i>	+	+
<i>Eunotia textricula</i>	+	
<i>Fragilaria hyemalis</i>	+	
<i>Fragilaria mesodon</i>	+	
<i>Fragilaria nodulosa</i>	+	
<i>Fragilaria rhabdosoma</i>	+	+
<i>Fragilaria sp.</i>	+	+
<i>Gallionella laevis</i>	+	
<i>Gomphonema augur</i>		+
<i>Gomphonema gracile</i>		
<i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i>		+

<i>Gomphonema parvulum</i>		+
<i>Gomphonema truncatum</i>		+
<i>Melosira orichalcea</i>		+
<i>Melosira varians</i> C.A.Ag		+
<i>Meridion pupula</i>	+	
<i>Meridion vernale</i>	+	
<i>Mononeis dicephala</i>	+	
<i>Mononeis viridis</i>	+	
<i>Navicula dicephala</i>	+	
<i>Navicula aequalis</i>	+	
<i>Navicula biceps</i>	+	
<i>Navicula cincta</i>		+
<i>Navicula cluthensis</i>		+
<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i> Kütz.		+
<i>Navicula dicephala</i> W.Smith		+
<i>Navicula dilatata</i>	+	
<i>Navicula dissipata</i>		+
<i>Navicula fulva</i>	+	
<i>Navicula leptcephala</i>	+	
<i>Navicula mesotyta</i>	+	
<i>Navicula pygmea</i>		+
<i>Navicula rhyncocephala</i>		+
<i>Navicula silicula</i>	+	
<i>Navicula undosa</i>	+	
<i>Navicula venata</i>		+
<i>Neidium dubium</i> Ehr.		+
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>		+
<i>Nitzschia angustata</i>		+
<i>Nitzschia cursoria</i>		+
<i>Nitzschia palea</i> (Kütz). W. Smith		+
<i>Nitzschia vivax</i>		+
<i>Pinnularia amphioxys</i>	+	
<i>Rhicosphenia cruvata</i>		+
<i>Stauroptera cardinalis</i>	+	
<i>Stephanodiscus aegypticus</i> Ehr.		+
<i>Surirella brevis</i>	+	
<i>Surirella lepida</i>	+	
<i>Surirella librile</i>	+	
<i>Surirella linearis</i> var. <i>eliptica</i>		+
<i>Surirella ovalis</i>		+
<i>Synedra acucularis</i>		+
<i>Synedra ulna</i>	+	+

5. Conclusion

A total of 39 benthic diatoms taxa were identified in the analysis of samples taken from Murat River. We think that this study, which was made 170 years after Ehrenberg's work contributes significantly to the determination of the benthic diatom flora of the Murat River. In addition, it was possible to check the names of some taxa recorded 170 years ago. Looking at the physical and chemical analyses (Table 1 and Table 2), it was observed that the Murat River was contaminated more frequently during the summer months due to the

temperature and discharged domestic wastes and cleaning materials were left in the water. If necessary precautions are not taken and conscious action, the flora and fauna elements will be seriously diminished as the pollutant elements are emptied into the Murat River.

References

- Altuner, Z. 1988. A study of the diatom flora of Aras river, Turkey, *Nova Hedwigia* 46, 225-263.
- Altuner, Z., Gürbüz,H., 1989. Karasu (Fırat) nehri fitoplankton topluluğu üzerinde bir araştırma, *İ. Ü. su ürünleri dergisi*, 3,1-2,151-176.
- Altuner, Z., Gürbüz,H., 1991. Karasu (Fırat) nehri epipelik alg florası üzerinde bir araştırma, *doğa, tr.j. Botany*, 15, 253-267.
- Ankara DSİ Genel Müdürlüğü, 2015. Murat nehri su analizi istasyonu Murat köprüsü.
- Cleve-Euler, A., 1951. Die Diatome van Sweden und Finnland Almquist and Wikells Boktryckery ab. Stockholm.
- Ehrenberg, CH.G., 1845. Untersuchungen über die kleinsten lebensformen im quellenlande des Euphrat und araxes. –Ber. 1844-Bekannt. Verh. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Berlin 253-257.
- Ersin, K., Gürbüz, H., Altuner,Z.& Sülün,A., 2006. Species diversity of benthic algae in major lentic water bodies of the northeastern region of turkey (erzurum vicinity). *international journal of alga*, 8 (2): 141-162.
- Google Earth, 2017. <https://www.google.com/earth/>.
- Hasle,G.R., 1978. Some specific preparations, phytoplankton manuel 3,136,printed by Page Brothers Ltd.Norwic.
- Husted, F., 1930. Bacillariophyta Diatome hefti 10 In A Pascher Die Susswasser Flora Mütteleuropas. Gustav Fischer pub. Jena Germany 466.
- Muş Valiliği Çevre ve Orman Müdürlüğü, 2015. 2014 yılı Muş il çevre durum raporu.
- Patricks R., & C.W.Reimer, 1966. the Diatomi of the United States, exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii,volum 1-2 Acad. Nat. Sci, Phyladelphia, Monographs.
- Round, F.E., 1960. The Epipellic algal flora of some Finnish Lakes.*Arch. Hydrobiol*, 57, 161.
- Vanlandingham, S.L., 1967-1978. Catalogue of the fosil and recent genera and species of diatoms and their synonyms. –part I-VII. Cramer, Lehre.