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#### Abstract

In this research study, we aim to approximate a solution for the mathematical model of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection of CD4+T-cells. An operational matrix method based on Chebyshev orthogonal polynomials has been adapted to obtain numerical solutions for the model of HIV infection of CD4+T-cells. The proposed numerical scheme is built on a system of a nonlinear algebraic equation, including coefficients of a finite Chebyshev series that represent the approximate solutions of the model. Results are compared to existing methods to verify the accuracy of the numerical scheme.
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## CD4+T Hücrelerindeki HIV Enfeksiyonunun Yayılım Modelinin Chebyshev Operasyonel Matris Metodu ile bir Nümerik Uygulaması

[^0]
## Öz

Bu çalışma, $\mathrm{CD} 4+\mathrm{T}$ hücrelerinde HIV virüsünün matematiksel yayılım modeli için yaklaşık çözümler elde etmeyi amaçlamaktadır. Nümerik çözümler Chebyshev polinomları ile operasyonel matris metodunun $\mathrm{CD} 4+\mathrm{T}$ hücrelerinde HIV virüsünün matematiksel yayılım modeline uygulanması ile elde edilecektir. Önerilen method modele ait nümerik çözümlerin bir Chebyshev serisi formunda yazılarak, Chebyhev serisi içindeki bilinmeyen katsayıları içeren lineer olmayan bir denklem sistemi inşa atmeyi amaçlar. Yöntemin doğruluğunu kontrol etmek için nümerik sonuçlar var olan nümerik yöntemlerle karşılaştırılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: HIV Enfenksiyon Modeli; CD4+T hücreleri; Operasyonel matris metot; Chebyshev polinomlar1; Lineer olmayan diferansiyel denklem sistemleri.

## 1. Introduction

Applied mathematics is modeled to interpret natural events. These models and their numerical solutions obtain valuable information about those events. For example, a crucial event for public health is the dynamics of HIV infection of CD4+T-cells. Firstly, Perelson developed a system of nonlinear differential equations to describe HIV infection of CD4+T-cells in 1989 [15]. Nowadays, humanity spends millions of dollars on the treatment of this disease.

The mathematical model of infection of HIV of CD4+T cells is given by [6-9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d T}{d t}=q-\alpha T+r T\left(1-\frac{T+I}{T_{\max }}\right)-k V T \\
& \frac{d I}{d t}=k V T-\beta I  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{d V}{d t}=\mu \beta I-\gamma V
\end{align*}
$$

with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(0)=r_{1}, \quad I(0)=r_{2}, V(0)=r_{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T(t)$ is denoted as the concentration of healthy CD4+ T cells, $I(t)$ infected CD4+ T cells, $V(t)$ and free H.I.V. at a time $t$ in blood. In addition, $q$ is the source term for uninfected CD4+

T cells, $\alpha$ is the natural death rate of the CD4+ T cell concentration, $r$ is the growth rate of CD4+ T cells, $k$ is the rate at which CD4+ T cells become infected with a virus, $\beta$ is the total death rate of infected CD4+ T cells, $\mu$ is the number of virions produced by infected CD4+ T cells. $\gamma$ is the death rate of the free virus. $k>0$ indicates the infection rate, and kVT indicates the infection rate of healthy CD4+ T cells. The termination $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$, in the denominator of the logistic term in the equation for healthy T 4 cell density, indicates the total T 4 cell density that can be found stably in the blood; in other words, the carrying capacity of the blood for T 4 cells. It will be assumed that each infected CD4+T cell produces. This model's global stability and a periodic solution are achieved in [10].

In this paper, we will take the numerical data as $q=0.1, \alpha=0.02, r=3 \beta=0.3$, $k=0.0027, \gamma=2.4, \mu=10, T_{\max }=1500$ and initial conditions data $r_{1}=0.1, r_{2}=0$, $r_{3}=0.1$. Since the mathematical model of H.I.V. infection HIV CD4 +T cells (1) are nonlinear differential equations with three terms, the exact solution to this problem cannot be obtainable or nonexistent. A resolution to this problem is needed to analyze its epidemiology and stability and to predict advances in AIDS treatment. In this stage, numerical solution methods become crucial to solve Eq. (1) with the conditions. Many numerical methods for approximating H.I.V. in CD4+ T cells have been improved over the last twenty years. Ghoreishi [11] presents the homotopy analysis method for H.I.V. infection of CD4+T-cells. The homotopy analysis method accepts the solution as an infinite series with auxiliary parameters. All calculations are investigated in six terms in this method. Ongun [12] implement the Laplace Adomain Decomposition Method to get numerical results for H.I.V. infection of CD4+T-cells. To obtain approximate solutions to the H.I.V. infection of the CD4+ T cells model, Merdan [13] applied the variational iteration method. Yüzbaşı [14] developed a Bessel collocation method for finding numerical solutions of this model. Beler [15] analyzed to find approximate solutions of the proposed model by using Laguerre wavelets. In addition, we have access to more numerical papers to obtain such a class of nonlinear ordinary differential equation systems [16-29].

In this study, we have obtained the approximate solutions of the mathematical model (1) by developing the Chebyshev operational matrix method (COMM). Chebyshev polynomial is the cornerstone of numerical analysis. Those polynomials adapted almost all numerical methods. For example, in [30-32], Chebyshev polynomials combined the operational matrix method to solve the linear Fredholm-Volterra integro-differential [33], Lane-Emden equations [34-35], for fractional differential equations involving non-singular Mittag-Leffler kernel [36], fractional differential equations [37], mixed Volterra-Fredholm delay integro differential equations [38].

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind

Chebyshev polynomials mainly admit to the approximation of continuous functions. Chebyshev polynomials have crucial properties to perform nearly all numerical methods [26]. We have four kinds of Chebyshev polynomials, which are defined in interval [ $-1,1]$. If we choose the interval $[0,1]$, they called shifted Chebyshev polynomials [30]. While readers can find the definition of Chebyhev polynomials in many books [30-32], we want to take the recurrence relation

$$
T_{n}^{*}(t)=2(2 t-1) T_{n-1}^{*}(t)-T_{n-2}^{*}(t)
$$

with the following initial conditions

$$
T_{0}^{*}(t)=1, T_{1}^{*}(t)=2 t-1
$$

Those polynomials have the following property [30-32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{n}=2^{-2 n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 n}{k} T_{n-k}^{*}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the orthogonality condition is

$$
\left\langle T_{j}^{*}(t), T_{k}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{w}=\int_{0}^{1} T_{j}^{*}(t) T_{k}^{*}(t) w(t) d t= \begin{cases}\pi, & j=k=0 \\ \pi / 2, & j=k \neq 0 \\ 0, & j \neq k\end{cases}
$$

where $w(t)=\left(t-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} .\left\{T_{0}^{*}(t), T_{1}^{*}(t), T_{2}^{*}(t), \cdots, T_{n}^{*}(t)\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $n-$ dimensional polynomial space $P_{n}$, for $j \neq k, \quad\left\langle T_{j}^{*}(t), T_{k}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{w}=0$, for $j=k$, $\left\langle T_{j}^{*}(t), T_{k}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{w}>0$. In addition, if $p_{k} \in P_{k}$, for $k<n$, then $\left\langle p_{k}(t), T_{n}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{w}=0$ for all $n>k$ [30-32].

Any given function $y(t) \in L^{2}[0,1]$ can be approximated as a sum of shifted Chebyshev polynomials in the following way [30-32]:

$$
y(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{n}^{*}(t)
$$

where

$$
a_{n}=\left\langle y(t), T_{n}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{w}=\int_{0}^{1} y(t) T_{n}^{*}(t) w(t) d t, n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Our study aims to achieve the approximate solution of Eq. (1) as a truncated shifted Chebyshev series defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{N}^{j}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} a_{r}^{j} T_{r}^{*}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is used to denote the first kind of Chebyshev polynomials, $a_{r}^{j}$ are referred to as unknown Chebyshev coefficients, and are chosen to be any positive integer.

## 3. Relations and Methods

### 3.1. Matrix relations

In this part, we shall obtain the matrix-vector form of Eq. (1). For this purpose, let us consider the truncated Chebyshev polynomials $T_{N}(t), I_{N}(t)$ and $V_{N}(t)$ are the numerical solutions of the Eq. (1) and so those solutions can be written like this:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{N}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} a_{r} T_{r}^{*}(t)  \tag{5}\\
& I_{N}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} b_{r} T_{r}^{*}(t)  \tag{6}\\
& V_{N}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{N} c_{r} T_{r}^{*}(t) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The matrix-vector shape of the numerical solution polynomials can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{N}(t)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(t) \mathbf{A}  \tag{8}\\
& I_{N}(t)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(t) \mathbf{B}  \tag{9}\\
& V_{N}(t)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(t) \mathbf{C} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{T}^{*}(t)=\left[T_{0}^{*}(t) T_{1}^{*}(t) \ldots T_{N}^{*}(t)\right] \\
\mathbf{A}=\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{0} a_{1} \ldots a_{N}\right]^{T} \mathbf{B}=\left[\frac{1}{2} b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{N}\right]^{T} \mathbf{C}=\left[\frac{1}{2} c_{0} c_{1} \ldots c_{N}\right]^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

and where the dimension of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ matrices are $(N+1) \times 1$, dimension of the $\mathbf{T}^{*}(t)$ matrix is $1 \times(1+N)$.

The property Eq. (3) permits us to write the below essential relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{X}(t))^{T}=\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(t)\right)^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{X}(t)=\mathbf{T}^{*}(t) \mathbf{D}^{T} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{X}(t)=\left[1 \quad t \ldots t^{N}\right],
$$

it is a lower triangle matrix, for $i, j=0,1,2, \ldots, N$

$$
\mathbf{D}=\left\lfloor d_{i j}\right\rfloor
$$

where

$$
d_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
2^{-2(i-1)}\binom{2((i-1)}{i-j}, & j \leq i \\
0 & , j>i
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, D is an invertible square matrix with $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ dimensional and the dimension of $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is $1 \times(N+1)$.

From Eq. (11), we obtain the following matrix relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}(t)=\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}\right)^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(t)\right)^{(1)}=\mathbf{X}^{(1)}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}\right)^{T}
$$

So, the basic matrix-vector forms of the differential of approximate solutions of Eq. (1) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{N}^{(1)}(t)=\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}  \tag{13}\\
& I_{N}^{(1)}(t)=\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}  \tag{14}\\
& V_{N}^{(1)}(t)=\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}^{(1)}(t)=\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $i, j=0,1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\left[y_{i j}\right]= \begin{cases}i+1, & j=i+1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For example, $N=3$, it can be written as

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 3.2. Solution method

The numerical scheme is constructed to find the unknown coefficients in Eqs. (5-7) to obtain the numerical result of Eq. (1). To constitute the numerical scheme, firstly, Eq. (1) and initial conditions are turned into a matrix-vector form with shifted Chebyshev series. Using the matrix relations in Section 3, Eq. (1) can be written in matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+[\alpha-r] \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\frac{r}{T_{\max }}\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)\left[\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}\right]
$$

$$
+k\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)=q
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}-k\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)+\beta \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}-\mu \beta \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}+\gamma \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}=0$

The residuals $R_{i}(t)$ for $i=1,2,3$ form can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}(t) \approx \mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+[\alpha-r] \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\frac{r}{T_{\max }}\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)\left[\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}\right]  \tag{18}\\
& +k\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)-q \\
& R_{2}(t) \approx \mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}-k\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}\right)+\beta \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}  \tag{19}\\
& R_{3}(t) \approx \mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{Y}\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}-\mu \beta \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}+\gamma \mathbf{X}(t)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$3 \times N$-times nonlinear systems of the equation are obtained by applying the operational matrix method in the following form, for $i=1,2,3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{i}(t), T_{n}^{*}(t)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} R_{i}(t) T_{n}^{*}(t) d t=0, n=0,1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial conditions Eq. (2) give us three equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[T(0)]=\mathbf{X}(0)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}=\left[r_{1}\right]} \\
{[I(0)]=\mathbf{X}(0)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}=\left[r_{2}\right]}  \tag{22}\\
{[V(0)]=\mathbf{X}(0)\left(\mathbf{D}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}=\left[r_{3}\right]}
\end{gather*}
$$

As a result, we get the $3 \times(N+1)$ sets of nonlinear equation systems with $3 \times(N+1)$ unknowns by Eqs. (21-22). Then, finally, those systems are puzzled out by the mathematical program Maple 13, and Eqs. (5-7) coefficients are achieved.

## 4. Numerical Results

In this part, we applied this proposed method (PM) to the given numerical data for the proposed method $\mathrm{N}=.7$. Numerical solutions are obtained by the proposed method; other numerical methods are given in Table 1 for the uninfected population $T$, Table 2 for infected $\mathrm{CD}+4 \mathrm{~T}$-cell concentration $I$, and Table 3 for the concentration of free H.I.V. virus $V$. All tables show that PM agrees well with the solutions of other numerical results. Figures 1-2 show the uninfected population $T$, infected CD+4 T-cell concentration $I$, and concentration of free H.I.V. virus $V$ versus time. As time increases, the uninfected population $T$ increases, the infected CD +4 T-cells concentration $I$ increases, and the concentration of free H.I.V. virus
decreases. The amount of infected $\mathrm{CD}+4 \mathrm{~T}$-cells concentration $I$ is slower to increase than the uninfected population $T$, and H.I.V. infection disease may end at any t time. In Figures 3-5, we compare the numerical results by obtained Adomian Decomposition Method, Pade approximation, Inverse Laplace transformation method, and present method. All results nearly resemble each other.

Table 1: Numerical results for $T$ PM and other numerical methods.

| t | The method in <br> $[13]$ | The method in <br> $[11]$ | The method in <br> $[12]$ | The method in <br> $[17]$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=5$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.2 | 0.2038616561 | 0.2088072731 | 0.2088073214 | 0.2088080849 | 0.208458510 | 0.2088072279 |
| 0.4 | 0.3803309335 | 0.4061052652 | 0.4061346587 | 0.4062405440 | 0.406339373 | 0.4062410095 |
| 0.6 | 0.6954623767 | 0.7611467713 | 0.7624530350 | 0.7644239007 | 0.764734581 | 0.7644229384 |
| 0.8 | 1.2759624442 | 1.3773198590 | 1.3978805880 | 1.4140468559 | 1.413686781 | 1.4140470895 |
| 1.0 | 2.382277428 | 2.3291697610 | 2.5067466690 | 2.5915948594 | 2.591645820 | 2.5915948594 |

Table 2: Numerical results for $I$ PM and other numerical methods.

| t | The method in <br> $[13]$ | The method in <br> $[11]$ | The method in <br> $[12]$ | The method in <br> $[17]$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=5$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | $0.624787210 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.60327072 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.603263436 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.603270226 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.6034893 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $0.63517134 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 0.4 | $0.129355222 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.13159114 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.131487854 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.131583409 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.1315659 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.12751088 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 0.6 | $0.203526718 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.21268368 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.210141719 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.212237855 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.2122560 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.21636848 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 0.8 | $0.283730212 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.30069186 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.279513045 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.301778550 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.3017857 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.29847613 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 1.0 | $0.369084236 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.39873654 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.243156231 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.400378145 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.4003936 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $0.37812697 \mathrm{E}-4$ |

Table 3: Numerical results for $V$ PM and other numerical methods.

| t | The method in <br> $[13]$ | The method in <br> $[11]$ | The method in <br> $[12]$ | The method in <br> $[17]$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=5$ | PM <br> $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.2 | 0.06187991856 | 0.06187996025 | 0.06187995314 | 0.06187984322 | 0.061874446 | 0.0618798985 |
| 0.4 | 0.03829493490 | 0.03831324883 | 0.03830820126 | 0.03829488777 | 0.038298806 | 0.0382948388 |
| 0.6 | 0.02370431860 | 0.02439174349 | 0.02392029257 | 0.02370455004 | 0.023706103 | 0.0237045399 |
| 0.8 | 0.01467956982 | 0.00996721893 | 0.01621704553 | 0.01468036368 | 0.014675339 | 0.0148040545 |
| 1.0 | 0.02370431861 | 0.00033050764 | 0.01608418711 | 0.00910084499 | 0.009100830 | 0.0091000845 |



Figure 1: Comparison of the numerical results for $\mathrm{N}=5$.


Figure 2: Comparison of the numerical results for $\mathrm{N}=7$.


Figure 3: Comparison of numerical method solution of $T$


Figure 4: Comparison of numerical method solution of $I$


Figure 5: Comparison of numerical method solution of $V$

## 5. Conclusion

This paper uses the Chebyshev operational matrix method to solve the mathematical model of HIV infection of CD4+ T-cells. The uninfected population $T$ infected CD+4 T-cell concentration $I$, and free H.I.V. virus values concentration are compared with other methods in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3, respectively. Also, with figures, the efficiency and accuracy of the method are demonstrated. The proposed method has a lower operation, so cumulative errors are minor. Moreover, the solution code of the method is easily written in Maple. The results show that the present method is accurate compared to Bessel collocation, the Adomian decomposition, the Pade approximation, and the inverse Laplace transformation with five and seven terms.
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