DOI: 10.19113/sdufbed.69243

# Expansions and Reductions on Neutrosophic Classical Soft Set

İrfan DELİ\*1

<sup>1</sup>Kilis 7 Aralık University, Muallim Rıfat Faculty of Education, 79000 Kilis, Turkey

(Alınış / Received: 15.11.2017, Kabul / Accepted: 14.08.2018, Online Yayınlanma / Published Online: 15.09.2018)

### Keywords

Soft sets, Neutrosophic classical soft sets, Expansion, Neutrosophic classical soft reduction **Abstract:** In the paper, we first proposed a new notation is called expansion and reduction of the neutrosophic classical soft sets that are based on the linguistic modifiers. By using this new notions, we then developed a neutrosophic classical soft reduction method and present a reel example for the method.

# Neutrosophik Klasik Esnek Kümeler Üzerine Genişlemeler ve İndirgemeler

#### Anahtar Kelimeler

Esnek kümeler, Neutrosophik klasik esnek kümeler, Genişleme, Neutrosophik klasik esnek indirgeme Özet: Bu makale, ilk olarak dilsel düzenleyicilere bağlı olarak neutrosophik klasik esnek kümeler üzerine genişleme ve indirgeme olarak adlandırılan yeni bir notasyon ileri sürdük. Bu yeni notasyonları kullanarak, biz daha sonra bir neutrosophik klasik esnek indirgeme metodu geliştirdik ve bu metot için bir reel örnek sunduk.

# 1. Introduction

Uncertainty takes place almost everywhere in our daily life. There are a number of theories have been presented tackle these uncertainty such as fuzzy set theory [46], intuitionistic fuzzy set theory [4], interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets [3], rough set theory [34], vague set theory [22], neutrosophic set theory [2, 5–8, 14–16, 30, 32, 36, 38, 41, 42], interval neutrosophic sets [39, 48] etc. After these theories, Molodtsov [27] presented the notations of soft set theory. Then, different studies on soft sets have been introduced such as [1, 9, 10, 18, 19, 28, 29, 37, 49, 50]. After Molodtsov, the theory of soft sets has been extended in a number of directions in [11–13, 20, 21, 24, 26, 31, 33, 35, 40, 47].

In recent years, by using the concept of linguistic variables by given Zadeh [44, 45], Eraslan [17] alternatively proposed soft reduction method that reduces a number of alternatives. As a generalization of soft set theory, neutrosophic classical soft set theory [25] is defined. In this paper, our objective is to developed the concept of extension and reduction on neutrosophic classical soft and apply to decision making problems. Therefore, we proposed expansion and reduction of the neutrosophic classical soft sets that are based on the linguistic modifiers. By using this new notions, we then developed a neutrosophic classical soft reduction method and present a reel example for the method.

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some definitions and properties of neutrosophic set [36], neutrosophic classical set [23] and neutrosophic classical soft set [25] that are used in the remaining parts of the paper.

**Definition 2.1.** [36] A neutrosophic set *A* is characterized by a three membership function is called truth  $T_A$ , indeterminacy  $I_A$  and falsity  $F_A$  on the universe *U* as;

$$A = \left\{ \langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle : x \in U \right\}$$

where  $T_A, I_A, F_A : U \to ]^{-}0, 1^{+}[$  and  $^{-}0 \le T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \le 3^{+}.$ 

From now on, set of all neutrosophic sets over U is denoted by NS(U).

**Definition 2.2.** [23] Let  $U \neq \emptyset$ . A neutrosophic classical set K is presented by;

$$K = \left\{ \langle x, A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle : x \in U \right\}$$

where  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \subseteq U$ . The set  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  is called the set of member, indeterminacy and non-members of K, respectively.

From now on, set of all neutrosophic classical sets over U is denoted by NCS(U).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: irfandeli@kilis.edu.tr

**Definition 2.3.** [25] Let X be a parameter set and U be an initial universe. Then, a neutrosophic classical soft set (*ncs*-set) f over U is defined by

$$f = \{(x, \langle f_t(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle) : x \in X\}$$

where  $f_t, f_i, f_f : X \to \mathscr{P}(U)$  and  $\emptyset \subseteq f_t(x) \cup f_i(x) \cup f_f(x)$  $\subseteq P(U)$ .

From now on, set of all ncs-sets over U is denoted by  $NCSS_X(U)$ .

*Example* 2.4. In here we give a neutrosophic classical soft set *f* on universe  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{10}\}$  and set of parameters  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  as;

$$f = \{(x_1, \langle \{u_5, u_7, u_9, u_{10}\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_4\}, \\ \{u_3, u_6, u_8\} \rangle), (x_2, \langle \{u_2, u_4, u_9, u_{10}\}, \\ \{u_3, u_5, u_6\}, \{u_1, u_7, u_8\} \rangle), (x_3, \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_7, u_8\}, \\ \{u_1, u_{10}\}, \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_9\} \rangle), \\ (x_4, \langle \{u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} \rangle)\}$$

**Definition 2.5.** [25] Let  $f, g \in NCSS_X(U)$ . Then,

- 1. if, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f_t(x) = \emptyset$ ,  $f_i(x) = U$  and  $f_f(x) = U$ , then *f* is called null neutrosophic classical soft set and denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}$ .
- if, for all x ∈ X, f<sub>t</sub>(x) = U, f<sub>i</sub>(x) = Ø and f<sub>f</sub>(x) = Ø, then f is called absolute neutrosophic classical soft set and denoted by Ũ.
- *f* is soft neutrosophic classical subset of *g*, denoted by *f*⊆*g*, if for all *x* ∈ *X*,

$$f_t(x) \subseteq g_t(x), f_i(x) \supseteq g_i(x)$$
 and  $f_f(x) \supseteq g_f(x)$ 

- 4. *f* and *g* are equal, denoted by f = g, if  $f \subseteq \overline{g}$  and  $g \subseteq \overline{f}$ .
- 5. soft neutrosophic classical union of f and g, denoted by  $f \cup g$ , and is defined as follow

$$f\tilde{\cup}g = \begin{cases} (x, \langle f_t(x) \cup g_t(x), f_i(x) \cap g_i(x), f_f(x) \cap g_f(x) \rangle) : x \in X \end{cases}$$

soft neutrosophic classical intersection of *f* and *g* is, denoted by *f*∩*g*, defined as follow

$$f \cap g = \begin{cases} (x, \langle f_t(x) \cap g_t(x), f_i(x) \cup g_i(x), f_f(x) \cup g_f(x) \rangle) : x \in X \end{cases}$$

7. complement of *f*, denoted by  $f^{\tilde{c}}$ , is defined as follow  $f^{\tilde{c}} = \left\{ (x, \langle f_{\ell}(x), (f_{\ell}(x))^{c}, f_{\ell}(x) \rangle) : x \in X \right\}$ 

8. OR-product of f and g, denoted by  $f \lor g$ , is defined by

$$f \lor g = \left\{ ((x_1, x_2), \langle f_t(x_1) \cup g_t(x_2), f_i(x_1) \\ \cap g_i(x_2), f_f(x_1) \cap g_f(x_2) \rangle ) : x_1, x_2 \in X \right\}$$

9. AND-product of f and g, denoted by  $f \land g$ , is defined by

$$f \wedge g = \left\{ ((x_1, x_2), \langle f_t(x_1) \cap g_t(x_2), f_i(x_1) \\ \cup g_i(x_2), f_f(x_1) \cup g_f(x_2) \rangle ) : x_1, x_2 \in X \right\}$$

**Definition 2.6.** [17] Linguistic modifiers are words like "not very", "more or less", "very", "very very", "extremely" which modify the meaning of parameters of a soft set. For example, "beautiful house" becomes "very beautiful house".

In this case, if x is a parameter and m is a linguistic modifier, then modified parameter x by m is denoted by m(x).

In general, unless otherwise specified, the linguistic modifiers will be shown by the symbols  $m_k$  for all  $k \in I_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

*Example* 2.7. [17] Let  $x_1$  = "expensive",  $x_2$  = "large",  $x_3$  = "good" be three parameters of a neutrosophic classical soft set and  $m_1$  = "very",  $m_2$  = "very very",  $m_3$  = "extremely" be three linguistic modifiers. Then, some modified parameters will be as follows;

 $m_1(x_1) =$  "very expensive"  $m_1(x_3) =$  "very good"  $m_2(x_3) =$  "very very good"  $m_3(x_2) =$  "extremely large"

**Definition 2.8.** [17] Let  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$  be linguistic modifiers. Then,

$$M^n = \{m_i : i \in I_n\}$$

is called an *n-level linguistic modifier set*.

**Definition 2.9.** [17] Let *X* be a set of parameters and  $M^n$  be an *n*-level linguistic modifiers set. Then, an *n*-level modified set of set of parameter *x* is a set defined by

$$M_x^n = \{m(x) : m \in M^n\}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

*Example* 2.10. Assume that we have a 4-level linguistic modifier set as

$$M^4 = \{not very, very, very very, extremly\}$$

Then, for a parameter "expensive", the 4-level modified set of "expensive" will be written by

$$M_{expensive}^{4} = \{not very expensive, very expensive, very very expensive, extremly expensive\}$$

**Definition 2.11.** [17] Let X be a set of parameters and  $M^n$  be an *n*-level linguistic modifier set. Then, *n*-level modified set of X is a set defined by

$$M_X^n = \{m(x) : x \in X, m \in M^n\}$$

Note that if  $M^n = \{m_j : j \in I_n\}$  and  $X = \{x_k : k \in I_k\}$ , then when no confusion arises we will briefly use  $x_{kj}$  instead of  $m_j(x_k)$ , that is

$$m_j(x_k) = x_{kj}$$

## 3. Expansions and reductions of neutrosophic classical soft sets

In this section, we apply the linguistic modifiers to neutrosophic classical soft set theory to make applications of neutrosophic classical soft sets more suitable.

Therefore, we give an expansion and reduction of a neutrosophic classical soft set by using linguistic modifiers. The definitions and applications on soft set defined in [17], we are extended the definitions and applications to the case of neutrosophic classical soft sets.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $M_x^n$  be an *n*-level modified set of *x* for  $x \in X$ . Then, an *n*-level expansion of each element  $(x, \langle f_t(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle)$  of *f* is a neutrosophic classical soft set over *U* is defined by

$$f^x: M^n_x \to NCS(U)$$
, for all  $x \in X$ ,

if following conditions hold;

1.  $f_t^x(m_k(x)) \cap f_t^x(m_j(x)) = f_i^x(m_k(x)) \cap f_i^x(m_j(x)) = f_j^x(m_k(x)) \cap f_j^x(m_j(x)) = \emptyset$  for all  $j, k \in I_n$ ,  $k \neq j$ ,

2.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bigcup_{k \in I_n} f_t^x(m_k(x)) &= f_t(x), \\ \bigcup_{k \in I_n} f_i^x(m_k(x)) &= f_i(x), \\ \bigcup_{k \in I_n} f_f^x(m_k(x)) &= f_f(x) \end{array}$$

It is clear that  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Note that from now on, we may not use "*n*-level" if does not cause confusion.

*Example* 3.2. If  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{12}\}$  is a set of houses and  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  is a set of parameters where  $x_i$ , (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), stand for the parameters "expensive", "large", "beautiful", and "in green surroundings" respectively. Then, we can consider a neutrosophic classical soft set *f* to describe the attractiveness of houses as follows:

$$f = \{(x_1, \langle \{u_5, u_7, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_1, u_4, u_2\}, \{u_3, u_6, u_8, u_{12}\}\rangle), \\ (x_2, \langle \{u_2, u_4, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_1, u_7, u_8\}\rangle), \\ (x_3, \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_7, u_8\}, \{u_1, u_{10}\}, \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_9, u_{11}, u_{12}\}\rangle), \\ (x_4, \langle \{u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}\rangle)\}$$

Let us consider an element  $(x_1, \langle \{u_5, u_7, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_1, u_4, u_2\}, \{u_3, u_6, u_8, u_{12}\}\rangle)$  of *f* and 3-level linguistic modifier set

$$M^3 = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$$

where  $m_j$ , (j = 1, 2, 3), stand for the linguistic modifiers "less", "very" and "extremely" respectively. Then, 3-level modified set of  $x_1$  = "expensive" can be written by

$$M_{x_1}^3 = \{x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}\}$$

where  $x_{11}$ ="less expensive",  $x_{12}$ ="very expensive",  $x_{13}$ ="extremely expensive". Assume that

then, a 3-level expansion of  $(x_1, \langle f_t(x_1), f_i(x_1), f_f(x_1) \rangle)$ can be written by

$$f^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

**Note:** It is well known that construction of a neutrosophic classical soft set may depend on experts. Therefore, each element of a neutrosophic classical soft set may have different expansions. If it is necessary to use more than one expansion of an element of a neutrosophic classical soft set, then to avoid the confusion different expansions will be indicated by *a*, *b*, *c*, ... as a superscript of the neutrosophic classical soft sets. In this case, the expansions of an element  $(x, \langle f_t(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle)$  of neutrosophic classical soft set *f* can be denoted by  $f_a^x, f_b^x, f_c^x, ...$  and then they called *a*-expansion, *b*-expansion, *c*-expansion, ..., respectively. For example, let us consider Example 3.2, we can construct different expansions of  $(x_1, \langle f_t(x_1), f_i(x_1), f_f(x_1) \rangle)$  as follows;

$$f_a^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_7\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_9, u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

$$f_b^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \emptyset, \{u_2\}, \{u_5, u_{10}\} \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_4\}, \{u_7\}, \\ \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_8, u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_9, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

$$f_c^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_2, u_{10}\}, \{u_5\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_3, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_7\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ ,  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ . Then,

$$E_n(f) = \{f^x : x \in X\}$$

is called an n-level expansion family of f.

Now we give an example for *n*-level expansion family of *f*.

*Example* 3.4. Let us consider Example 3.2 where  $f^{x_1}$  is given and assume that in a similar way 3-level expansion of  $(x_2, \langle f_t(x_2), f_i(x_2, f_f(x_2) \rangle), (x_3, \langle f_t(x_3), f_i(x_3), f_f(x_3) \rangle)$  and  $(x_4, \langle f_t(x_4), f_i(x_4), f_f(x_4) \rangle)$  can be written respectively by

$$f^{x_2} = \left\{ (x_{21}, \langle \{u_9, u_{10}\}, \{u_3\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{22}, \langle \{u_2, u_{11}\}, \\ \{u_5\}, \{u_1\} \rangle), (x_{23}, \{\langle \{u_4\}, \{u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_7, u_8\} \rangle)) \right\}$$
$$f^{x_3} = \left\{ (x_{31}, \langle \{u_2\}, \emptyset, \{u_4, u_5, u_{12}\} \rangle), (x_{32}, \langle \{u_3, u_7\}, \\ \{u_{10}\}, \{u_6, u_{11}\} \rangle), (x_{33}, \{\langle \{u_8\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_9\} \rangle)) \right\}$$

$$f^{x_4} = \left\{ (x_{41}, \langle \{u_6, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_2\} \rangle), (x_{42}, \langle \{u_8, u_9\}, \emptyset, \\ \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{43}, \{ \langle \{u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_4\} \rangle) \right\}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} E_{3}(f) &= \left\{ f^{x_{1}}, f^{x_{2}}, f^{x_{3}}, f^{x_{4}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_{5}, u_{10}\}, \{u_{2}\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_{7}, u_{9}\}, \\ \{u_{4}\}, \{u_{3}\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_{1}\}, \{u_{6}, u_{8}, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\} \\ &\{ (x_{21}, \langle \{u_{9}, u_{10}\}, \{u_{3}\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{22}, \langle \{u_{2}, u_{11}\}, \\ \{u_{5}\}, \{u_{1}\} \rangle), (x_{23}, \{\langle \{u_{4}\}, \{u_{6}, u_{12}\}, \{u_{7}, u_{8}\} \rangle) \right\}, \\ &\{ (x_{31}, \langle \{u_{2}\}, \emptyset, \{u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{12}\} \rangle), (x_{32}, \langle \{u_{3}, u_{7}\}, \{u_{10}\}, \\ &\{ u_{6}, u_{11}\} \rangle), (x_{33}, \{\langle \{u_{8}\}, \{u_{1}\}, \{u_{9}\} \rangle) \right\}, \\ &\{ (x_{41}, \langle \{u_{6}, u_{7}\}, \emptyset, \{u_{2}\} \rangle), (x_{42}, \langle \{u_{8}, u_{9}\}, \emptyset, \{u_{3}\} \rangle), \\ &(x_{43}, \{\langle \{u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_{5}\}, \{u_{1}, u_{4}\} \rangle) \right\} \end{split}$$

is a 3-level expansion family of f.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $f,g \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f^x, g^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then, the expansion of each element of the union of neutrosophic classical soft sets are defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll} (f \tilde{\cup} g)^x = & \{(m(x)), \langle (f \tilde{\cup} g)_t^x(m(x)), (f \tilde{\cap} g)_i^x(m(x)), \\ & (f \tilde{\cap} g)_f^x(m(x)) \rangle : m(x) \in M_x^n \} \end{array}$$

where

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $f,g \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f^x, g^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then, the expansion of each element of the intersection of neutrosophic classical soft sets are defined by

$$(f \cap g)^{x} = \{(m(x)), \langle (f \cap g)_{t}^{x}(m(x)), (f \cup g)_{i}^{x}(m(x)), (f \cup g)_{t}^{x}(m(x)), (f \cup g)_{t}^{x}(m($$

where

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then, the expansion of each element of the complement of neutrosophic classical soft sets are defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll} (f^{\tilde{c}})^{x} = & \{(m(x)), \langle (f^{\tilde{c}})_{t}^{x}(m(x)), (f^{\tilde{c}})_{i}^{x}(m(x)), \\ & (f^{\tilde{c}})_{f}^{x}(m(x)) \rangle : m(x) \in M_{x}^{n} \} \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (f^{\tilde{c}})_{t}^{x}(m(x)) & = & f_{f}^{x}(m(x)), & x \in X. \\ (f^{\tilde{c}})_{i}^{x}(m(x)) & = & (f_{i}^{x})^{c}(m(x)), & x \in X. \\ (f^{\tilde{c}})_{f}^{x}(m(x)) & = & f_{t}^{x}(m(x)), & x \in X. \end{array}$$

*Example* 3.8. Let us consider Example 3.2 where the 3-level expansion of  $(x_1, \langle f_t(x_1), f_i(x_1), f_f(x_1) \rangle)$  is given as

$$f^{x_1} = \begin{cases} (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \\ \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \end{cases}$$

and assume that another neutrosophic classical soft set g is given as follows

$$g = \{(x_1, \langle \{u_1, u_6, u_9, u_7, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_2, u_4\}, \\ \{u_3, u_5, u_8, u_{12}\}\rangle), (x_2, \langle \{u_1, u_7, u_9, u_{10}, \\ u_{11}\}, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_2, u_4, u_8\}\rangle), \\ (x_3, \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_7, u_9\}, \{u_1, u_8, u_{11}\}, \\ \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_{10}, u_{12}\}\rangle), (x_4, \langle \{u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, \\ u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}\rangle)\}$$

If 3-level expansion of  $(x_1, \langle g_t(x_1), g_i(x_1), g_f(x_1) \rangle)$  is given as

$$g^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_1, u_6\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_9, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_5, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

then, we can construct  $(f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1}$ . We know that

$$(f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1}(m(x_1)) = f^{x_1}(m(x_1)) \tilde{\cup} g^{x_1}(m(x_1)), \text{ for all } m(x_1) \in M^3_{x_1}$$

which gives

$$\begin{array}{ll} (f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1}(x_{11}) &= f^{x_1}(x_{11}) \tilde{\cup} g^{x_1}(x_{11}) \\ &= \langle \{u_5, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1}(x_{12}) &= f^{x_1}(x_{12}) \tilde{\cup} g^{x_1}(x_{12}) \\ &= \langle \{u_1, u_6, u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1}(x_{13}) &= f^{x_1}(x_{13}) \tilde{\cup} g^{x_1}(x_{13}) \\ &= \langle \{u_7, u_9, u_{11}\}, \emptyset, \{u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$(f \tilde{\cup} g)^{x_1} = \begin{cases} (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), \\ (x_{12}, \langle \{u_1, u_6, u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle), \\ (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_7, u_9, u_{11}\}, \emptyset, \{u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \end{cases}$$

By the similar way we get

$$(f \cap g)^{x_1} = \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \emptyset, \{u_4\}, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \emptyset, \{u_1\}, \{u_5, u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\}$$

and

$$(f^{\tilde{c}})^{x_1} = \begin{cases} (x_{11}, \langle \emptyset, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, \\ u_{11}, u_{12} \}, \{u_5, u_{10} \} \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_3\}, \{u_1, u_2, \\ u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12} \}, \{u_7, u_9 \} \rangle), \\ (x_{13}, \{ \langle \{u_6, u_8, u_{12} \}, \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, \\ u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12} \}, \{u_{11} \} \rangle) \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f,g \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f^x, g^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$ , followings hold;

1. 
$$(f \tilde{\cup} g)^x = f^x \tilde{\cup} g^x$$
  
2.  $(f \tilde{\cap} g)^x = f^x \tilde{\cap} g^x$ 

$$3. (f^{\tilde{c}})^x = (f^x)^{\tilde{c}}$$

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ ,  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ and  $M_X^n$  be an *n*-level modified set of *X*. Then, an *n*-level expansion of *f* is a neutrosophic classical soft set over *U*, is denoted by  $f(\mathbb{R})f^X$ , is defined by

$$f^X: M^n_X \longrightarrow NCS(U), \quad f^X(m(x)) = f^x(m(x))$$

It is clear that  $f^X \in NCSS_{M_X^n}(U)$ .

From now on, *n*-level expansions of neutrosophic classical soft sets f, g, h, ... will be denoted by  $f^X, g^X, h^X, ...,$  respectively.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  and  $f^X \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ . Then,

$$f^X = \bigcup_{x \in X} f^x$$

**Proof:** It is easy from Definition 3.10.

*Example* 3.12. Let us consider Example 3.2 and 3.4. Then, a 3-level expansion of f can be written by

$$f^{x_1} = \begin{cases} (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \\ \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{x_2} &= \left\{ (x_{21}, \langle \{u_9, u_{10}\}, \{u_3\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{22}, \langle \{u_2, u_{11}\}, \\ \{u_5\}, \{u_1\} \rangle), (x_{23}, \{\langle \{u_4\}, \{u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_7, u_8\} \rangle)) \right\} \\ f^{x_3} &= \left\{ (x_{31}, \langle \{u_2\}, \emptyset, \{u_4, u_5, u_{12}\} \rangle), (x_{32}, \langle \{u_3, u_7\}, \\ \{u_{10}\}, \{u_6, u_{11}\} \rangle), (x_{33}, \{\langle \{u_8\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_9\} \rangle) \right\} \\ f^{x_4} &= \left\{ (x_{41}, \langle \{u_6, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_2\} \rangle), (x_{42}, \langle \{u_8, u_9\}, \\ \emptyset, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{43}, \{\langle \{u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_4\} \rangle) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It can also be obtained easily by using above the Proposition 3.11 as

$$f^X = f^{x_1} \cup f^{x_2} \cup f^{x_3} \cup f^{x_4}$$

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $f,g \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f^X, g^X \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ . If  $f \otimes f^X$  and  $g \otimes g^X$ , then followings hold;

- $1. \ (f \tilde{\cup} g) \Re(f^X \tilde{\cup} g^X)$
- 2.  $(f \tilde{\cap} g) \mathbb{R}(f^X \tilde{\cap} g^X)$
- 3.  $f^{\tilde{c}} \mathbb{R}(f^X)^{\tilde{c}}$

**Definition 3.14.** Let *U* be a universal set. Then, for  $n \in N$ , *n*-level choice set is a set defined by

$$L_n = \{l_i^n : i \in I_n\}$$

where  $l_i^n = (c_{i1}, c_{i2}, ..., c_{in})$  is an *n*-tuple such that for  $j \in I_n$ 

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} \tilde{U}, & j = i \\ \tilde{\phi}, & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

such that  $\tilde{U} = \langle U, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$  and  $\tilde{\phi} = \langle \emptyset, U, U \rangle$ .

Therefore, the 1, 2,..., n-level choice set can be written as, respectively,

**Definition 3.15.** Let *X* be a set of parameters,  $L_n$  be the n-level choice set. Then, n-level choice function is defined by

$$\alpha^n: X \to L_n, \quad \alpha^n(x) = l_k^n$$

where  $l_k^n$  is one of  $l_1^n, l_2^n, ..., l_n^n$  chosen by a decision maker according to the situation of  $x \in X$ . The  $\alpha^n(x)$  is called n-level choice value of  $x \in X$ .

*Example* 3.16. Let us consider  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  as a set of parameters and  $L_3$  be the 3-level choice set. Then, 3-level choice values for  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I_4$ , may be chosen respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{3}(x_{1}) = l_{1}^{3} = (c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{13}) = (\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}) \\ &\alpha^{3}(x_{2}) = l_{2}^{3} = (c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{23}) = (\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi}) \\ &\alpha^{3}(x_{4}) = l_{3}^{3} = (c_{31}, c_{32}, c_{33}) = (\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{U}) \\ &\alpha^{3}(x_{4}) = l_{2}^{3} = (c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{23}) = (\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi}) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ ,  $f^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$ ,  $E_n(f)$  be an *n*-level expansion family of f and  $\alpha^{nt}$  and t. n-level choice function. Then, a reduction function of f, for  $x \in X$ , is defined by

$$\alpha^n(f^x) = \{(m_j(x), (\alpha^n(x) \cap f^x(m_j(x))) : j \in I_n, x \in X\}$$

where the value  $\alpha^n(f^x)$  is called  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of  $(x, \langle f_t(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle)$  for  $x \in X$ .

*Example* 3.18. Let us consider  $E_n(f)$  which is given in Example 3.4 and  $\alpha^3$  which is given in Example 3.16. Since the expansions are 3-level, we have to use the 3-level choice set  $L_3$ . Then,  $\alpha^3$ -reduction of  $(x_1, \langle f_t(x_1), f_i(x_1), f_f(x_1) \rangle)$  is computed by

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{3}(f^{x_{1}}) &= \{(m_{j}(x_{1}), (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{3}(x_{1})\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(m_{j}(x_{1}))) : j \in I_{3}\} \\ &= \{(x_{1j}, (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{3}(x_{1})\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{1j})) : j \in I_{3}\}, \\ \text{ since } m_{j}(x_{i}) &= x_{ij} \\ &= \{(x_{1j}, l_{1}^{3}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{1j})) : j \in I_{3}\}, \\ \text{ since } \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{3}(x_{1}) &= l_{1}^{3} \\ &= \{(x_{1j}, c_{1j}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{1j})) : j \in I_{3}\}, \\ \text{ since } l_{1}^{3} &= c_{1j} \\ &= \{(x_{11}, c_{11}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{11})), (x_{12}, c_{12}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{12})), (x_{13}, c_{13}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{13}))\} \\ &= \{(x_{11}, \tilde{U}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{11})), (x_{12}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{12})), (x_{13}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\tilde{\cap}f^{x_{1}}(x_{13}))\} \\ &= \{(x_{11}, f^{x_{1}}(x_{11}))\} \\ &= \{(x_{11}, \{u_{5}, u_{10}\}, \{u_{2}\}, \boldsymbol{\emptyset}))\} \end{split}$$

and also by the similar way,  $\alpha^3$ -reduction of  $(x_i, \langle f_t(x_i), f_i(x_i), f_f(x_i) \rangle)$ , i = 2, 3, 4, are computed as;

$$\alpha^{3}(f^{x_{2}}) = \{(x_{22}, \langle \{u_{2}, u_{11}\}, \{u_{5}\}, \{u_{1}\}\rangle)\}$$
$$\alpha^{3}(f^{x_{3}}) = \{(x_{32}, \langle \{u_{8}\}, \{u_{1}\}, \{u_{9}\}\rangle)\}$$
$$\alpha^{3}(f^{x_{4}}) = \{(x_{42}, \langle \{u_{8}, u_{9}\}, \emptyset, \{u_{3}\}\rangle)\}$$

where  $\alpha^3(x_2) = l_2^3$ ,  $\alpha^3(x_3) = l_3^3$ , and  $\alpha^3(x_4) = l_2^3$ .

**Proposition 3.19.** Let  $f^x, g^x \in NCSS_{M_x^n}(U)$  and  $\alpha^n_x$  be a reduction function for  $x \in X$ . Then, for  $x \in X$ ,

1. 
$$\alpha^n(f^x \tilde{\cup} g^x) = \alpha^n(f^x) \tilde{\cup} \alpha^n(g^x)$$
  
2.  $\alpha^n(f^x \tilde{\cap} g^x) = \alpha^n(f^x) \tilde{\cap} \alpha^n(g^x)$   
3.  $\alpha^n((f^{\tilde{c}})^x) = \alpha^n((f^{\tilde{c}})^x)$ 

Proof: 1. Since

$$f^{x} \tilde{\cup} g^{x} = \{(m(x)), \langle f_{t}^{x}(m(x)) \cup g_{t}^{x}(m(x)), f_{i}^{x}(m(x)) \cap g_{i}^{x}(m(x)), f_{f}^{x}(m(x)) \cup g_{t}^{x}(m(x)), f_{i}^{x}(m(x)) \cap g_{x}^{x}(m(x)) \} : m(x) \in M_{x}^{n} \}$$

by using above Definition 3.17 we can write

$$\alpha^n(f^x \tilde{\cup} g^x) = \{ (m_j(x), \alpha^n(x) \tilde{\cap} (f^x \tilde{\cup} g^x)(m_j(x))) : \\ j \in I_n \}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n}(f^{x}) &= \{ (m_{j}(x), \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n}(x) \tilde{\cap} f^{x}(m_{j}(x))) : j \in I_{n} \}, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n}(g^{x}) &= \{ (m_{j}(x), \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n}(x) \tilde{\cap} g^{x}(m_{j}(x))) : j \in I_{n} \} \end{aligned}$$

by using definition of union of neutrosophic classical soft sets we can write

$$\alpha^{n}(f^{x})\tilde{\cup}\alpha^{n}(g^{x}) = \{(m_{j}(x), (\alpha^{n}(x)\tilde{\cap}f^{x}(m_{j}(x)))\tilde{\cup} \\ (\alpha^{n}(x)\tilde{\cap}g^{x}(m_{j}(x))): j \in I_{n}\}$$

From (3) and (3), we get that  $\alpha^n(f^x \tilde{\cup} g^x) = \alpha^n(f^x) \tilde{\cup} \alpha^n(g^x)$ 

The proofs of 2. and 3. can be made by the similar way.

3. Since  $(f^{\tilde{c}})^x = (f^x)^{\tilde{c}}$  we have  $\alpha^n((f^{\tilde{c}})^x) = \{(m(x)), \langle f^{\tilde{c}} \rangle^x(m(x)), f_{\tilde{t}}^{\tilde{c}} \rangle^x(m(x)), f_{f}^{\tilde{c}} \rangle^x(m(x))\}:$   $m(x) \in M_x^n\}$ by write Theorem 3.0 we can write

by using Theorem 3.9 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^n((f^{\tilde{c}})^x) &= \{(m(x)), \langle f^{\tilde{c}} \rangle^x(m(x)), f^{\tilde{c}}_i \rangle^x(m(x)) \\ &, f^{\tilde{c}}_f \rangle^x(m(x)\rangle) : m(x) \in M^n_x \} \\ &= \{(m(x)), \langle (f^x)^{\tilde{c}}(m(x)), (f^x_i)^{\tilde{c}}(m(x)), \\ &(f^x_f)^{\tilde{c}}(m(x)\rangle) : m(x) \in M^n_x \} \\ &= \alpha^n((f^{\tilde{c}})^x) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.20.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $\alpha^n(f^x)$  be an  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of  $(x, \langle f(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle)$  for  $x \in X$ . Then,

$$f_{\alpha^n} = \{ \alpha^n(f^x) : x \in X \}$$

is called an  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of neutrosophic classical soft set f.

*Example* 3.21. Let us consider Example 3.18. Then, an  $\alpha^3$ -reduction of f can be written by

$$f_{\alpha^{3}} = \begin{cases} \{(x_{11}, \langle \{u_{5}, u_{10}\}, \{u_{2}\}, \emptyset \rangle)\}, \{(x_{22}, \langle \{u_{2}, u_{11}\}, \{u_{5}\}, \{u_{1}\}\rangle)\}, \{(x_{33}, \langle \{u_{8}\}, \{u_{1}\}, \{u_{9}\}\rangle)\}, \{(x_{42}, \langle \{u_{8}, u_{9}\}, \emptyset, \{u_{3}\}\rangle)\} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.22.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ ,  $\alpha^n(f^x)$  be an  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of  $(x, \langle f(x), f_i(x), f_f(x) \rangle)$  for  $x \in X$  and  $f_{\alpha^n}$  be an  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of neutrosophic classical soft set f. Then,

$$f_{\alpha^n} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \alpha^n(f^x)$$

**Proof:** It is easy from Definition 3.20.

**Definition 3.23.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{U}(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} f(x)$$

is a set called *union set* of neutrosophic classical soft set f.

$$\mathbb{I}(f) = \bigcap_{x \in X} f(x)$$

is a set called *intersection set* of neutrosophic classical soft set f.

Example 3.24. Let

$$f = \{(x_1, \langle \{u_5, u_7, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_1, u_4, u_2\}, \{u_3, u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle\}, \\ (x_2, \langle \{u_2, u_4, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_1, u_7, u_8\} \rangle\}, \\ (x_3, \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_7, u_8\}, \{u_1, u_{10}\}, \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_9, u_{11}, u_{12}\} \rangle), \\ (x_4, \langle \{u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} \rangle)\}$$

be a neutrosophic classical soft set over  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{12}\}$ . Then, the union set of f is written as

 $\mathbb{U}(f) = \{ \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12} \}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \}$ 

the intersection set of f is written as

$$\mathbb{I}(f) = \{ \langle \emptyset, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_9, u_{12} \}, \\ \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{11}, u_{12} \} \rangle \}$$

**Proposition 3.25.** Let  $f, g \in NCSS_X(U)$ . Then,

- 1.  $\mathbb{I}(f \tilde{\cup} g) = \mathbb{I}(f) \tilde{\cup} \mathbb{I}(g)$ 2.  $\mathbb{I}(f \tilde{\cap} g) = \mathbb{I}(f) \tilde{\cap} \mathbb{I}(g)$ 3.  $\mathbb{U}(f \tilde{\cup} g) = \mathbb{U}(f) \tilde{\cup} \mathbb{U}(g)$ 4.  $\mathbb{U}(f \tilde{\cap} g) = \mathbb{U}(f) \tilde{\cap} \mathbb{U}(g)$ 5.  $\mathbb{U}(f) \tilde{\subset} \tilde{U}$
- 6.  $\mathbb{I}(f) \subseteq \tilde{U}$
- 7.  $\mathbb{I}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{U}(f)$

**Proof:** *1*. For all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mathbb{I}(f \tilde{\cup} g) = \bigcap_{x \in X} (f \tilde{\cup} g)(x)$$
$$= \bigcap_{x \in X} (f(x) \tilde{\cup} g(x))$$
$$= (\bigcap_{x \in X} f(x)) \tilde{\cup} (\bigcap_{x \in X} g(x))$$
$$= \mathbb{I}(f) \tilde{\cup} \mathbb{I}(g)$$

The other proofs can be made similarly.

**Definition 3.26.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f_{\alpha^n}$  be an  $\alpha^n$ -reduction of f. Then, the union set of  $f_{\alpha^n}$  be  $R = \{(\langle R(x_{ij}), R_i(x_{ij}), R_f(x_{ij})\rangle) : x_{ij} = m_j(x_i), x_i \in X\}$ . Then,

$$Red(R) = \bigcup_{x_i \in X} \{R_i(x_{ij}) \cup (R_i(x_{ij}) \cap R_f(x_{ij}))\}$$

is a set called reduced set of neutrosophic classical soft set f.

**Proposition 3.27.** Let  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$  and  $f_{\alpha^n} \in NCSS_{M_r^n}(U)$ . Then,  $\tilde{\emptyset} \subseteq Red(R) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ .

Now, we construct an algorithm of neutrosophic classical soft reduction method as follows:

#### Algorithm

- 1. Construct a neutrosophic classical soft set  $f \in NCSS_X(U)$ .
- 2. Input an *n*-level linguistic modifier set  $M^n$ .
- 3. Compute  $M_x^n$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- 4. Compute  $f^x$  for each  $x \in X$  to obtain  $E_4(f)$ .
- 5. Input an *n*-level choice set  $C_n$ .
- 6. Compute  $\alpha^n(x)$  for each  $x \in X$
- 7. Compute  $\alpha^n(f^x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- 8. Compute  $f_{\alpha^n}$ .
- 9. Find the reduced set Red(R).

#### An Application of Soft Reduction Method

Assume that a high school received 12 teacher for a position. There is a decision maker who wants to interview some of the suitable candidates instead of all of the candidates. Therefore, by using the neutrosophic classical soft reduction method the number of candidates are reduced to a suitable subset of candidates. Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{12}\}$ be the set of teachers which may be characterized by a set of parameters  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . For i = 1, 2, 3, 4, the parameters  $x_i$  stand for "work experience", "computer knowledge", "young age" and "foreign language" respectively. Now, by using the algorithm of neutrosophic classical soft reduction method we can solve this problem step by step as follows: Step 1: The decision maker constructs a neutrosophic classical soft set f over U according to the CV of teachers,

$$f = \{(x_1, \langle \{u_5, u_7, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \{u_1, u_4, u_2\}, \\ \{u_3, u_6, u_8, u_{12}\}\rangle), (x_2, \langle \{u_2, u_4, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}\}, \\ \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_1, u_7, u_8\}\rangle), (x_3, \langle \{u_2, u_3, u_7, u_8\}, \\ \{u_1, u_{10}\}, \{u_4, u_5, u_6, u_9, u_{11}, u_{12}\}\rangle), (x_4, \langle \\ \{u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}\rangle)\}$$

*Step 2:* The decision maker consider a 3-level linguistic modifier set as

$$M^3 = \{m_1 = "not very", m_2 = "very", m_3 = "quite"\}$$

Step 3: For all  $i \in I_4$ , 3-level linguistic modified set of  $x_i$  can be obtained respectively as

$$M_{x_1}^3 = \{x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}\}, M_{x_2}^3 = \{x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}\}, M_{x_3}^3 = \{x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}\}, M_{x_4}^3 = \{x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43}\}$$

*Step 4:* Assume that the decision maker can construct 3-level expansion set of  $(x_i, \langle f(x_i), f_i(x_i), f_f(x_i) \rangle)$ ,  $f^{x_i}$ , for  $i \in I_4$ , respectively as,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{x_1} &= \left\{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{12}, \langle \{u_7, u_9\}, \{u_4\}, \\ &\{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{13}, \{\langle \{u_{11}\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_6, u_8, u_{12}\} \rangle) \right\} \\ f^{x_2} &= \left\{ (x_{21}, \langle \{u_9, u_{10}\}, \{u_3\}, \emptyset \rangle), (x_{22}, \langle \{u_2, u_{11}\}, \\ &\{u_5\}, \{u_1\} \rangle), (x_{23}, \{\langle \{u_4\}, \{u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_7, u_8\} \rangle)) \right\} \\ f^{x_3} &= \left\{ (x_{31}, \langle \{u_2\}, \emptyset, \{u_4, u_5, u_{12}\} \rangle), (x_{32}, \langle \{u_3, u_7\}, \\ &\{u_{10}\}, \{u_6, u_{11}\} \rangle), (x_{33}, \{\langle \{u_8\}, \{u_1\}, \{u_9\} \rangle) \right\} \\ f^{x_4} &= \left\{ (x_{41}, \langle \{u_6, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_2\} \rangle), (x_{42}, \langle \{u_8, u_9\}, \\ &\emptyset, \{u_3\} \rangle), (x_{43}, \{\langle \{u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}\}, \\ &\{u_5\}, \{u_1, u_4\} \rangle) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now, an 3-level expansion family of f can be written by

$$E_4(f) = \left\{ f^{x_1}, f^{x_2}, f^{x_3}, f^{x_4} \right\}$$

Step 5: The decision maker inputs a 3-level choice set  $L_3$  as

$$L_3 = \{(\tilde{U}, \phi, \phi), (\phi, \tilde{U}, \phi), (\phi, \phi, \tilde{U})\}$$

*Step 6:* According to the 2. 3-level choice set a choice values  $\alpha_2^3(x_i)$  can be computed for each  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I_4$ , respectively,

$\alpha_1^3(x_1) =$	$(U, \phi, \phi),$
$\alpha_3^3(x_2) =$	$(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{U}),$
$\alpha_2^3(x_3) =$	
$\alpha_1^{\bar{3}}(x_4) =$	$( ilde U, ilde \phi, ilde \phi),$

Step 7: By using the reduction function  $\alpha^3(f^{x_i})$  can be computed for each  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I_4$ , respectively,

 $\begin{aligned} &\alpha^3(f^{x_1}) = \{(x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle)\} \\ &\alpha^3(f^{x_2}) = \{(x_{23}, \langle \{u_4\}, \{u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_7, u_8\} \rangle)\} \\ &\alpha^3(f^{x_3}) = \{(x_{32}, \langle \{u_3, u_7\}, \{u_{10}\}, \{u_6, u_{11}\} \rangle)\} \\ &\alpha^3(f^{x_4}) = \{(x_{41}, \langle \{u_6, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_2\} \rangle)\} \end{aligned}$ 

Step 8:  $\alpha^3$ -reduction of f can be computed by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha_2^3} = & \left\{ \{ (x_{11}, \langle \{u_5, u_{10}\}, \{u_2\}, \emptyset \rangle) \}, \{ (x_{23}, \langle \{u_4\}, \\ \{u_6, u_{12}\}, \{u_7, u_8\} \rangle) \}, \{ (x_{32}, \langle \{u_3, u_7\}, \{u_{10}\}, \\ \{u_6, u_{11}\} \rangle) \}, \{ (x_{41}, \langle \{u_6, u_7\}, \emptyset, \{u_2\} \rangle) \} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

*Step 9:* Finally, the reduced set Red(R) can be computed by

$$Red(R) = \mathbb{U}(f_{\alpha_2^3}) = \{u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_{10}\}$$

which is a suitable subset of the set of alternatives U. In this problem, 12 applications is reduced to 6 applicants by the neutrosophic classical soft reduction method. So, decision maker interviews only 6 applicants instead of 12.

### 4. Conclusion

In this work, as a new notion on neutrosophic classical soft set theory, we first defined expansion and reduction of the neutrosophic classical soft sets based on linguistic modifiers. Using the expansion and reduction we then gave neutrosophic classical soft reduction method. The aim of this method is to obtain a subset of the set of alternatives through a decision maker. With this method, it is possible to reduce the number of alternatives significantly.

#### References

- M.I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W.K. Min, On some new operations in soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl. 57 (9) (2009) 1547–1553.
- [2] C. Ashbacher, Introduction to Neutrosophic Logic, American Research Press Rehoboth 2002.
- [3] K. Atanassov, G. Gargov, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 31 (1989) 343–-349.
- [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87—96.
- [5] Basset, M.A., Mohamed, M. and Sangaiah, A.K. 2018. Neutrosophic AHP-Delphi Group decision making model based on trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, J. Ambient. Intell. Human. Comput., DOI 10.1007/s12652-017-0548-7.
- [6] S. Broumi, Generalized Neutrosophic Soft Set International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 3/2 (2013) 17-30.
- [7] S. Broumi, F. Smarandache, Intuitionistic Neutrosophic Soft Set, Journal of Information and Computing Science 8/2, (2013) 130–140.
- [8] S. Broumi, I. Deli and F. Smarandache, Relations on Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Sets, Journal of New Results in Science, 5 (2014) 1–20.
- [9] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, Soft set theory and uniint decision making, European Journal of Operational Research, 207, (2010) 848-855.
- [10] N. Çağman, Contributions to the theory of soft sets, Journal of New Results in Science, 4 (2014) 33–41.

- [11] N. Çağman, S. Karataş, Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set theory and its decision making, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 24/4 (2013) 829–836.
- [12] N, Çağman, I. Deli, I. Means of FP-Soft Sets and its Applications, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 41/5 (2012) 615–625.
- [13] N, Çağman, I. Deli, Product of FP-Soft Sets and its Applications, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 41/3 (2012) 365–374.
- [14] I. Deli, Interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets ant its decision making, arxiv:1402.3130
- [15] I. Deli, S. Broumi, Neutrosophic Soft Matrices and NSM-decision Making, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 28 (5) (2015) 2233–2241.
- [16] Deli I., Şubaş Y., Some weighted geometric operators with SVTrN-numbers and their application to multi-criteria decision making problems, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 32(1) (2017) 291-301, DOI:10.3233/JIFS-151677.
- [17] Eraslan, S., Reduction theory in soft sets and its applications, PhD Thesis (in Turkish), *Graduate School* of Natural and Applied Sciences, Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey (2014).
- [18] F. Feng, Y. Li and N. Çağman, Generalized uni—int decision making schemes based on choice value soft sets, European Journal of Operational Research 220 (2012) 162--170.
- [19] F. Feng, Y.M. Li, Soft subsets and soft product operations, Information Sciences, 232 (2013) 44-57.
- [20] F. Feng, X. Liu, V. L. Fotea, Y. B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets, Information Sciences 181 (2011) 1125–1137.
- [21] F. Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz, M. Irfan Ali, Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach, Soft Computing 14 (2010) 899–911.
- [22] W. L. Gau, D.J. Buehrer, Vague sets, IEEE Trans. Systems Man and Cy-bernet, 23 (2) (1993) 610-614.
- [23] I.M. Hanafy, A.A. Salama and K.M. Mahfouz, Neutersophic Classical Events And Its Probability, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications, 3/1 (2013) 171-178.
- [24] Y. Jiang, Y. Tang, Q. Chen, H. Liu, J.Tang, Intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets and their properties, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 60 (2010) 906–918.
- [25] F. Karaaslan, I. Deli, On Soft neutrosophic classical sets, International Conference on Mathematics and Mathematics Education (ICMME-2016), 2016, Elazığ, Turkey.
- [26] Z. Kong, L. Gao and L. Wang, Comment on "A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems", J. Comput. Appl. Math. 223 (2009) 540–542.
- [27] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory first results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37 (1999) 19-31.

- [28] P.K. Maji, A.R. Roy, R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (2002) 1077-1083.
- [29] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, A.R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl. 45 (2003) 555–562.
- [30] P.K. Maji, Neutrosophic soft set, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 5/1 (2013) 157-168.
- [31] P. K. Maji, R.Biswas A.R. Roy, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Sets. The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9(3) (2001) 677-692.
- [32] P. K. Maji, A neutrosophic soft set approach to a decision making problem, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 3/2, (2012) 313–319.
- [33] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9(3) (2001) 589-602.
- [34] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Int. J. Comput. Inform. Sci. 11 (1982) 341-356.
- [35] A.R. Roy and P.K. Maji, A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 203 (2007) 412-418.
- [36] F. Smarandache, "A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic". Rehoboth: American Research Press,(1998).
- [37] A. Sezgin, A. O. Atagun, On operations of soft sets, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 61 (2011) 1457-1467.
- [38] R. Şahin, A. Küçük, Generalized neutrosophic soft set and its integration to decision making problem, Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences, 8(6) 1-9 (2014).
- [39] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, R. Sunderraman, Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Logic: Theory and Applications in Computing, Hexis; Neutrosophic book series, No: 5, 2005.
- [40] X. Yang, T.Y. Lin, J. Yang, Y. Li and D. Yu, Combina-

tion of interval-valued fuzzy set and soft set, Comput. Math. Appl. 58 (2009) 521-527.

- [41] J. Ye, Some aggregation operators of interval neutrosophic linguistic numbers for multiple attribute decision making, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 27(5) 2014, 2231–2241.
- [42] J. Ye, Multiple attribute group decision-making method with completely unknown weights based on similarity measures under single valued neutrosophic environment, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 27(6) (2014) 2927–2935.
- [43] H. Wang, F. Y. Smarandache, Q. Zhang, R. Sunderraman, Single valued neutrosophic sets, Multispace and Multistructure 4 (2010) 410–413.
- [44] L. A. Zadeh, A fuzzy set-theoretic interpretation of linguistic hedges, J. Cybernet., 2 (1972) 4–34.
- [45] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning–I, *Information Sciences*, 8 (1975) 199-249.
- [46] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [47] Z. Zhang, C. Wang, D. Tian, K. Li, A novel approach to interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set based decision making, Applied Mathematical Modelling 38, (2014) 1255–1270.
- [48] H. Y. Zhang, J. Q. Wang, and X. H. Chen, Interval neutrosophic sets and their application in multicriteria decision making problems, The Scientific World Journal, 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/645953.
- [49] P. Zhu, Q. Wen, Operations on Soft Sets Revisited, Journal of Applied Mathematics, (2013) 1-7.
- [50] Y. Zou and Z. Xiao, Data analysis approaches of soft sets under incomplete information, Knowl. Base. Syst. 21 (2008) 941-945.