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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the (0,2)-involute of a given curve in 4-dimensional Galilean space, and for the curve with a generalized involute, the necessary and sufficient condition is obtained.
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## 1 Introduction

Galilean geometry is one of the nine projective space geometries which was discussed by Cayley-Klein at the beginning of 20th century. After that, the curvature-related studies were maintained and the curve properties in Galilean space were studied in [1, 2]. The involute of a given curve in Euclidean space is a famous concept, whereas the idea of an involute string is due to C. Huygens, who is well known for his job in optics and who found involutes while attempting to construct a more accurate clock in 1968 [3, 4]. The theories of the Involute and Evolute Curves in Minkowski Space are extensively studied in $[5,6,7]$.

In classical differential geometry, an evolute of a curve is defined as the locus of the centers of curvatures of the curve, which is the envelope of the normal of the given curve. While an Involute of a specified curve is a curve in which all tangents of a specified curve are normal [3, 8, 9, 10].

In [11], the author created Frenet-Serret curve frame in the Galilean 4-space and acquired constant ratio curves in Galilean 4-space. Aydin and Ergüt constructed equiform differential geometry of curves and obtained the angle between the equiform Frenet vectors and their derivatives in $G_{4}$ [12].

In $[13,14]$, the authors studied some curves of Galilean geometry in both plane and space, they obtained the characterization of slant helices in 3-dimensional Galilean space $G_{3}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

The Galilean space can be described as a three dimentional complex projective space with absolute figures $\left\{m, l, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ which consists of a real plane $m$, a real line $l \subset m$ and two complex conjugate points $p_{1}, p_{2} \in l$.

The study of plane-parallel motion mechanics decreases the study of a 3-space geometry with $\{x, y, t\}$ coordinates by the motion formula [2]. This geometry can be described as geometry of Galilean 3-space. It is clarified in [2] that four dimensional Galilean space, which studies all invariant features under object movements in space is even more complicated.

Moreover, it is indicated that this geometry can be more accurately defined as studying those four dimensional space characteristics with co-ordinates that are invariant under the general Galilean transformations as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}= & (\cos \theta \cos \phi-\cos \gamma \sin \theta \sin \phi) x+(\sin \theta \cos \phi-\cos \gamma \cos \theta \sin \phi) y \\
& +(\sin \gamma \sin \phi) z+\left(v \cos \beta_{1}\right) t+a \\
y^{\prime}= & -(\cos \theta \sin \phi+\cos \gamma \sin \theta \cos \phi) x+(-\sin \theta \sin \phi+\cos \gamma \cos \theta \cos \phi) y \\
& +(\sin \gamma \cos \phi) z+\left(v \cos \beta_{2}\right) t+b \\
z^{\prime}= & (\sin \gamma \sin \theta) x-(\sin \gamma \cos \theta) y+(\cos \gamma) z+\left(v \cos \beta_{3}\right) t+c \\
t^{\prime}= & t+d
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\cos ^{2} \beta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \beta_{2}+\cos ^{2} \beta_{3}=1$
The following chapter provides some basic characteristics of curves in Galilean 4-space for the uses of the conditions.
A curve $\alpha: I \rightarrow G_{4}, I \subset R$ can be given as

$$
\alpha(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), x_{3}(t), x_{4}(t)\right)
$$

where $x_{i}(t) \in C^{4} \mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4$ and $t \in I$. Let $\alpha$ be a curve in $G_{4}$, which is parameterized by arclength $t=s$, and its coordinate form can be written as

$$
\alpha(s)=\left(s, x_{2}(s), x_{3}(s), x_{4}(s)\right)
$$

In affine coordinates the Galilean inner product between two points $P_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, x_{i 3}, x_{i 4}\right), i=1,2$, is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=\left|x_{21}-x_{11}\right|, \text { if } x_{21} \neq x_{11} \\
& g\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\left(x_{22}-x_{12}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{23}-x_{13}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{24}-x_{14}\right)^{2}}, \text { if } x_{21}=x_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the vectors $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right), q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)$ and $r=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$, Galilean cross product in $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ is defined as follows:

$$
p \wedge q \wedge r=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & e_{2} & e_{3} & e_{4} \\
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} \\
q_{1} & q_{2} & q_{3} & q_{4} \\
r_{1} & r_{2} & r_{3} & r_{4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $e_{i}$ are the standard basis vectors.
The notation $<x, y>_{G}$ we use in this paper denotes the inner product of the vectors $x, y$ in Galilean space.
Let $\alpha(s)=\left(s, x_{2}(s), x_{3}(s), x_{4}(s)\right)$ be a curve parameterized by arclength s in $G_{4}$, the Frenet formulas can written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
N^{\prime} \\
B_{1}^{\prime} \\
B_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & k_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -k_{2} & 0 & k_{3} \\
0 & 0 & -k_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
N \\
B_{1} \\
B_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $T, N, B_{1}, B_{2}$ are mutually orthogonal vector fields which the following equations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <T, T>_{G}=<N, N>_{G}=<B_{1}, B_{1}>_{G}=<B_{2}, B_{2}>_{G}=1 \\
& <T, N>_{G}=<T, B_{1}>_{G}=<T, B_{2}>_{G}=<N, B_{1}>_{G}=<N, B_{2}>_{G}=<B_{1}, B_{2}>_{G}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We use some terms in this journal. The plane spanned by $\left\{T, B_{1}\right\}$ is called ( 0,2 )-tangent plane at any point of the curve $\phi$. The plane spanning $\left\{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B}_{2}\right\}$ is called the $(1,3)$-normal plane of $\phi$.

Let $\phi: I \rightarrow G_{4}$ and $\phi^{*}: I \rightarrow G_{4}, I \subset R$ be two regular parameterized curves in Galilean 4-space $G_{4}$. Let $s^{*}=f(s)$ be an arc-length parameter of $\phi^{*} . \forall s \in I$, if the $(0,2)$-tangent plane at $\phi(s)$ of $\phi$ overlaps with the $(1,3)$-normal plane of $\phi^{*}$ at $\phi^{*}(s)$, then $\phi^{*}$ is said to be $(0,2)$-involute curve of $\phi$ in $G_{4}$ while $\phi$ is called $(1,3)$-evolute curve of $\phi^{*}$ in $G_{4}$.

## 3 The ( 0,2 )-involute curve in a Galilean 4-space $\mathbf{G}_{4}$

In this chapter, we investigate the existence and representation of the $(0,2)$-involute curve in Galilean 4-space.
Let $\phi: I \subset R \rightarrow G_{4}$ be a regular parameterized curve, and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ to be its curvatures $k_{i} \neq 0$, and let $\phi^{*}: I \subset R \rightarrow G_{4}$ be a $(0,2)$-involute curve of $\phi$. Donate $\left\{T^{*}, N^{*}, B_{1}^{*}, B_{2}^{*}\right\}$ to be the Frenet Frame along $\phi^{*}$ and $k_{1}^{*}, k_{2}^{*}$ and $k_{3}^{*}$ to be the curvatures of $\phi^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{span}\left\{T, B_{1}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N^{*}, B_{2}^{*}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{span}\left\{N, B_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{T^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle T^{*}, T\right\rangle=0
$$

Moreover, $\alpha^{*}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*}(s)=\phi(s)+a(s) T(s)+b(s) B_{1}(s) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in C^{\infty}$ functions on $I$.
By differentiating (3.2) with respect to s and using (2.1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{*^{\prime}}(s)=\phi^{\prime}(s)+a^{\prime}(s) T(s)+a(s) T^{\prime}(s)+b^{\prime}(s) B_{1}+b(s) B_{1}^{\prime}(s)  \tag{3.3}\\
f^{\prime} T^{*}=\left(1+a^{\prime}\right) T+\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right) N+b^{\prime} B_{1}+b k_{3} B_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

So by taking dot product on both-sides of (3.3) with $T$ and $B_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} T^{*}, T\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left(1+a^{\prime}\right) T+\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right) N+b^{\prime} B_{1}+b k_{3} B_{2}, T\right\rangle \\
0 & =1+a^{\prime} \\
a^{\prime} & =-1
\end{aligned}
$$

integrate both sides of the above equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d a}{d s} d s & =-\int d s \\
a & =a_{0}-s, \quad\left(a_{0} \text { is a constant }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} T^{*}, B_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left(1+a^{\prime}\right) T+\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right) N+b^{\prime} B_{1}+b k_{3} B_{2}, B_{1}\right\rangle \\
0 & =b^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $b$ is a constant, thus (3.3) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} T^{*}=\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right) N+b k_{3} B_{2}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}} \text { and } \gamma=\frac{b k_{3}}{f^{\prime}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{*}=\delta N+\gamma B_{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\delta^{2}+\gamma^{2}=1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

## Case 1

$b \neq 0$, in this case $\gamma=\frac{b k_{3}}{f^{\prime}} \neq 0$. Denote $\frac{\delta}{\gamma}=t_{1}$, then $\delta=\gamma t_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=\frac{b k_{3}}{\gamma}=b \gamma^{-1} k_{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and (3.7)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta=\frac{\left(a k_{1}-b k_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}} \\
b t_{1} k_{3}=a k_{1}-b k_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{2}+\gamma^{2}=1 \\
& \gamma^{2}=\frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}+1} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiate (3.6) with respect to $s$ and using (2.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{*^{\prime}} & =\delta^{\prime} N+\delta N^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime} B_{2}+\gamma B_{2}^{\prime} \\
f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*} N^{*} & =\delta^{\prime} N+\left(\delta k_{2}-\gamma k_{3}\right) B_{1}+\gamma^{\prime} B_{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

So by taking dot product on both-sides of (3.10) with $N$ and $B_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*} N^{*}, N\right\rangle & =\left\langle\delta^{\prime} N+\left(\delta k_{2}-\gamma k_{3}\right) B_{1}+\gamma^{\prime} B_{2}, N\right\rangle \\
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*} N^{*}, B_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\delta^{\prime} N+\left(\delta k_{2}-\gamma k_{3}\right) B_{1}+\gamma^{\prime} B_{2}, B_{2}\right\rangle \\
0 & =\gamma^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are constants, thus (3.10) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*} N^{*}=\left(\delta k_{2}-\gamma k_{3}\right) B_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*}=\delta k_{2}-\gamma k_{3}, \\
N^{*}=B_{1} . \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiate (3.12) with respect to $s$

$$
\begin{gather*}
N^{* \prime}=B_{1}^{\prime} \\
f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} B_{1}^{*}=-k_{2} N+k_{3} B_{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{-k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}}, \quad e=\frac{k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.13) turns into

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}^{*}=c N+e B_{2} \\
c^{2}+e^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\frac{c}{e}=t_{2}$, then $c=e t_{2}$, from (3.14)

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =\frac{-k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
e t_{2} & =\frac{-k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
\frac{k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} t_{2} & =\frac{-k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
k_{3} & =\frac{-k_{2}}{t_{2}} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

from (3.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
& c^{2}+e^{2}=1 \\
& e^{2}=\frac{1}{t_{2}^{2}+1} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

from (3.8) and (3.16)

$$
\begin{align*}
b t_{1} k_{3} & =a k_{1}-b k_{2} \\
b t_{1}\left(\frac{-k_{2}}{t_{2}}\right) & =a k_{1}-b k_{2} \\
\tau & =\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=\frac{a t_{2}}{b\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)} \\
\tau & =\frac{\frac{a}{b} t_{2}}{\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=-k_{3} t_{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

substitute (3.19) in (3.8)

$$
\begin{gather*}
b t_{1} k_{3}=a k_{1}-b k_{2} \\
\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}=\frac{a}{\left(b t_{1}-b t_{2}\right)}=-\frac{1}{t_{2}} \tau . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\frac{\gamma}{e}=t_{3}$, then $\gamma=e t_{3}$, from (3.14)

$$
\begin{gather*}
e=\frac{k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}=\frac{k_{3} t_{3}}{\gamma}=e^{-1} k_{3} \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{3} & =\frac{\gamma}{e} \\
t_{3}^{2} & =\frac{\gamma^{2}}{e^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

substitute (3.9) and (3.17) in the above equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{3}^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{e^{2}} \\
t_{3}^{2}=\frac{1+t_{2}^{2}}{1+t_{1}^{2}} . \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiate (3.15) with respect to $s$ and using (2.1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}^{* \prime}=c^{\prime} N+c N^{\prime}+e^{\prime} B_{2}+e B_{2}^{\prime} \\
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+c^{\prime} N+\left(c k_{2}-e k_{3}\right) B_{1}+e^{\prime} B_{2} . \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

So by taking inner product on both-sides of (3.23) with $N$ and $B_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}, N\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+c^{\prime} N+\left(c k_{2}-e k_{3}\right) B_{1}+e^{\prime} B_{2}, N\right\rangle \\
0 & =c^{\prime} \\
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}, B_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+c^{\prime} N+\left(c k_{2}-e k_{3}\right) B_{1}+e^{\prime} B_{2}, B_{2}\right\rangle \\
0 & =e^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $c$ and $e$ are constants, thus (3.23) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+\left(c k_{2}-e k_{3}\right) B_{1} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

substitute (3.12) and (3.21) in (3.24)

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=e^{-1} k_{3} B_{1}+\left(c k_{2}-e k_{3}\right) B_{1}, \\
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=c\left(t_{2} k_{3}+k_{2}\right) B_{1}, \tag{3.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

we may choose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{2}^{*}=c B_{1}  \tag{3.26}\\
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*}=\left(t_{2} k_{3}+k_{2}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Summarising the above discussion, we obtain the following
Theorem 1. Let $\phi: I \subset R \rightarrow G_{4}$ be a regular parameterized curve and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are its curvatures $k_{i} \neq 0$. If $\phi$ has the ( 0,2 )-involute mate curve $\phi^{*}(s)=\phi(s)+\left(a_{0}-s\right) T(s)+b(s) B_{1}(s)$ with $b \neq 0$, then $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ satisfy

$$
\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=\tau, \quad \frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}=-\frac{1}{t_{2}} \tau \text { and } \tau=\frac{\left(a_{0}-s\right) t_{2}}{b\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}
$$

where $a_{0}, b$ and $t_{2}$ are constants, moreover, the three curvatures of $\phi^{*}(s)$ are given by

$$
k_{1}^{*}=-\frac{\left(t_{1} t_{2}+1\right)}{b\left(1+t_{2}^{2}\right)}, \quad k_{2}^{*}=\frac{t_{3}}{b} \text { and } k_{3}^{*}=0
$$

its frenet frame can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{*} & =e t_{3}\left(t_{1} N+B_{2}\right), \\
N^{*} & =B_{1} \\
B_{1}^{*} & =e\left(t_{2} N+B_{2}\right) \\
{ }^{*} & =e t_{2} B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case 2

$b=0$, in this case (3.2) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*}(s)=\phi(s)+\left(a_{0}-s\right) T(s) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate (3.27) with respect to $s$ and using (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} T^{*}=\left(a_{0}-s\right) k_{1} N, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime}=\left(s-a_{0}\right) k_{1} \\
T^{*}=-N . \tag{3.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiate (3.29) with respect to $s$ and using (2.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{*^{\prime}} & =-N^{\prime} \\
f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*} N^{*} & =-k_{2} B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{*}=e B_{1}  \tag{3.30}\\
& e=\frac{-k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{1}^{*}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating (3.30) with respect to $s$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} B_{1}^{*}=-e k_{2} N+e^{\prime} B_{1}+e k_{3} B_{2} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by taking dot product on both-sides of (3.31) with $B_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} B_{1}^{*}, B_{1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle-e k_{2} N+e^{\prime} B_{1}+e k_{3} B_{2}, B_{1}\right\rangle \\
0 & =e^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $e$ is a constant, therefore (3.31) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} B_{1}^{*}=-e k_{2} N+e k_{3} B_{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

let

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=\frac{e k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}}, \quad q=\frac{-e k_{2}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}}  \tag{3.33}\\
& B_{1}^{*}=p B_{2}+q N \\
& p^{2}+q^{2}=1
\end{align*}
$$

from (3.33) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p k_{2}+q k_{3}=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.34) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}=-\frac{p}{q} \tau \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\frac{e}{p}=t_{1}, e=p t_{1}$, from (3.33)

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\frac{e k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
\frac{e}{t_{1}} & =\frac{e k_{3}}{f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*}} \\
f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} & =t_{1} k_{3} \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating (3.33) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+q^{\prime} N+\left(q k_{2}-p k_{3}\right) B_{1}+p^{\prime} B_{2}, \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by taking dot product on both-sides of (3.37) with $N$ and $B_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}, N\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+q^{\prime} N+\left(q k_{2}-p k_{3}\right) B_{1}+p^{\prime} B_{2}, N\right\rangle \\
0 & =q^{\prime} \\
\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}, B_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+q^{\prime} N+\left(q k_{2}-p k_{3}\right) B_{1}+p^{\prime} B_{2}, B_{2}\right\rangle \\
0 & =p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $p$ and $q$ are constants, thus (3.37) turns to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=f^{\prime} k_{2}^{*} N^{*}+\left(q k_{2}-p k_{3}\right) B_{1} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

by substituting (3.33) and (3.36) in (3.38) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*} B_{2}^{*}=k_{1}\left\{p \frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{2}-1\right)+q \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right\} B_{1}=\frac{k_{1} \tau}{q}\left(1-e^{2}\right) B_{1} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime} k_{3}^{*}=k_{1} \tau\left(e^{2}-1\right)  \tag{3.40}\\
B_{2}^{*}=-q^{-1} B_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Summarising the above discussion, we obtain the following.
Theorem 2. Let $\phi: I \subset R \rightarrow G_{4}$ be a regular parameterized curve and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are its curvatures $k_{i} \neq 0$. If $\phi$ has the ( 0,2 )-involute mate curve $\phi^{*}(s)=\phi(s)+\left(a_{0}-s\right) T(s)$, then $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
p k_{2}+q k_{3}=0,  \tag{3.41}\\
\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=\tau, \quad \frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}=-\frac{p}{q} \tau,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a_{0}, p$ and $q$ are given constants, moreover, the three curvatures of $\alpha^{*}(s)$ are given by

$$
k_{1}^{*}=-\frac{k_{2}}{e\left(s-a_{0}\right) k_{1}}, \quad k_{2}^{*}=\frac{-p t_{1} \tau}{q\left(s-a_{0}\right)} \text { and } k_{3}^{*}=\frac{\tau\left(e^{2}-1\right)}{\left(s-a_{0}\right)},
$$

its frenet frame can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{*} & =-N \\
N^{* \prime} & =p t_{1} B_{1} \\
B_{1}^{*} & =q N+p B_{2} \\
B_{2}^{*} & =-q^{-1} B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. From theorems 1 and 2 we can see that the above two cases are quite different with each other.
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