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# LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS CONNECTED WITH $k$-FIBONACCI NUMBERS 
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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ are defined by

$$
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and it is known that $\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+2 e^{-2}\right)=0,635 \cdots$. The problem of the best upper bounds for $\left|\delta_{n}\right|$ of univalent functions for $n \geq 3$ is still open. Let $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}, \quad \tau_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+4}}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

In the present paper, we determine the sharp upper bound for $\left|\delta_{1}\right|,\left|\delta_{2}\right|$ and $\left|\delta_{3}\right|$ for functions $f$ belong to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ which is a subclass of $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, a general formula is given for $\left|\delta_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N})$ as a conjecture.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the set of complex numbers and $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ be the set of positive integers. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, and let the class $\mathcal{P}$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}=\{p \in \mathcal{H}: p(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \Re(p(z))>0(z \in \mathbb{U})\}
$$

For two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

[^0]if there exists a Schwarz function
$$
\omega \in \Omega:=\{\omega \in \mathcal{H}: \omega(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|\omega(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})\}
$$
such that
$$
f(z)=g(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Rightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions $f$ normalized by

$$
f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0
$$

Each function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of all functions in the normalized analytic function class $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

We denote the class which consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are starlike of order $\alpha$ by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}$.

By means of the principle of subordination, Yılmaz Özgür and Sokól 13 defined the following class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$, connected with a shell-like region described by a function $\tilde{p}_{k}$ with coefficients depicted in terms of the $k$-Fibonacci numbers where $k$ is a positive real number. The name attributed to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ is motivated by the shape of the curve

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\tilde{p}_{k}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right): \varphi \in[0,2 \pi) \backslash\{\pi\}\right\}
$$

The curve $\Gamma$ has a shell-like shape and it is symmetric with respect to the real axis. For more details about the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$, please refer to 11,13 .
Definition 1. [13] Let $k$ be any positive real number. The function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ if it satisfies the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \tilde{p}_{k}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-\left(\tau_{k}^{2}-1\right) z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+4}}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=1$, the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ which consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1) satisfying

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \tilde{p}(z)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}(z):=\tilde{p}_{1}(z)=\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau:=\tau_{1}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This class was introduced by Sokól 10].
Definition 2. [3] For any positive real number $k$, the $k$-Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{k, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is defined recurrently by

$$
F_{k, n+1}=k F_{k, n}+F_{k, n-1} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

with initial conditions

$$
F_{k, 0}=0, \quad F_{k, 1}=1
$$

Furthermore $n^{\text {th }} k$-Fibonacci number is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k, n}=\frac{\left(k-\tau_{k}\right)^{n}-\tau_{k}^{n}}{\sqrt{k^{2}+4}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ is given by (4).
For $k=1$, we obtain the classic Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ :

$$
F_{0}=0, \quad F_{1}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad F_{n+1}=F_{n}+F_{n-1} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

For more details about the $k$-Fibonacci sequences please refer to $7,9,12,14$.
Yılmaz Özgür and Sokól 13 showed that the coefficients of the function $\tilde{p}_{k}(z)$ defined by (3) are connected with $k$-Fibonacci numbers. This connection is pointed out in the following theorem.
Theorem 1. [13] Let $\left\{F_{k, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ be the sequence of $k$-Fibonacci numbers defined in Definition 2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}:=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{p}_{k, n} z^{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{k, 1}=k \tau_{k}, \quad \tilde{p}_{k, 2}=\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2}, \quad \tilde{p}_{k, n}=\left(F_{k, n-1}+F_{k, n+1}\right) \tau_{k}^{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be found the more results related to Fibonacci numbers in $7,12,14$.

Remark 1. 13] For each $k>0$,

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\alpha_{k}\right), \quad \alpha_{k}=\frac{k}{2 \sqrt{k^{2}+4}}
$$

that is, $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ is a starlike function of order $\alpha_{k}$, and so is univalent.
For a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the logarithmic coefficients $\delta_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{f(z)}{z}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and play a central role in the theory of univalent functions. The idea of studying the logarithmic coefficients helped Kayumov [8] to solve Brennan's conjecture for conformal mappings. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then it is known that

$$
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+2 e^{-2}\right)=0,635 \cdots
$$

(see [2]). The problem of the best upper bounds for $\left|\delta_{n}\right|$ of univalent functions for $n \geq 3$ is still open.

The main purpose of this paper is to determine the upper bound for $\left|\delta_{1}\right|,\left|\delta_{2}\right|$ and $\left|\delta_{3}\right|$ for functions $f$ belong to the univalent function class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$. To prove our main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. 11] If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and

$$
p(z) \prec \tilde{p}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}, \quad \tau_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+4}}{2},
$$

then we have

$$
\left|p_{1}\right| \leq k\left|\tau_{k}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|p_{2}\right| \leq\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2}
$$

The above estimates are sharp.
Lemma 2. [5] If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and

$$
p(z) \prec \tilde{p}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}, \quad \tau_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+4}}{2},
$$

then we have

$$
\left|p_{3}\right| \leq\left(k^{3}+3 k\right)\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3} .
$$

The result is sharp.
Lemma 3. 1 If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and

$$
p(z) \prec \tilde{p}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \tau_{k} z-\tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}}, \quad \tau_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+4}}{2},
$$

then we have

$$
\left|p_{2}-\gamma p_{1}^{2}\right| \leq k\left|\tau_{k}\right| \max \left\{1,\left|k^{2}+2-\gamma k^{2}\right| \frac{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{k}\right\}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. The above estimates are sharp.
Lemma 4. 2R Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2 \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Lemma 5. 4] Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$
2 c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

for some $x,|x| \leq 1$, and

$$
4 c_{3}=c_{1}^{3}+2 c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x-c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z
$$

for some $z,|z| \leq 1$.
Lemma 6. [1] If the function $f$ given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{k}^{2}\left(k^{2}+1-\lambda k^{2}\right) \quad, \quad \lambda \leq \frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}+k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}} \\
\frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} \\
\tau_{k}^{2}\left(\lambda k^{2}-k^{2}-1\right) \quad, \quad \lambda \geq \frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}+k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}-k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}} \\
\text { If } \frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}+k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{k^{2}+1}{k^{2}}, \text { then }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \\
& \left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right|+\left(\lambda-\frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}+k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}}\right)\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{k^{2}+1}{k^{2}} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}-k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right|+\left(\frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) \tau_{k}-k}{2 k^{2} \tau_{k}}-\lambda\right)\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Lemma 7. [6] If the function $f$ given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S L}^{k}$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \tau_{k}^{4}
$$

The bound is sharp.
Lemma 8. [6] If the function $f$ given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S L}^{k}$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq k\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3}
$$

The bound is sharp.
2. The coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10) Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq \frac{k}{2}\left|\tau_{k}\right|, \quad\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{k^{2}+2}{4} \tau_{k}^{2}, \quad\left|\delta_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k^{3}+3 k}{6}\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ is defined by (4). Each of these results is sharp. The equalities are attained by the function $\tilde{p}_{k}$ given by (3).
Proof. Firstly, by differentiating (10) and equating coefficients, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{2} \\
\delta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right), \\
\delta_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}+\frac{1}{3} a_{2}^{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$, then by the principle of subordination, there exists a Schwarz function $\omega \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\tilde{p}_{k}(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\tilde{p}_{k}$ is given by (8). Therefore, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z):=\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{P}$. Now, defining the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from $\sqrt[12]{ }$ and $\sqrt[13]{ }$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\tilde{p}_{k}\left(\frac{g(z)-1}{g(z)+1}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{c_{1}}{2} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) z^{3}+\cdots
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{p}_{k}(\omega(z)) & =1+\frac{\tilde{p}_{k, 1} c_{1}}{2} z+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tilde{p}_{k, 1}+\frac{1}{4} c_{1}^{2} \tilde{p}_{k, 2}\right] z^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \tilde{p}_{k, 1}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tilde{p}_{k, 2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{8} \tilde{p}_{k, 3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by using (13) in (15), and considering the values $\tilde{p}_{k, j}(j=1,2,3)$ given in (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=\frac{k \tau_{k}}{2} c_{1},  \tag{17}\\
& p_{2}=\frac{k \tau_{k}}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2}}{4} c_{1}^{2},  \tag{18}\\
& p_{3}=\frac{k \tau_{k}}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right)+\frac{\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2}}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\left(k^{3}+3 k\right) \tau_{k}^{3}}{8} c_{1}^{3} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, a simple calculation shows that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+a_{2} z+\left(2 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(3 a_{4}-3 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots
$$

which, in view of (14), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=p_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}}{2}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{p_{1}^{3}+3 p_{1} p_{2}+2 p_{3}}{6} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ from 20, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}, \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{1}{4} p_{2}, \quad \delta_{3}=\frac{1}{6} p_{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we get the desired results. This completes the proof of theorem.

Conjecture. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (11) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10 . Then

$$
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leq \frac{F_{k, n-1}+F_{k, n+1}}{2 n}\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

where $\left\{F_{k, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is the Fibonacci sequence given by (7).
This conjecture has been verified for the values $n=1,2,3$ by the Theorem 2 .
Letting $k=1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by (10). Then

$$
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau|, \quad\left|\delta_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{4} \tau^{2}, \quad\left|\delta_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3}|\tau|^{3}
$$

where $\tau$ is defined by (6). Each of these results is sharp. The equalities are attained by the function $\tilde{p}$ given by (5).

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10. Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\gamma \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{4} \max \left\{1,\left|k^{2}+2-\gamma k^{2}\right| \frac{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{k}\right\}
$$

Proof. By using (21), the desired result is obtained from the equality

$$
\delta_{2}-\gamma \delta_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(p_{2}-\gamma p_{1}^{2}\right) \quad(\gamma \in \mathbb{C})
$$

and Lemma 3 .
Letting $k=1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10 . Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\gamma \delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{4} \max \{1,|(3-\gamma) \tau|\}
$$

If we take $\gamma=1$ in Theorem 3, then we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10. Then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\tau_{k}^{2}}{2} & , \quad 0<k \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{4} & k \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\end{cases}
$$

Letting $k=1$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ be given by (1) and the coefficients of $\log (f(z) / z)$ be given by 10. Then

$$
\left|\delta_{2}-\delta_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\tau^{2}}{2}
$$

## 3. The coefficients of the inverse function

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. In fact, the Koebe one-quarter theorem 2 ensures that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, which is defined by

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

In fact, for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) the inverse function $f^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots=: w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} w^{n} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k} \subset \mathcal{S}$, the functions $f$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ are invertible.
Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22). Then we have

$$
\left|A_{2}\right| \leq k\left|\tau_{k}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|A_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} \max \left\{1,2\left|1-k^{2}\right| \frac{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{k}\right\}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Proof. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$, and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22 . Then using 20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=-a_{2}=-p_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
A_{3}=2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{2}-3 p_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

The upper bound for $\left|A_{2}\right|$ is clear from Lemma 1 . Furthermore by considering Lemma 3 we obtain the upper bound of $\left|A_{3}\right|$ as

$$
\left|A_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} \max \left\{1,2\left|1-k^{2}\right| \frac{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{k}\right\}
$$

Finally, for the sharpness, we have by (8) that

$$
\tilde{p}_{k}(z)=1+k \tau_{k} z+\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\tilde{p}_{k}\left(z^{2}\right)=1+k \tau_{k} z^{2}+\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2} z^{4}+\cdots
$$

From this equalities, we obtain

$$
p_{1}=k \tau_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{2}=\left(k^{2}+2\right) \tau_{k}^{2}
$$

and

$$
p_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad p_{2}=k \tau_{k},
$$

respectively. Thus, it is clear that the equality for $\left|A_{2}\right|$ is attained for the function $\tilde{p}_{k}(z)$; and the equality for the first value of $\left|A_{3}\right|$ is attained for the function $\tilde{p}_{k}\left(z^{2}\right)$, for the second value of $\left|A_{3}\right|$ is attained for the function $\tilde{p}_{k}(z)$. This evidently completes the proof of theorem.

Remark 2. It is worthy to note that the coefficient bound obtained for $\left|A_{3}\right|$ in Theorem 4 is the improvement of [11, Corollary 2.4].

Theorem 5. Let $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22. Then we have

$$
\left|A_{2}\right| \leq|\tau|, \quad\left|A_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|A_{4}\right| \leq 2|\tau|^{3}
$$

Each of these results is sharp.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (22). Then the upper bounds for $\left|A_{2}\right|$ and $\left|A_{3}\right|$ are obtained as a consequence of Theorem 4 when $k=1$. From (22), we have

$$
-A_{4}=5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4} .
$$

By using 20 in the above equality, we obtain

$$
-A_{4}=\frac{8}{3} p_{1}^{3}-2 p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{1}{3} p_{3}
$$

By (17)-(19), this equality gives

$$
A_{4}=-\frac{\tau}{6}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{1-6 \tau^{2}}{4} c_{1}^{3}\right)
$$

By means of Lemma 5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4} & =\frac{\tau}{6}\left[\frac{1}{4} c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z+\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2} c_{1}^{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{\tau}{24}\left[6 \tau^{2} c_{1}^{3}+\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{c_{1} x^{2}-2\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As per Lemma 4, it is clear that $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 2$. Therefore letting $c_{1}=c$, we may assume without loss of generality that $c \in[0,2]$. Hence, by using the triangle inequality, it is obtained that

$$
\left|A_{4}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{24}\left[6 \tau^{2} c^{3}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left\{c|x|^{2}+2\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\right\}\right] .
$$

Thus, for $\mu=|x| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\left|A_{4}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{24}\left[6 \tau^{2} c^{3}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left\{c \mu^{2}+2\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\right\}\right]:=F(c, \mu) .
$$

Now, we need to find the maximum value of $F(c, \mu)$ over the rectangle $\Pi$,

$$
\Pi=\{(c, \mu): 0 \leq c \leq 2,0 \leq \mu \leq 1\}
$$

For this, first differentiating the function $F$ with respect to $c$ and $\mu$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F(c, \mu)}{\partial c}=\frac{|\tau|}{24}\left[18 \tau^{2} c^{2}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left\{c \mu^{2}+2\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\right\}\right]
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial F(c, \mu)}{\partial \mu}=\frac{|\tau|}{12}\left(4-c^{2}\right)(c-2) \mu
$$

respectively. The condition $\frac{\partial F(c, \mu)}{\partial \mu}=0$ gives $c=2$ or $\mu=0$, and such points $(c, \mu)$ are not interior point of $\Pi$. So the maximum cannot attain in the interior of $\Pi$. Now to see on the boundary, by elementary calculus one can verify the following:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\max _{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} F(0, \mu)=F(0,0)=\frac{|\tau|}{3}, & \max _{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} F(2, \mu)=F(2,0)=2|\tau|^{3} \\
\max _{0 \leq c \leq 2} F(c, 0)=F(2,0)=2|\tau|^{3}, & \max _{0 \leq c \leq 2} F(c, 1)=F(2,1)=2|\tau|^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Comparing these results, we get

$$
\max _{\Pi} F(c, \mu)=2|\tau|^{3}
$$

(see Figure 1). Also note that

$$
\tilde{p}(z)=1+\tau z+3 \tau^{2} z^{2}+4 \tau^{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

by (8) with $k=1$. From this equality, we obtain

$$
p_{1}=\tau, \quad p_{2}=3 \tau^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{3}=4 \tau^{3} .
$$

On the other hand, the sharpness of the upper bounds of $\left|A_{2}\right|$ and $\left|A_{3}\right|$ is known from Theorem 4 and it is seen that the equality for $\left|A_{4}\right|$ is attained for the function $\tilde{p}(z)$. This evidently completes the proof of theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22 . Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\left|A_{3}-\gamma A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} \max \left\{1,2\left|1-(1-\gamma) k^{2}\right| \frac{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{k}\right\}
$$

Proof. By using (20), the desired result is obtained from the equality

$$
A_{3}-\gamma A_{2}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{2}-(3-2 \gamma) p_{1}^{2}\right] \quad(\gamma \in \mathbb{C})
$$

and Lemma 3.
Letting $k=1$ in Theorem 6, we obtain following consequence.
Corollary 5. Let $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22 . Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\left|A_{3}-\gamma A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2} \max \{1,2|\gamma \tau|\}
$$

If we take $\gamma=1$ in Theorem 66 then we obtain the following result.
Corollary 6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22). Then

$$
\left|A_{3}-A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\tau_{k}^{2} & , \quad 0<k \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} & , \quad k \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\end{cases}
$$



Figure 1. Mapping of $F(c, \mu)$ over $\Pi$
Letting $k=1$ in Corollary 6. we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 7. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ be given by $\mathbb{1}$, and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (22). Then

$$
\left|A_{3}-A_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \tau^{2} .
$$

Theorem 7. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be given by (11), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by (22). Then

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{4}-A_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\left(1+k^{2}\right) \tau_{k}^{4} & , \quad 0<k \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \tau_{k}^{4}+\frac{k^{3}\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3}}{2} & , \quad k \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{3}-A_{4}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
4 k\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3} & 0<k \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\
k\left|\tau_{k}\right|^{3}+\frac{3 k^{2} \tau_{k}^{2}}{2} & , \quad k \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{k}$ be of the form (1) and its inverse $f^{-1}$ be given by 22 . Then we obtain

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{4}-A_{3}^{2}\right|=\left|a_{2}^{2}\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)+\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{3}-A_{4}\right|=\left|3 a_{2}\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)-\left(a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right)\right| .
$$

Hence, applying triangle inequality, we have

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{4}-A_{3}^{2}\right| \leq\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{3}-A_{4}\right| \leq 3\left|a_{2}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|
$$

respectively. On the other hand, from Lemma 6] we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\tau_{k}^{2} & , \quad 0<k \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}  \tag{24}\\ \frac{k\left|\tau_{k}\right|}{2} & , \quad k \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\end{cases}
$$

Furhermore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq k\left|\tau_{k}\right| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using (23) together with Lemma 1. Now, by considering Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we get the desired estimates.

Letting $k=1$ in Theorem 7, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 8. Let $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ be given by (1), and $f^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $f$ defined by 22. Then

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{4}-A_{3}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \tau^{4}
$$

and

$$
\left|A_{2} A_{3}-A_{4}\right| \leq 4|\tau|^{3}
$$
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