THE VIEWS AND BEHAVIOURS OF WOMEN ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSIBILITY IN HOUSEHOLD ACTIVITIES*

Prof. Dr. Sibel ERKAL** / Prof. Dr. Zeynep COPUR*

Abstract

The pilot study was planned and carried out to determine views and behaviors of women living in Ankara-Turkey, on distribution of responsibility in household activities. This study was conducted in the region of Eryaman-Ankara, which is thought to represent families in different socio-economic levels in 2005. A total of 600 women were selected by a Systematic Sampling Method which was statistically determined. This research is a descriptive study. Depending on the prepared questionnaire form, the data was collected through face-to-face interviews with women in the families. According to the results, women in the family are responsible for carrying out physical and some social activities. The women think that women and men should take the responsibility together in some social and economic activities. This results show that women think the traditional structure in household activities should change.

Key Words: Women, Household Activities, Gender Roles, Domestic Chores, Division of Domestic Chores,

^{*} This manuscript was presented at the Annual Meetings of the International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS), October 2010, Kuşadası, Turkey.

^{**} Hacettepe Üniversitesi İ.İ.B.F. Aile ve Tüketici Bilimleri Bölümü

^{***} Hacettepe Üniversitesi İ.İ.B.F. Aile ve Tüketici Bilimleri Bölümü

KADINLARIN EVLE İLGİLİ FAALİYETLERDE SORUMLULUK Dağılımı konusundaki davranış ve görüşleri

Özet

Bu pilot çalışma Ankara da yaşayan kadınların evle ilgili faaliyetlerde sorumluluk dağılımı konusundaki davranış ve görüşlerini belirlemek amacıyla planlanmış ve yürütülmüştür. Araştırma farklı sosyoekonomik düzeydeki katılımcıları temsil edeceği düşünülen Ankara-Eryaman bölgesinde 2005 yılında yapılmıştır. Evreni temsil edebilecek örneklem sayısı istatistiksel yöntemlerle belirlenmiş ve toplam 600 kadın araştırma kapsamına alınmıştır. Araştırma tanımlayıcı nitelikte bir çalışmadır. Hazırlanan anket formuna bağlı olarak, veriler ailede kadın ile yapılan yüz yüze görüşme sonucu toplanmıştır. Araştırma sonuçlarına göre, evle ilgili fiziksel ve bazı sosyal aktiviteleri yürütmekten ailede kadınlar sorumludur. Kadınlar bazı sosyal ve ekonomik faaliyetlerin yürütülmesinde kadın ve erkeğin birlikte sorumluluk almaları gerektiğini düşünmektedirler. Bu sonuç kadınların evle ilgili faaliyetlerdeki geleneksel yapının değişmesi gerektiği düşüncesinde olduklarını göstermektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Kadın, Evle İlgili Faaliyetler, Cinsiyet Rolleri, Ev İşleri, Ev İşlerinin Dağılımı

1. INTRODUCTION

The roles of men and women within the family are socially constructed that shows the expectations of society. The tasks within a family unit are distributed among family members as social roles. Role distribution is not only technical phenomenon, but is strongly influenced by sociological and psychological factors (Imamoglu, 1991:832; Murstein, 1970:465).

All members of the family should take part in domestic chores to the extent that their age and skills allow, because equal distribution of responsibilities plays an important role in solving the problems of family life, establishing positive relations between family members, forming a group solidarity and unity, and transmitting experiences about life (Safak, 1985:6). Domestic chores as unpaid work contribute to the well-being of family members and maintenance of their home (Shelton, John, 1996:337).

Most of the research focuses on the domestic chores, which consists of physical activities such as cleaning, laundry, and cooking. Few studies include the other components of domestic chores, like child care (Coltrane, 2000:1208; Lee, Waite, 2005:328).

The increased participation of women in the labor force has served as an impetus for growing interest in the effect of their employment on the division of domestic chores (Lobel et al., 2001:829). Women's participation in the labor force has increased dramatically over the past several decades. Today, the majority of women, including

those with small children, work in paid labor. Yet, women's and men's time in domestic chores remains unequal (Kroska, 2004:900). Indoor domestic chores are still unequally divided between most couples, with wives doing almost twice more work than husbands (Lennon, Rosenfield, 1994: 506; Wilkie et al, 1998:577).

The division of domestic work remains as a source of conflict in contemporary marriages: men and women often hold different views on how domestic work should be allocated, and have a disagreement, over the division of labor causes marital dissatisfaction (Wilkie et al., 1998:577; Himsel, Goldberg, 2003:843). The problem of the unequal division of domestic work extends beyond marital satisfaction and affects individual well-being (Himsel, Goldberg, 2003:843)

There have been changes in the dimension of attitude toward domestic chores, which is currently limited in behavioral dimension. Evidence on the relationship between wife's employment status and husband's domestic chores is also inconsistent. Some observers note that husbands'participation in household activities increases when their wives work outside the home for pay (Weingarten, 1978:43; Coverman, 1985:81), whereas other find husbands'attitudes tend to become unfavorable toward women in the labor market since they are not used to see their wives in the labor market (Ferber, 1982: 457). In Turkey, men are observed to have more traditional gender role attitudes than women. Women's participation in the labor force is seen to lead to improvements in the relationships of couples as well as changing the meanings related to the roles and responsibilities of men and women. Studies, done in Turkey on this issue, reveal that most domestic chores are still performed by women (Atalay, Kontas, Beyazit, and Madenoglu, 1993; Dokmen, 1997:39). This study was planned and carried out to determine the views and behaviors of women who living in Ankara-Turkey, on distribution of responsibility in household activities.

1.1 Literature Review

Previous studies show that the responsibilities of men's and women's for the household activities differs, in the way that women do a wider range of household activities, and spend much more time doing domestic work (Miller, Garrison, 1982:237). Berk and Berk (1979) examined the differences between daytime and evening patterns of household activities for wives and husbands. They found that working women did more domestic work and child-care tasks in the morning than did their husbands. Schooler et al. (1984) found that wives are responsible for and actually do a vastly wider range of household activities than husbands. Working women react similarly to similar domestic work and paid-work conditions; this is not the case for men. Ross (1987) examined that 76 percent of the wives who are

employed full-time still do the majority of the domestic work. Mederer (1993) listed three tasks for which men are responsible as care of cars, household repair, and snow/lawn care, whereas wives are overwhelmingly responsible for the household management items, but assigning chores, making money decisions, and arranging the home repairs are less obviously skewed toward the wife. Furthermore Davis and Greenstein (2004) found that respondents in households with a full-time working wife compared with a non-working wife were almost twice as likely to report that the husband performed at least half of the domestic work. 27 % of the respondents with a part-time working wife in the household stated that the husband performed at least half of the domestic work. Cunningham (2007) examined women's employment status at a given point in time also increases men's relative participation in routine domestic work and women's employment status influences in part by increasing women's support for egalitarian roles between spouses. In Turkey, Başaran (1984) reported that both men and women stated that women must take more responsibilities than men related to domestic chores. In this context both men and women exhibit more traditional behavior. Ozgun and Hanig (2005) found that regardless of whether they were rearing typical or atypical children, mothers reported a higher level of involvement than fathers in every domain of childcare. Motherhood is still regarded as central to women's status in Turkey's patriarchal culture. According to Adana and etc.'s (2011) research majority of participants (%75) stated that household activities should be shared between men and women and half of the participants stated that men should decide on the way how money is spent. According to Uslu (2011), apart from the technical knowledge and skill requiring tasks (maintenance, repair), all household activities is mostly (%88-95) done by women.

2. METHOD

This study was conducted in Eryaman neighborhood in Ankara, which is thought to represent families in different socio-economic levels in 2005. Women to be interviewed were chosen via "Systematic Sampling Method" by using house determination forms in the Eryaman Health Care Centre No. 2. Sampling number that would represent the population was statistically determined and a total of 600 women were included in the study.

This research is a descriptive study. While collecting data, various questions were addressed in order to determine women's household activities. Depending on the prepared questionnaire form, the data of the study was collected by face-to-face interviews with the women in families. Since some families were not interested in such activities as garden care; domestic animal care; painting; whitewash; patient and child care; buying a present; buying cinema and theatre tickets; buying books;

arranging indoor leisure activities; taking children to school, helping them study and meeting teachers, the number of respondents were diminished for these topics.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 shows the people who responsible for household activities. It was found that 13 physical activities performed in the house, 10 of those daily house cleaning (90.5 %), preparing food (89.2 %), laundry (88.0 %), ironing (85.2 %), washing-up (83.3 %), occasional cleaning (79.2 %), preparing and clearing the dining table (77.5 %), child care (43.0 %), patient care (26.5 %) and garden care (3.7 %) are performed by women. Maintenance–repair works (48.0 %) and domestic animal care (4.3 %) activities are performed by men. It was also found that painting–whitewash works (57.0 %) are conducted mostly by paid laborers. This result indicates that the traditional culture continues to assign women as the primary responsible for physical domestic chores. Consistent with current study, many studies have shown that most domestic work is done by women (Imamoğlu, 1991: 382; Manke et al., 1994: 657; Schooler et al., 1984:97; Uslu, 2011). In a study conducted by the Republic of Turkey Prime Ministry General Directorate of Women's Status and Problems (1998), it was found that, in terms of sharing the load of domestic work, mostly women performed the tasks.

According to the working status, it was determined that daily house cleaning, food preparation, laundry, ironing, washing up, occasionally cleaning, preparing and cleaning the dining table, among the physical activities in the house are mostly performed by women in all three working status. It was found that men took more part in patient and child care in families with women employed outside the home. For garden care, those employing paid workers come to the forefront in all three working status. There is a high rate of respondents mentioning that domestic animal care is conducted together in the families with working and retired women, and conducted by women in the families with nonworking women. Results revealed that painting–whitewash works were conducted mostly by paid laborers in all three working status. Traditional patterns continue and women do more physical domestic chores. However, men take more responsibility in some matters such as patient care and child care in families with working outside the home. Consistent with our study, Ross (1987) found that even when a woman works, domestic chores remained her responsibility.

Results show that while paying an installment and bills are men's responsibility (44.2 %), other activities such as shopping (43.7 %), budgeting (46.5 %) and saving (50.3 %) are administered by both women and men. This result gives the impression that

Table1: The Person Who Performs Household Activities by Working Status of Women.

								Does It							
Activities	Working status	Wo	omen	Μ	len	Тос	ether	Dau	ghter	So	on	Pa	id	Ot	her
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
1. Daily house cleaning	Working Nonworking	91 375	81.3 92.8	1	0.9	7 11	6.3 2.7	2 17	1.8 4.2	1	0.9	7	6.3 0.2	3	2.7
	Retirement	77	91.7	-	-	4	4.8	3	3.6	-	-	<u>-</u>	0.2	_	
	Total	543	90.5	1	0.2	22	3.7	22	3.7	1	0.2	8	1.3	3	0.5
							x²=41	.048 (if:12 p	=0.00	00				
2. Occasional cleaning	Working	65	58.0	2	1.8	8	7.1	3	2.7	-	-	31	27.7	3	2.7
(e.g. cleaning the	Nonworking Retirement	342	84.7 81.0	-		16 5	4.0	24 2	5.9 2.4		12	21	5.2 9.5	1 1	0.2
windows)	Total	475	79.2	2	0.3	29	4.8	29	4.8	-	-	60	10.0	5	0.8
							χ ² =60.								
3. Food preparation	Working	90	80.4	3	2.7	13	11.6	1	0.9	-	-	2	1.8	3	2.7
	Nonworking Retirement	372 73	92.1 86.9	1	0.2	18 8	4.5 9.5	12 2	3.0 2.4	-	-	-	-	1 1	0.2
	Total		89.2	4	0.7	39	6.5	15	2.5	-	-	2	0.3	5	0.8
							χ²=29		df:1 p						
4. Preparing and	Working	69	61.6	4	3.6	28	25.0	8	7.1	-		1	0.9	2	1.8
clearing the dining table	Nonworking Retirement	331	81.9	2	0.5	30 9	7.4	39 10	9.7 11.9	1	0.2	-		1 0	0.2
	Total	465	77.4 77.5	6	1.0	67	11.2	57	9.5	1	0.2	1	0.2	3	0.5
	•						χ ² =41.	.248 d	lf:12 p	=0.0	00				
5. Washing-up	Working	83	74.1	2	1.8	16	14.3	5	4.5	-		1	0.9	5	4.5
or maoning ap	Nonworking Retirement	347	85.9	2	0.5	17	4.2	34	8.4	-		-		4	1.0
	Total	70 500	83.3 83.3	4		6 39	7.1 6.5	46	8.3 7.7	-		1	0.2	1 10	1.2 1.7
	•				•		χ²=30	.750 c	lf:10 p	=0.00	03	•			
6. Laundry	Working	92	82.1	2	1.8	10	8.9	2	1.8	-		1	0.9	5	4.5
o. Eddhary	Nonworking	361	89.4	2	0.5	12	3.0	20	5.0	-		1	0.2	8	2.0
	Retirement Total	75 528	89.3 88.0	-	0.7	5 27	6.0 4.5	2 24	2.4 4.0	-		2	0.3	2 15	2.4 2.5
							χ ² =16.		lf:10 p	=0.0	91				
7. Ironing	Working	84	75.0	3	2.7	10	8.9	3	2.7	-	1	9	8.0	3	2.7
, noning	Nonworking Retirement	352 75	87.1 89.3	2	0.5	15 4	3.7	31	7.7 1.2	-		3 2	0.7 2.4	1 2	0.2
	Total	511	85.2	5	0.8	4 29	4.0	35	5.8	-		14	2.4	6	1.0
							χ ² =42.	.137 (lf:10 p	=0.0	00				
8. Garden Care	Working	3	2.7	4	3.6	6	5.4	-		-		10	8.9	1	0.9
o. Garuen Gare	Nonworking	15	3.7	11	2.7	8	2.0	2	0.5	-		26	6.4	6	1.5
	Retirement Total	4 22	4.8 3.7	1 16	1.2 2.7	3 17	3.6 2.8	- 2	0.3	1 1	1.2 0.2	5 41	6.0 6.8	1 8	1.2 1.3
		1 2 2					$\chi^2 = 11.$						0.0		
9 Domostic Animal Cara	Working	6	5.4	1	0.9	8	7.1	-		-	T	-		1	0.9
9. Domestic Animal Care	Nonworking	15	3.7	4	1.0	14	3.5	-		1	0.2	3	0.7	4	1.0
	Retirement Total	3 24	3.6 4.0	1 6	1.2 1.0	4 26	4.8 4.3	-		1 2	1.2 0.3	2 5	2.4 0.8	1 6	1.2 1.0
								883 d	f:12 p				-		
10. Painting – whitewash	Working	5	4.5	4	3.6	5	4.5	-		-		75	67.0	-	
in an an an an an an an an an an an an an	Nonworking	17	4.2	30	7.4	11	2.7	1	0.2	1	0.2	219	54.2	-	
	Retirement Total	1 23	1.2 3.8	4 38	4.8 6.3	5 21	6.0 3.5	1	0.2	3 4	3.6 0.7	48 342	57.1 57.0	-	
							χ ² =22.								
							x = 22.	-00-0	π.τ2 β						

								Who	Does I	t					
Activities	Working status	Wo	omen	N	len	Τος	jether	Dau	ghter	S	on	Pa	_	Otl	ner
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
11. Maintenance - repair	Working Nonworking	6 24	5.4 5.9	51	45.5 50.2	6 12	5.4 3.0	-		- 5	1.2	49 157	43.8 38.9	- 3	0.7
	Retirement	4	4.8		40.5	3	3.6	-		7	8.3	36	42.9	-	0.7
	Total	34	5.7	288	48.0	21	3.5	-		12	2.0	242	40.3	3	0.5
							χ ² =21		lf:10 p		19				
12. Child care	Working	23	20.5	2	1.8	27	24.1	-		-		7	6.3	2	1.8
	Nonworking Retirement	200	49.5 41.7	2 2	0.5	17 8	4.2	-				1	0.2	1	0.2
	Total	258			1.0	52	8.7	-		-		11	1.8	3	0.5
							χ ² =76								
13. Patient care	Working	25	22.3	-	Γ	33	29.5	-		-		3	2.7	1	0.9
	Nonworking	103		1	0.2	32	7.9	-	1.0	-		2	0.5	-	
	Retirement Total	31 159	36.9 26.5	- 1	0.2	14 79	16.7 13.2	1	1.2 0.2	-		1	1.2 1.0	- 1	0.2
		1.00	2010	-	1012		χ ² =53.	•		0.0=0	00				012
	Working	25	22.3	11	9.8	74	66.1	1	0.9	-		_		1	0.9
14. Shopping	Nonworking	127	31.4		25.0		39.1	11	2.7	3	0.7	1	0.2	3	0.7
	Retirement	41	48.8		7.1	30	35.7	-		6	7.1	1	1.2	-	
	Total	193	32.2	118	19.7		43.7 χ²=68	12	2.0	9	1.5	2	0.3	4	0.7
	Working	19	17.0	15	13.4		χ =08 68.8	.389 (ar:⊺2 p)=0.0				- 1	0.9
15. Budgeting	Nonworking	81	17.0		34.7		41.1	7	1.7	1	0.2	-		1 9	2.2
	Retirement	29	34.5	17	20.2	36	42.9	-		1	1.2	1	1.2	-	
	Total	129	21.5	172	28.7	279	46.5	7	1.2	2	0.3	1	0.2	10	1.7
								.254 c	lf:12 p	=0.00	00				
16.Saving	Working	25	22.3		10.7	74	66.1	-	4.5	-		-		1	0.9
-	Nonworking Retirement	98	24.3 36.9		24.8 16.7	192 36	47.5 42.9	6	1.5	1	1.2	-		8 2	2.0 2.4
	Total	154			21.0			6	1.0	1	0.2	-		11	1.8
							χ²=30.	882 c	lf:10 p		01				
17. Paying an	Working	21	18.8		33.0	52	46.4	1	0.9	-	1	-		1	0.9
installment – bills	Nonworking	85			52.0		19.6	10	2.5	13	3.2	1	0.2	6	1.5
	Retirement Total	27 133	32.1 22.2		21.4 44.2	27 158	32.1 26.3	3 14	3.6 2.3	8 21	9.5 3.5	1	0.2	1 8	1.2 1.3
							χ ² =64							-	
18. Serving the houseguests	Working	50	44.6	3	2.7	55	49.1	1	0.9	-		-		3	2.7
To. berving the houseguests	Nonworking	298		8	2.0	74	18.3	20	5.0	-		1	0.2	3	0.7
	Retirement Total	62 410	73.8 68.3		1.2 2.0	18 147	21.4 24.5	3 24	3.6 4.0	-		-	0.2	- 6	1.0
							χ ² =51			=0.00	00				
19 Buying a procent	Working	33	29.5	4	3.6	63	56.3	2	1.8	1	0.9	-		3	2.7
19. Buying a present	Nonworking	131	32.4	14	3.5		31.2	43	10.6		0.2	-		10	2.5
	Retirement Total	23	27.4 31.2		1.2		32.1 36 0		10.7 9 n		4.8 1.0	-		3 16	3.6 2.7
		1.07	10112			2.13	χ ² =51								
20 Ruving cinama	Working	26	23.2	13	11.6	52	46.4	4	3.6	1	0.9	-		2	1.8
20. Buying cinema theatre tickets	Nonworking	75	18.6	33	8.2	90	22.3	29	7.2	6	1.5	-		4	1.0
	Retirement Total	14	16.7 19.2	4 50	4.8	24	28.6 27.7	7 40	8.3 6.7	4 11	4.8	-		- 6	1.0
	Total	115	19.2	50	8.3						1.8			0	1.0
							χ ² =54	.806 0	df:12 p	b=0.0	00				

	Who Does It														
Activities	Working status	Wo	men	M	en	Tog	ether	Dau	ighter	Son		Paid		Other	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
21. Buying books	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	33 102 19 154	29.5 25.2 22.6 25.7	6 23 4 33	5.4 5.7 4.8 5.5	22	46.4 20.0 26.2 25.8	4 29 8 41	3.6 7.2 9.5 6.8	1 6 5 12	0.9 1.5 6.0 2.0	-		3 5 - 8	2.7 1.2 1.3
	χ²=58.883 df:12 p=0.000														
22. Arranging domestic leisure activities	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	26 143 24 193	23.2 35.4 28.6 32.2	3	5.4 5.2 3.6 5.0	114 27	58.9 28.2 32.1 34.5	3 33 8 44	2.7 8.2 9.5 7.3	- 4 3 7	1.0 3.6 1.2	- - -		2 3 2 7	1.8 0.7 2.4 1.2
		χ^2 =48.843 df:12 p=0.000													
23. Taking children to the school	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	17 80 12 109	15.2 19.8 14.3 18.2	-	4.5 5.7 4.7	19 25 7 51	17.0 6.2 8.3 8.5	2 3 - 5	1.8 0.7 0.8	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	1 1 - 2	0.9 0.2 0.3	9 14 2 25	8.0 3.5 2.4 4.2
							χ²=33.		df:12 p	o=0.00	02				
24. Helping children study	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	14 67 13 94	12.5 16.6 15.5 15.7	2	1.8 6.9 2.4 5.3	25 39 6 70	22.3 9.7 7.1 11.7	1 2 - 3	0.9 0.5 0.5	- - -		1	0.9 0.2	6 8 - 14	5.4 2.0 2.3
							χ²=34.	255 c	df:12 p	o=0.00	01				
25. Meeting teachers	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	21 88 13 122	18.8 21.8 15.5 20.3	20 3	5.4 5.0 3.6 4.8	41 6	22.3 10.1 7.1 12.0	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	- - -		- - -		2 4 - 6	1.8 1.0 1.0
							χ²=19.	853 d	df:10 p	0=0.0	31				

they are more egalitarian in economic activities relating to the home whether the woman works outside or not. A high rate of respondents indicated that couples do the budgeting and saving together. Many respondents also claimed that the women is responsible for shopping among retired couples; men and women shopping together either women are work outside or stay at home as housewives. A high rate of respondents answered that men and women pay installments and bills together when the women are work outside the home; men pay the bills if women are housewives. Results showed that retired women and men manage their financial obligations together.

The study revealed that women are responsible for four social activities: serving houseguests (68.3 %), taking children to school (18.2 %), helping children's study (15.7 %) and meeting with the teachers (20.3 %). Women and men are responsible together for activities such as buying presents (36.0 %), buying cinema–theatre tickets (27.7 %) and buying books (25.8%). Women and men are responsible together for arranging indoor leisure activities (34.5 %). This result reveals women's regulatory role within the family.

In general, retired women and non-working women are responsible for serving guests in home. Women and men entertain together in families with women who are work outside the home. Both sexes buy books and presents together and arrange leisure activities in home with retired women and in those with women working outside the home. Cinema and theatre tickets are purchased together in all of the three groups. Women with no income are solely responsible for taking children to school, helping them with their study, and meeting with teachers. However, women who work outside the home share these responsibilities with others.

Table 2 shows opinions about who should take responsibility for household activities. As seen in Table 2, those who believe women are supposed to carry out any physical activities except for garden care, domestic animal care, painting and whitewash, maintenance-repair and patient care come to the forefront: daily house cleaning 64.5 %, occasional cleaning 41.2 %, food preparation71.3 %, preparing and cleaning the dining table 52.8 %, washing up 62.0 %, laundry 70.2 %, ironing 66.8 %, child care 29.0 %. Higher numbers of participants think that painting/whitewash (60.5 %) should be conducted by wage labor, patient care (23.8 %) should be conducted by both sexes and maintenance-repair (45.8 %) should be conducted by men. This result reinforces the idea that traditional culture still holds women responsible for most of the physical activities. This belief is widespread among women themselves. In this study, working women appear to have more egalitarian opinions than nonworking women. Our results have similarities with the earlier research (Başaran, 1984; Adana and etc., 2011).

The current study demonstrates that there are significant number of women who think that women should be responsible for daily house cleaning, food preparation, laundry and ironing among the physical activities in all of the three working status. These respondents also believe that paid laborers should be responsible for occasional cleaning and maintenance/repair for families with women who work outside the home and/or retired. In families with housewives reported that women's responsibility to do occasional cleaning and men's responsibility to do maintenance and repair. A higher number of respondents think that preparing and cleaning the dining table, washing up and child care activities should be conducted by all in the families with women employed outside the home. Likewise many think that these chores should be the women's tasks in retired families and those with women who were housewives. A high rate of respondents thinks that child care should be women's responsibility when the women are housewives; it should be a cooperative effort in homes with women retired. It was found that the higher rate of people who think that domestic animal care and patient care should be conducted by both sexes together in all of the three working status. This gives the impression that families are more inclined towards an egalitarian distribution of roles as a result of women's

Table 2: Women's Opinions Regarding Who Should Have the Responsibilityfor Household Activities by Working Status

						٧	Vho S	hould	Be Re	spon	sibility				
Activities	Working status	Wo	men	Μ	len	Tog	ether	Dau	ighter	S	on	Pa	id	Ot	ner
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
1. Daily house cleaning	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	53 293 41 387	47.3 72.5 48.8 64.5	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	35 54 19 108	31.3 13.4 22.6 18.0	1 30 10 41	0.9 7.4 11.9 6.8	1 - - 1	0.9 0.2	19 25 9 53	17.0 6.2 10.7 8.8	3 1 5 9	2.7 0.2 6.0 1.5
							χ²=68.		df:12 p						
2. Occasional cleaning (e.g. cleaning the windows)	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	19 204 24 247	17.0 50.5 28.6 41.2	1 1 - 2	0.9 0.2 0.3	31 53 13 97	27.7 13.1 15.5 16.2	2 23 6 31	1.8 5.7 7.1 5.2			57 121 38 216	50.9 30.0 45.2 36.0	2 2 3 7	1.8 0.5 3.6 1.2
							χ ² =64.	941 c	lf:12 p		00				
3. Food preparation	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	56 318 54 428	50.0 78.7 64.3 71.3	1 - - 1	0.9 0.2	46 63 18 127	41.1 15.6 21.4 21.2	2 14 6 22	1.8 3.5 7.1 3.7			4 8 4 16	3.6 2.0 4.8 2.7	3 1 2 6	2.7 0.2 2.4 1.0
							χ ² =50				00				
4. Preparing and clearing the dining table	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	34 242 41 317	30.4 59.9 48.8 52.8	3 - 3	2.7 0.5	61 92 23 176	54.5 22.8 27.4 29.3	9 57 15 81	8.0 14.1 17.9 13.5	- 2 - 2	0.5 0.3	3 8 4 15	2.7 2.0 4.8 2.5	2 3 1 6	1.8 0.7 1.2 1.0
							χ²=60.		df:12 p						
5. Washing-up	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	47 280 45 372	42.0 69.3 53.6 62.0			50 67 20 137	44.6 16.6 23.8 22.8	5 44 12 61	4.5 10.9 14.3 10.2			4 8 4 16	3.6 2.0 4.8 2.7	6 5 3 14	5.4 1.2 3.6 2.3
							χ ² =52	.812	df:8 p	=0.00	00				
6. Laundry	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	61 310 50 421	54.5 76.7 59.5 70.2	1	0.2 0.2	36 48 18 102	32 1 11 9 21 4 17.0	3 24 9 36	2.7 5.9 10.7 6.0			5 10 4 19	4.5 2.5 4.8 3.2	7 11 3 21	6.3 2.7 3.6 3.5
							χ²=38				ос				
7. Ironing	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	52 295 54 401	46.4 73.0 64.3 66.8	2 2 - 4	1.8 0.5 0.7	32 46 14 92	28.6 11.4 16.7 15.3	4 34 7 45	3.6 8.4 8.3 7.5	- - -		18 23 7 48	16.1 5.7 8.3 8.0	4 4 2 10	3.6 1.0 2.4 1.7
		1					χ ² =44.			=0.0	00				
8. Garden Care	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	1 8 - 9	0.9 2.0 1.5	2 10 3 15	1.8 2.5 3.6 2.5	6 12 6 24	5.4 3.0 7.1 4.0	2 - 2	0.5 0.3			15 32 6 53	13.4 7.9 7.1 8.8	- 4 - 4	1.0 0.7
							χ²=15.	254 (df:12 p	0=0.2	28				
9. Domestic Animal Care	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	1 5 1 7	0.9 1.2 1.2 1.2	3 3 1 7	2.7 0.7 1.2 1.2	12 22 8 42	10.7 5.4 9.5 7.0	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	- 2 1 3	0.5 1.2 0.5	- 5 1 6	1.2 1.2 1.0	- 3 - 3	0.7 0.5
							χ ² =14.		df:14 p		42				
10. Painting – whitewash	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	- 7 - 7	1.7 1.2	2 22 4 28	1.8 5.4 4.8 4.7	6 15 5 26	5.4 3.7 6.0 4.3	2 - 2 2	0.5 0.3	- 1 2 3	0.2 2.4 0.5	81 232 50 363	72.3 57.4 59.5 60.5	-	
							χ ² =22.		df:12 p		29				

						V	Vho S	hould	Be Re	spons	sibility				
Activities	Working status		omen		len	_	ether		ghter	So		Pai	_	Ot	_
11. Maintenance - repair	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	n 2 9 3 14	% 1.8 2.2 3.6 2.3	34	% 43.8 47.5 40.5 45.8	n 24 5 37	% 7.1 5.9 6.0 6.2	n - 1 - 1	% 0.2 0.2	n - 5 5 10	% 1.2 6.0 1.7	n 52 171 37 260	% 46.4 42.3 44.0 43.3	n 1 2 - 3	% 0.9 0.5 0.5
							χ ² =13		df:12 p	o=0.3	16				
12. Child care	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	14 138 22 174	26.2	1 1	0.9 0.2 1.2 0.5	37 79 24 140	33.0 19.6 28.6 23.3	1	0.2 0.2			6 1 1 8	5.4 0.2 1.2 1.3	3 1 - 4	2.7 0.2 0.7
							χ²=46	.512 (df:12 p		00				
13. Patient care	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	12 50 16 78	10.7 12.4 19.0 13.0			76 25	37.5 18.8 29.8 23.8	- 1 1	1.2 0.2			7 12 5 24	6.3 3.0 6.0 4.0	1 - - 1	0.9 0.2
							χ²=37	.106 (df:10 p	0=0.0	00				
14. Shopping	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	13 59 20 92	11.6 14.6 23.8 15.3	88 8	11.6 21.8 9.5 18.2	47	74.1 58.9 56.0 61.3	1 10 1 12	0.9 2.5 1.2 2.0	- 2 7 9	0.5 8.3 1.5	- 1 1 2	0.2 1.2 0.3	2 6 - 8	1.8 1.5 1.3
					•		χ ² =43	.769 (df:12 p	0=0.0	00	•			
15. Budgeting	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	10 39 20 69	8.9 9.7 23.8 11.5	10	9.8 25.0 11.9 20.3	50	80.4 61.4 59.5 64.7	- 7 - 7	1.7 1.2	- 1 3 4	0.2 3.6 0.7	- - 1 1	1.2 0.2	1 8 - 9	0.9 2.0 1.5
								.373 (df:12 p	o=0.0	00				
16.Saving	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	14 57 19 90	12.5 14.1 22.6 15.0		8.0 18.1 10.7 15.2	49	78.6 63.4 58.3 65.5	6 6	1.5 1.0	- 3 3	3.6 0.5	- - - -		1 12 4 17	0.9 3.0 4.8 2.8
							χ²=34	.632 (00				
17. Paying an installment – bills	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	11 39 16 66	9.8 9.7 19.0 11.0	19	31.3 50.7 22.6 43.2	35	57.1 31.9 41.7 38.0	1 8 4 13	0.9 2.0 4.8 2.2	- 15 9 24	3.7 10.7 4.0	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	1 7 1 9	0.9 1.7 1.2 1.5
							χ²=57	.683 (df:12 p	o=0.0	00				
18. Serving the houseguests	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	29 197 44 270	25.9 48.8 52.4 45.0	-	2.7 1.0 1.2	31	67.9 41.6 36.9 45.8	1 30 7 38	0.9 7.4 8.3 6.3			- 2 - 2	0.5 0.3	3 3 2 8	2.7 0.7 2.4 1.3
							χ ² =44	.186 (df:10 p	0.0=	00				
19. Buying a present	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	17 70 13 100	15.2 17.3 15.5 16.7	1	5.4 4.0 1.2 3.8	188 37	67.0 46.5 44.0 50.0	2 34 8 44	1.8 8.4 9.5 7.3	1 1 4 6	0.9 0.2 4.8 1.0	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	5 15 4 24	4.5 3.7 4.8 4.0
									lf:14 p						
20. Buying cinema theatre tickets	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	12 33 9 54	10.7 8.2 10.7 9.0	13 29 3 45	11.6 7.2 3.6 7.5	139 31	56.3 34.4 36.9 38.8	4 21 5 30	3.6 5.2 6.0 5.0	1 5 4 10	0.9 1.2 4.8 1.7	- - - -		5 9 2 16	4.5 2.2 2.4 2.7
							χ ² =47	.759 (df:12 p	0=0.0	00				

	Who Should Be Responsibility														
Activities	Working status	Wo	omen	M	en	Tog	ether	Dau	ighter	Son		Paid		Ot	ner
		n	%		%	n	%		%	n	%	n	%		%
21. Buying books	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	22 57 10 89	19.6 14.1 11.9 14.8	6 19 3 28	5.4 4.7 3.6 4.7	28	55.4 31.2 33.3 36.0	3 24 8 35	2.7 5.9 9.5 5.8	1 5 5 11	0.9 1.2 6.0 1.8	- - -		5 15 4 24	4.5 3.7 4.8 4.0
	χ^2 =51.366 df:12 p=0.000														
22. Arranging domestic leisure activities	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	13 80 15 108	11.6 19.8 17.9 18.0	14 2	5.4 3.5 2.4 3.7	37	68.8 44.8 44.0 49.2	2 30 6 38	1.8 7.4 7.1 6.3	- 3 3 6	0.7 3.6 1.0			5 10 4 19	4.5 2.5 4.8 3.2
		χ^2 =38.424 df:12 p=0.000													
23. Taking children to the school	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	7 40 5 52	6.3 9.9 6.0 8.7	7 26 1 34	6.3 6.4 1.2 5.7	12	26.8 15.8 14.3 17.7	2 3 - 5	1.8 0.7 0.8	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	1 1 - 2	0.9 0.2 0.3	6 12 3 21	5.4 3.0 3.6 3.5
		•		-	•		χ²=22.	.753 d	df:14 p	=0.06	54		•	-	
24. Helping children study	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	4 32 6 42	3.6 7.9 7.1 7.0	3 26 2 31	2.7 6.4 2.4 5.2	38 79 13 130	33.9 19.6 15.5 21.7	1 2 - 3	0.9 0.5 0.5	- - -		1 - 1	0.9 0.2	2 5 - 7	1.8 1.2 1.2
							χ²=25	.646 c	lf:12 p	=0.01	12				
25. Meeting teachers	Working Nonworking Retirement Total	13 46 6 65	11.6 11.4 7.1 10.8	21 2	6.3 5.2 2.4 5.0	84 14	30.4 20.8 16.7 22.0	- 1 - 1	0.2 0.2	- - -		- - -		- 2 - 2	0.5 0.3
							χ²=13.		df:10 p	p=0.1					

participation in the workforce. Cunnigham (2007) found that women's employment status at a given point in time increases men's relative participation in routine housework, and the influence of women's employment status operates in part by increasing women's support for egalitarian roles between spouses.

We also confirmed that higher rate of people noted women and men should be responsible for shopping (61.3 %), budgeting (64.7 %), and saving (65.5 %) together. These respondents also believe that men should be responsible for paying installments/bills (43.2%).

A high rate of respondents point out that budgeting, saving and shopping should be conducted together in all of the three working status. These respondents also believe that paying installments and bills should be shared among families with women who work outside the home or with retired women. Husbands and wives increased their financial cooperation as a result of wives'participation in the workforce.

It was found that all social activities (serving the houseguests 45.8%, buying presents 50.0 %, buying cinema and theatre tickets 38.8 %, buying books 36.0 %, arranging

domestic leisure activities 49.2 %, taking children to school 17.7 %, helping children to study 21.7 %, meeting teachers 22.0 %) are thought to be conducted by both sexes.

Many respondents reported that all social activities, except for serving houseguests, should be conducted by men and women together. Many respondents reported that hospitality should be a joint responsibility in households with women work outside. These respondents also think that women should serving houseguests who visit families with retired women or families with housewives.

4. CONCLUSION

This study shows that most of the responsibility for physical, economic and social activities belongs to parents and especially to mothers. Most men still do much less domestic chores than women do. Women still perform most routine cooking and cleaning tasks, although fewer man confine their efforts to the occasional outside chore, husbands rarely take full responsibility for a wide range of domestic chores. Our results also show that even though women take more responsibility for household activities, their opinions were more inclined towards women and men having a balanced division of domestic chores related to some social and economic activities.

In conclusion, gender differences were found to be persistent in distribution of husband and wife responsibilities for domestic chores. Women remained primarily responsible for household activities. However, the ideal is participation of all family members in household activities in accordance with their ages and skills. Egalitarian distribution of household responsibilities can be ensured by raising awareness of this goal through formal and non–formal education. Families can be informed with the support of visual and written media, research companies, universities, nongovernmental organizations etc. Also similar researches should conduct in order to lead the family in an accurate way.

Limitations of the current study must be considered when interpreting results. The respondents were not a representative sample of the society, so the observations cannot be generalized to the population in general. Participants were relatively married women, who were middle and upper-middle class. Further research is warranted using broader and more representative samples, especially including a wider range of socioeconomic backgrounds and aspirations. A second limitation is the absence of specific information on particular kinds of satisfaction with division. The survey does not identify measure of the satisfaction of performance in domestic chores and measure of conflict for both genders. Further research of distribution of responsibility in household activities is needed to determine these measures.

REFERENCES

Adana, Filiz, Hülya Arslanbaş, Filiz Ergin, Necla Biçer, Nilüfer Kıranşal and Sultan Şahin (2011).
Views of Male University Students about Social Gender Roles: An Example from East of
Turkey. J. Fam. Viol, 26, 519-526.

- Atalay, Beşir, Mehmet Y Kontas, Sema Beyazıt and Kemal Madenoglu (1993). *Türk Aile Yapısı Araştırmaları*. DPT: 2313, Nisan, Ankara.
- Başaran, Fatma (1984). Ailede Cinsiyet Rollerine İlişkin Tutum Değişmeleri. *Sosyal Bilimler Derneği Yayınları*, 145-160.
- Benin Mary Holland and Debra A Edwards (1990). Adolescents' chores: The difference between dual-and single earner families. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 52, 361-373.
- Blair, Sampson and Daniel Lichter (1991). Measuring the division of household labor: Gender segregation of housework among couples. *Journal of Family Issues*, 12, 91-113.
- Brines, John (1994). Economic dependency, gender and the division of labor at home. *American Journal of Sociology*, 100, 652-688.
- Coltrane, Scott (2000). Research on household labor: Modeling and measuring the social embeddedness of routine family work. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62, 1208-1233.
- Coverman, Shelley (1985). Explaining husbands'participation in domestic labor. *The Sociological*
- Quarterly, 26 (1), 81-97.
- Cunnigham, Mick (2007). Influences of women's employment on the gendered division of household labor over the life course. *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(3), 422-444.
- Davis, Shannon N, Theodore, N. Greenstein, Jennifer P. Gerteisen (2004). Cross-national variations in the division of household labor. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 66, 1260-1271.
- Denmark, Florence, Jeffrey Shaw and Samuel Ciali (1985). The relationship among sex roles, living arrangements, and the division of household responsibilities. *Sex Roles*, 12(5/6) 617-25.
- Dokmen, Zehra (1997). Calısma, cinsiyet ve cinsiyet rolleri ile ev isleri ve depresyon iliskisi. *Türk Psikoloji Dergisi*, 12(39), 39-53.

- Ferber, Marianne (1982). Labor market participation of young married women: causes and effects. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, .44, 457-468.
- Goldscheider, Frances and Linda Waite (1991). New families, no families. Berkeley: University of California Press. (In: Manke, B, Seery B L, Crouter A C and Michael, S. M. (1994). The three corners of domestic labor: Mothers', fathers', and children's weekday and weekend housework. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 56, 657-668.
- Himsel, Amy and Wendy Goldberg (2003). Social comparison and satisfaction with the division of housework: implications for men's and women's role strain. *Journal of Family Issues*, 24(7), 843-866.
- Imamoglu, Olcay E. (1991). Aile icinde kadın-erkek rolleri. *Turk Aile Ansiklopedisi*, T.C. Basbakanlık Aile Arastırma Kurumu, pp.832-35.
- Kamo, Yoshinori (1994). Division of household work in the United States and Japan. *Journal of Family Issues*, 15, 348-378.
- Kluwer, Esther, Jose Heesink, and Evert Van de Vliert (2002). The division of labor across the transition to parenthood. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64, 930-943.
- Kroska, Amy (2004). Divisions of domestic work. Journal of Family Issues, 25(7), 900-932.
- Lee, Yun Su and Waite Linda (2005). Husbands'and wives'time spent on housework: a comparison of measures. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67, 328-336.
- Lennon, Maryclare, Sarah, Rosenfield (1994). Relative fairness and the division of housework: the importance of options. *American J Sociology*, 100, 506-531.
- Lobel, Thalma, Michelle Slone, Yael Ashuach and Iris Revach (2001). Division of household labor and social judgments in Israel: the influence of gender and education. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 63(3), 829-839.

Manke, Beth, Brenda L Seery, Ann C Crouter and Susan M Mchale (1994). The three corners of domestic labor: Mothers', fathers', and children's weekday and weekend housework. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 56, 657-668.

- Miller, Joanne, Howard Garrison (1982). Sex roles: The division of labor at home and in the workplace. *Annual Review of Sociology*. pp.237-262.
- Mederer, Helen J (1993). Division of labor in two-earner homes: task accomplishment versus household management as critical variables in perceptions about family work. *Journal*

63

of Marriage and Family. 5, 133-145.

Murstein, Bernard (1970). Stimulus-value-role: A theory of marital choice. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 3, 465-81.

Ross, Catherine (1987). The division of labor at home. Social Forces, 65(3), 816-833.

- Safak, Sükran (1985). Ailede sorumluluk dagilimi ve bunu etkileyen faktörler. *Hacettepe Universitesi Ev Idaresi ve Aile Ekonomisi Programı Doktora Tezi*, Ankara.
- Schooler, Carmi, Joanne Miller, Karen Miller and Canol Richtand (1984). Work for the household: its nature and consequences for husband and wives. *American Journal of Sociology*, 90(1), 97-124.
- Shelton, Beth Anne and Daphne John (1996). The division of household labor. Annual Review of Sociology, 22, 299-322. (Accessible from: Erickson, R. J. (2005). Why emotion work matters: Sex, gender, and the division of household labor. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67, 337-351.
- T.C. Basbakanlık Kadının Statüsü ve Sorunları Genel Müdürlügü (Republic of Turkey Prime Ministry Directorate General on the Status and the Problems of Women) (1998). *Cumburiyet'in 75. Yılında Türkiye'de Kadının Durumu.* Takav Matbaacılık Yayıncılık A.S. Ankara.
- Uslu, İbrahim (2011). Türkiye'de Aile Yapısı Araştırması. Aile ve Sosyal Politikalar Bakanlığı Yayınları, Afşaroğlu Matbaası, ISBN: 978-605-4628-37-7, Ankara.

Vander-Lippe Tanja, Kea Tijdens and Esther de Ruijter (2004). Outsourcing of domestic tasks and time- saving effects. *Journal of Family Issues*, 25 (2), 216-240.

Weingarten, Kathy (1978). The employment pattern of professional couples and their distribution of involvement in the family. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 3, 43-52.

Wilkie Jane, Ribbett, Myra Marx, Ferree and Kathryn Strother, Ractclift (1998). Gender end fairness: marital satisfaction in two earner couples. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 60, 577-594.