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#### Abstract

Graph coloring problem (GCP) is getting more popular to solve the problem of coloring the adjacent regions in a map with minimum different number of colors. It is used to solve a variety of real-world problems like map coloring, timetabling and scheduling. Graph coloring is associated with two types of coloring as vertex and edge coloring. The goal of the both types of coloring is to color the whole graph without conflicts. Therefore, adjacent vertices or adjacent edges must be colored with different colors. The number of the least possible colors to be used for GCP is called chromatic number. As the number of vertices or edges in a graph increases, the complexity of the problem also increases. Because of this, each algorithm can not find the chromatic number of the problems and may also be different in their executing times. Due to these constructions, GCP is known an NP-hard problem. Various heuristic and metaheuristic methods have been developed in order to solve the GCP. In this study, we described First Fit (FF), Largest Degree Ordering (LDO), Welsh and Powell (WP), Incidence Degree Ordering (IDO), Degree of Saturation (DSATUR) and Recursive Largest First (RLF) algorithms which have been proposed in the literature for the vertex coloring problem and these algorithms were tested on benchmark graphs provided by DIMACS. The performances of the algorithms were compared as their solution qualities and executing times. Experimental results show that while RLF and DSATUR algorithms are sufficient for the GCP, FF algorithm is generally deficient. WP algorithm finds out the best solution in the shortest time on Register Allocation, CAR, Mycielski, Stanford Miles, Book and Game graphs. On the other hand, RLF algorithm is quite better than the other algorithms on Leighton, Flat, Random (DSJC) and Stanford Queen graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Graph theory is a problem represented with vertices (nodes) and edges (arcs) [1]. Otherwise, graph coloring problem (GCP) is a problem where adjacent vertices or edges in graph must be colored by using different colors [2]. GCP was proposed by Francis Gutrie as the four color problem. Four color problem has described by F. Gutrie to solve the problem of coloring the adjacent regions in a map using the minimum number of diffrent colors [3].
Graph coloring is associated with two types of coloring as vertex and edge coloring [2]. The goal of the both types of coloring is to color the whole graph without conflicts. Therefore, adjacent vertices or edges must be colored with different colors. If there is at least one link (edge) between two nodes, it is called adjacent vertices. If the Fig. 1 examines, it can be seen that there is no edge between $V_{2}$ and $V_{4}$ vertices. Therefore, these vertices are not adjacent vertices. However, the other vertices in the graph are adjacent because there is at least one edge between each other. In the context of this study we described vertex coloring problem in graphs. If an undirected $=(V, E)$ graph is examining; $V$ is the set of vertices and $E$ is the set of edges. The graph which is given in Fig. 1, the set of vertices are $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ and the set of edges are $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$. In addition, $\mathrm{R}=$

[^0]$\{1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, k\}$ is the set of colors which are used for coloring the vertices. In this case, if the whole graph colored without conflicts is performed by utilizing the minimum number of different colors, it's called "k-coloring graph" [4]. This minimum number of different colors is known as chromatic number. Chromatic number is indicated by $\chi(\mathrm{G})[5,6]$.


Figure 1. A graph with four vertex and five edge
Graph coloring problem is mostly used for solving computer based applications and problems. Graph coloring algorithms are usable for solving the many engineering applications and realworld problems [2]. Some of the these problems are Map Coloring [7], Timetabling and Scheduling problems [8,9], Register Allocation problems [10,11], Sudoku problem [12] and Frequency Assignment problems [13].
As the number of vertices or edges in a graph increases, the complexity of the problem also increases. Because of this, each algorithm can not find the chromatic number of the problems and may also be different in their executing times. Due to these conditions, GCP is known an NP-hard problem [14]. Hence, for getting a better solution for GCP many hueristic and metahuestistic algorithms are developed. Huerictic algorithms generally can be used for a problem with fewer numbers of
vertices. On the other hand, for the complex graphs metahueristic algorithms can find better solutions [15].
Tabu Search (TS) Algorithm [16], Simulated Annealing (SA) Algorithm [17], Genetic Algorithm (GA) [7], Ant Colony (ACO) Algorithm [18], Cuckoo (COA) Algorithm [15] are some of the meta heueristic algorithms used for graph coloring problem. When the vertices in a graph $G$ are colored by means of the greedy algorithms, the coloring issue is performed with selecting and coloring methods of algorithms. These algorithms are called greedy algorithms because of the algorithms choice the best validy selection for every operation step. The greedy algorithms generally provide effective and sufficient results for vertex coloring [15]. In this study, we described First Fit (FF) [19], Largest Degree Ordering (LDO) [19], Welsh and Powell (WP) [5], Incidence Degree Ordering (IDO) [19], Recursive Largest First (RLF) [20] and Degree of Saturation (DSATUR) [21] algorithms which have been proposed in the literature for the vertex coloring problem and these algorithms were tested on benchmark graphs provided by DIMACS [22]. The performances of the algorithms were compared with each other in terms of their solution qualities and executing times.

## 2. Vertex Coloring Problem

If the vertices in a graph are colored with different colors without considering their adjacencies, this graph would be colored utilizing the number of the different colors which are equal to number of vertices. However, this is not a good solution for GCP. Because, the purpose of the GCP is to find the minimum number of the colors for adjacent vertices colored with different colors.
As the number of vertices or edges in a graph increases, the complexity of the graph also increases. Because of this, coloring the entire graph is getting difficult by the least possible different colors. Therefore, we need some particular methods for coloring the graphs. Thanks to particular methods, the graphs can be colored with minimal different colors.
Algorithms in the literature use the adjacency matrix for coloring the vertices of graphs. Adjacency matrix is generated based on the condition that whether any edge exists between vertices [1]. For a $G$ graph, the set of vertices are shown in the set of $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. The adjacency matrix of a graph is generated by the equation $1 . A$ is represents the adjacency matrix.

$$
A=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1,  \tag{1}\\
0
\end{array} \quad \text { if the exists an egde between } V_{i} \text { ile } V_{j}\right.
$$

Another important constraint for the selection of the vertex to be colored is vertex degree. A degree of a vertex in an undirected and unweight graph is equal to the total number of edges connected to the vertex. It's shown with $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ [1]. The graph given in Fig. 2 has seven vertices and nine edges.


Figure 2. A graph with seven vertices
Table 1 shows the adjacency matrix for the graph presented in Fig. 2 and and Table 2 shows the degrees of vertices for this graph.

Table 1. Adjacency matrix

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2. The degree of vertices

| Vertex | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Deg}(\mathrm{v})$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |

## 3. Vertex Coloring Algorithms In Graphs

At this section of the study we describe the steps of the some algorithms which have been proposed in the literature for the vertex coloring problem. Also these algorithms will be tested on the graph in Fig. 2. Then the selecting order of the vertices and the color of each vertex are given.

### 3.1. First Fit Algorithm (FF)

For the given $G$ graph, the set of the vertices is described as $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots ., v_{n}\right\}$ and the set of the colors is described as $R=$ $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right\}$. The steps of the algorithm:

Step 1: Create a color set. (initially the color set is empty).
Step 2: The first vertex in the set of V is selected as the starting vertex. The selected vertex is colored with first color and this color is added to color set.
Step 3: Next vertex in the set of V is selected for coloring.
Step 4: For selected vertex, find the adjacent vertices of it from the adjacency matrix. A color which is in the color set, but not color of the adjacent vertices of selected vertex is given to the selected vertex. If the colors in the color set unsuitable for coloring the selected vertex, a new color is defined. The new color is added to the color set and appointed to the selected vertex. If the uncolored vertex exists, it is returned to the step 3.

Table 3 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2. Selected order of the vertices and their colors are given in Table 3. According to Table 3, the first colored vertex is $A$ and the color $r_{1}$ is given this vertex. The last colored vertex is $G$ and the color $r_{3}$ is given this vertex.

Table 3. The result of the colored graph using the FF algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Vertex color | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ |

### 3.2. Welsh Powell Algorithm (WP)

For the given graph $\boldsymbol{G}$, the set of the vertices is described as $\boldsymbol{V}=$ $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots ., \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right\}$ and the set of the colors of the vertices is described as $\boldsymbol{R}=\left\{\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\}$. The steps of the WP algorithm:

Step 1: The vertex degree of each vertex is calculated and the vertex degrees are added to the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$, such that $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$.
Step 2: The uncolored vertex that has the largest degree in the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is selected for coloring. Initially, the first color in the color set is selected as the active color.

Step 3: The selected vertex is colored with active color. After that, find the uncolored vertices from adjacency matrix which are not adjacent vertices of the colored vertex and these vertices are added to the $V^{\prime} \operatorname{set}\left(V^{\prime}=\left\{v^{\prime}{ }_{1}, v^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \ldots, v^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right\}\right)$.

- The uncolored vertex that has the largest degree in the $V$ is selected for coloring. This vertex is colored with active color. After that, the adjacent vertices of the this vertex deleted from $V^{\prime}$. This step is repeated until all vertices colored in the set of $V^{\prime}$.

Step 4: If the uncolored vertex exists, next color in the color set is selected as active color and it is returned to the step 2. Otherwise the program is terminated, because all vertices in the graph are colored.

Table 4 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2. Selected order of the vertices and their colors are given in Table 4.

Table 4. The result of the colored graph using the WP algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 7 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Vertex color | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |

### 3.3. Largest Degree Ordering Algorithm (LDO)

For the given graph $\boldsymbol{G}$, the set of the vertices is described as $\boldsymbol{V}=$ $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots . . \boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right\}$ and the set of the colors of the vertices is described as $\boldsymbol{R}=\left\{\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\}$. The steps of the LDO algorithm:

Step 1: Create a color set (initially the color set is empty). The vertex degree of each vertex is calculated and the vertex degrees are added to the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$, such that $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Step 2: The uncolored vertex that has the largest degree in the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is selected for coloring. Firstly, the selected vertex is tried to color with the colors in the color set. If the color set is empty or the colors in the color set are not appropriate (all colors int the color set used from the adjacent vertices) for color the vertex, a new color is defined. The new color is added to the color set and appointed to the selected vertex.
Step 3: If the uncolored vertex exists, it is returned to the step 2. Otherwise the program is terminated.
Table 5 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2. Selected order of the vertices and their colors are given in Table 5 .

Table 5. The result of the colored graph using the IDO algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| Vertex color | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |

### 3.4. Incidence Degree Ordering Algorithm (IDO)

For the given graph $G$, the set of the vertices is described as $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots ., v_{n}\right\}$ and the set of the colors of the vertices is described as $R=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right\}$. The steps of the IDO algorithm:

Step 1: The vertex degree of each vertex is calculated and the vertices degrees are added to the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$, such that $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Initially, there is just one color in the color set.
Step 2: The uncolored vertex that has the largest degree in the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is selected for coloring. The selected vertex is colored with the first color.
Step 3: The number of the colored adjacent vertices is calculated for every uncolored vertices. After that, the uncolored vertex
whose colored neighboring vertices are the maximum is selected. If more than one vertex provides this condition, the vertex which has the largest degree among them is selected.
Step 4: Firstly, the selected vertex with the colors in the color set is tried to color. If the colors in the color set are not appropriate to color the vertex, a new color is defined. The new color is added to the color set and appointed to the selected vertex.
Step 5: If the uncolored vertex exists, it is returned to the step 3. Otherwise, the program is terminated.

Table 6 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2. Selected order of the vertices and their colors are given in Table 6.

Table 6. The result of the colored graph using the IDO algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
| Vertex color | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |

### 3.5. Degree of Saturation Algorithm (DSATUR)

For the given graph $G$, the set of the vertices is described as $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots ., v_{n}\right\}$ and the set of the colors of the vertices is described as $R=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right\}$. The steps of the DSATUR algorithm:
Step 1: The vertex degree of each vertex is calculated and the vertices degrees are added to the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$, such that $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Step 2: The uncolored vertex that has the largest degree in the degree set $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is selected for coloring. The selected vertex is colored with first color.
Step 3: Firstly, calculate the number adjacent vertices which are colored with different colors for every uncolored vertex. After that, the uncolored vertex whose number of adjacent vertices colored with different colors is the maximum is selected for coloring. If more than one vertex provide this condition, the vertex which has the largest degree among them is selected.
Step 4: Firstly, the selected vertex is tried to color with the colors in the color set. If the colors in the color set are not appropriate to color the vertex, a new color is defined. The new color is added to the color set and appointed to the selected vertex.
Step 5: If the uncolored vertex exists, it is returned to the step 3. Otherwise the program is terminated.

For DSATUR algorithm; Table 7 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2.

Table 7. The result of the colored graph using the DSATUR algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| Vertex color | $r_{3}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |

### 3.6. Recursive Largest First Algorithm (RLF)

RLF is used a recursive structure for coloring the vertices in graph. This recursive structure is the most important feature of the RLF algorithm [20]. According to this recursive structure, whole graph is colored with minimum different colors. For the given G graph, the set of the vertices is described as $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and the set of the colors is described as $R=$ $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right\}$. The steps of the RLF algorithm:
Step 1: Vertex degree is calculated for each vertex and the degrees of vertices added to the set of $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Initially, the first
color in the color set is selected as the active color. Select the uncolored vertex which has the largest degree from set of $\operatorname{Deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ for coloring.
Step 2: The selected vertex is colored with active color. Adjacent vertices of the selected vertex can not color with active color. But the uncolored vertices which are not adjacent vertices of the colored vertex can be colored with active color. So RLF uses a recursive structure for select the uncolored vertices to color with active color. During this process the below steps should be followed:

- Adjacent vertices of the selected vertex vi are found from adjacency matrix. Adjacent vertices are added to the adjacent set $\mathrm{U} .\left(U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots \ldots ., u_{t}\right\}\right)$
- The vertices which are not adjacent vertices of the selected vertex vi are found from adjacency matrix. These vertices are added to the set of $V^{\prime}$. Calculate the number adjacent vertices which are in the set of $U$ for every vertex in set of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. After that, the uncolored vertex whose has maximum adjacent vertices (which are in the set of $U$ ) in the set of $V^{\prime}$ is selected for coloring. The selected vertex is colored with active color.
- The colored vertex and the adjacent vertices of the colored vertex are deleted from $V^{\prime}$ and added to the set of U .
- If the set of $V^{\prime}$ is not empty, it is returned to the step 2. Otherwise move to step 3.

Step 3: If the uncolored vertex exists, next color in the color set is selected as active color. Otherwise the program is terminated.
Step 4: Calculate the number adjacent vertices for every uncolored vertex. After that, the uncolored vertex whose has maximum adjacent vertices is selected for coloring process. If more than one vertex provide this condition, the vertex which has the largest degree among them is selected. Then, it is returned to the step 2

For RLF algorithm; Table 8 shows the result for the graph shown in Fig. 2. Selected order of the vertices and their colors are given in Table 8.

Table 8. The result of the colored graph using the RLF algorithm

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected order | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Vertex color | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |

## 4. Experiment Result

FF, LDO, WP, IDO, DSATUR and RLF algorithms were tested
on benchmark graphs provided by DIMACS [22]. The reason of preferring the DIMACS graph, it's given a standard for performance comparison of the algorithms. The performances of the algorithms were compared as their solution quality and executing times. The edge density (D) of the benchmark graphs which are used in this study are calculated from equation 2 . E represents the number of the edges and V represents the vertices number of the graph [23].
$D=\frac{2 * E}{\mathrm{~V} *(\mathrm{~V}-1)}$
In this study we used Mycielski, CAR, Stanford Graph Base (SGB), Register Allocation, Leighton, Flat, Random (DSJC) and Random geometric (DSJR and R250) DIMACS graphs. V represents the number of the vertices, $E$ is the number of the edges, Den. represents density, Best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ means chromatic number or the best known number, R represents the number of colors that algorithms are found, T is computation time in seconds. The algorithms are written in the programming language Matlab R2010a. For experiments we used a Laptop computer. It has Intel Core i5 2.20 GHz processor and 8 GB DDR3 RAM.
Mycielski graphs are triangle free graphs. It's mean that the edge connections in the graph must be free of triangle. For mycielski graph, if the vertices in the graph increases, the number of the colors for coloring the graph increases too [24]. Stanford GraphBase (SGB) graphs are created from Donald Knuth. SGB graph can be divided to books, miles, game and queen graphs [22]. For books graphs, a character in the book represents a vertex. So the books graphs are created for holds to relationship between characters. If the characters in the book have relationship to each other, an edge is generated between two vertex which characters run across in the book. These books are Charles Dicken's David Copperfield (david), Victor Hugo's Les Misêrables (jean), Lev Tolstoy's Anna Karenina (anna), Homer's Iliad (homer) and Mark Twain's Huckleberry Finn (huck). For miles graphs the vertices represents some of the United States cities and if there is a road between two cities which provides the conditions, there is an edge generated between them. For game graph, any vertex in the graph represents a college team. There is an edge generated between for every two teams when the teams played to each other during the season. Table 9 shows the results for algorithms which are used for this study. Except the FF algorithm all other algorithms find out the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ results. In addition, FF algorithm finds out the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ results for graphs which are used for this study except miles graphs and anna, David, homer graph. On the other hand, if the algorithms compared to each other about their computation times, WP algorithm is reached these conclusions in a quite short time.

Table 9. The results and computation times for Mycielski and SGB graphs

| Graph | V | E | Den. | Best/ $\chi$ (G) | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| myciel3 | 11 | 20 | 0,33 | 4 | 4 | 0,0004 | 4 | 0,0023 | 4 | 0,0001 | 4 | 0,0002 | 4 | 0,0009 | 4 | 0,0001 |
| myciel4 | 23 | 71 | 0,27 | 5 | 5 | 0,0009 | 5 | 0,0077 | 5 | 0,0002 | 5 | 0,0005 | 5 | 0,0028 | 5 | 0,0003 |
| myciel5 | 47 | 236 | 0,21 | 6 | 6 | 0,0024 | 6 | 0,0255 | 6 | 0,0003 | 6 | 0,0010 | 7 | 0,0085 | 6 | 0,0006 |
| myciel6 | 95 | 755 | 0,17 | 7 | 7 | 0,0075 | 7 | 0,0876 | 7 | 0,0004 | 7 | 0,0024 | 7 | 0,0309 | 7 | 0,0016 |
| myciel7 | 191 | 2360 | 0,13 | 8 | 8 | 0,0293 | 8 | 0,3254 | 8 | 0,0006 | 8 | 0,0068 | 8 | 0,1366 | 8 | 0,0054 |
| miles 1000 | 128 | 3216 | 0,39 | 42 | 42 | 0,1065 | 42 | 1,2942 | 43 | 0,0016 | 43 | 0,0123 | 43 | 0,7013 | 44 | 0,0121 |
| miles 1500 | 128 | 5198 | 0,63 | 73 | 73 | 0,3158 | 73 | 2,6877 | 73 | 0,0024 | 73 | 0,0219 | 73 | 1,6033 | 76 | 0,0220 |
| miles500 | 128 | 1170 | 0,14 | 20 | 20 | 0,0250 | 20 | 0,3249 | 20 | 0,0010 | 20 | 0,0055 | 20 | 0,1360 | 22 | 0,0049 |
| miles750 | 128 | 2113 | 0,26 | 31 | 31 | 0,0522 | 31 | 0,7112 | 32 | 0,0013 | 32 | 0,0083 | 31 | 0,3443 | 34 | 0,0081 |
| anna | 138 | 493 | 0,05 | 11 | 11 | 0,0135 | 11 | 0,1231 | 11 | 0,0006 | 11 | 0,0037 | 11 | 0,0457 | 12 | 0,0026 |
| david | 87 | 406 | 0,11 | 11 | 11 | 0,0076 | 11 | 0,1026 | 11 | 0,0005 | 11 | 0,0025 | 11 | 0,0346 | 12 | 0,0017 |


| homer | 561 | 1629 | 0,01 | 13 | 13 | 0,3404 | 13 | 0,5412 | 13 | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0 2 4}$ | 13 | 0,0232 | 13 | 0,2460 | 15 | 0,0183 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| huck | 74 | 301 | 0,11 | 11 | 11 | 0,0062 | 11 | 0,0745 | 11 | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0 0 5}$ | 11 | 0,0021 | 11 | 0,0244 | 11 | 0,0014 |
| jean | 80 | 254 | 0,08 | 10 | 10 | 0,0060 | 10 | 0,0616 | 10 | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0 0 4}$ | 10 | 0,0020 | 10 | 0,0198 | 10 | 0,0013 |
| games 120 | 120 | 638 | 0,09 | 9 | 9 | 0,0180 | 9 | 0,1537 | 9 | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0 0 6}$ | 9 | 0,0039 | 9 | 0,0577 | 9 | 0,0032 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)

Queen graphs are $n x n$ dimensional chessboard graphs. If two queens on the chessboard are in the same row, column, or diagonal, there is an edge generated between them. So, if two queens placed in same row, column or diagonal, one queen can eat the other one. Because of this, there is an edge between them for they don't eat each other. For queens graph if only the graph is colored with minimum number $n$, two queens can move on chessboard.

Table 10 shows the results for queens graphs. Experimental results show that while RLF and DSATUR algorithms are sufficient for the queens graphs, but the other algorithms are generally deficient. RLF algorithm finds out just only the chromatic number of queen5_5 graph and also it finds out quite better results for the other queen graphs. DSATUR algorithm generally finds out good results, but it is very slow according to the RLF.

Table 10. The results and computation times for Queen graphs

| Graph | V | E | Den. | Best/ $\chi$ (G) | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| queen5_5 | 25 | 160 | 0,51 | 5 | 5 | 0,0012 | 5 | 0,0332 | 7 | 0,0003 | 7 | 0,0006 | 7 | 0,0109 | 8 | 0,0005 |
| queen6_6 | 36 | 290 | 0,45 | 7 | 8 | 0,0028 | 9 | 0,0634 | 9 | 0,0003 | 9 | 0,0010 | 10 | 0,0218 | 11 | 0,0008 |
| queen7_7 | 49 | 476 | 0,40 | 7 | 9 | 0,0045 | 11 | 0,1090 | 12 | 0,0005 | 12 | 0,0016 | 12 | 0,0461 | 10 | 0,0013 |
| queen8_12 | 96 | 1368 | 0,30 | 12 | 13 | 0,0202 | 14 | 0,3851 | 15 | 0,0007 | 15 | 0,0045 | 15 | 0,1779 | 15 | 0,0041 |
| queen8_8 | 64 | 728 | 0,36 | 9 | 11 | 0,0081 | 12 | 0,1783 | 13 | 0,0005 | 13 | 0,0024 | 15 | 0,0923 | 13 | 0,0020 |
| queen9_9 | 81 | 1056 | 0,32 | 10 | 12 | 0,0154 | 13 | 0,2853 | 15 | 0,0007 | 15 | 0,0036 | 15 | 0,1312 | 16 | 0,0030 |
| queen10_10 | 100 | 2940 | 0,59 | 11 | 13 | 0,0215 | 14 | 0,4351 | 17 | 0,0009 | 17 | 0,0052 | 17 | 0,1876 | 16 | 0,0044 |
| queen11_11 | 121 | 3960 | 0,54 | 11 | 14 | 0,0345 | 15 | 0,6300 | 17 | 0,0009 | 17 | 0,0072 | 18 | 0,2964 | 17 | 0,0063 |
| queen12_12 | 144 | 5192 | 0,50 | 13 | 15 | 0,0550 | 16 | 0,9163 | 19 | 0,0010 | 19 | 0,0100 | 20 | 0,4604 | 20 | 0,0092 |
| queen13_13 | 169 | 6656 | 0,47 | 13 | 16 | 0,0800 | 17 | 1,3226 | 23 | 0,0013 | 23 | 0,0134 | 22 | 0,6869 | 21 | 0,0125 |
| queen14_14 | 196 | 8372 | 0,44 | 16 | 17 | 0,1227 | 19 | 1,8408 | 25 | 0,0015 | 25 | 0,0170 | 24 | 1,0488 | 23 | 0,0169 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)

CAR graphs are created from inspiration of the mycielski graphs. After the some new vertices inserted graph, the graph size increases, but the density of the graph is unchanging [25]. The CAR graphs are more difficult than the mycielski graphs. Table 11 shows the results for CAR graphs. According to the Table 11; RLF, DSATUR, WP and LDO algorithms reach the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$
results. Furthermore the IDO algorithm generally finds out the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ results. But FF algorithm is generally deficient. If the algorithms compare to each other about their computation times, the best algorithm for CAR graphs is WP algorithm. Because WP algorithm reaches the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ results shortest computation times.

Table 11. The results and computation times for CAR graphs

| Graph | V | E | Den. | Best/ $\chi$ (G) | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| 1_Fullins_4 | 93 | 593 | 0,14 | 5 | 5 | 0,0069 | 5 | 0,0869 | 5 | 0,0003 | 5 | 0,0021 | 6 | 0,0298 | 11 | 0,0016 |
| 1_Fullins_5 | 282 | 3247 | 0,08 | 6 | 6 | 0,0612 | 6 | 0,5026 | 6 | 0,0007 | 6 | 0,0104 | 7 | 0,2167 | 14 | 0,0098 |
| 1_Insertions_4 | 67 | 232 | 0,10 | 5 | 5 | 0,0058 | 5 | 0,0258 | 5 | 0,0002 | 5 | 0,0013 | 5 | 0,0090 | 5 | 0,0008 |
| 1_Insertions_5 | 202 | 1227 | 0,06 | 6 | 6 | 0,0314 | 6 | 0,1527 | 6 | 0,0005 | 6 | 0,0055 | 6 | 0,0569 | 6 | 0,0038 |
| 1_Insertions_6 | 607 | 6337 | 0,03 | 7 | 7 | 0,3575 | 7 | 1,2288 | 7 | 0,0023 | 7 | 0,0344 | 7 | 0,6011 | 7 | 0,0308 |
| 2_Fullins_3 | 52 | 201 | 0,15 | 5 | 5 | 0,0027 | 5 | 0,0237 | 5 | 0,0002 | 5 | 0,0010 | 5 | 0,0076 | 10 | 0,0008 |
| 2_Fullins_4 | 212 | 1621 | 0,07 | 6 | 6 | 0,0329 | 6 | 0,2116 | 6 | 0,0006 | 6 | 0,0060 | 6 | 0,0796 | 14 | 0,0052 |
| 2_Fullins_5 | 852 | 12201 | 0,03 | 7 | 7 | 0,8307 | 7 | 3,2494 | 7 | 0,0044 | 7 | 0,0703 | 7 | 1,8256 | 18 | 0,0763 |
| 2_Insertions_4 | 149 | 541 | 0,05 | 5 | 5 | 0,0188 | 5 | 0,0621 | 5 | 0,0004 | 5 | 0,0036 | 5 | 0,0230 | 5 | 0,0021 |
| 2_Insertions_5 | 597 | 3936 | 0,02 | 6 | 6 | 0,3721 | 6 | 0,6322 | 6 | 0,0023 | 6 | 0,0276 | 6 | 0,2985 | 6 | 0,0211 |
| 3_Fullins_3 | 80 | 346 | 0,11 | 6 | 6 | 0,0060 | 6 | 0,0380 | 6 | 0,0003 | 6 | 0,0017 | 6 | 0,0134 | 12 | 0,0012 |
| 3_Fullins_4 | 405 | 3524 | 0,04 | 7 | 7 | 0,1476 | 7 | 0,5354 | 7 | 0,0012 | 7 | 0,0157 | 8 | 0,2370 | 17 | 0,0150 |
| 3_Fullins_5 | 2030 | 33751 | 0,02 | 8 | 8 | 10,3646 | 8 | 18,3988 | 8 | 0,0254 | 8 | 0,3786 | 9 | 11,5821 | 22 | 0,4600 |
| 3_Insertions_3 | 56 | 110 | 0,07 | 4 | 4 | 0,0033 | 4 | 0,0125 | 4 | 0,0002 | 4 | 0,0010 | 4 | 0,0047 | 4 | 0,0006 |
| 3_Insertions_4 | 281 | 1046 | 0,03 | 5 | 5 | 0,0731 | 5 | 0,1288 | 5 | 0,0007 | 5 | 0,0073 | 5 | 0,0498 | 5 | 0,0048 |
| 3_Insertions_5 | 1406 | 9695 | 0,01 | 6 | 6 | 3,6966 | 6 | 2,3609 | 6 | 0,0128 | 6 | 0,1101 | 7 | 1,2766 | 6 | 0,0996 |
| 4_Fullins_3 | 114 | 541 | 0,08 | 7 | 7 | 0,0107 | 7 | 0,0610 | 7 | 0,0004 | 7 | 0,0026 | 7 | 0,0216 | 14 | 0,0020 |
| 4_Fullins_4 | 690 | 6650 | 0,03 | 8 | 8 | 0,5299 | 8 | 1,2976 | 8 | 0,0031 | 8 | 0,0386 | 8 | 0,6677 | 20 | 0,0387 |
| 4_Fullins_5 | 4146 | 77305 | 0,01 | 9 | 9 | 89,5661 | 9 | 85,9533 | 9 | 0,1131 | 9 | 1,6550 | 9 | 55,6602 | 26 | 2,0289 |
| 4_Insertions_3 | 79 | 156 | 0,05 | 4 | 4 | 0,0065 | 4 | 0,0180 | 4 | 0,0002 | 4 | 0,0015 | 4 | 0,0068 | 4 | 0,0009 |
| 4_Insertions_4 | 475 | 1795 | 0,02 | 5 | 5 | 0,2527 | 5 | 0,2467 | 5 | 0,0015 | 5 | 0,0188 | 5 | 0,1009 | 5 | 0,0103 |
| 5_Fullins_3 | 154 | 792 | 0,07 | 8 | 8 | 0,0220 | 8 | 0,0922 | 8 | 0,0005 | 8 | 0,0036 | 8 | 0,0332 | 16 | 0,0029 |
| 5_Fullins_4 | 1085 | 11395 | 0,02 | 9 | 9 | 1,8848 | 9 | 2,9627 | 9 | 0,0080 | 9 | 0,0874 | 9 | 1,6530 | 23 | 0,0923 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)

Random (DSJ) graphs which are created from David Johnson and R250_5 graph are difficult to solve benchmark graphs [15]. Flat graphs are created from Culberson. [26]. First parameter of the Flat graphs represents the number of the vertices and the second parameter represents the chromatic number.
Table 12 shows the results for Random and Flat graphs. According to the Table 12, RLF and DSATUR algorithms generally find out the best $/ \chi(\mathrm{G})$ results. For the R250_5 graph, DSATUR algorithm's computation time is further than RLF's. But DSATUR finds out quite better result than RLF for R250_5 graph. On the other hand, RLF finds out quite better results for the other graphs and RLF is faster than the other algorithms. fpsol2*, inithx*, zeroin* and mulsol* graphs are computer
register allocation problem graphs which are generated from Gary Lewandowski [24]. These graphs are real-world problem's graphs. The computer registers and the operations are defined as vertices. If a register and an operation have a relationship, there is an edge generated between them.
Table 13 shows the results for computer register allocation graphs. All algorithms which are used for this study reach the $\chi(\mathrm{G})$ results for computer register allocation graphs. If the algorithms compare to each other about their computation times, the best algorithm for register allocation graphs is WP algorithm and also FF algorithm reaches the $\chi(\mathrm{G})$ results a quite short times. The slowest algorithm for these graphs is DSATUR algorithm.

Table 12.The results and computation times for Random and Flat graphs

| Graf | V | E | Den. | Eniyi/$\chi(\mathbf{G})$ | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| DSJC125_1 | 125 | 736 | 0,09 | 5 | 6 | 0,0135 | 6 | 0,0846 | 7 | 0,0005 | 7 | 0,0032 | 7 | 0,0320 | 8 | 0,0024 |
| DSJC125_5 | 125 | 3891 | 0,50 | 17 | 21 | 0,0468 | 22 | 0,6111 | 23 | 0,0011 | 23 | 0,0079 | 25 | 0,2966 | 26 | 0,0074 |
| DSJC125_9 | 125 | 6961 | 0,89 | 44 | 49 | 0,1811 | 51 | 1,4215 | 53 | 0,0019 | 53 | 0,0162 | 54 | 0,7575 | 56 | 0,0154 |
| DSJC250_1 | 250 | 3218 | 0,10 | 8 | 10 | 0,0665 | 10 | 0,4791 | 11 | 0,0011 | 11 | 0,0142 | 12 | 0,2086 | 13 | 0,0097 |
| DSJC250_5 | 250 | 15668 | 0,50 | 28 | 35 | 0,4661 | 37 | 4,9399 | 41 | 0,0025 | 41 | 0,0371 | 40 | 3,0145 | 43 | 0,0394 |
| DSJR500_1 | 500 | 3555 | 0,03 | 12 | 12 | 0,2863 | 13 | 0,5829 | 13 | 0,0023 | 13 | 0,0237 | 13 | 0,2609 | 15 | 0,0199 |
| R250_5 | 250 | 14849 | 0,48 | 65 | 71 | 0,7803 | 68 | 4,6108 | 70 | 0,0034 | 70 | 0,0439 | 69 | 2,7790 | 79 | 0,0478 |
| flat300_20 | 300 | 21375 | 0,48 | 20 | 38 | 0,7199 | 42 | 8,5125 | 44 | 0,0032 | 44 | 0,0554 | 45 | 5,3253 | 47 | 0,0609 |
| flat300_26 | 300 | 21633 | 0,48 | 26 | 39 | 0,8435 | 41 | 8,5990 | 45 | 0,0030 | 45 | 0,0566 | 48 | 5,5501 | 45 | 0,0610 |
| flat300_28 | 300 | 21695 | 0,48 | 28 | 38 | 0,8624 | 42 | 8,6611 | 45 | 0,0030 | 45 | 0,0564 | 48 | 5,5324 | 46 | 0,0613 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)
Table 13. The results and computation times for Register Allocation graphs

| Graph | V | E | Den. | Best/ $\chi$ (G) | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| fpsol2_i1 | 496 | 11654 | 0,09 | 65 | 65 | 0,9869 | 65 | 3,1791 | 65 | 0,0044 | 65 | 0,0646 | 65 | 1,8096 | 65 | 0,0552 |
| fpsol2_i2 | 451 | 8691 | 0,09 | 30 | 30 | 0,5217 | 30 | 1,9960 | 30 | 0,0024 | 30 | 0,0442 | 30 | 1,1139 | 30 | 0,0409 |
| fpsol2_i3 | 425 | 8688 | 0,10 | 30 | 30 | 0,5184 | 30 | 1,9752 | 30 | 0,0022 | 30 | 0,0427 | 30 | 1,0739 | 30 | 0,0407 |
| mulsol_i1 | 197 | 3925 | 0,20 | 49 | 49 | 0,1299 | 49 | 0,6347 | 49 | 0,0021 | 49 | 0,0153 | 49 | 0,2924 | 49 | 0,0137 |
| mulsol_i2 | 188 | 3885 | 0,22 | 31 | 31 | 0,1171 | 31 | 0,6423 | 31 | 0,0015 | 31 | 0,0145 | 31 | 0,2899 | 31 | 0,0133 |
| mulsol_i3 | 184 | 3916 | 0,23 | 31 | 31 | 0,1164 | 31 | 0,6189 | 31 | 0,0015 | 31 | 0,0143 | 31 | 0,2805 | 31 | 0,0134 |
| mulsol_i4 | 185 | 3946 | 0,23 | 31 | 31 | 0,1243 | 31 | 0,6328 | 31 | 0,0015 | 31 | 0,0145 | 31 | 0,2994 | 31 | 0,0130 |
| mulsol_i5 | 186 | 3973 | 0,23 | 31 | 31 | 0,1253 | 31 | 0,6286 | 31 | 0,0015 | 31 | 0,0145 | 31 | 0,2900 | 31 | 0,0128 |
| inithx_i1 | 864 | 18707 | 0,05 | 54 | 54 | 2,7427 | 54 | 6,7614 | 54 | 0,0066 | 54 | 0,1337 | 54 | 4,2802 | 54 | 0,1266 |
| inithx_i2 | 645 | 13979 | 0,07 | 31 | 31 | 1,4014 | 31 | 4,2319 | 31 | 0,0037 | 31 | 0,0839 | 31 | 2,5214 | 31 | 0,0800 |
| inithx_i3 | 621 | 13969 | 0,07 | 31 | 31 | 1,3034 | 31 | 4,1724 | 31 | 0,0035 | 31 | 0,0819 | 31 | 2,5577 | 31 | 0,0780 |
| zeroin_i1 | 211 | 4100 | 0,18 | 49 | 49 | 0,1427 | 49 | 0,6636 | 49 | 0,0020 | 49 | 0,0157 | 49 | 0,3188 | 49 | 0,0139 |
| zeroin_i2 | 211 | 3541 | 0,16 | 30 | 30 | 0,1062 | 30 | 0,5390 | 30 | 0,0014 | 30 | 0,0136 | 30 | 0,2504 | 30 | 0,0124 |
| zeroin_i3 | 206 | 3540 | 0,17 | 30 | 30 | 0,1150 | 30 | 0,5439 | 30 | 0,0014 | 30 | 0,0134 | 30 | 0,2530 | 30 | 0,0123 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)

In the Leighton graphs, each graph consists of 450 vertices. First parameter of the Leighton graphs represents the number of the vertices and the second parameter represents the chromatic number [20]. Table 14 shows the results for Leighton graphs.

Experimental results show that RLF algorithm finds out quite better results for Leighton graphs. Just for le450_25b graph, WP algorithm finds out the $\chi(\mathrm{G})$ result the better computation time. The other algorithms are generally deficient.

Table 14. The results and computation times for Leighton graphs

| Graph | V | E | Den. | Eniyi $/ \chi(\mathbf{G}$ ) | RLF |  | DSATUR |  | WP |  | LDO |  | IDO |  | FF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | T |
| le450_15b | 450 | 8169 | 0,08 | 15 | 17 | 0,3071 | 16 | 1,7589 | 18 | 0,0025 | 18 | 0,0348 | 18 | 0,9585 | 22 | 0,0337 |
| le450_25a | 450 | 8260 | 0,08 | 25 | 25 | 0,3502 | 25 | 1,7952 | 26 | 0,0029 | 26 | 0,0367 | 25 | 1,0172 | 28 | 0,0355 |
| le450_25b | 450 | 8263 | 0,08 | 25 | 25 | 0,3583 | 25 | 1,9924 | 25 | 0,0028 | 25 | 0,0371 | 25 | 1,0341 | 27 | 0,0355 |
| le450_25c | 450 | 17343 | 0,17 | 25 | 28 | 0,7839 | 29 | 5,9978 | 29 | 0,0034 | 29 | 0,0626 | 31 | 3,6658 | 37 | 0,0674 |
| le450_5c | 450 | 9803 | 0,10 | 5 | 5 | 0,2226 | 10 | 2,4336 | 12 | 0,0020 | 12 | 0,0352 | 12 | 1,3233 | 17 | 0,0375 |
| le450_5d | 450 | 9757 | 0,10 | 5 | 6 | 0,2315 | 12 | 2,4073 | 14 | 0,0025 | 14 | 0,0362 | 13 | 1,2504 | 18 | 0,0382 |

R: Result of the algorithm, T: Computation time (in second)

## 5. Conclusion

Experimental results show that while RLF and DSATUR algorithms are sufficient for the GCP, FF algorithm is generally deficient. WP algorithm finds out the best solution in the shortest time on Register Allocation, CAR, Mycielski, Stanford Miles, Book and Game graphs. On the other hand, RLF algorithm is quite better than the other algorithms on Leighton, Flat, Random (DSJC) and Stanford Queen graphs. As shown in the study, firstly it should be decided that the problems which we want solve with graph coloring algorithms is similar to what benchmark graphs. After that, the optimum graph coloring algorithms must be applied to the problem for finds out the the best solution. Thus, it can be avoided to waste of times and it can be reached the best results a quite short time.
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