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#### Abstract

Aim: To reduce morbidity and mortality in surgical operations to be applied to the craniovertebral junction, it is important to know the anatomical structures in the skull base and the topographical relationship between these structures. Lateral suboccipital craniotomy and occipital condyle resection are among the preferred methods. Surrounding neurovascular structures may be damaged during occipital condyle resection. The aim of study was to develop regression formulas that will determine the precise location of the occipital condyle and estimate the distances to the surrounding anatomical structures, based on the skull morphometry of the person. Material and Methods: The study was carried out on 22 condylus occipitalis (11 skulls) at Harran University, Medical Faculty Anatomy Laboratory. The determined 27 parameters were measured via the Image J program on the skulls' inferior, anterior, lateral and posterior aspect photographs. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed. Results: To determine the morphometric and topographic features of the occipital condyle, the equations have been developed such as; The occipital condyle length $=-2.142+(0.330 \times \mathrm{P} 15)-(0.468$ $\times \mathrm{P} 19)-(0.748 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(0.807 \times \mathrm{P} 21)$; Adjusted R2 $=0.911$, Standard Error of the Estimation $=0.938$. Conclusion: Considering the difference between the minimum and the maximum values observed in descriptive statistics, the distribution between these values, the different findings in the studies in the literature, there may be erroneous applications in the attempts to be made over the mean values. We believe that the formulas we have developed will be beneficial in personal-specific approaches.
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## ÖZ

Amaç: Kraniyovertebral bileşkede uygulanacak cerrahi operasyonlarda morbidite ve mortaliteyi azaltmak için kafa tabanında yer alan anatomik yapıların ve bu yapılar arasındaki topografik ilişkinin bilinmesi önemlidir. Lateral suboksipital kraniotomi ve condylus occipitalis rezeksiyonu tercih edilen yöntemler arasındadır. Condylus occipitalis rezeksiyonu sırasında etrafında yer alan nörovasküler yapılar hasar görebilir. Çalışmanın amacı, kişinin kafa iskeleti morfometrisinden yola çıkarak, condylus occipitalis'in tam olarak yerini belirleyecek ve etrafındaki anatomik yapılara olan uzakıkları tahmin edecek regresyon formüllerini geliştirmektir.
Gereç ve Yöntemler: Çalışma Harran Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Anatomi Laboratuvarında bulunan 22 condylus occipitalis (11 kafatası) üzerinde gerçekleştirildi. Belirlenen 27 parametre kafataslarının alt, ön, yan ve arka fotoğrafları üzerinde Image J programı ile ölçüldü. Çoklu doğrusal regresyon analizi yapıldı.

Bulgular: Condylus occipitalis'in morfometrik ve topografik özelliklerini belirlemek için; Condylus occipitalis uzunluğu=-2,142 + (0,330 x P15 $)-(0,468 \times$ P19 $)-(0,748 \times$ P20 $)+(0,807 \times$ P21 $)$ Düzeltilmiş R2 $=0,911$; Tahminin Standart Hatası $=0,938$ şeklinde formüller geliştririlmiştir.
Sonuç: Tanımlayıcı istatistik bulgularında gözlenen en küçük en büyük değer arasındaki fark ve bu değerler arasındaki dağılım ile literatürde yer alan çalışmalardaki farklı bulgular dikkate alındığında ortalama değerler üzerinden yapılacak girişimlerde hatalı uygulamalar olabilir. Geliştirmiş olduğumuz formüllerin kişiye özgü yaklaşımlarda fayda sağlayacağı kanaatindeyiz.
Anahtar Sözcükler: Condylus occipitalis, Kafa tabanı, Lateral suboksipital kraniotomi

## INTRODUCTION

The occipital condyle is the condylar protrusions located in the lower part of the occipital bone, on both sides of the foramen magnum, near important anatomical structures such as the jugular foramen laterally, the condylar canal posteriorly, and the hypoglossal canal on the anterolateral side $(1,2)$. The occipital condyle is located on the vertebral column, providing wide movement to the skull. Thus, it forms the craniovertebral joint, which is the joint that connects the skull to the vertebral column $(2,3)$. The occipital condyle is closely associated with the vertebral artery, cerebellar artery, jugular vein, caudal aspect of the medulla oblongata, rostral aspect of the spinal cord, the lower part of the vermis, cerebellar tonsils, C1, C2 spinal nerves, cranial nerves (CN) such as the glossopharyngeal nerve (CN IX.), the vagus nerve (CN X), the accessory nerve (CN XI) and the hypoglossal nerve (CN XII) (4-8).

The craniocervical malformations, some rheumatoid diseases, extradural tumours, intradural tumors, and vertebral artery lesions can be seen in the craniovertebral junction region. The complex anatomical structure of the region makes the surgery of such lesions difficult. It is very important to know the anatomy of the region very well in terms of reducing morbidity and mortality in craniovertebral surgery $(2,8,9)$. To reach lesions in this region, the lateral suboccipital craniotomy, the supracondylar, the paracondylar, and the transcondylar approaches are usually preferred. An occipital condyle resection can be required for better visualization of the region ( $1,2,4,10$ ). In addition, traumas, rheumatoid arthritis, various infections, congenital malformations, tumors and some degenerative conditions that may occur in the craniovertebral region may cause occipitocervical instability (11). For many years, spine surgeons have refused to use them as suitable structures for screw placement due to the complex anatomical structures with which the occipital condyles are closely related (12).

One of the most important stages of surgical operations is planning the surgical method to be applied. For planning, the location of the lesion to be surgically applied and its relationship with neighboring anatomical structures should be determined very well.

While expressing the relationship between anatomical structures the distances between them are mostly given as mean and standard deviation in the literature. These mean values may give erroneous results in terms of treatment. We believe that calculating the distances between anatomical structures according to the morphometric characteristics of the individual's skull can reduce morbidity and mortality. Therefore, our study aims to develop regression formulas to estimate the distances between the occipital condyle and adjacent anatomical structures.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

Ethics Committee approval was obtained for the study from the Harran University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (HRU122/15/22). The study was carried out on 22 occipital condyles ( 11 skulls of unknown age and gender) preserved in Harran University Medical Faculty Anatomy Laboratory. Broken skulls and skulls belonging to non-adult individuals were not included in the study. Photographs of the skulls taken from the inferior, front, and lateral aspects were transferred to digital media. The following parameters were measured on the photographs that were transferred to digital media with the Image J (Ver. 1.5123 April 2018) measurement software (13). Fourteen parameters including the morphometric features of the occipital condyle and the distances between adjacent anatomical structures and eleven parameters for the general morphometric features of the skull were measured bilaterally on the skuls. All measurements were made by the same researcher. Measurement results were evaluated in millimeters (mm).

As a result of the power analysis, when the effect size was chosen as the significance level with $0.5(a=0.05)$ and $0.80 \%$ power to examine the relationship between dependent variables and independent variables with the regression model, the sample size was determined as approximately 20 ( $n=20$ ) occipital condyles. Multiple linear regression models were built with variables empirically and/or theoretically associated with the dependent variable. We performed statistical analyzes of the obtained data using IBM SPSS ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.)

The parameters measured on photographs taken from the inferior aspect (P1-P14) were given in Table 1 and Figure 1. The parameters measured on photographs taken from the front aspect (P15-P22) were given in Table 2 and Figure 2,3. The parameters measured on photographs taken from the lateral aspect (P23-P25) were given in Table 3 and Figure 4.

## RESULTS

We evaluated the findings in 3 categories. In the first two categories, we considered the findings of descriptive statistics as a minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation values. In the first category, we discussed the descriptive

Table 1: Parameters measured on photographs taken from the inferior aspect

| Parameters | The descriptions of the parameters |
| :---: | :--- |
| P1 | The occipital condyle length |
| P2 | The occipital condyle width |
| P3 | Distance between anterior ends of the occipital condyle |
| P4 | The most protruding interregional distance between the medial edges of the occipital condyle |
| P5 | Distance between posterior ends of the occipital condyle |
| P6 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the basion |
| P7 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the opisthion |
| P8 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the carotid canal |
| P9 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the jugular foramen |
| P10 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the posterior root of the zygomatic arch root |
| P11 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the outer edge of the mandibular fossa |
| P12 | Distance between the occipital condyle and external auditory meatus |
| P13 | Distance between the occipital condyle and the tip of the mastoid process |
| P14 | Distance between the occipital condyle and posterior edge of the mastoid process |



Figure 1: The parameters measured on the inferior aspect of the skull.

Table 2: Parameters measured on photographs taken from the front aspect

| Parameters | The descriptions of the parameters |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P 1 5}$ | Maximum width of the skull |
| $\mathbf{P 1 6}$ | Skull width (Eu-Eu) |
| $\mathbf{P 1 7}$ | Distance between the frontozygomatic suture |
| $\mathbf{P 1 8}$ | Orbital width |
| $\mathbf{P 1 9}$ | Bizygomatic width |
| $\mathbf{P 2 0}$ | Orbital height |
| $\mathbf{P 2 1}$ | Distance between the glabella to the <br> supradentale |
| $\mathbf{P 2 2}$ | Distance between the lower edge of the <br> maxilla and the lower edge of the orbit |

Table 3: Parameters measured on photographs taken from the lateral aspect

| Parameters | The descriptions of the parameters |
| :---: | :--- |
| P23 | Head width (Op-G) |
| P24 | Distance between the mastoid process <br> and the ophistocranion |
| P25 | Distance between the mastoid process <br> and external auditory meatus |

statistical findings of the morphometric structure of the occipital condyle and the distances between the occipital condyle and the surrounding anatomical structures, which it is closely related (Table 4), and in the second category, we gave the descriptive statistical findings that include the morphometric features of the skull (Table 5). In the third category, we developed regression formulas to estimate
the occipital condyle morphometry appropriate to an individual's skull and the distance between the occipital condyle and the surrounding anatomical structures to which it is closely related (Table 6). The adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values of the regression formulas and the standard error of the estimation of the equations we have developed reveal the power of the formulas.

Table 4: Morphometric features of the occipital condyle and distances between the occipital condyle and adjacent anatomical structures (mm)

| Morphometric features (mm) |  | Findings (n=22) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| P1- The occipital condyle length | $22.91 \pm 3.16$ | $23.37(18.06-8.90)$ |
| P2- The occipital condyle width | $10.85 \pm 1.25$ | $10.88(8.51-13.97)$ |
| P3- Distance between anterior ends of the occipital condyle | $18.42 \pm 5.39$ | $17.45(6.36-28.71)$ |
| P4- Distance between medial edges of the occipital condyle | $25.61 \pm 4.31$ | $26.77(16.89-31.29)$ |
| P5- Distance between posterior ends of the occipital condyle | $37.93 \pm 7.44$ | $37.02(26.27-52.82)$ |
| P6- Distance between the occipital condyle and the basion | $16.8 \pm 2.74$ | $16.40(12.07-21.23)$ |
| P7- Distance between the occipital condyle and the opisthion | $28.47 \pm 4.87$ | $27.68(22.05-37.06)$ |
| P8- Distance between the occipital condyle and the carotid canal | $8.77 \pm 3.46$ | $8.12(1.92-14.97)$ |
| P9- Distance between the occipital condyle and the jugular foramen | $6.21 \pm 7.37$ | $4.67(2.05-38.23)$ |
| P10- Distance between the occipital condyle and the zygomatic arch root | $46.5 \pm 5.12$ | $46.89(39.01-59.52)$ |
| P11- Distance between the occipital condyle and the outer edge of the mandibular fossa | $36.70 \pm 4.74$ | $36.36(27.61-44.46)$ |
| P12- Distance between the occipital condyle and external auditory meatus | $26.23 \pm 4.04$ | $24.48(20.56-35.32)$ |
| P13- Distance between the occipital condyle and the tip of the mastoid process | $29.87 \pm 5.98$ | $29.44(19.46-38.65)$ |
| P14- Distance between the occipital condyle and posterior edge of the mastoid process | $38.08 \pm 8.28$ | $37.76(23.38-53.75)$ |

* Descriptive statistics were given as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD), median (minimum (Min.) and maximum (Max.)).


Figure 2: The transverse parameters measured on the inferior aspect of the skull.


Figure 3: The vertical parameters measured on the inferior aspect of the skull.

Table 5: Descriptive statistics of skull measurements

| Morphometric features $(\mathrm{mm})^{*}$ | Findings (n=22) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| P15- Maximum width of the skull | $91.11 \pm 8.99$ | $88.12(77.34-105.17)$ |
| P16- Skull width (Eu-Eu) | $89.07 \pm 8.84$ | $85.58(77.10-102.79)$ |
| P17- Distance between the frontozygomatic suture | $93.19 \pm 8.29$ | $88.91(85.16-105.92)$ |
| P18- Orbital width | $33.69 \pm 3.02$ | $32.02(30.22-39.01)$ |
| P19- Bizagomatic width | $92.36 \pm 9.25$ | $89.54(81.45-107.77)$ |
| P20- Orbital height | $33.96 \pm 4.01$ | $32.41(30.08-41.14)$ |
| P21- Distance between the glabella to the supradentale | $78.71 \pm 8.31$ | $77.26(68.08-95.27)$ |
| P22- Distance between the lower edge of the maxilla and the lower edge of the orbit | $31.97 \pm 10.61$ | $34.31(3.52-45.28)$ |
| P23- Head width (Op-G) | $138.23 \pm 16.74$ | $138.84(116.12-160.16)$ |
| P24- Distance between the mastoid process and the ophistocranion | $67.90 \pm 18.13$ | $63.31(43.64-99.68)$ |
| P25- Distance between the mastoid process and external auditory meatus | $83.59 \pm 7.65$ | $85.85(71.31-93.00)$ |

* Descriptive statistics were given as millimeter, mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum (Min.), and maximum (Max.).

Table 6: Multiple linear regression equations for estimating the morphometric features of the occipital condyle and distances to adjacent anatomical structures

| Equations | Adjusted R ${ }^{2}$ | Standard Error of the Estimate | F | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P 1}=-2.142+(0.330 \times \mathrm{P} 15)-(0.468 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(0.748 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(0.807 \times$ P21 $)$ | 0.911 | 0.938 | 54.869 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P2 }=-1.632-(0.160 \times \text { P16 })+(0.187 \times \text { P17 })+(0.598 \times \text { P18 })-(0.265 \times \\ & \text { P19 })+(0.174 \times \text { P21 }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.744 | 0.632 | 13.216 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P3 }=-170.797-(10.908 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(14.569 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(6.380 \times \mathrm{P} 17)+(11.285 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 18)-(0.367 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(7.119 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(3.376 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(2.221 \times \mathrm{P} 22)+ \\ & (2.163 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.802 | 2.398 | 10.455 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P4 }=-104.199-(5.764 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(7.716 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(4.048 \times \mathrm{P} 17)+(7.316 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 18)-(0.269 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(4.681 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(2.597 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(1.405 \times \mathrm{P} 22)+ \\ & (1.470 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.921 | 1.208 | 28.368 | <0.001 |
| P5 $=--36.325-(2.160 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(1.434 \times \mathrm{P} 21)+(0.415 \times \mathrm{P} 25)$ | 0.888 | 2.49 | 56.546 | <0.001 |
| P6=6.973-(0.855 x P20) + (0.494 x P21) | 0.672 | 1.56 | 22.538 | <0.001 |
| P7 $=-17.050+(0.288 \times \mathrm{P} 17)-(1.540 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(0.659 \times \mathrm{P} 21)+(0.138 \times \mathrm{P} 23)$ | 0.804 | 2.15 | 22.603 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P8 }=24.122-(2.028 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(4.285 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(1.399 \times \mathrm{P} 17)+(0.628 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 18)-(0.714 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(2.066 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(0.671 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(0.389 \times \mathrm{P} 22)- \\ & (0.246 \times \mathrm{P} 23)+(0.320 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.803 | 1.537 | 9.537 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P9 }=-11.050-(5.405 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(9.239 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(2.744 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(4.783 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 20)+(1.876 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(0.934 \times \mathrm{P} 22)-(0.224 \times \mathrm{P} 24) \end{aligned}$ | 0.317 | 6.093 | 2.392 | 0.078 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} 10=-226.914-(13.542 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(12.078 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(6.429 \times \mathrm{P} 17)+(20.433 \\ & \times \mathrm{P} 18)+(1.581 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(2.141 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(2.410 \times \mathrm{P} 22)+(0.630 \times \mathrm{P} 23)+ \\ & (2.297 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.715 | 2.733 | 5.131 | 0.005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P11 }=31.796-(5.179 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(8.461 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(2.268 \times \mathrm{P} 19)-(3.886 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 20)+(1.237 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(0.744 \times \mathrm{P} 22)+(0.545 \times \mathrm{P} 23)-(0.545 \times \mathrm{P} 24)- \\ & (0.567 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.836 | 1.917 | 12.925 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P12 }=-9.971-(1.520 \times \mathrm{P} 15)+(4.321 \times \mathrm{P} 16)-(0.993 \times \mathrm{P} 17)-(1.584 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 19)-(1.394 \times \mathrm{P} 20)+(0.943 \times \mathrm{P} 21)-(0.440 \times \mathrm{P} 22)-(0.424 \times \mathrm{P} 23)+ \\ & (0.889 \times \mathrm{P} 25) \end{aligned}$ | 0.732 | 2.092 | 7.364 | 0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P13 }=-66.423-(1.004 \times \mathrm{P} 15)-(2.163 \times \mathrm{P} 16)+(0.694 \times \mathrm{P} 17)+(4.983 \times \\ & \mathrm{P} 18)+(0.913 \times \mathrm{P} 19)+(0.459 \times \mathrm{P} 23) \end{aligned}$ | 0.801 | 2.666 | 15.111 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P14 }=-39.036+(2.894 \times \mathrm{P} 15)-(4.218 \times \mathrm{P} 16)+(2.407 \times \mathrm{P} 17)-(2.006 \times \\ & \text { P18 })+(0.522 \times \mathrm{P} 22)+(0.144 \times \mathrm{P} 23) \end{aligned}$ | 0.937 | 2.078 | 53.101 | <0.001 |



Figure 4: The parameters measured on the lateral aspect of the skull.

## DISCUSSION

The anterolateral region of the foramen magnum is one of the skull base's deepest and most anatomically complex areas. The occipital condyle, surrounds the foramen magnum, and limits the surgical appearance of lesions located in the anterior region of the foramen magnum. In that case the partial resection of the occipital condyle can be necessary $(4,14)$. Lateral suboccipital craniotomy and the occipital condyle resection are among the preferred methods because they are safe and effective methods against lesions occurring in the craniovertebral region. However, deterioration in craniocervical instability may occur after partial condylectomy (2). In the posterolateral approach, which is usually preferred in the resection of tumors located intradural in the anterior and anterolateral side of the neuraxis in the foramen magnum region, the posterior arch of the C 1 and partial occipital condyle resection ( $1 / 3$ ) is applied to widen the visual area $(15,16)$. Therewithal in recent years, direct occipital condyle screw and occipital condyle-C1 transarticular screw techniques have been used for occipitocervical fixation for craniocervical instability ( $11,17,18$ ). Consequently, a safe operation needs to be know the topographic anatomy of the region including the morphometric features of the occipital condyle and the distances between the occipital condyle and adjacent anatomical structures closely related.

Bayat et al. in their study on the Iranian population in 2014, found the total width of the occipital condyle as $9.31 \pm 1.91 \mathrm{~mm}$, the posterior intercondylar distance to be $35.60 \pm 8.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, and the anterior intercondylar distance to be $15.39 \pm 7.99 \mathrm{~mm}$ (19).

As a result of the study conducted by Kalthur et al. on the Indian population in 2014, they found the total length of the
occipital condyle as $2.28 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{~cm}$, the total width of the occipital condyle $1.05 \pm 0.18 \mathrm{~cm}$, the posterior intercondylar distance as $3.9 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the anterior intercondylar distance as $2.1 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ (20).

Saluja et al. studied the skulls of the Indian population in 2016. As the result of this study, they found the occipital condyle length as $22.75 \pm 2.90 \mathrm{~mm}$, the occipital condyle width to $12.97 \pm 1.53 \mathrm{~mm}$, the posterior intercondylar distance to $38.9 \pm 4.16 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the anterior intercondylar distance as $17.81 \pm 22.93 \mathrm{~mm}$ (21).

As a result of the study conducted by Degno et al. on Ethiopian population skulls in 2019, they determined the occipital condyle length as $25.69 \pm 3.44 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side, $26.96 \pm 3.928 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side, $12.76 \pm 1.89 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side, and $13.04 \pm 2.04 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side (22).

As a result of the study conducted by Anjum et al. in India in 2021, the length of the occipital condyle was found as $22.44 \pm 2.01 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side, $22.62 \pm 2.41 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side in females, $23.5 \pm 2.71 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side, and $23.34 \pm 3.06 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side in males; the occipital condyle width was found as $11.46 \pm 1.56 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side $11.87 \pm 1.45 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side in females, $12.19 \pm 1.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side $12.29 \pm 1.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side in males; the posterior intercondylar distance was found to be $38.59 \pm 4.53$ mm in females and $41.27 \pm 4.74 \mathrm{~mm}$ and in males; the anterior intercondylar distance measured as $18.44 \pm 2.96 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $17.86 \pm 2.45$ respectively (23).

Bernstein et al. in 2021, studied radiological images of the occipital condyle in the USA. As a result of the study, they stated that they found the occipital condyle length as $18.6 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the width of the occipital condyle as $10.5 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (24).

Zhou et al. found the occipital condyle length as $22.2 \pm 1.7$ mm and the width of the occipital condyle as $12.1 \pm 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the Chinese population, in 2016 (25).

Gonzales-Colmenares et al. studied the skull radiographs of the cranial structures in the Colombian population, in 2019. They found the skull width (Eu-Eu) as $159.05 \pm 5.78$ mm in males, $151.62 \pm 7.29 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females; the bizygomatic breadth $152.27 \pm 5.59 \mathrm{~mm}(26)$.

Meyvacı et al. studied the 3 -dimensional computerized tomography reconstruction images of the skull belonging to the males in Turkey, in 2021. They stated that they found the skull width (Eu-Eu) as $152.87 \pm 6.80 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the bizygomatic breadth $139.94 \pm 5.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $141.97 \pm 7.27 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively in males and females (27).

In the current study conducted in Turkey, we found the occipital condyle length $22.91 \pm 3.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, the occipital condyle width $10.85 \pm 1.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, the distance between anterior ends of the occipital condyle $18.42 \pm 5.39 \mathrm{~mm}$, distance between posterior ends of the occipital condyle $37.93 \pm 7.44$ mm , the width of the skull $91.11 \pm 8.99 \mathrm{~mm}$, orbital width $33.69 \pm 3.02 \mathrm{~mm}$, the orbital height $33.96 \pm 4.01 \mathrm{~mm}$, and the bizygomatic width $92.36 \pm 9.25 \mathrm{~mm}$.
In conclusion, when studies conducted among different populations and even studies conducted within the same populations are compared, it can be seen easily that there are morphometric variabilities not only between populations but also in individuals within the same population. If the mean values given in the literature are used for individuals in the same population, it may lead to erroneous results during surgical operations. Therefore, before the surgical operation is performed, it is necessary to know the topography of the region to be operated on, as well as to take into account individual variations. The regression formulas we have developed in terms of person-specific surgical planning will guide surgeons in terms of both occipital condyle resection and screwing to be applied to the occipital condyle region.
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