

### Solutions for KMM System and Generalized Hyperelastic-Rod Wave Equation

# Seyma TULUCE DEMIRAY<sup>1\*</sup>, Emre CEREN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Osmaniye Korkut Ata University, Department of Mathematics, Osmaniye

<sup>1</sup>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8027-7290 <sup>2</sup>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5224-1290 \*Corresponding author: seymatuluce@gmail.com

#### **Research Article**

### ABSTRACT

Article History: Received: 18.08.2022 Accepted: 01.10.2022 Published online: 12.12.2022

*Keywords:* GKM Kraenkel-manna-merle system Generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation Soliton solutions In this study, the Kraenkel-Manna-Merle (KMM) system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation have been investigated. For this, generalized Kudryashov method (GKM), which is one of the solution methods of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs), has been implemented to KMM system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation. Some solutions have been found for the considered equations and visualized in two and three dimensions using Wolfram Mathematica 12.

### KMM Sistemi ve Genelleştirilmiş Hiperelastik-Rod Dalga Denklemi için Soliton Çözümler

Araştırma Makalesi	ÖZ
<i>Makale tarihçesi:</i> Geliş tarihi: 18.08.2022 Kabul tarihi:01.10.2022 Online Yayınlanma: 12.12.2022	Bu çalışmada Kraenkel-Manna-Merle (KMM) sistemi ve genelleştirilmiş hiperelastik-rod dalga denklemi incelenmiştir. Bunun için lineer olmayan evrim denklemlerinin çözüm yöntemlerinden biri olan genelleştirilmiş Kudryashov metodu (GKM), KMM sistemine ve genelleştirilmiş hiperelastik- rod dalga denklemlerine uygulanmıştır. Ele alınan denklemler için bazı çözümler bulunmuş ve Wolfram Mathematica 12 kullanılarak iki ve üç boyutlu olarak görselleştirilmiştir.
Anahtar Kelimeler: GKM Kraenkel-Manna-Merle sistemi Genelleştirilmiş hiperelastik-rod dalga denklemi Soliton çözümleri	

**To Cite**: Demiray ST., Ceren E. Solutions for KMM System and Generalized Hyperelastic-Rod Wave Equation. Osmaniye Korkut Ata Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 2022; 5(3): 1690-1703.

## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) are tackled in quite substantial scientific fields such as physics, biophysics, mathematical physics, optical fibers, mathematical chemistry, hydrodynamics, fluid dynamics, control theory, optics, mechanics, chemical kinematics, biogenetics and so on. With the improving world, NLEEs arise as having more difficult and complex solutions. Solving these equations and finding novel methods form a very important field of study. For this aim, several solution methods such as the (G'/G, 1/G)-expansion method (Kara and Ünsal, 2022), tanh–coth approach (Ananna et al., 2022), homogeneous balance method (Eslami and Mirzazadeh, 2014),

exponential rational function method (Günay et al., 2021), Darboux-Prelle-Singer methods (Duarte and da Mota, 2021), sine-Gordon expansion method (Tuluce Demiray and Bayrakci, 2021a) have been presented in the literature by some scientists.

(1+1) dimensional damped- Kraenkel-Manna-Merle (KMM) equation (Kraenkel et al., 2000; Kuetche et al., 2014; Jin and Lin, 2020) is given as:

$$w_{xt} - wv_x + sw_x = 0,$$
  

$$v_{xt} + ww_x = 0.$$
(1)

w = w(x,t) and v = v(x,t) represent magnetization and the external magnetic fields with respect to the ferrite, whereas x and t are the displacement and time variables, respectively, while the parameter s demonstrates the damping effect (Younas et al., 2022). Eq. (1) defines the nonlinear ultra-short wave pulse motions in saturated ferromagnetic materials with an external field with zero-conductivity (Younas et al., 2022). The damped-KMM system ( $s \neq 0$ ) was proved to be Painlevé property nonintegrable. Ignoring the damping effect (s=0), the damped-KMM system is converted into the KMM system (Jin and Lin, 2020).

Ignoring the damping effect (s=0), a lot of solutions have been procured via inverse scattering method (Tchokouansi et al., 2016), generalized G'/G-expansion method (Li and Ma,2018), bilinear method (Si and Li, 2018), auxiliary equation method (Li and Ma, 2018), Hirota's bilinear method (Nguepjouo et al., 2014), and so on.

Generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation is presented as (Akcagil et al., 2016; Gözükızıl and Akçağıl, 2013),

$$w_{t} - w_{xxt} + \alpha w_{x} + 2\beta w w_{x} + 3\phi w^{2} w_{x} - \gamma w_{x} w_{xx} - w w_{xxx} = 0,$$
(2)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\gamma$  are constants and we assume that  $\phi$  in nonzero. Eq. (2) is utilized to define finite lenght, small amplitude radial deformation waves in cylindirical compressible hyper-elastic rods. Eq. (2) also involves substantial physical models. For  $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\phi = 0$  and  $\gamma = 2$  it can be converted into Camassa-Holm equation. For  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\gamma = 3$ , it can be converted into Fornberg-Whitham equation, Besides, for  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\phi = 0$  and  $\gamma = 3$ , it can be converted into Degasperis-Procesi equation (Akcagil et al., 2016; Gözükızıl and Akçağıl, 2013; Holden and Raynaud, 2007; Bendahmane et al., 2006; Coclite et al., 2005).

Our purpose in this study is to obtain some new solutions of the KMM system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation using the generalized Kudryashov method (GKM) (Barman et al.,

2021; Gurefe, 2020; Tuluce Demiray and Bayrakci, 2021b; Tuluce Demiray and Bayrakci, 2021c; Bayrakci et al., 2022; Tuluce Demiray et al., 2022). The basics of GKM was first presented. Then, the GKM was implemented to the recommended equations and some new soliton solutions were found by using the Wolfram Mathematica 12 package program.

# 2. Material and Method

# 2.1. Structure of GKM

Let's investigate the nonlinear partial differential equation as follow

$$S(w, w_t, w_x, w_{tt}, w_{xt}, w_{xx}, \dots) = 0,$$
(3)

where w = w(x,t) is an unknown function, S is a polynomial of w(x,t) and its derivatives with respect to x and t.

Step 1: The traveling wave solution is assumed as in the following equation,

$$w(x,t) = w(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon = k(x-ct), \tag{4}$$

where k is the wave number and c is the velocity of the waves .

Using Eq. (4), Eq. (3) is transformed into an ordinary differential equation:

$$T(w, w', w'', w'', \ldots) = 0,$$
(5)

where T is a polynomial of w and its derivatives and superscripts indicate ordinary derivatives according to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Step 2: Suppose that we imagine the solutions of Eq. (5) as Eq. (6):

$$w(\varepsilon) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k Z^k(\varepsilon)}{\sum_{l=0}^{m} b_l Z^l(\varepsilon)} = \frac{G[Z(\varepsilon)]}{H[Z(\varepsilon)]},$$
(6)

where Z is  $\frac{1}{1\pm e^{\varepsilon}}$ . We must specify that Z is the solution to Eq. (7),

$$Z' = Z^2 - Z. \tag{7}$$

Using Eq. (6), the following derivatives are obtained,

$$w'(\varepsilon) = \frac{G'Z'H - GH'Z'}{H^2} = Z' \left[ \frac{G'H - GH'}{H^2} \right] = (Z^2 - Z) \left[ \frac{G'H - GH'}{H^2} \right],$$
(8)

$$w''(\varepsilon) = \frac{Z^2 - Z}{H^2} \begin{bmatrix} (2Z - 1)(G'H - GH') \\ + \frac{Z^2 - Z}{H} \begin{bmatrix} H(G''H - GH'') - 2H'G'H + 2G(H')^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$
(9)

where  $G' = \frac{dG}{dZ}, H' = \frac{dH}{dZ}, G'' = \frac{d^2G}{dZ^2}, H'' = \frac{d^2H}{dZ^2}.$ 

**Step 3:** The solution of the nonlinear ordinary differential equation given by Eq. (5) is sought according to the GKM as follows:

$$w(\varepsilon) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2 + \dots + a_n Z^n}{b_0 + b_1 Z + b_2 Z^2 + \dots + b_m Z^m}.$$
(10)

The homogeneous balance principle is used to find the values of m and n in Eq. (6). For this purpose, a balance is established between the highest order derivative and the highest order nonlinear term in Eq. (5).

**Step 4:** We put Eq. (6) into Eq. (5). Thus we get a polynomial R(Z) of Z. Then equalizing the overall coefficients of R(Z) to zero, we obtain an algebraic equation system. By solving the resulting system, we designate k, c and the variable coefficients of  $a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_0, b_1, b_2, ..., b_m$ . Finally, we can have the exact solutions of Eq. (5).

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Practice of GKM to the KMM Equation

To get some solutions of Eq. (1), we take into account the following equality:

$$w(x,t) = w(\varepsilon), v(x,t) = v(\varepsilon), \varepsilon = k(x-ct), \quad (k \neq 0, \ c \neq 0).$$
<sup>(11)</sup>

Putting Eq. (11) into Eq. (1), we find the following equalities

$$-ck^2w''(\varepsilon) - kwv'(\varepsilon) = 0, \qquad (12)$$

$$kw(\varepsilon)w'(\varepsilon) - ck^2v''(\varepsilon) = 0.$$
<sup>(13)</sup>

Hence

$$v''(\varepsilon) = \frac{w(\varepsilon)w'(\varepsilon)}{ck},\tag{14}$$

is found. By integrating Eq. (14) with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$v'(\varepsilon) = \frac{w^2(\varepsilon)}{2ck} + c_0, \tag{15}$$

is obtained. Here  $c_0$  is the integration constant. If Eq. (15) is inserted into Eq. (12), ordinary differential equation can be expressed in the following form

$$2cc_0 kw(\varepsilon) + w^3(\varepsilon) + 2c^2 k^2 w''(\varepsilon) = 0.$$
<sup>(16)</sup>

If the integration constant of Eq. (15) is taken as zero and by integrating Eq. (15) with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , we get

$$v(\varepsilon) = \frac{w^3(\varepsilon)}{6ck} + c_0 w(\varepsilon).$$
<sup>(17)</sup>

If the balance principle is applied between  $w^3(\varepsilon)$  and  $w''(\varepsilon)$  in Eq. (16), we get

$$n-m+2=3n-3m \Longrightarrow n=m+1. \tag{18}$$

If we choose m = 1 and n = 2, we get

$$w(\varepsilon) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2}{b_0 + b_1 Z},$$
(19)

$$w'(\varepsilon) = (Z^2 - Z) \left[ \frac{(a_1 + 2a_2 Z)(b_0 + b_1 Z) - b_1(a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2)}{(b_0 + b_1 Z)^2} \right],$$
(20)

$$w''(\varepsilon) = \frac{Z^2 - Z}{(b_0 + b_1 Z)^2} (2Z - 1) \Big[ (a_1 + 2a_2 Z)(b_0 + b_1 Z) - b_1(a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2) \Big] + \frac{(Z^2 - Z)^2}{(b_0 + b_1 Z)^3} \Big[ 2a_2(b_0 + b_1 Z)^2 - 2b_1(a_1 + 2a_2 Z)(b_0 + b_1 Z) + 2b_1^2(a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2) \Big].$$
(21)

Case 1:

$$a_0 = -2ib_0c_0, \ a_1 = -\frac{a_2}{2} + 4ib_0c_0, \ a_2 = a_2, \ b_0 = b_0, \ b_1 = -\frac{ia_2}{4c_0}, \ k = \frac{2c_0}{c}.$$
(22)

By placing Eq. (22) in Eq. (19), we get the dark soliton solutions of Eq. (1)

$$w_1(x,t) = -2ic_0 \tanh\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{c}\right],\tag{23}$$

$$v_1(x,t) = -2ic_0^2 \tanh\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{c}\right] + \frac{4ic_0^3}{3ck} \tanh^3\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{c}\right].$$
(24)

2D and 3D graphs of the solutions (23) and (24) are demonstrated with contour simulations in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Figure 1. 3D, contour plots of solution (23) for c = -0.8,  $c_0 = -0.5$ ,  $-25 \le x \le 25$ , values with  $-4 \le t \le 4$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 2 with these values.



Figure 2. 3D, contour plots of solution (24) for c = -0.8,  $c_0 = -0.5$ , k = 0.2,  $-25 \le x \le 25$  values with  $-4 \le t \le 4$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 2 with these values.

Case 2:

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2}ib_1c_0, \quad a_1 = -ib_1c_0, \quad a_2 = ib_1c_0, \quad b_0 = -\frac{b_1}{2}, \quad b_1 = b_1, \quad k = \frac{c_0}{2c}.$$
(25)

By placing Eq. (25) in Eq. (19), we get the dark soliton solutions of Eq. (1)

$$w_2(x,t) = -ic_0 \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{2c}\right],\tag{26}$$

$$v_2(x,t) = -ic_0^2 \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{2c}\right] + \frac{ic_0^3}{6ck} \operatorname{coth}^3\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{2c}\right].$$
(27)

2D and 3D graphs of the solutions (26) and (27) are demonstrated with contour simulations in Figure 3 and Figure 4.



**Figure 3.** 3D, contour plots of solution (26) for c = -0.75,  $c_0 = 2, -30 \le x \le 30$ , values with  $-3 \le t \le 3$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 2.5 with these values.



**Figure 4.** 3D, contour plots of solution (27) for c = -0.75,  $c_0 = 2$ ,  $k = 2, -30 \le x \le 30$ , values with  $-3 \le t \le 3$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 2.5 with these values.

Case 3:

$$a_0 = 0, \ a_1 = -a_2, \ a_2 = a_2, \ b_0 = \frac{ia_2}{4c_0}, \ b_1 = -\frac{ia_2}{2c_0}, \ k = -\frac{c_0}{c}.$$
 (28)

By placing Eq. (28) in Eq. (19), we get the bright soliton solutions of Eq. (1)

$$w_3(x,t) = -2ic_0 \operatorname{csch}\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_0}{c}\right],\tag{29}$$

$$v_{3}(x,t) = -2ic_{0}^{2}csch\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_{0}}{c}\right] + \frac{4ic_{0}^{3}}{3ck}csch^{3}\left[\frac{(x-ct)c_{0}}{c}\right].$$
(30)

2D and 3D graphs of the solutions (29) and (30) are demonstrated with contour simulations in Figure 5 and Figure 6.



**Figure 5.** 3D, contour plots of solution (29) for c = -2,  $c_0 = 2.5$ ,  $-35 \le x \le 35$ , values with  $-5 \le t \le 5$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 1 with these values.



Figure 6. 3D, contour plots of solution (30) for c = -2,  $c_0 = 2.5$ , k = 0.4,  $-35 \le x \le 35$ , values with  $-5 \le t \le 5$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 1 with these values.

# 3.2. Practice of GKM to the Hyperelastic-Rod Wave Equation

To get some soliton solutions of Eq. (2), we take into account the following equality:

$$w(x,t) = w(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon = x - ct.$$
 (31)

Putting Eq. (31) into Eq. (2), we find the following

$$-cw' + cw''' + \alpha w' + 2\beta ww' + 3\phi w^2 w' - \gamma w' w'' - ww''' = 0.$$
(32)

By integrating Eq. (32) with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$  and taking the integration constant zero, we get

$$(-c+\alpha)w + cw'' + \beta w^2 + \phi w^3 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} (w')^2 - ww'' = 0.$$
(33)

If the balance principle is applied between  $w^3$  and ww'' in Eq. (33), we get

$$n-m+n-m+2=3n-3m \Longrightarrow n=m+2. \tag{34}$$

If we choose m=1 and n=3, we get

$$w(\varepsilon) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2 + a_3 Z^3}{b_0 + b_1 Z},$$
(35)

$$w'(\varepsilon) = (Z^{2} - Z) \left[ \frac{\left(a_{1} + 2a_{2}Z + 3a_{3}Z^{2}\right)\left(b_{0} + b_{1}Z\right) - b_{1}\left(a_{0} + a_{1}Z + a_{2}Z^{2} + a_{3}Z^{3}\right)}{\left(b_{0} + b_{1}Z\right)^{2}} \right],$$
(36)

$$w''(\varepsilon) = \frac{Z^{2} - Z}{(b_{0} + b_{1}Z)^{2}} (2Z - 1) \Big[ \Big( a_{1} + 2a_{2}Z + 3a_{3}Z^{2} \Big) \Big( b_{0} + b_{1}Z \Big) - b_{1} \Big( a_{0} + a_{1}Z + a_{2}Z^{2} + a_{3}Z^{3} \Big) \Big]$$

$$+ \frac{(Z^{2} - Z)^{2}}{(b_{0} + b_{1}Z)^{3}} \Big[ \Big( b_{0} + b_{1}Z \Big)^{2} \Big( 2a_{2} + 6a_{3}Z \Big) - 2b_{1} \Big( b_{0} + b_{1}Z \Big) \Big( a_{1} + 2a_{2}Z + 3a_{3}Z^{2} \Big) + 2b_{1}^{2} \Big( a_{0} + a_{1}Z + a_{2}Z^{2} + a_{3}Z^{3} \Big) \Big].$$

$$(37)$$

Case 1:

$$a_{0} = 0, a_{1} = -\frac{2(2+\gamma)b_{0}}{\phi}, a_{2} = \frac{2(2+\gamma)(b_{0}-b_{1})}{\phi}, b_{0} = b_{0}, b_{1} = b_{1},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{2(2+\gamma)b_{1}}{\phi}, \alpha = 0, c = -\frac{(-1+2\beta-\gamma)(2+\gamma)}{6\phi}.$$
(38)

By placing Eq. (38) in Eq. (35), we get the hyperbolic solution of Eq. (2)

$$w_{1}(x,t) = -\frac{2+\gamma}{\phi + \phi \cosh\left[x + \frac{t\left(-1+2\beta - \gamma\right)\left(2+\gamma\right)}{6\phi}\right]}$$
(39)

2D and 3D graphs of the solution (39) is demonstrated with contour simulations in Figure 7.



**Figure 7.** 3D, contour plots of solution (39) for  $\gamma = 0.2, \phi = 4, \beta = 0.5, -25 \le x \le 25$ , values with  $-5 \le t \le 5$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 2 with these values.

Case 2:

$$a_{0} = \frac{b_{0} \left(3(2 - \beta + \gamma)\phi b_{1} - \sqrt{3}\Lambda\right)}{12\phi^{2}b_{1}}, \quad \Lambda = \sqrt{\left(4 + 3\beta^{2} - \gamma^{2} + 2\beta(2 + \gamma)\right)\phi^{2}b_{1}^{2}},$$

$$a_{1} = -\frac{24(2 + \gamma)\phi b_{0} + 3(-2 + \beta - \gamma)\phi b_{1} + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{\left(4 + \beta(4 + 3\beta) + 2\beta\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\phi^{2}b_{1}^{2}}}{12\phi^{2}},$$

$$a_{2} = \frac{2(2 + \gamma)(b_{0} - b_{1})}{\phi}, a_{3} = \frac{2(2 + \gamma)b_{1}}{\phi}, b_{0} = b_{0}, b_{1} = b_{1},$$

$$\alpha = \frac{3\left(\beta^{2} - (2 + \gamma)^{2} - 2\beta(3 + \gamma)\right)\phi b_{1} + \sqrt{3}\left(4 + \beta + 3\gamma\right)\Lambda}{24\phi^{2}b_{1}},$$

$$c = \frac{-\left(\left(1 + \gamma\right)(2 + \gamma) + \beta(5 + \gamma)\right)\phi b_{1} + \sqrt{3}\left(1 + \gamma\right)\Lambda}{12\phi^{2}b_{1}}.$$
(40)

By placing Eq. (40) in Eq. (35), we get the hyperbolic solution of Eq. (2)

$$w_{2}(x,t) = -\frac{1}{24\phi^{2}} \left( \frac{6\phi(6+\beta+3\gamma+(-2+\beta-\gamma)\cosh[x-ct])}{1+\cosh[x-ct]} + \chi \right),$$
(41)

where 
$$\chi = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\Lambda}{b_1}, c = \frac{-((1+\gamma)(2+\gamma) - \beta(5+\gamma))\phi b_1 + \sqrt{3}(1+\gamma)\Lambda}{12\phi^2 b_1}.$$

2D and 3D graphs of the solution (41) is demonstrated in with contour simulations in Figure 8.



Figure 8. 3D, contour plots of solution (41) for  $\gamma = 0.3$ ,  $\phi = 2$ ,  $\beta = 0.4$ ,  $b_1 = 2$ ,  $-20 \le x \le 20$ , values with  $-3 \le t \le 3$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 1 with these values.

Case 3:

$$a_{0} = 0, a_{1} = a_{1}, a_{2} = a_{2}, a_{3} = -a_{2}, b_{0} = 0, b_{1} = -\frac{\phi a_{2}}{2(2+\gamma)},$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2(2+\gamma)a_{1}\left(12(2+\gamma)a_{1}^{2}+6(1+\gamma)a_{1}a_{2}+\gamma a_{2}^{2}\right)}{\phi a_{2}^{2}\left(6a_{1}+a_{2}\right)},$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma+\frac{8(2+\gamma)a_{1}}{a_{2}}-\frac{2(-1+\gamma)a_{1}}{6a_{1}+a_{2}}\right), c = \frac{\left(-2+\gamma+\gamma^{2}\right)a_{1}\left(4a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}{\phi a_{2}\left(6a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}.$$
(42)

By placing Eq. (42) in Eq. (35), we get the bright soliton solution of Eq. (2)

$$w_{3}(x,t) = \Omega\left(4a_{1} + a_{2}\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x - \frac{t(-2 + \gamma + \gamma^{2})a_{1}(4a_{1} + a_{2})}{\phi a_{2}(6a_{1} + a_{2})}\right)\right]\right),\tag{43}$$

where  $\Omega = -\frac{(2+\gamma)}{2\phi a_2}$ .

2D and 3D graphs of the solution (43) is demonstrated with contour simulations in Figure 9.



Figure 9. 3D, contour plots of solution (43) for  $\gamma = 0.5$ ,  $\phi = 3$ ,  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 3$ ,  $-35 \le x \le 35$ , values with  $-2 \le t \le 2$  range and 2D plot of solution for t = 1.5 with these values.

## 4. Conclusion

In this study, GKM was applied to acquire solutions of Kraenkel-Manna-Merle (KMM) system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation. Thus, solutions of KMM system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation were procured such as dark soliton, bright soliton and hyperbolic solutions. In addition, for some certain values, 3D and 2D graphical representations of these solutions were given with contour simulations with the help of Wolfram Mathematica 12. As far as we know, GKM has not been applied to KMM system and generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation before. In the light of the results, we deduce that GKM is an effective method in NLEEs calculation.

#### **Statement of Conflict of Interest**

Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

### **Author's Contributions**

The contribution of the authors is equal.

#### References

Ananna SN., An T., Asaduzzaman M., Miah MM. Solitary wave structures of a family of 3D fractional WBBM equation via the tanh–coth approach. Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics 2022; 5: 1-6.

- Akcagil S., Aydemir T., Gozukizil OF. Exact travelling wave solutions of nonlinear pseudoparabolic equations by using the G'/G Expansion Method. New Trends in Mathematical Sciences 2016; 4(4): 51-66.
- Barman HK., Islam ME., Akbar MA. A study on the compatibility of the generalized Kudryashov method to determine wave solutions. Propulsion and Power Research 2021; 10(1): 95-105.
- Bayrakci U., Tuluce Demiray S., Yildirim V. New soliton solutions arising in some NLEEs. Bitlis Eren University Journal of Science and Technology 2022; 12(1): 51-59.
- Bendahmane M., Coclite GM., Karlsen KH. H1-perturbations of smooth solutions for a weakly dissipative hyperelastic-rod wave equation. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 2006; 3(3): 419-432.
- Biswas A., Yıldırım Y., Yaşar E., Alqahtani RT. Optical solitons for Lakshmanan–Porsezian–Daniel model with dual-dispersion by trial equation method. Optik 2018; 168: 432-439.
- Coclite GM., Holden H., Karlsen KH. Global weak solutions to a generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 2005; 37(4): 1044-1069.
- Duarte LGS., da Mota LACP. An efficient method for computing Liouvillian first integrals of planar polynomial vector fields. Journal of Differential Equations 2021; 300: 356-385.
- Eslami M., Mirzazadeh M. Exact solutions of modified Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation by the homogeneous balance method. Ain Shams Engineering Journal 2014; 5(1): 221-225.
- Gözükızıl ÖF., Akçağıl Ş. The tanh-coth method for some nonlinear pseudoparabolic equations with exact solutions. Advances in Difference Equations 2013; 1: 1-18.
- Gurefe Y. The generalized Kudryashov method for the nonlinear fractional partial differential equations with the beta-derivative. Revista Mexicana de Física 2020; 66(6): 771-781.
- Günay B., Kuo CK., Ma WX. An application of the exponential rational function method to exact solutions to the Drinfeld–Sokolov system. Results in Physics, 2021; 29: 1-8.
- Holden H., Raynaud X. Global conservative solutions of the generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation. Journal of Differential Equations 2007; 233(2): 448-484.
- Jin XW., Lin J. Rogue wave, interaction solutions to the KMM system. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 2020; 502: 1-9.
- Kara S., Ünsal Ö. Analytical solutions to new forms of two nonlinear partial differential equations via two variable expansion method. Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics 2022; 5: 1-7.
- Kraenkel RA., Manna MA., Merle V. Nonlinear short-wave propagation in ferrites. Physical Review E, 2000; 61(1): 976-979.
- Kuetche VK., Nguepjouo FT., Kofane T. C. Engineering magnetic polariton system with distributed coefficients: Applications to soliton management. Chaos, Solitons Fractals 2014; 66: 17-30.

- Li BQ., Ma YL. Rich soliton structures for the Kraenkel-Manna-Merle (KMM) system in ferromagnetic materials. Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism 2018; 31(6): 1773-1778.
- Li BQ., Ma YL. Loop-like periodic waves and solitons to the Kraenkel–Manna–Merle system in ferrites. Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications 2018; 32(10): 1275-1286.
- Nguepjouo FT., Kuetche VK., Kofane TC. Soliton interactions between multivalued localized waveguide channels within ferrites. Physical Review E 2014; 89(6): 1-14.
- Si HL., Li BQ. Two types of soliton twining behaviors for the Kraenkel–Manna–Merle system in saturated ferromagnetic materials. Optik 2018; 166: 49-55.
- Tchokouansi HT., Kuetche VK., Kofane TC. On the propagation of solitons in ferrites: The inverse scattering approach. Chaos, Solitons Fractals 2016; 86: 64-74.
- Tuluce Demiray S., Bayrakci U. Construction of Soliton Solutions for Chaffee-Infante Equation. Afyon Kocatepe University Journal of Science and Engineering 2021a; 21(5): 1046-1051.
- Tuluce Demiray S., Bayrakci U. Soliton solutions of generalized third-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation by using GKM. Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology 2021b; 11(2): 1481-1488.
- Tuluce Demiray S., Bayrakci U. Soliton solutions for space-time fractional Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin chain equation by generalized Kudryashov method and modified exp (- $\Omega$  ( $\eta$ ))-expansion function method. Revista Mexicana de Física 2021c; 67(3): 393-402.
- Tuluce Demiray S., Bayrakci U., Yildirim V. Soliton solutions for perturbed Radhakrishnan-Kundu-Lakshmanan equation. Journal of Balıkesir University Institute of Science and Technology 2022; 24(2): 526-536.
- Younas U., Sulaiman TA., Yusuf A., Bilal M., Younis M., Rehman SU. New solitons and other solutions in saturated ferromagnetic materials modeled by Kraenkel–Manna–Merle system. Indian Journal of Physics 2022; 96(1): 181-191.