Atatürk Üniv. Diş Hek. Fak. Derg. Cilt:19, Sayı: 1, Yıl: 2009, Sayfa: 26-30 TOZOĞLU, ERTAŞ, BÜYÜKKURT, YAVUZ, USLU, KAYA



ROLE OF SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS IN MAXILLOFACIAL ABSCESS OF ODONTOGENIC ORIGIN

ODONTOJENİK ORJİNLİ MAKSİLLOFASİYAL APSELERDE SOSYOEKONOMİK FAKTÖRLERİN ROLÜ

Yrd. Doç. Dr. Sinan TOZOĞLU^{*} Yrd. Doç. Dr. M.Cemil BÜYÜKKURT^{*} Dr. Hakan USLU^{**}

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aimed to determine the socioeconomic factors, etiology, location, microbiology and management of maxillofacial infections of odontogenic origin.

Materials and methods: The records of 91 elderly patients who were diagnosed as maxillofacial abscesses were reviewed. Spilka's system was used to categorize each maxillofacial infection. Kuppuswamy revised classification was used to assess the socioeconomic status and literacy of all patients.

Results: There were 91 patients with ages ranging from 16 to 71 years. Most of the patients (86.8 %) were of low socioeconomic status and 75.8 % illiterate or primary school certificate. 80 % of the patients live in rural area. Submandibular space was the most frequently encountered location. 75.8 % of the patients had poor oral hygiene. Smoking was the most common addictions. Pain, fever, and dysphagia were the most common presenting symptoms.

Conclusions: Low socioeconomic status, poor oral hygiene and smoking may play an important role in the occurrence of maxillofacial infections. Early diagnosis and treatment are critical in the management of patients with maxillofacial abscess

Key words: maxillofacial infections, socioeconomic factors, location, microbiology and management

Doç. Dr. Ümit ERTAŞ* Yrd. Doç. Dr. M. Selim YAVUZ^{*} Prof. Dr. Ömer KAYA^{*}

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmada odontojen kaynaklı maksillofasiyal enfeksiyonlarda sosyoekonomik faktörler, etiyoloji, lokalizasyon ve tedavi yönetimini tespit etmek amaçlanmıştır.

Materiyal ve Metod: Maksillofasiyal apse teşhisi konan 91 yetişkin hastanın kayıtları incelendi. Maksillofasiyal enfeksiyonları sınıflandırmada Spilka sistemi kullanıldı. Sosyoekonomik durum ve okuryazarlık değerlendirilmesinde revize, Kuppuswamy klasifikas- yonu kullanıldı.

Bulgular: Yaşları 16-71 arasında değişen 91 hasta mevcuttu. Hastaların çoğu düşük sosyoekonomik statüye sahipti (%86.8) ve % 75.8' i okuryazar değildi ya da ilkokul diplomasına sahipti. Hastaların % 80 i kırsal kesimde yaşıyordu. Bu enfeksiyonlarda submandibular loj, en sık tespit edilen bölge idi. Hastaların %75,8 i kötü oral hijyene sahipti. Bu hastalarda sigara en yaygın kötü alışkanlıktı. Ağrı, ateş ve yemek yeme güçlüğü en yaygın semptomlardandı.

Sonuçlar: Düşük sosyoekonomik statü, kötü oral hijyen ve sigara kullanımı maksillofasiyal enfeksiyonların oluşumunda önemli bir rol oynayabilir. Erken tanı ve tedavi maksillofasiyal apselerin tedavi yönetiminde oldukça kritiktir.

AnahtarKelimeler:Maksillofasiyalenfeksiyonlar, lokasyon, mikrobiyoloji ve yöntem.



^{*}Ataturk University Dentistry Faculty, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Erzurum, Turkey

^{**} Ataturk University Dentistry Faculty of Medicina, Department of Microbiology, Erzurum, Turkey.

⁽Makale Gönderilme tarihi: 19.01.2009; Kabul Tarihi: 02.02.2009)

Although there have been recent dramatic improvements of the treatments of maxillofacial infections, severe maxillofacial infections still remains a relatively common entity in developing countries. ¹ Complications such as suppurative mediastinal or intracranial extension, retropharyngeal spread and airway obstruction, pleuropulmonary involvement, and hematogenous dissemination indicate the potentially serious nature of these infections. ^{2, 3} Socioeconomic factors, particularly ignorance, illiteracy and poverty may play an important role for such infections.

The aim of this study was to determine the socioeconomic factors, aetiology, location and management of maxillofacial infections.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Ninety-one elderly patients with maxillofacial abscess- ses who were treated at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry at Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey were included in the study. Before enrollment, each patient consented to a reviewed protocol and all procedures followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All patients had fluctuant masses. The locations and etiologies of the abscesses, age, sex, predisposing factors, previous treatment, history of infection, presenting signs and symptoms were recorded. Spilka's system ⁴ was used to categorize each maxillofacial infection. Kuppuswamy revised classification ⁵ was used to assess the socioeconomic status and literacy of all patients. Urgent incision and drainage was done.

When there was no any penicilin sensitivity, crystalline penicilin was used singly or in combination with metronidazole, and/or gentamicin. Antibiotics were modified depending on the result of culture and sensitivity reports when it was necessary.

RESULTS

All of the abscesses were odontogenic origin. The results for sex, age, tooth of etiology, clinical presentation and treatment are presented in Table I. The youngest patient was 16 years and the oldest 71

Table I.Data Summary for the study sample(n=91)

	(n=91)	
Male	47	%51,6
Female	44	%48,4
	(n=91)	
16-18	12	%13,2
18-20	22	%24.2
21-30	24	%26,4
31-40	13	%14,3
41-50	9	<i>%9,9</i>
51-60	7	%7,7
60- and up	4	%4,4
Frequency of tooth involvement		
maxillary incisors	6	%6,6
maxillary canines	6	%6,6
maxillary premolars	8	%8,8
maxillary molars	17	%18,7
mandibular incisors	7	%7,7
mandibular canines	2	%2,2
mandibular premolars	12	%13,2
mandibular molars	33	%36,3
otic use	(n=91)	
Yes	20	%22
No	71	%78
	(n=91)	
Pain	34	%37
Pain and fever	26	%28,6
Pain, fever and trismus	22	%24,2
Dysphagia	9	%9,9
	(n=91)	
No treatment	41	%45
Referred from primary	6	%6,6
<i>Neally Lenne</i>		
Sought treatment from	44	%48,4
Sought treatment from unqualified practitioner	44	%48,4
Sought treatment from unqualified practitioner	44 (n=91) 7	%48,4
Sought treatment from unqualified practitioner Ext only with Abx I&D with Abx	44 (n=91) 7 12	%48,4 %7,7 %13 2
	Male Female 16-18 18-20 21-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 60- and up tvolvement maxillary incisors maxillary incisors maxillary premolars maxillary premolars mandibular canines mandibular premolars mandibular molars	(n=91) Male 47 Female 44 (n=91) 16-18 18-20 22 21-30 24 31-40 13 41-50 9 51-60 7 60- and up 4 nvolvement (n=91) maxillary incisors 6 maxillary canines 6 maxillary nolars 17 mandibular canines 2 mandibular premolars 8 maxillary molars 12 mandibular premolars 33 ptic use (n=91) Yes 20 No 71 Pain and fever 26 Pain, fever and trismus 22 Dysphagia 9 No treatment 41

years. Mandibular molars were the most common source of infection. Submandibular space was the most frequently encountered location both in patients with multispace infections and in patients with single space infections (Table II). Pain was the most common syptoms. The median range of admission temperature was 37.76 ± 0.66 and median range of admission WBC count was 14350 ± 522 . Smoking was the most common addictions (n=64; 70.3 %), tobacco chewing (n=2; 2.2 %) and alcohol misuse (n=7; 7.7 %) were less. The average duration of symtoms prior to admission was 3.86 ± 2.29 days, with only 6 (6.6 %) being referred from a primary health centre. 44 patients (48.4 %) were being treated by unqualified



local practioners and 41 (% 45) took no medication. Sixty-nine patients (75.8 %) had poor oral hygiene and 46 (50.5 %) had dental caries. 79 (86.8 %) of the patients were of low socioeconomic status and 69 (75.8 %) illiterate or primary school certificate. 80 % of the patient live in rural area. Six (6.6 %) patients were aware of the predisposing factors and potential complications of maxillofacial abscess (Table III). All patients recovered completely with no complications.

 Table II.
 Locations of odontogenic abscess according to

 Spilka's system

Submandibular 24 %26,4 Buccal 17 %18,7 Canine 6 %6,6 Submental 9 %6,9 Multispace combinations (n=35) Submandibular / buccal 10 %11 Submandibular / submental 9 %9,9 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3	Locations Single space (n= 56)			
Buccal 17 %18,7 Canine 6 %6,6 Submental 9 %6,9 Multispace combinations (n=35) Submandibular / buccal 10 %11 Submandibular / submental 9 %9,9 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3	enigle space (ii so)	Submandibular	24	%26.4
Canine 6 %6,6 Submental 9 %9,9 Multispace combinations (n=35) Submandibular / buccal 10 %11 Submandibular / submental 9 %9,9 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Buccal	17	%18.7
Submental 9 %9,9 Multispace combinations (n=35) Submandibular / buccal Submandibular / submental 10 %11 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 %2,2 Buccal/canine 7 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal 3 %3,3		Canine	6	%6.6
Multispace combinations (n=35) Submandibular / buccal Submandibular / buccal 10 %11 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Submental	9	%9.9
Submandibular / buccal 10 %11 Submandibular / submental 9 %9,9 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3	Multispace combinations		-	,-
Submandibular / submental 9 %9,9 Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3	(11-55)	Submandibular / buccal	10	%11
Buccal/canine 7 %7,7 Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Submandibular / submental	9	%9,9
Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal 2 %2,2 Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Buccal/canine	7	%7,7
Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal 2 %2,2 Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Submandibular/lateral pharyngeal	2	%2,2
Submandibular / sublingual 3 %3,3		Buccal / lateral pharyngeal / temporal	2	%2,2
		Submandibular / sublingual	3	%3,3
Submandibular/buccal/submental 1 %1,09		Submandibular/buccal/submental	1	%1,09
Buccal / masseteric 1 %1,09		Buccal / masseteric	1	%1,09
Total 91	Total		91	

Table III. Socioeconomic staus according to Kuppuswamy's revised classification

(4) 5 / /		6	
(A) Educatio		Score	
1 Protession	al degree		
2 Graduate	or post graduate	6	
3 Intermedi	ate or post -high-school diploma	5	
4 High scho	ol certificate	4	
5 Middle sch	nool certificate	3	
6 Primary so	chool or literate	2	
7 Illiterate		1	
(B) Occupat	ion		
1 Profession	,	10	
2 Semi- Pro	fession	6	
3 clerical, sl	nop owner, farmer	5	
4 Skilled wo	rker	4	
5 Semi- Skilled worker		3	
6 Unskilled worker		2	
7 Unemploy	ed	1	
(C) Family in	ncome per month (TL)		
1.	≥1750	12	
2.	1250-1749	10	
З.	1000-1249	6	
4.	750-999	4	
5.	500-749	3	
6.	250-499	2	
7.	<249	1	
Total score (A+B+C) class (n=91)			
26-29	upper (I)	n=3	%3,3
16-25	upper middle (II)	n=9	<i>%9,9</i>
11-15	lower middle (III)	n=19	%20,9

TOZOĞLU,	ERTAŞ,	BÜYÜKKURT,
YAVUZ, USL	U, KAYA	١

5-10	upper lower (IV)	n=25	%27,5
<5	lower (V)	n=35	%38,5

DISCUSSION

Our investigation reported a very broad age range of elderly patients having maxillofacial infections of odontogenic origin, from 16 years to 71 years with the majority in the 16-30 year age group in both man and women. These results confirm previous studie $^{6-8}$

It is reported that odontogenic infections most commonly involve the mandibular molar teeth.^{1, 2, 8, 9} Our results confirm these previous studies. These results may be related that molar teeth are most often affected by caries, and mandibular third molar are affected by pericoronal infection which occurs in an erupting or a partially impacted tooth.¹⁰

Odontogenic infections usually spread from the structures supporting the affected tooth along the planes of least resistance to the facial spaces in the vicinity.¹ Therefore, teeth of origin showed obvious relationship to the adjacent anatomic space infected.⁷ Our most commonly affected site was the submandibular space in both multispace infections and single space infections. It has been reported as the most commonly involved site in most past studies.¹⁰⁻¹³

Socioeconomic status may play an important role to admit on time to qualified profesionals particularly oral surgeon and to receive adequate treatment. They minimize both morbidity and mortality. In the present study, 6.6 % of the patients being referred from a primary health centre, 48.4 % were being treated by ungualified local practioners and % 45 took no medication. They mostly lived in rural area and the average duration of symptoms prior to admission was about 4 days. These results showed that lack of primary health care facilities may contribute to high incidence of severe odontogenic infections because of delay of immediate treatment. Most of our patients were of low socioeconomic status and illiterate or primary school certificate and were not aware of the predisposing factors and potential complications of maxillofacial abscess. 75.8 % of them had poor oral hygiene. Our results coincides with the data of Agarwal et al.11 who found that low socioeconomic status, illiteracy, bad habits, and lack of primary health care facilities contribute to a high incidence of deep neck abscess in India. Early



diagnosis, prompt surgical management (incision and drainage) and adequate antimicrobial treatment are the essentials of managing odontogenic abscess.¹⁴ Dentist and other qualified practioners should give information about dental infections to the people particularly lived in rural area. These prevent important complications.

Until the mid-1970s, it was believed that odontogenic infections were caused by a single species of aerobic or facultative bacteria.¹ Now, it is well known that odontogenic infections may result from mixed infections caused by a wide range of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms.¹⁻⁴ The type and the combination of the microbial flora develop in response to the surrounding environment. Factors that influence whether species shall die or survive are the particular ecological niche, nutrition, anaerobiosis, pH and competition with other microorganisms.¹⁵ An aerobic-anaerobic mixed infection is present where in aerobic streptococci through consumption of oxygen prepare the medium for anaerobic bacteria. Therefore, the adequate and immediately treatment of odontogenic infection is very important. Late or inadequate treatment may cause complex microbial flora. Antibacterial treatment of such infection requires wide spectrum.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ If there is no penicilin sensitivity, penicilin may preferable as a first choice of antibiotic for the initial empiric therapy. If penicilin sensitivity present, clindamycin may be used.

The conclusions of this study are that low socioeconomic status, poor oral hygiene and smoking are important contributory factors towards the high incidence of severe odontogenic infections. Severity of infections may be related the the microbial flora. Early diagnosis and treatment are critical in the management of patients with odontogenic abscess because delay can result in local spread of the infection to vital anatomic structures and may cause life threatening complications.

REFERENCES

 Storoe W, Haug RH, Lillich TT. The changing face of odontogenic infections. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2001;59:739-48.

- Chow AW, Roser SM, Brady FA. Orofacial odontogenic infections. Ann Intern Med. 1978 ;88:392-402.
- Topazian RG, Goldberg MH. Oral and maxillofacial infections. 3rd ed.Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: WB Saunders; 1994.
- Spilka CJ. Pathways of dental infections. J Oral Surg. 1966 ;24:111-24.
- Mishra D, Singh HP. Kuppuswamy's socioeconomic status scale-a revision. Indian J Pediatr. 2003 ;70:273-4.
- Kannangara DW, Thadepalli H, McQuirter JL. Bacteriology and treatment of dental infections. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1980 ;50:103-9.
- Sakaguchi M, Sato S, Ishiyama T, Katsuno S, Taguchi K. Characterization and management of deep neck infections. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1997;26:131-4.
- Sethi DS, Stanley RE. Deep neck abscesseschanging trends. J Laryngol Otol. 1994 ;108:138-43.
- Haug RH, Hoffman MJ, Indresano AT. An epidemiologic and anatomic survey of odontogenic infections. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1991;49:976-80.
- Güngörmüş M, Güngörmüş Z, Tozoğlu S, Yavuz MS. Çekilen dişlerdeki mevcut patolojik durumların istatistiksel olarak değerlendirilmesi. Türkiye Klinik Derg. 2001;3:86-90.
- 11. Agarwal AK, Sethi A, Sethi D, Mrig S, Chopra S. Role of socioeconomic factors in deep neck abscess: A prospective study of 120 patients. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2007;45:553-5.
- 12. Meher R, Jain A, Sabharwal A, Gupta B, Singh I, Agarwal AK. Deep neck abscess: a prospective study of 54 cases. J Laryngol Otol. 2005 ;119:299-302.
- Stalfors J, Adielsson A, Ebenfelt A, Nethander G, Westin T. Deep neck space infections remain a surgical challenge. A study of 72 patients. Acta Otolaryngol. 2004 ;124:1191-6.
- 14. Gill Y, Scully C. Orofacial odontogenic infections: review of microbiology and current treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1990 ;70:155-8.
- 15. Estrela C, Holland R, Bernabe PF, Souza V, Estrela CR. Antimicrobial potential of medicaments used in healing process in dogs' teeth with apical periodontitis. Braz Dent J. 2004;15:181-5.



Atatürk Üniv. Diş Hek. Fak. Derg. Cilt:19, Sayı: 1, Yıl: 2009, Sayfa: 26-30

- Çetin B. Orofacial infections Turkiye Klinikleri J Int Med Sci 2005; 1:47-54
- 17. Heimdahl A, von Konow L, Satoh T, Nord CE. Clinical appearance of orofacial infections of odontogenic origin in relation to microbiological findings. J Clin Microbiol. 1985 ;22:299-302.
- Aderhold L, Knothe H, Frenkel G. The bacteriology of dentogenous pyogenic infections. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1981;52:583-7.
- Bayındır Y. Dental İnfeksiyonlarda Doğru Antibiyotik Kullanımı İnönü Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Dergisi 2003;10:213–16

Yazışma Adresi: Dr. Sinan TOZOGLU

Atatürk Üniversitesi Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi Ağız Diş Çene hastalıkları ve Cerrahisi AD. 25240, Erzurum, Tlf; +90.442.2312771 Faks: +90.442.2360945 e-mail addresi: stozoglu@hotmail.com

