

 Karadeniz Fen Bilimleri Dergisi

 The Black Sea Journal of Sciences

 ISSN (Online): 2564-7377

 <a href="https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/kfbd">https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/kfbd</a>



Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article

# Prediction for the Anomalous ZZZ and ZZγ Couplings via two Z-boson Production at the CLIC

Emre GÜRKANLI<sup>1\*</sup>

#### Abstract

The non-Abelian nature of the Standard Model (SM) entails the existence of the gauge bosons' self-interactions. The gauge bosons' self-interactions are important to test the SM and see the new physics effects. These effects can be analyzed in the effective theory approach which is the main aim of this study. We examine the ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  anomalous neutral triple gauge couplings (aNTGC) via the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ$  with both unpolarized and polarized electron beam with the  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV at CLIC. In the final state, semi-leptonic decay of Z-bosons ( $Z \rightarrow jj$ ,  $Z \rightarrow v_l \bar{v}_l$ ) are considered. We focused on *CP*-violating  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and *CP*-conserving  $\frac{C_{\bar{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}$  couplings. The sensitivities are obtained at 95% Confidence Level with luminosities of  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5$  ab<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1$  ab<sup>-1</sup> and  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 4$  ab<sup>-1</sup> for unpolarized, 80% and -80% polarized electron beams, respectively. Obtained sensitivities on anomalous couplings are 3-20 times stringent than the current experimental limits and comparable with the related phenomenological studies in the literature.

Keywords: Electroweak Interaction, Anomalous Triple Gauge Boson Couplings, Beyond Standard Model.

# CLICte iki Z-Bozonu Üretimi Aracılığıyla Anormal ZZZ ve ZZγ Bağlaşımlarının Tahmini

#### Öz

Standart Modelin abelyen olmayan doğası ayar bozonlarının kendileriyle öz etkileşmelerinin varlığını gerektirir. Bu etkileşmeler Standart Modeli test etmek ve yeni fizik etkilerini görmek için önemlidir. Bu etkileşi efektif teori kapsamında analiz etmek bu çalışmanın ana motivasyon kaynağını oluşturmaktadır. Bu çalışmada CLIC çarpıştırıcısında  $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$  de polarize ve polarize olmayan elektron için  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ$  süreci aracılığıyla ZZZ ve  $ZZ\gamma$  anormal yüksüz üçlü ayar bozonu bağlaşımları incelenmiştir. Son durumda Z-bosonunun yarı-leptonik bozunumu ( $Z \rightarrow jj$ ,  $Z \rightarrow v_l \overline{v_l}$ ) dikkate alınmıştır. Burada *CP* ihlal eden  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  ve *CP* koruyan  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  bağlaşımlarına odaklanılmıştır. Duyarlılıklar 95% güvenilirlik seviyesinde, sırasıyla polarize olmayan, 80% ve -80% polarize elektron için  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  ve  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 4 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ ışınlılık değerleri kullanılarak hesaplanmıştır. Anormal bağlaşımlar için elde edilen duyarlılıklar deneysel limitlerden 3-20 kat daha sınırlayıcı iken literatürdeki ilgili fenomenolojik çalışmalarla karşılaştırılabilir seviyededir. **Anahtar Kelimeler:** Elektrozayıf Etkileşmeler, Anormal Üçlü ayar bozonu bağlaşımları, Standart Model Ötesi.

<sup>1</sup>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9543-1086

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sinop University, Department of Physics, 57000, Sinop, TÜRKİYE, egurkanli@sinop.edu.tr

## **1. Introduction**

The non-Abelian character of the Standard Model indicates the gauge bosons' self-interactions like triple and quartic gauge boson couplings. These interactions are important to test the validity of the Standard Model. In the Standard Model; *ZZV*, *ZV* $\gamma$  and *WWV* ( $V = \gamma$ , *Z*) are defined (Baur et al., 2000). *Z*-boson has no electrical charge and does not interact with photon. Because of that SM does not include *Z* $\gamma\gamma$ , *ZZ* $\gamma$  and *ZZZ* vertices at the tree-level. Therefore, an Effective Field Theory (EFT) is useful to investigate the contributions of new physics effects. In this work, we focus on the dim-8 operators defining the aNTGC. For doing this, we used the effective Lagrangian of the NTGC that includes SM interactions and new physics effects coming from dim-8 operators that are running by dim-8 anomalous couplings can be given as (Degrande, 2014). On the other hand, there are several phenomenological studies in the literature on  $e^-e^+$  and *pp* colliders to examine the aNTGCs (Choudhury et al., 2000, Atağ et al., 2004, Ots et al., 2004, Ots et al., 2006, Gutierrez-Rodriguez et al., 2009, Ananthanarayan et al., 2012, Ananthanarayan et al., 2014, Rahaman et al., 2016, Rahaman et al., 2017, Ellis et al., 2020, Fu et al., 2021, Ellis et al., 2021, Yang et al., 2022, Baur et al., 1993, Senol et al., 2018, Rahaman et al., 2019, Senol et al., 2019, Senol et al., 2020, Yilmaz et al., 2020, Yilmaz, 2021, Hernandez-Juarez et al., 2021, Biekötter et al., 2021).

$$\mathcal{L}^{NTGC} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^4} \left( \mathcal{O}_i + \mathcal{O}_i^\dagger \right) \tag{1}$$

Here, the dim-8 couplings are normalized to dim-4 by  $\Lambda$  new physics scale. On the other hand,  $O_i$  describes the operators given below.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{B}W} = iH^{\dagger}\tilde{B}_{\sigma\rho}W^{\sigma\mu} \{D_{\mu}D^{\rho}\}H,\tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{BW} = iH^{\dagger}B_{\sigma\rho}W^{\sigma\mu} \{D_{\mu}D^{\rho}\}H,\tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = iH^{\dagger}W_{\sigma\rho}W^{\sigma\mu} \{D_{\mu}D^{\rho}\}H,\tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = iH^{\dagger}B_{\sigma\rho}B^{\sigma\mu} \{D_{\mu}D^{\rho}\}H,\tag{5}$$

where

$$B_{\sigma\nu} = (\partial_{\sigma}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\sigma}) \tag{6}$$

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^i \left( \partial_\mu W^i_\nu - \partial_\nu W^i_\mu + g \epsilon_{ijk} W^j_\mu W^k_\nu \right) \tag{7}$$

With 
$$\langle \sigma^i \sigma^j \rangle = \frac{\delta^{ij}}{2}$$
 and

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \frac{ig'}{2} B_{\mu} Y - i g_{w} W^{i}_{\mu} \sigma^{i}$$
(8)

In the above equations,  $B_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $W_{\mu\nu}$  and  $D_{\mu}$  are the field strength tensor and the covariant derivative, respectively.

At the lowest order, dim-8 operators have the effect of  $\frac{v^2 \hat{s}}{\Lambda^4}$  while the dim-6 operators have zero. On the other hand, dim-6 operators have induced an effect of  $\frac{\alpha \hat{s}}{4\pi\Lambda^2}$  at the one-loop which is negligible compared with the effects coming from dim-8 operators that are  $\Lambda \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \hat{s}}{\alpha}}$  (Degrande, 2014). Here, v is the expectation value in the vacuum. The effective Lagrangian for the aNTGC including the both dim-6 and dim-8 operators studied in the literature is given in the following equation (Gounaris et al., 2000).

$$\mathcal{L}_{aNTGC}^{dim-6,8} = \frac{e}{m_Z^2} - \left[ f_4^{\gamma} (\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\beta}) + f_4^{Z} (\partial_{\mu} Z^{\mu\beta}) \right] Z_{\alpha} (\partial^{\alpha} Z_{\beta}) + \left[ f_5^{\gamma} (\partial^{\sigma} F_{\sigma\mu}) + f_5^{Z} (\partial^{\sigma} Z_{\sigma\mu}) \right] \tilde{Z}^{\mu\beta} Z_{\beta} - \left[ h_1^{\gamma} (\partial^{\sigma} F_{\sigma\mu}) + h_1^{Z} (\partial^{\sigma} Z_{\sigma\mu}) \right] Z_{\beta} F^{\mu\beta} - \left[ h_3^{\gamma} (\partial_{\sigma} F^{\sigma\rho}) + h_3^{Z} (\partial_{\sigma} F^{\sigma\rho}) \right] Z^{\alpha} \tilde{F}_{\rho\alpha} - \left\{ \frac{h_2^{\gamma}}{m_Z^2} \left[ \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \partial^{\rho} F_{\rho\mu} \right] + \frac{h_2^{Z}}{m_Z^2} \left[ \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} (\Box + m_Z^2) Z_{\mu} \right] \right\} Z^{\alpha} F^{\mu\beta} + \left\{ \frac{h_4^{\gamma}}{2m_Z^2} [\Box \partial^{\sigma} F^{\rho\alpha}] + \frac{h_4^{\gamma}}{2m_Z^2} [\Box \partial^{\sigma} Z^{\rho\alpha}] \right\} Z_{\sigma} \tilde{F}_{\rho\alpha} \right\}$$
(9)

Here,  $f_4^V$ ,  $h_1^V$ ,  $h_2^V$  are the *CP*-violating and  $f_5^V$ ,  $h_3^V$ ,  $h_4^V$  are the *CP*-conserving couplings ( $V = \gamma, Z$ ) that all are zero at the lowest order. In above Lagrangian only  $h_2^V$  and  $h_4^V$  couplings are related to dim-8. On the other hand, the couplings given in Eqs. (2-5) are related with the couplings in Eq.(9) when considering the  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauge invariance (Rahaman, 2020). The *CP*-conserving couplings with the two on-shell *Z*-bosons and an off-shell  $\gamma$  or *Z*-boson are given by (Degrande, 2014).

$$f_5^Z = 0,$$
 (10)

$$f_5^{\gamma} = \frac{v^2 m_z^2}{4c_{\omega} s_w} \frac{c_{\widetilde{B}W}}{\Lambda^4} \tag{11}$$

Besides, the *CP*-violating anomalous couplings are given as follows.

$$f_4^Z = \frac{v^2 m_z^2 \left( c_\omega^2 \frac{c_{WW}}{\Lambda^4} + 2c_\omega s_W \frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} + 4s_\omega^2 \frac{c_{BB}}{\Lambda^4} \right)}{2c_\omega s_W} \tag{12}$$

$$f_4^{\gamma} = -\frac{v^2 m_z^2 \left(-c_\omega s_w \frac{c_{WW}}{\Lambda^4} + \frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} (c_\omega^2 - s_\omega^2) + 2c_\omega s_w \frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} + 4s_\omega^2 \frac{c_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}\right)}{2c_\omega s_w}$$
(13)

The *CP*-conserving couplings with an on-shell *Z*-boson and  $\gamma$  in addition to an off-shell  $\gamma$  or *Z*-boson are given in the following equations (Degrande, 2014).

$$h_3^Z = \frac{v^2 m_z^2}{4c_\omega s_w} \frac{c_{\tilde{B}W}}{\Lambda^4} \tag{14}$$

$$h_3^{\gamma} = h_4^{\gamma} = h_4^Z = 0, \tag{15}$$

Finally, the *CP*-violating couplings are given as follows.

$$h_{1}^{Z} = -\frac{v^{2}m_{z}^{2}\left(-c_{\omega}s_{w}\frac{c_{WW}}{\Lambda^{4}} + \frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^{4}}(c_{\omega}^{2} - s_{\omega}^{2}) + 4c_{\omega}s_{w}\frac{c_{BB}}{\Lambda^{4}}\right)}{4c_{\omega}s_{w}}$$
(16)

$$h_2^Z = h_2^\gamma = 0 (17)$$

$$h_1^{\gamma} = -\frac{v^2 m_z^2 \left(s_\omega^2 \frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4} - 2c_\omega s_W \frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} + 4c_\omega^2 \frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}\right)}{4c_\omega s_W} \tag{18}$$

The best limits on dim-8  $\frac{C_{\overline{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  anomalous couplings are determined for the process  $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\nu\gamma$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC with 95% Confidence Level (C.L.) which are given in Eqs. (19-22) (Aaboud et al., 2018).

$$-1.1 \, TeV^{-4} \, < \frac{C_{\tilde{B}W}}{\Lambda^4} < 1.1 \, TeV^{-4} \tag{19}$$

$$-2.3 TeV^{-4} < \frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4} < 2.3 TeV^{-4}$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

$$-0.65 TeV^{-4} < \frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} < 0.64 TeV^{-4}$$
(21)

$$-0.24 \, TeV^{-4} < \frac{c_{BB}}{\Lambda^4} < 0.24 \, TeV^{-4} \tag{22}$$

Apart from this, many phenomenological studies have been performed to obtain the *CP*-violating  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  and *CP*-conserving  $\frac{C_{\overline{BW}}}{\Lambda^4}$  couplings (Choudhury et al., 2000, Atağ et al., 2004, Ots et al., 2006, Gutierrez-Rodriguez et al., 2009, Ananthanarayan et al., 2012, Ananthanarayan et al., 2014, Rahaman et al., 2016, Rahaman et al., 2017, Ellis et al., 2020, Fu et al., 2021, Ellis et al., 2021, Yang et al., 2022, Baur et al., 1993, Senol et al., 2018, Rahaman et al., 2019, Senol et al., 2019, Senol et al., 2020, Yilmaz et al., 2020, Yilmaz, 2021, Hernandez-Juarez et al., 2021, Biekötter et al., 2021).

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Cross-sections and Events

A cut base analysis is handled in this study. We examined the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj\nu_l\overline{\nu_l}$  in the stage-3 scenerio of the CLIC. Using FeynRules (Alloul et al., 2014) package with dim-8 effective Lagrangians, the ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  aNTGC are imported to the MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO (Alwall et al., 2011, Alwall et al., 2014). We give the related Feynman diagrams in Fig.1.



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagrams for the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ$  including the anomalous contribution of ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  vertices and the SM.

As the first step we produced the signals and relevant SM background events at tree-level by importing dim-8 anomalous  $\frac{C_{\overline{BW}}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  couplings implemented UFO model file into MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO with cut-0 case to determine the optimal cuts. The analysis is presented at parton-level without taking into account any detector response. Here, Cut-0 refers to the default cut set of the MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO to keep down the infrared divergence and singularities. In Figs. 2-4, we have given the missing energy transverse,  $p_T^j$  and  $\eta^j$  plots of the signals and related SM background for the Cut-0 case.



**Figure 2.** The number of events as a function of *MET* for the  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$  signal and relevant SM background with  $P_e = 0\%$ . Each coupling has been taken the value of 1 TeV<sup>-4</sup>.



**Figure 3.** The number of events as a function of  $p_T^j$  for the  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$  signal and relevant SM background with  $P_e = 0\%$ . Each coupling has been taken the value of 1 TeV<sup>-4</sup>.



**Figure 4.** The number of events as a function of  $\eta^j$  for the  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj\nu_l\overline{\nu_l}$  signal and relevant SM background with  $P_e = 0\%$ . Each coupling has been taken the value of 1 TeV<sup>-4</sup>.

In the second step we determined the optimal kinematic cuts using those figures. Doing this, we have taken the separating value of the signals and background for every objects and composed the optimized cuts given in Table I.

	$\frac{C_{\overline{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}, \frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}, \frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}, \frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$
Cut-1	$\left \eta^{j} ight <$ 2.5 , $p_{T}^{j}>$ 100 GeV
Cut-2	MET > 200  GeV
Cut-3	$\Delta R(j,j) > 0.4$
Cut-4	$80 < M_{jj} < 100  \text{GeV}$

**Table 1.** Selected cuts for the  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj\nu_l\overline{\nu_l}$  signal.

For Cut-I, we applied  $|\eta^j| < 2.5$  and  $p_T^j > 100$  GeV as seen in Figs. 3-4. In the second base MET > 200 GeV is applied to separate signals and background more clearly which is easy to see in Fig.2. For Cut-3, we applied the angular separations  $\Delta R = ((\Delta \varphi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  for final state jets as  $\Delta R(j,j) > 0.4$ . We also applied invariant-mass cut to final state jets  $80 < M_{jj} < 100$  GeV closest to the mass of *Z* which is tagged Cut-4. In Table-II we have given the events for signals and SM background after applying selected cuts step-by-step. On the other hand we used polarized beam options for electron due to the CLIC experiment program in our calculations to increase the signals and reduce the unwanted background effects (Gurkanli et al., 2021, Franceschini, 2020, Roloff et al., 2018, Weber, 2020, Spor et al., 2021).

**Table 2.** Events for the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$ , the SM and relevant backgrounds with applied cuts. All couplings are taken 1 TeV<sup>-4</sup>.

	$C_{BB}$	$C_{\bar{B}W}$	$C_{BW}$	$C_{WW}$	SM
	$\Lambda^4$	$\Lambda^4$	$\Lambda^4$	$\Lambda^4$	
Cut-0	99250	128100	20420	17650	4976
Cut-1	95482	124089	17333	14592	2035
Cut-2	94946	123435	17056	14326	1817
Cut-3	14580	18735	3224	2802	975
Cut-4	13539	17425	2995	2605	905

# **3. Findings and Discussion**

#### **3.1 Sensitivities on ANTGC**

The sensitivities on aNTGCs  $\frac{C_{\overline{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  are obtained with  $\chi^2$  method at the 95% Confidence Level. It is defined with the following equation.

$$\chi^{2} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{Tot}(\frac{c}{\Lambda^{4}}) - \sigma_{SM}}{\sigma_{SM}\sqrt{(\delta_{st})^{2} + (\delta_{sys})^{2}}}\right)^{2}$$
(23)

Here,  $\delta_{st}$  is the statistical error which is defined by  $\delta_{st} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{SM}}}$ . On the other hand,  $N_{SM}$  is the number of events related to the SM which is given by the following equation.

$$N_{SM} = \mathcal{L} \times \sigma_{SM}.$$
(24)

In Eq. 23  $\sigma_{Tot}\left(\frac{c}{\Lambda^4}\right)$  refer to the cross-section of the signal  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$  with the contribution of ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  aNTGC while the  $\sigma_{SM}$  is the SM cross-section of the related process. While obtaining the sensitivities, we also take into account the systematic uncertainties  $\delta_{SYS}$  which may arise from related backgrounds, lepton identification and jet-photon misidentification (Khoriauli, 2009, Senol et al., 2022). In this context, we have given the sensitivities under the systematic uncertainty values of  $\delta_{SYS} = 0\%$ , 3% and 5%. The sensitivities on aNTGCs  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  for the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV with unpolarized and polarized electron beams and under various systematic uncertainties are given in Table III.

$P_e$		0%	-80%	80%
		$\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L}_{int} = 4 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$
$C_{BB}$	$\delta_{sys} = 0\%$	$[-6.71; 6.74] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-1.02; 1.04] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-7.66; 7.95] \times 10^{-2}$
$\Lambda^4$	$\delta_{sys} = 3\%$	$[-7.72; 7.75] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-1.17; 1.19] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-7.88; 8.17] \times 10^{-2}$
	$\delta_{sys} = 5\%$	$[-8.89; 8.93] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-1.35; 1.37] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-8.23; 8.52] \times 10^{-2}$
$C_{\bar{B}W}$	$\delta_{sys} = 0\%$	$[-5.77; 6.03] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-0.53; 0.80] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-0.79; 0.88] \times 10^{-1}$
$\Lambda^4$	$\delta_{sys} = 3\%$	$[-6.65; 6.91] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-0.62; 0.89] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-0.81; 0.91] \times 10^{-1}$
	$\delta_{sys} = 5\%$	$[-7.68; 7.95] \times 10^{-2}$	$[-0.73; 0.99] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-0.85; 0.94] \times 10^{-1}$
$C_{BW}$	$\delta_{sys} = 0\%$	$[-1.66; 1.66] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-4.11; 4.10] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.75; 1.74] \times 10^{-1}$
$\Lambda^4$	$\delta_{sys} = 3\%$	$[-1.91; 1.91] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-4.70; 4.69] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.80; 1.80] \times 10^{-1}$
	$\delta_{sys} = 5\%$	$[-2.20; 2.20] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-5.40; 5.39] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.87; 1.87] \times 10^{-1}$
$C_{WW}$	$\delta_{sys} = 0\%$	$[-1.83; 1.84] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.49; 1.53] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-5.77; 5.78] \times 10^{-1}$
$\Lambda^4$	$\delta_{sys} = 3\%$	$[-2.10; 2.11] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.71; 1.75] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-5.93; 5.94] \times 10^{-1}$
	$\delta_{sys} = 5\%$	$[-2.42; 2.43] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-1.97; 2.00] \times 10^{-1}$	$[-6.19; 6.20] \times 10^{-1}$

**Table 3.** Bounds on  $\frac{C_{\overline{BW}}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  via  $e^-e^+ \to ZZ \to jj\nu_l\overline{\nu_l}$  process.

The best sensitivities on aNTGCs are obtained as expected under  $\delta_{sys} = 0\%$  systematic uncertainties which are given in Eqs. 25-28.

$$\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4} = [-6.71; 6.74] \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-4}}$$
(25)

$$\frac{C_{\bar{B}W}}{\Lambda^4} = [-5.77; 6.03] \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-4}} \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{c_{BW}}{\Lambda^4} = [-1.66; 1.66] \times 10^{-1} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-4}} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{c_{WW}}{\Lambda^4} = [-1.49; 1.53] \times 10^{-1} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-4}} \tag{28}$$

241

We have given the figures of production cross-section in terms of  $\frac{C_{\overline{BW}}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  couplings for different electron polarizations in Figs. 5-7.



**Figure 5.** Cross-section of  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjv_l\overline{v_l}$  process in terms of the anomalous  $\frac{C_{\overline{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ , couplings at the  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV and  $P_{e^-} = -80\%$ .



**Figure 6.** Same as Fig.5 but for unpolarized beams  $P_{e^-} = 0\%$ .



**Figure 7.** Same as Fig.5 but for unpolarized beams  $P_{e^-} = 80\%$ .

We also have given barcharts in the Figs. 8-11 to compare our results with latest experimental limits given in the Eqs. 19-22. As seen in those figures, our result improved the boundries by a factor of 3-20 times. Also, the sensitivities they are comparable with the related phenomenological results in the literature.



**Figure 8.** Comparison of the current experimental limits and sensitivities on the anomalous  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  coupling for the luminosities of  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5000, 4000, 1000, 500 \ 100 \ \text{fb}^{-1}$  and  $\sqrt{s} = 3 \ \text{TeV}$ .



**Figure 9.** Same as Fig.8 but for the anomalous  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  coupling.



**Figure 10.** Same as Fig.8 but for the anomalous  $\frac{C_{\overline{B}W}}{\Lambda^4}$  coupling.



Figure 11. Same as Fig.8 but for the anomalous  $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda^4}$  coupling.

### 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

In the study, we perform the  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZZ$  process for the decay of  $Z \rightarrow jj$ ,  $Z \rightarrow v_l \bar{v}_l$  to probe the ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  aNTGC. We performed the calculations for both unpolarized and polarized electron beams at CLIC with a CoM energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV. A cut-based analysis is carried out to separate the signals and related SM background. While doing this, we composed a cut-flow chart to show the effects of selected cuts on the generated events step-by-step. Finally, we obtained the sensitivities of  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$ ,  $\frac{C_{BW}}{\Lambda^4}$  and  $\frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda^4}$  couplings at 95% Confidence Level for unpolarized, 80% and -80% polarized electron beams with the luminosities of  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 5$  ab<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1$  ab<sup>-1</sup> and  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 4$  ab<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Also, we give our results under systematic uncertainties of 0%, 3% and 5% .Our sensitivities improve the latest experimental results by a factor of 3-20 times and are comparable with the related phenomenological studies in the literature.

### **Statement of Research and Publication Ethics**

The author declares that this study complies with Research and Publication Ethics.

- Aaboud, M., et al., (2018). Measurement of the  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}\gamma$  production cross section in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings. *JHEP*, 12, 010.
- Alloul, A., Christensen, N.D., Degrande, C., Duhr, C., Fuks B., (2014). FeynRules 2.0- A complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 185, 2250.
- Alwall, J., Frederix, R., Frixione, S., Hirschi, V., Maltoni, F., Mattelaer, O., Shao, H. -S., Stelzer, T., Torielli, P., Zaro, M., (2014). The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations. *JHEP*, 07, 079.
- Alwall, J., Herquet, M., Maltoni, F., Mattelaer, O., Stelzer, T., (2011). MadGraph 5: going beyond. *JHEP*, 06, 128.
- Ananthanarayan, B., Garg, K.S., Patra, M., Rindani, S.D., (2012). Isolating *CP*-violating  $\gamma ZZ$  coupling in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma Z$  with transverse beam polarizations. *Physical Review D*, 85, 034006.
- Ananthanarayan, B., Lahiri, J., Patra, M., Rindani, S.D., (2014). New physics in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma Z$  at he ILC with polarized beams: explorations beyond conventional anomalous triple gauge boson couplings. *JHEP*, 08, 124.
- Atağ, S., Şahin, İ., (2004).  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  couplings at linear  $e^+e^-$  collider energies with the effects of Z polarization and initial state radiation. *Physical Review D*, 70, 053014.
- Baur, U., Berger, E.L., (1993). Probing the weak-boson sector in Zγ production at hadron colliders. *Physical Review D*, 47, 4889.
- Baur, U., Rainwater D., (2000). Probing neutral gauge boson self-interactions in ZZ production at hadron colliders. *Physical Review D*, 62, 113011.
- Biekötter, A., Gregg, P., Krauss, F., Schönherr, M., (2021). Constraining *CP* violating operators in charged and neutral triple gauge couplings. *Physics Letters B*, 817, 136311.
- Choudhury D., Rindani S.D., (1994). Test of CP-violating neutral gauge boson vertices in  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \gamma Z$ . *Physics Letters B*, 335, 198-204.
- Degrande C., (2014). A basis of dimension-eight operators for anomalous neutral triple gauge boson interactions. *JHEP*, 02, 101.
- Ellis, J., Ge, S.F., He, H.J., Xiao, R.Q., (2020). Probing the scale of new physics in the  $ZZ\gamma$  coupling at  $e^+e^-$  colliders. *Chinese Physics C*, 44, 063106.
- Ellis, J., He, H.J., Xiao, R.Q., (2021). Probing new physics in dimension-8 neutral gauge couplings at  $e^+e^-$  colliders. *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, 64, 221062.
- Franceschini, R., (2020). Beyond the Standard Model physics at CLIC. *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, 35, 2041015.
- Fu, Q., Yang, J.C., Yue, C.X., Guo, Y.C., (2021). The study of neutral triple gauge couplings in the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma Z$  including unitarity bounds. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 972, 115543.
- Gounaris, G.J., Layssac, J., Renard, F.M., (2000). Signatures of the anomalous *Z*γ and *ZZ* production at lepton and hadron colliders. *Physical Review D*, 61, 073013.
- Gurkanli, E., Ari, V., Koksal, M., Gutierrez-Rodriguez, A., Hernandez-Ruiz, M.A., (2021). Study of the projected sensitivity on the anomalous quartic gauge couplings via *Zγγ* production at the CLIC. *arXiv:2112.03948[hep-ph]*.
- Gutierrez-Rodriguez, A., Hernandez-Ruiz, M.A., Perez, M.A., (2009). Probing the ZZ $\gamma$  and Z $\gamma\gamma$  couplings through the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\overline{\nu\gamma}$ . *Physical Review D*, 80, 017301.
- Hernandez-Juarez, A.I., Moyotl, A., Tavares-Velasco, G., (2021). Contribution to  $ZZV^*(V = \gamma, Z, Z')$  couplings from *CP* violating flavor changing couplings. *European Physical Journal C*, 81, 304.
- Khoriauli, G., (2009). Study of systematic uncertainties of single top production at ATLAS. *Il Nuovo Cimento B*, 123, 1327-1330.
- Ots, I., Uibo, H., Liivat, H., Loide, R. -K., Saar., (2004). Possible anomalous  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  couplings and Z boson spin orientation in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma$ . Nucl. Phys. B, 702, 346-356.
- Ots, I., Uibo, H., Liivat, H., Loide, R. -K., Saar., (2006). Possible anomalous  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  couplings and Z boson spin orientation in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma$ : The role of transverse polarization. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 740, 212-221.
- Rahaman, R., (2020). Study of anomalous gauge boson self-couplings and the role of spin-1 polarizations. PhD Thesis, Indian institute of science education and research, India.
- Rahaman, R., Singh, R.K., (2016). On polarization parameters of spin-1 particles and anomalous couplings in

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \frac{ZZ}{Z\gamma}$ . European Physical Journal C, 76, 539.

- Rahaman, R., Singh, R.K., (2017). On the choice of the beam polarization in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \frac{zz}{z_{\gamma}}$  and anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings. *European Physical Journal C*, 77, 521.
- Rahaman, R., Singh, R.K., (2019). Anomalous triple gauge boson couplings in ZZ production at the LHC and the role of Z boson polarizations. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 948, 114754.
- Roloff, P., Franceschini, R., Schnoor, U., Wulzer, A., (2018). The compact linear  $e^+e^-$  collider (CLIC): Physics potential. *arXiv:1812.07986[hep-ex]*.
- Senol, A., Denizli, H., Yilmaz, A., Turk Cakir, I., Cakir, O., (2019). Study on anomalous neutral triple-gauge boson couplings from dimension-eight operators at the HL-LHC. *Acta Physica Polonica B*, 50, 1597.
- Senol, A., Denizli, H., Yilmaz, A., Turk Cakir, I., Cakir, O., (2020). The projections on  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  couplings via  $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  production in HL-LHC and HE-LHC. *Physics Letters B*, 802, 135255
- Senol, A., Denizli, H., Yilmaz, A., Turk Cakir, I., Oyulmaz, K.Y., Karadeniz, O., Cakir, O., (2018). Probing the effects of dimension-eight oprators describing anomalous neutral triple gauge boson interactions at FCC-hh. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 935, 365-376.
- Senol, A., Spor, S., Gurkanli, E., Cetinkaya, V., Denizli, H., Koksal, M., (2022). Model-independent study on the anomalous  $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  couplings at the future muon collider. *European Physical Journal Plus*, 137,1354.
- Spor, S., Koksal, M., (2021). Search for the anomalous  $WW\gamma$  couplings through the process  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow v_e \overline{v_e} \gamma$ at ILC with unpolarized and polarized beams. *Physics Letters B*, 820, 136533.
- Weber, M., (2020). All-hadronic HHZ production at 3 TeV CLIC. arXiv:2008.05198[hep-ex].
- Yang, J.C., Yue, C.X., Guo, Y.C., Cai, L.H., (2022). Using a nested anomaly detection machine learning algorithm to study the neutral triple gauge couplings at an  $e^+e^-$  collider. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 977, 115735
- Yilmaz, A., (2021). Search for the limits on anomalous neutral triple gauge couplings via ZZ production in the *llvv* channel at FCC-hh. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 969, 115471.
- Yilmaz, A., Senol, A., Denizli, H., Turk Cakir, I., Cakir, O., (2020). Sensitivity on anomalous neutral triple gauge couplings via ZZ production at FCC-hh. *European Physical Journal C*, 80, 173.