



# On the nonlinear Volterra equation with conformable derivative

Nguyen Hoang Tuan<sup>a</sup>, Nguyen Minh Hai<sup>b</sup>, Nguyen Duc Phuong<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Medicine, Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematical Economics, Banking University of Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>c</sup>Industrial University of Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we are interested to study a nonlinear Volterra equation with conformable derivative. This kind of such equation has various applications, for example physics, mechanical engineering, heat conduction theory. First, we show that our problem have a mild solution which exists locally in time. Then we prove that the convergence of the mild solution when the parameter tends to zero.

*Keywords:* conformable differential equation, memory term, local existence, Banach fixed point theorem.

*2010 MSC:* 35R11, 35B65, 26A33.

---

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the fractional Sobolev equation

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha w - \Delta w - k \Delta D_t^\alpha w = F(w) + b \int_0^t (t-z)^{-\theta} w(z) dz, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(x, 0) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

---

*Email addresses:* [nhtuan@medvnu.edu.vn](mailto:nhtuan@medvnu.edu.vn) (Nguyen Hoang Tuan), [hainm@buh.edu.vn](mailto:hainm@buh.edu.vn) (Nguyen Minh Hai),  
Corresponding author : [nguyenducphuong@iuh.edu.vn](mailto:nguyenducphuong@iuh.edu.vn) (Nguyen Duc Phuong)

Received October 15, 2022; Accepted: April 23, 2023; Online: April 25, 2023.

where  $F, f$  are the functions which are later mentioned. The constant  $k, b$  are two positive constant.

If  $k = 0$ , the main problem (30) is the classical heat equation [10, 11], is a special case of the non-classical diffusion equation and plays an important role in liquids mechanics, solid mechanics and heat conduction theory, such as [8, 9, 18]. Aifantis in the interesting paper [8] indicated that the classical reaction-diffusion equation does not include aspects of the reaction problem - diffusion. He has established mathematical models with many concrete examples that can contain elasticity and pressure according to the following equation:

$$w_t - \Delta w - k\Delta w_t = F(w) + g, \quad (2)$$

As we know, pseudoparabolic-type equations have applications in many areas of mathematics and physics to describe many physical phenomena. A significant point of interest in examining this equation is the presence of the quantity  $-\Delta w_t$  in the first equation of (30). Under the appearance of this term, we call Problem (30) pseudo-parabolic equation (see [17, 12]).

As we know, there are various papers on semilinear Volterra integrodifferential equations with integer order derivative. The paper [20] considered the following Volterra integro-differential equation. The paper [21] focused on the fractional Volterra integro-differential equation with  $\psi$ -Hilfer fractional derivative. In [2], the authors showed the existence of the mild solution of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations in Banach spaces.

A new point of the main equation of (30) is the appearance of the conformable derivative  $D_t^\alpha$ . In the following, we introduce the definition of conformable derivative. Let  $A$  be a Banach space and the function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow A$ . If the following limitation

$$D_t^\alpha \psi := \lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t + \vartheta t^{1-\alpha}) - \psi(t)}{\vartheta} \quad (3)$$

for each  $t > 0$ , then we call it the conformable derivative. More information about conformable, we can provide some papers [1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16].

Let us assume that the function  $f$  is a real function and  $s > 0$ , then  $f$  has a conformable fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  at  $s$  if and only if it is (classically) differentiable at  $s$ , and

$$D_t^\alpha f(s) = s^{1-\alpha} f'(s) \quad (4)$$

where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

To the best of our knowledge, there are not any results concerning to the (30). Motivated by this reason, in this paper, we study (30) with some various directions. The appearance of memory term on the right hand side of (30) making computation cumbersome. The principal contributions of our paper are described in detail as follows

- The main first result is to prove the existence of local solutions. The key of the proof is based on Banach fixed point theorem.
- The second major contribution is the proof that the solution of the problem (30) converges to the solution of the classical heat equation.

The analysis of our paper is learned from the ideas of the paper of Van [22]. However, we have a new few points different from [22] since the singularity of some proper integrals. In addition, we used some interesting techniques in the recent paper [15, 19]. The complexity of this problem also comes from the memory component.

The structure of the paper is shown as follows. In section 2, we introduce preliminaries. Section 3 shows that the local existence of mild solution. In section 4, we prove that the convergence of the mild solution when  $k \rightarrow 0^+$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

For each number  $\theta \geq 0$ , we define the following space

$$\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega) = \left\{ f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n e_n \in L^2(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2 \lambda_n^{2\theta} < \infty \right\}. \quad (5)$$

It is obvious to remind that  $D_t^\alpha w(t) = t^{1-\alpha} w'(s)$ . By a simple calculation, we get the following ordinary differential equation

$$t^{1-\alpha} \frac{dw_j}{dt} + \frac{\lambda_j}{1+k\lambda_j} w_j(t) = \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_j} F_j(w) + \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_j} G_j(w).$$

Then we get the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1+k\lambda_n} \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) w_n^0 + \frac{1}{1+k\lambda_n} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1+k\lambda_n} \frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) F_n(w)(s) ds \\ &+ \frac{b}{1+k\lambda_n} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1+k\lambda_n} \frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) G_n(w)(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$w_n(t) = \int_{\Omega} w(x) e_n(x), \quad F_n(w)(t) = \int_{\Omega} F(w(t)) e_n(x), \quad G_n(w)(r) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^r (r-z)^{-\theta} w(z) dz e_n(x)$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let us call  $w$  the mild solution of the problem (30) if it satisfies the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) w^0 + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) F(w(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} w(z) dz ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(t)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(t)\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1+k\lambda_n} t\right) \varphi_n e_n(x),$$

for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Here  $\beta$  is chosen later.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\varphi$  be the function in  $\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a positive number such that  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then we get

$$\left\| \mathcal{B}(t)\varphi \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C}_\gamma t^{-\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* The proof of above Lemma can be found in [22].  $\square$

## 3. Local existence

**Theorem 3.1.** The function  $F$  satisfies the globally Lipschitz condition

$$\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq K_f \|u - v\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}, \quad (9)$$

Let  $f \in \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)$ . Then Problem (30) has a local existence  $u \in L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$  where

$$\lambda\gamma \leq m < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

In addition, we also have

$$\|u\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq C_1(\alpha, \gamma) T^{m-\alpha\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* Let us define the following function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}w(t) &= \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)F(w(s))ds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta}w(z)dzds. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is obvious to see that

$$\mathcal{Q}(w(t) = 0) = \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f.$$

Using Lemma (2.1), we have that

$$\left\|\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C}_\gamma \left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C_1(\alpha, \gamma) t^{-\alpha\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (12)$$

Multiplying both sides of the above expression by  $t^m$ , we immediately have

$$t^m \left\|\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C_1(\alpha, \gamma) t^{m-\alpha\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (13)$$

Since  $m \geq \alpha\gamma$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}(w(t) = 0) \in L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . Our next goal is to estimate the norm of the difference  $\left\|\mathcal{Q}u - \mathcal{Q}v\right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}$  for any functions  $u, v \in L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}u(t) - \mathcal{Q}v(t) &= \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \left(F(u(s)) - F(v(s))\right) ds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} \left(u(z) - v(z)\right) dz ds = J_1(t) + J_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let us consider the first term  $J_1(t)$  on the right above. Using Lemma (2.1), one has

$$\left\|\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C}_\gamma \left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C_1(\alpha, \gamma) \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (15)$$

Here  $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$ . Since this equality and using the inequality, we bound  $J_1$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|J_1(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} &\leq C_1(\alpha, \gamma) \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} \left\|F(u(s)) - F(v(s))\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma) \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} \left\|u(s) - v(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Multiplying both sides of the above expression by  $t^m$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} t^m \left\|J_1(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} &\leq K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma) t^m \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} \left\|u(s) - v(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds \\ &= K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma) t^m \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} s^m \left\|u(s) - v(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma) t^m \left[ \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} ds \right] \left\|u - v\right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us construct the integral term on the right above. Using Hölder inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-\gamma} ds \right)^2 &\leq \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-2m} ds \right) \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-2\gamma} ds \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-2m}}{\alpha-2m} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(t^\alpha - s^\alpha\right)^{-2\gamma} ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It is not difficult to check that the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-2\gamma} ds &= \frac{-1}{\alpha} \int_0^t (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-2\gamma} d(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{t^\alpha} w^{-2\gamma} dw = \frac{t^{\alpha(1-2\gamma)}}{\alpha(1-2\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19), we derive that

$$t^m \left\| J_1(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} t^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \quad (20)$$

Let us move to the considering of  $J_2$ . Indeed, by using similar claim of (16), we know that

$$\left\| J_2(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq bC_1(\alpha, \gamma) \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-\gamma} \left\| \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} (u(z) - v(z)) dz \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds. \quad (21)$$

We have the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} (u(z) - v(z)) dz \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} &\leq \left( \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} z^{-m} dz \right) \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\ &= s^{1-\beta-m} B(1-\beta, 1-m) \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Combining (21) and (39), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} t^m \left\| J_2(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} &\leq bC_1(\alpha, \gamma) B(1-\beta, 1-m) \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\ &\quad t^m \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta-m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-\gamma} ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using Hölder inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta-m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-\gamma} ds \right)^2 &\leq \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta-2m} ds \right) \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-2\gamma} ds \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-2m-\beta+1}}{\alpha-2m-\beta+1} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-2\gamma} ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This estimate together with (19) allows us to get that

$$t^m \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta-m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-\gamma} ds \right)^2 \leq \frac{t^{\frac{2\alpha-2m-2\alpha\gamma-\beta+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\alpha(1-2\gamma)(\alpha-\beta-2m+1)}}. \quad (25)$$

Combining (23) and (25), we find that

$$t^m \left\| J_2(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq \frac{bC_1(\alpha, \gamma) B(1-\beta, 1-m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(2\gamma-1)(\alpha-\beta-2m+1)}} t^{\frac{2\alpha-2m-2\alpha\gamma-\beta+1}{2}} \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \quad (26)$$

Combining (14), (17) and (26), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} t^m \left\| Qu(t) - Qv(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} &\leq t^m \left\| J_1(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} + t^m \left\| J_2(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} t^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} + \frac{bC_1(\alpha, \gamma) B(1-\beta, 1-m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(1-2\gamma)(\alpha-\beta-2m+1)}} t^{\frac{2\alpha-2m-2\alpha\gamma-\beta+1}{2}} \right) \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Since  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta < 1$  and  $m < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , we know that the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{Q}u - \mathcal{Q}v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha - 2m)(1 - 2\gamma)}} t^{\alpha - \alpha\gamma} + \frac{b C_1(\alpha, \gamma) B(1 - \beta, 1 - m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(1 - 2\gamma)(\alpha - \beta - 2m + 1)}} t^{\frac{2\alpha - 2m - 2\alpha\gamma - \beta + 1}{2}} \right) \left\| u - v \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By choosing the appropriate  $T$ , we will immediately have

$$\frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha - 2m)(1 - 2\gamma)}} T^{\alpha - \alpha\gamma} + \frac{b C_1(\alpha, \gamma) B(1 - \beta, 1 - m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(1 - 2\gamma)(\alpha - \beta - 2m + 1)}} T^{\frac{2\alpha - 2m - 2\alpha\gamma - \beta + 1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a contraction in the space  $L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . By applying Banach fixed point theorem, we can deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a fixed point  $u \in L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . So, Problem (30) has a unique solution in the space  $L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . In addition, using triangle inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} &= \left\| \mathcal{Q}u \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \left\| \mathcal{Q}u - \mathcal{Q}(v = 0) \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^m \left\| \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} + C_1(\alpha, \gamma) T^{m - \alpha\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

which claims that (10). □

#### 4. Convergence of the mild solution

In this section, we focus the convergence of the mild solution to problem (30) when  $k \rightarrow 0^+$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $w^{(k)}$  be the solution of following Problem*

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha w + (-\Delta)^\beta w - k \Delta D_t^\alpha w = F(w) + b \int_0^t (t - z)^{-\theta} w(z) dz, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(x, 0) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

and  $w^*$  be the solution of the following classical heat problem

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha w + (-\Delta)^\beta w = F(w) + b \int_0^t (t - z)^{-\theta} w(z) dz, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(x, 0) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Here  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Let us assume that  $f \in \mathbb{X}^{-\beta p + \beta q + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon(p - q)}{2}}(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)$  for  $q > p$ . Then for  $T$  enough small then the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| w^{(k)}(t) - w^*(t) \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} &\leq 2C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} T^{m + \alpha q - \alpha p} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p + \beta q + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon(p - q)}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + 2C(m, \alpha, p, q) T^{\alpha q - \alpha p + \alpha} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \frac{b C(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m)}{\alpha - \beta - m + 1} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} T^{\alpha(q - p) + \alpha - \beta - m + 1} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $m$  is defined in Theorem (3.1) and  $\max(1, 2\beta) < \varepsilon < 2$ .

*Proof.* Let us define that

$$\begin{aligned} w^k(t) &= \mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)F(w^k(s))ds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta}w^k(z)dzds, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where we remind that where  $\mathcal{M}_k(t)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(t)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{1+k\lambda_n}t\right)f_n e_n(x),$$

for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . The function  $w^*$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w^*(t) &= \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)w^0 + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)F(w^*(s))ds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta}w^*(z)dzds, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_0(t)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda_n t)f_n e_n(x)$  for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Two equalities above provide us to get that

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(k)}(t) - w^*(t) &= \mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f - \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \left(F(w^{(k)}(s)) - F(w^*(s))\right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)\right) F(w^*(s))ds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} \left(w^k(z) - w^0(z)\right) dzds \\ &\quad + b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left(\mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha-s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)\right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta}w^*(z)dzds \\ &= J_1(t) + J_2(t) + J_3(t) + J_4(t) + J_5(t). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

In view of step 1 of Proof of Theorem 5.1 [15], we confirm the following result

$$\left| \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n^\beta}{1+k\lambda_n} \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - \exp\left(-\lambda_n^\beta \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \right| \leq C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} t^{\alpha q-\alpha p} \lambda_n^{-\beta p+\beta q+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}} \quad (36)$$

for any  $q > p > 0$  and  $1 < \varepsilon < 2$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f - \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\theta} \left( \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_n^\beta}{1+k\lambda_n} \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - \exp\left(-\lambda_n^\beta \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \right)^2 |f_n|^2 \\ &\leq |C(\alpha, p, q)|^2 k^{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p} t^{2\alpha q-2\alpha p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\theta-2\beta p+2\beta q+\varepsilon(p-q)} |f_n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Parseval's equality, we obtain that

$$\left\| J_1(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} = \left\| \mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f - \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right)f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} t^{\alpha q-\alpha p} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)}. \quad (37)$$

Multiplying bothsides to  $t^m$ , we deduce that

$$\|J_1\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq C(\alpha, p, q)k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}}T^{m+\alpha q-\alpha p}\|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)}. \quad (38)$$

For the second term  $J_2$ , using the estimate (16), we obtain the following bound

$$\|J_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma) \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{-\gamma} \|w^k(s) - w^*(s)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} ds. \quad (39)$$

By a similar explanation as in (20), we obtain

$$t^m \|J_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} t^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} \|w^k - w^*\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \quad (40)$$

This implies that the following bound

$$\|J_2\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} T^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} \|w^k - w^*\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \quad (41)$$

Let us now return to the term  $J_3$ . Indeed, in view of Proof of Theorem 5.1 [15], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \left( s^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}_k \left( \frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) - s^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}_0 \left( \frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \right) F(w^*(s)) ds \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{q-p} \|F(w^*(s))\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} ds \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Let us choose  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\max(1, 2\beta) < \varepsilon < 2.$$

Then, it is easy to verify that the following condition

$$-\beta p + \beta q + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2} \leq \theta.$$

Thus, using globally Lipschitz of  $F$  as in (9), we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(w^*(s))\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} & \leq C(\beta, p, q, \theta) \|F(w^*(s))\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C(\beta, p, q, \theta) K_f \|w^*(s)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

It is easy to show that  $w^* \in L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))$ . By a similar proof of (10), we have the following result

$$\|w^*(s)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C s^{-m} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (44)$$

Combining (42) and (44), we derive that

$$\|J_3(t)\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{q-p} ds. \quad (45)$$

It is obvious to claim that

$$\int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{q-p} ds \leq t^{\alpha q - \alpha p} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1-m} ds = \frac{t^{\alpha q - \alpha p + \alpha - m}}{\alpha - m} \quad (46)$$

From two latter observations, we have immediately that

$$t^m \left\| J_3(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \leq C(m, \alpha, p, q) T^{\alpha q - \alpha p + \alpha} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}, \quad (47)$$

which allows us to obtain that

$$\|J_3\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq C(m, \alpha, p, q) T^{\alpha q - \alpha p + \alpha} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \quad (48)$$

For the term  $J_4$ , we use the same techniques as in (26) in order to obtain that

$$\|J_4\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq \frac{bC_1(\alpha, \gamma)B(1 - \beta, 1 - m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(2\gamma - 1)(\alpha - \beta - 2m + 1)}} T^{\frac{2\alpha - 2m - 2\alpha\gamma - \beta + 1}{2}} \left\| w^k - w^* \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))}. \quad (49)$$

Let us now return to the term  $J_5$ . Indeed, in view of Proof of Theorem 5.1 [15], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| b \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \left( \mathcal{M}_k\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) - \mathcal{M}_0\left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \right) \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} w^*(z) dz ds \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\ & \leq bC(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{q-p} \left\| \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} w^*(z) dz \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p + \beta q + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} w^*(z) dz \left\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p + \beta q + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} z^{-m} z^m \left\| w^*(z) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} dz \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^s (s-z)^{-\beta} z^{-m} dz \right) \left\| w^* \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\ & \leq CB(1 - \beta, 1 - m) s^{1 - \beta - m} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Combining (50) and (51), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} t^m \left\| J_5(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} & \leq bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m) k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha - \beta - m} (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{q-p} ds \right) \\ & \leq bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m) k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} t^{\alpha(q-p)} \left( \int_0^t s^{\alpha - \beta - m} ds \right) \\ & = \frac{bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m)}{\alpha - \beta - m + 1} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} t^{\alpha(q-p) + \alpha - \beta - m + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

This inequality implies that

$$\|J_5\|_{L_m^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \leq \frac{bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m)}{\alpha - \beta - m + 1} k^{\frac{2q - \varepsilon q + \varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} T^{\alpha(q-p) + \alpha - \beta - m + 1}. \quad (53)$$

Combining (35), (38), (41), (48), (53), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^{(k)}(t) - w^*(t)\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} &\leq \|J_1\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} + \|J_2\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} + \|J_3\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\
&+ \|J_4\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} + \|J_5\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\
&\leq C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} T^{m+\alpha q-\alpha p} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} \\
&+ \frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} T^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} \left\| w^k - w^* \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\
&+ C(m, \alpha, p, q) T^{\alpha q-\alpha p+\alpha} k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\
&+ \frac{bC_1(\alpha, \gamma)B(1-\beta, 1-m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(2\gamma-1)(\alpha-\beta-2m+1)}} T^{\frac{2\alpha-2m-2\alpha\gamma-\beta+1}{2}} \left\| w^k - w^* \right\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} \\
&+ \frac{bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m)}{\alpha-\beta-m+1} k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} T^{\alpha(q-p)+\alpha-\beta-m+1}. \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $T$  such that

$$\frac{K_f C_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-2m)(1-2\gamma)}} T^{\alpha-\alpha\gamma} + \frac{bC_1(\alpha, \gamma)B(1-\beta, 1-m)}{\sqrt{\alpha(2\gamma-1)(\alpha-\beta-2m+1)}} T^{\frac{2\alpha-2m-2\alpha\gamma-\beta+1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^{(k)}(t) - w^*(t)\|_{L_m^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega))} &\leq 2C(\alpha, p, q) k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} T^{m+\alpha q-\alpha p} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^{-\beta p+\beta q+\theta+\frac{\varepsilon(p-q)}{2}}(\Omega)} \\
&+ 2C(m, \alpha, p, q) T^{\alpha q-\alpha p+\alpha} k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} \\
&+ \frac{bC(\alpha, p, q, \beta, m)}{\alpha-\beta-m+1} k^{\frac{2q-\varepsilon q+\varepsilon p}{2}} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{X}^\theta(\Omega)} T^{\alpha(q-p)+\alpha-\beta-m+1} \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

## 5. Acknowledgement

This research is funded by Vietnam National University HoChiMinh City (VNU-HCM) under grant number C2022-44-12.

## References

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, On conformable fractional calculus, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 279 (2015), 57–66
- [2] K. Balachandran, J.J. Trujillo, The nonlocal Cauchy problem for nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), no. 12, 4587–4593
- [3] R. Khalil, M. Al Horani, A. Yousef, M. Sababheh, A new definition of fractional derivative, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 264 (2014), 65–70
- [4] A.A. Abdelhakim, J.A. Tenreiro Machado, A critical analysis of the conformable derivative, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 2019, Volume 95, Issue 4, pp 3063–3073.
- [5] A. Jaiswal, D. Bahuguna, Semilinear Conformable Fractional Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 27 (2019), no. 1-3, 313–325
- [6] M. Conti, M.E. Marchini, A remark on nonclassical diffusion equations with memory, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 73 (2016), no. 1, 1–21
- [7] X. Wang, C. Zhong, Attractors for the non-autonomous nonclassical diffusion equations with fading memory, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009), no. 11, 5733–5746.
- [8] E.C. Aifantis, On the problem of diffusion in solids, *Acta Mech.* 37 (1980) 265–296
- [9] T.W. Ting, Certain non-steady flows of second order fluids, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 1963, 14: 1–26
- [10] D. Baleanu, M. Jleli, S. Kumar, B. Samet, A fractional derivative with two singular kernels and application to a heat conduction problem, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2020, Paper No. 252, 19 pp

- [11] M. Hajipour, A. Jajarmi, A. Malek, D. Baleanu, Positivity-preserving sixth-order implicit finite difference weighted essentially non-oscillatory scheme for the nonlinear heat equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 325 (2018), 146–158
- [12] E. Karapinar, H.D. Binh, N.H. Luc, N.H. Can, On continuity of the fractional derivative of the time-fractional semilinear pseudo-parabolic systems, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2021, Paper No. 70, 24 pp.
- [13] R.S. Adiguzel, U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar, I.M. Erhan, On The Solutions Of Fractional Differential Equations Via Geraghty Type Hybrid Contractions, *Appl. Comput. Math.*, V.20, N.2, 2021,313-333
- [14] N.H. Tuan, N.V. Tien, D. O'regan, N.H. Can, V.T. Nguyen, New results on continuity by order of derivative for conformable parabolic equations, *FRACTALS*, to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X23400145>.
- [15] N.H. Tuan, N.V. Tien, C. Yang, On an initial boundary value problem for fractional pseudo-parabolic equation with conformable derivative, *Math. Biosci. Eng.* 19 (2022), no. 11, 11232–11259
- [16] N.H. Tuan, T.B. Ngoc, D. Baleanu, D. O'Regan, On well-posedness of the sub-diffusion equation with conformable derivative model, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 89 (2020), 105332, 26 pp.
- [17] N.A. Tuan, Z. Hammouch, E. Karapinar, N.H. Tuan, On a nonlocal problem for a Caputo time-fractional pseudoparabolic equation, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 44 (2021), no. 18, 14791–14806.
- [18] N.A. Triet, N.A. Tuan, *An iterative method for inverse source bi-parabolic equation* *Lett. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* Volume 1, Issue 2, Pages:72–81, Year: 2023
- [19] N.A. Triet, N.H. Tuan, Global existence for nonlinear bi-parabolic equation under global Lipschitz condition, *Lett. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* Volume 1, Issue 3, Pages: 89-95, Year: 2023
- [20] M.L. Heard, S. M.RankinIII, A semilinear parabolic Volterra integro-differential equation, *J. Differential Equations* 71 (1988), no. 2, 201–233.
- [21] J.V. C. Sousa, F. G. Rodrigues, E.C. Oliveira, Stability of the fractional Volterra integro-differential equation by means of  $\psi$ -Hilfer operator, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 42 (2019), no. 9, 3033–3043.
- [22] H.T.K. Van, Non-classical heat equation with singular memory term, *Thermal Science*, Volume 25, Special issue 2, 2021