Yield and Mineral Nutrition Content of Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench): The Effect of Harvest Times

Nimet KARA

Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, Isparta For correspondence: nimetkara@sdu.edu.tr

Received date: 23.01.2014, Accepted date: 07.03.2014

Abstract: Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) is cultivated primarily to obtain grains for human consumption, especially gluten sensitive patients and hypertensive people. The present study was conducted to investigate the yield and mineral nutrient contents in plant organs, the harvest times and grain of buckwheat in 2012 and 2013. The Güneş species were used as the buckwheat cultivar. The results revealed that the yield and mineral nutrient content of buckwheat varied according to both plant organs and harvest times. The herbage-hay yields and mineral nutrient contents in plant organs and harvest times of buckwheat were statistically (p<0.01) significant in both years. A mean grain yield of 1460.8 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained in 2012 and 1592.6 kg ha⁻¹ in 2013. The mean seed mineral nutrient contents of both years were found to be very close to each other. At harvest times, the highest herbage (27393.3 and 27603.1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and hay yield (7661.3 and 8536.7 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) were determined at the 5th harvest time (the latest harvest time), the lowest herbage and hay yield was obtained from the first harvest time in both 2012 and 2013. The herbage and hay yields increased by delaying harvest times. The highest mineral nutrition contents, except for Zn, were determined in the second harvest time. The lowest mineral nutrition contents varied according to the harvest times in both years.

In comparison with different plant parts, the highest herbage (4638.4 and 4975.7 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and hay yield (1616.2 and 1684.3 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) were determined in the stem, while the lowest herbage and hay yields were obtained from flower organs in both 2012 and 2013. The highest mineral nutrition contents were obtained from the leaf and flower organs whereas the lowest mineral nutrition contents, except for K, were determined in the stem in both years.

Key words: Buckwheat, mineral nutrient, grain yield, herbage and hay yield

Karabuğday (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench)'ın Verim ve Mineral Besin İçeriği: Hasat Zamanının Etkisi

Özet: Karabuğday (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench)'ın temel yetiştirme amacı, insan beslenmesinde özellikle glütene hassas ve hipertansiyon hastalığı olan insanların beslenmesi için tane elde etmektir. Bu çalışma karabuğdayın verim, farklı hasat zamanlarında bitkide, bitki organlarında ve tanesinde mineral besin içeriğini araştırmak amacıyla 2012 ve 2013 yıllarında yürütülmüştür. Araştırmada Güneş karabuğday çeşidi kullanılmıştır.

Karabuğdayın verim ve mineral besin içeriği bitki organlarına ve hasat zamanlarına göre değişmiştir. Karabuğdayın taze ve kuru ot verimi, farklı bitki organlarında ve hasat zamanlarında mineral besin içeriği istatistiksel olarak (p<0.01) önemli olmuştur. Tane verimi 2012'de 146.08 kg/da ve 2013'de 159.26 kg/da olarak elde edilmiştir. Tanenin mineral besin içeriği her iki yılda da birbirine yakın çıkmıştır.

Hasat zamanları karşılaştırıldığında, her iki yılda da en yüksek yeşil ot (sırasıyla, 2739.33 ve 2760.31 kg/da) ve kuru ot (766.13 ve 853.67 kg/da, sırasıyla) verimi 5. hasat zamanından (en son hasat zamanı), en düşük yeşil ve kuru ot verimi ilk hasat zamanından elde edilmiştir. Taze ve kuru ot verimi hasat zamanının gecikmesiyle artmıştır. Her iki yılda da çinko dışında, en yüksek mineral besin içeriği 2. hasat zamanlarına göre değişmişti.

Bitki organları karşılaştırıldığında, her iki yılda da en yüksek taze ot (sırasıyla 463.84 ve 497.57 kg/da) ve kuru ot (sırasıyla, 161.62 ve 168.3 kg/da) verimi sapta belirlenirken, en düşük taze ve kuru

ot verimi çiçekten elde edilmiştir. Her iki yılda da en yüksek mineral besin içeriği yaprak ve çiçekten, en düşük mineral besin içeriği ise (K hariç) saptan elde edilmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Karabuğday, mineral besin, tane verimi, taze ve kuru ot verimi

Introduction

Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench), a plant belonging to the family Polygonaceae, is most commonly cultivated and produced in China, Russia, Ukraine and Kazakhstan, but in recent years, it has been cultivated all over the world and in particular in Asia, Europe and America (Christensen et al., 2010). Although about 15 species of buckwheat have been reported (Ye and Guo, 1992), common buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) and tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum (L) Gaertn.) are grown. Common buckwheat accounts for up to 90% of buckwheat production, tartary buckwheat is less common and is often defined as bitter buckwheat (Campbell, 1997). The main user purpose of buckwheat is in the treatment of celiac disease due to the fact that it is glutenfree (in the form of flour-bread, rice, soup, cakes, pasta, crackers, cookies, pancakes and tortillas). It is also grown for forage plants (livestock and poultry feed), green manure, weed control, erosion prevention, as a source of honey and in the paint industry (Wijngaard and Arendt, 2006).

As an annual crop buckwheat is a pseudo-cereal since it does not a grass like wheat, but its grains are used like cereals because of their similar use and chemical composition (Christa and Soral-Smietana, 2008). Buckwheat can be planted as a warmseason forage crop with cowpeas, grain sorghum or soybeans and also as a standalone crop. It is easy to grow in areas with little or no seedbed preparation. Another important characteristic of the crop is its fast growth and it can mature within a 7 to 10-week period (Tahir and Farooq, 1988; Tsuneo, 2004).

Buckwheat is a valuable source of minerals for the people who consume it. Buckwheat contains a relatively high level of some minerals (Ikeda and Yamashita, 1994; Ikeda et al., 1995). Buckwheat grains

are an important source of microelements such as Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, and Se (Wei et al., 1995; Stibili et al., 2004) and the macro elements such as K, Na, Ca and Mg (Wei et al., 2003). The hull, straw and leaves of buckwheat are the major by-products of buckwheat flour production despite of being not usually utilized for human consumption. However. these by-products minerals and are usually utilized as animal feed. In addition, these by-products are used as a traditional food preparing with the dried buckwheat straw and leaves in some countries. In traditional Chinese medicine, seeds, stems and leaves of buckwheat have used for the been treatment gastrointestinal problems. zoster. inflammation and hypertension (Zhou, 2003).

In Turkey, there are 300,000 cases of celiac disease, and a significant problem for these people is the supply of reliable food (Dizlek et al., 2009). Various foods serve as dietary sources; especially cereals such as buckwheat may be an important source of minerals in terms of daily consumption. Buckwheat is rich in term of gluten-free products especially with proteins, micro-and macro elements and vitamins (Kozak et al., 2011)

Buckwheat can be a significant forage crop for livestock and poultry feeds (Tahir and Farooq, 1988). Turkey's roughage production constitutes approximately half of the total roughage requirement. The reason for this is the low ratio of forage crop cultivation (7.4%) in total arable land in Turkey (TÜİK, 2012). In the next few years in Turkey, the target should be to meet the need for high-quality roughage with a fast production program. To eliminate the need for roughage deficits in animal feed, alternative roughage sources such as cereals, straw, horticultural and industrial residues and buckwheat can be used.

In Turkey, buckwheat has begun to be intentionally cultivated in recent years and it can be cultivated twice a year from April to August due to favorable climatic conditions. It is grown in spring in the west, south and central Anatolia regions of Buckwheat is an important source of food for gluten-sensitive patients and it may be an alternative forage crop due to its short-lived, fast-growing characteristics and the high herbage yield. However, there is not sufficient research regarding the yield, seed and roughage mineral nutritional content of buckwheat in Turkey. Therefore, the objectives of the research were: to determine the grain, herbage and hay yield period of buckwheat in the ecological conditions of Isparta, and to analyze the distribution of mineral nutrients in the seeds and plant organs of buckwheat at different growing stages.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during the growing seasons of 2012 and 2013 in the Experimental Area of the Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta. Meteorological data for the growing seasons were shown in Table 1.

Some physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental area are shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Meteorological data of the experimental field

Climatic factors	Years		Total or			
Cillianc factors	1 cars	May	June	July	August	Average
Dunainiadian	2012	107.4	18.1	0.8	34.6	160.9
Precipitation	2013	66.5	34.4	88.2	15.4	204.5
(mm)	Long Years	50.8	28.4	18.4	0.8	98.4
A	2012	14.5	22.5	25.4	22.8	21.3
Average Temperature (°C)	2013	17.1	20.5	23.0	23.7	21.1
Temperature (*C)	Long Years	15.6	20.1	22.3	23.9	20.4
Relative humidity	2012	66.0	46.0	42.0	43.0	49.2
(%)	2013	52.0	50.0	44.0	41.0	46.8
(10)	Long Years	50.3	53.0	45.8	44.5	48.4

Regional Meteorology Station, Isparta

Table 2. Some physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental area

Depth (cm)	pН	$ECx10^6$	CaCO ₃ g.kg ⁻¹	Org.M. g.kg ⁻¹	Texture Class
0-30	7.8	378	292	16.9	CL
30-60	7.8	381	221	12.8	CL
60-90	7.9	404	309	14.3	SiCL

The cultivar Güneş was sown on the 2nd and 5th of May in 2012 and 2013, respectively. The distances between rows and within the rows were 15 and 5 cm, respectively. Each plot area was 7.2 m² and consisted of 8 rows. Seeds were sown at 3-4 cm depths using a dibbler. The experiment was arranged according to a randomized complete block design with three replications.

Nitrogen (60 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorus (50 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (40 kg ha⁻¹) fertilizers were applied to the plots in the form of ammonium sulfate, P_2O_4 and K_2O , respectively. The all of P_2O_4 and K_2O

fertilizers were applied at the time of sowing. Ammonium sulfate was applied in times of sowing and the seedlings reached a height of 10 cm.

Irrigation

The experimental areas were irrigated at three times, which were at the beginning of flowering, after 20 days from the beginning of flowering (around the full blooming period) and the beginning of the grain filling periods. In both years, the irrigations were applied using a sprinkler irrigation system.

Yield and Analysis of Mineral Nutrient Contents

Flowering in buckwheat begins in 4th or 5th weeks from after sowing and continues for at least a month due to the indeterminate nature of the inflorescences. Flowers, green grains and mature grains are present on the plants at the same time (Campbell, 1983). Therefore, in order to determine the highest herbage, hay yield stage and mineral nutrient contents, the buckwheat plants were harvested at five different growing stages. The harvest times were planned at five different stages as follows; 1st harvest stage: the beginning of flowering (01.06.2012 and 03.06.2013), 2th harvest stage: 20 days (21.06.2012 and 23.06.2013) from the beginning of flowering (around the full blooming period), 3rd harvest stage: 32 days (03.07.2012 and 05.07.2013) from the beginning of flowering (about the end of flowering), 4th harvest stage: 45 days (15.07.2012 and 17.07.2013) from the beginning of flowering (about 25% of grains had turned brown) and, and 5th harvest stage: 55 days (25.07.2012 and 27.07.2013) from the beginning of flowering (about 50% of grains had turned brown).

The samples were brought to the laboratory. The fresh herbage yield was calculated by converting from parcel herb yield to hectare herb yield. The samples were dried on a wire rack in the shade for a two-week period, and then recorded the change from parcel dry hay yield to hectare.

In this research, only the herbage and hay yields of plant organs at the second harvest time were given because of the higher mineral nutrient contents than at the other harvest times, except for Zn. Fresh herbage harvested at the second harvest time was left to the leaves, flowers and stems. Later, these samples were dried on a wire rack in the shade for a two-week period, and then calculated in terms of the change from parcel dry hay yield to hectare.

When about 75% of grains had turned brown and dried (Campbell, 1983), plants in the center (6 rows) of each plot were harvested manually (August 15th and 18th in 2012 and 2013, respectively) and then they were threshed with a threshing machine.

Data from plots obtained were converted from parcel grain yield to hectare basis.

The samples taken from the grain, harvest times and plant organs (leaves, flower and steem) were dried in an airforced oven at 55 °C until the weight became stable. Then dried samples were ground to pass through a 1-mm screen. Mineral nutrient contents including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn) and boron (B) were determined. The N content was determined using the Kjeldahl method. The phosphorus content was determined according to the Molibdovanado-phosphoric acid method. K content was measured by flame emission Spectrophotometry. Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, Zn and B contents were analyzed with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

Statistical Analysis

All the data were analyzed using the JUMP 5.0.1 statistical package program; significant differences between the means were separated using the LSD test.

Results

Significant differences were found between the two subsequent years in terms of herbage and hay yield, except for the hay yield of plant organs (Table 3). The herbage and hay yields of the second year were higher than that of the first year. A mean grain yield of 1460.8 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained in 2012 and 1592.6 kg ha⁻¹ in 2013 (Table 3). Concerning the harvest times, while the highest herbage (27379.3 and 27603.1 kg ha , respectively) and hay yield (7661.3 and 8536.7 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) determined in the 5th harvest time, the lowest herbage (3839.6 and 4066.1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and hay yields (1200.3 and ha⁻¹, respectively) were 1356.1 kg determined at the first harvest time in 2012 and 2013. With regards to the plant organs, the highest herbage and hay yields were obtained from the stem and the lowest from the flowers in both years (Table 3).

Table 3. Grain yield, herbage and hay yield in harvest times and aboveground plant organs of buckwheat

Plant organs		Herbage yie	ld (kg ha ⁻¹)	Hay yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		
Plant org	gans	2012	2013	2012	2013	
The second	Flower	1522.6 с	1660.6 с	578.6 b	635.7 b	
harvest time	Leaf	1868.3 b	1960.3 b	779.7 b	846.1 b	
	Stem	4638.4 a	4975.7 a	1616.2 a	1684.3 a	
Years		2676.4B	2865.5A	991.4 ns	1055.3	
Lsd		179.68	29.746	261.55	423.97	
CV (%)		9.78	6.76	7.01	10.68	
F value		3830.2	161.08	187.56	72.59	
P value		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Harvest times						
1 st stage		3839.6 e	4066.1 e	1200.3 d	1356.1 e	
2 th "		14522.1 d	15025.7 d	4651.3 c	4909.1 d	
3 rd "		23707.3 с	24371.6 c	7116.4 b	7567.2 c	
4 th "		25904.6 b	26104.7 b	7434.1 a	7991.7 b	
5 th "		27379.3 a	27603.1 a	7661.3 a	8536.7 a	
Years		19070.1 B	19433.6 A	5612.7 B	6072.2A	
Lsd		88.144	831.63	270.72	221.99	
CV (%)		10.16	8.56	12.50	6.99	
F value		282.50	3184.20	223.13	4235.13	
P value		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
		Grain yield (kg ha	a ⁻¹)			
		2012	2013			
		1460.8 b	1592.6 a			
		Lsd: 50.124**				

Means in the same columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at the 0.001 level as statistically, **: significant at P<0.001 probability levels, ns: non significant

No significant differences were found between the two subsequent years in any of the mineral nutrient contents of grain (Table 4). The mean mineral nutrient contents of years in grain were found to be very close to each other.

Mineral nutrient contents at harvest times and the plant organs of buckwheat were statistically (p<0.001) significant in both years. No significant differences between the two subsequent years in any of the mineral nutrient contents were found (Table 4). Mean mineral nutrient contents of years in both harvest times and plant organs were found to be very close to each other.

In terms of the harvest times, the highest N (3.26 and 3.29%, respectively), P (0.294 and 0.288%, respectively), K (5.76 and 4.86%, respectively), Ca (3.431 and 3.35%, respectively), Mg (0.643 and 0.642%, respectively), Fe (436.1 and 414.5 ppm, respectively), Cu (8.90 and 8.65 ppm, respectively), Mn (61.18 and 57.45 ppm, respectively) and B (26.50 and 25.76 ppm,

respectively) were obtained from the second harvest time, and the highest Zn (125.7 and 113.9 ppm) from the 5th harvest time in 2012 and 2013 (Table 4). The lowest mineral nutrient contents varied according to harvest times in both 2012 and 2013.

When the plant parts are compared, the highest N (3.6 and 3.70%, respectively), Ca (4.02 and 4.01%, respectively), Mg (0.810 and 0.807%, respectively), Fe (652.9 and 677.4 ppm, respectively), Mn (98.84 and 99.95 ppm, respectively) and Zn (85.40 and 88.25 ppm, respectively) were obtained from the leaf, whereas the highest P (0.434 and 0.439%, respectively), Cu (10.86 and 10.74 ppm, respectively) and B (32.84 and 32.92 ppm, respectively) from the flowers and the highest K (5.38 and 5.67%, respectively) from the stem in 2012 and 2013 were found (Table 5). In general, the lowest mineral nutrient contents were determined in the stem organs, except for K and Ca; the lowest K and Ca were determined in the flower organs in both 2012 and 2013.

Table 4. Mineral nutrient contents in harvest times and grain of buckwheat

Table 4. Mil		1101110				8				
	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Ca (%)		Mg (%)	
	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
Grain (seed)	1.92 ^{ns}	1.89	0.312 ^{ns}	0.314	0.58 ^{ns}	0.60	0.10^{ns}	0.11	0.179^{ns}	0.184
Harvest time	s									
1 st stage	3.06a	3.08a	0.283a	0.289a	5.48a	4.25a	3.28a	2.22a	0.627a	0.622a
2 th "	3.26a	3.29a	0.294a	0.288a	5.76a	4.86a	3.43a	3.35a	0.643a	0.642a
3 rd "	2.02b	2.05b	0.209b	0.208c	3.26b	3.22b	2.42b	2.39b	0.437b	0.439b
4 th "	1.42c	1.46d	0.204b	0.204c	2.19b	2.23c	2.14c	2.20bc	0.349c	0.338c
5 th "	1.54c	1.56c	0.212b	0.234b	1.90b	1.83d	2.14c	1.99bc	0.330c	0.314c
Years	2.26 ^{ns}	2.29	0.240 ^{ns}	0.244	3.71 ^{ns}	2.27	2.68 ^{ns}	2.43	0.477 ^{ns}	0.471
Lsd	0.201	0.097	0.010	0.022	1.737	0.264	0.263	0.525	0.033	0.040
CV (%)	4.15	3.98	1.75	3.67	14.85	4.08	5.07	10.27	3.36	4.16
F value	290.8	1264.8	495.4	98.49	20.19	590.8	341.4	81.1	434.3	296.9
P value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	Fe (ppr	n)	Cu (ppr	n)	Mn (ppi	m)	Zn (ppn	n)	B (ppm)
	Fe (ppr 2012	n) 2013		n) 2013		m) 2013	Zn (ppn 2012	n) 2013	2012	2013
Grain (seed)	Fe (ppr		Cu (ppr		Mn (ppi					
Grain (seed) Harvest time	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns}	2013	Cu (ppr 2012	2013	Mn (ppi 2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
Harvest time	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns}	2013	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns}	2013	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns}	2013	2012 55.84 ^{ns}	2013	2012	2013
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns}	2013 44.7	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a	2013 7.15	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns}	2013 15.13 56.36a	2012 55.84 ^{ns}	2013 55.22 44.13e	2012 9.85 ^{ns}	2013 9.68
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th " 3 rd "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} 416.0a	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a	2013 7.15 8.20a	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e	2013 55.22 44.13e	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a	2013 9.68 24.95a
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} ss 416.0a 436.1a	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b	2013 7.15 8.20a 8.65a	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th " 3 rd "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b	2013 7.15 8.20a 8.65a 7.79b	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th " 3 rd " 4 th "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} s 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab 332.4bc	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b 235.3c	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b 5.59d	2013 7.15 8.20a 8.65a 7.79b 5.52c	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b 38.66c 53.43b	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c 95.69b	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c 95.33b	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b 22.81b	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b 22.05c
Harvest time 1 st stage 2 th " 3 rd " 4 th " 5 th "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} s 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab 332.4bc 244.0c	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b 235.3c	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b 5.59d 6.31cd	2013 7.15 8.20a 8.65a 7.79b 5.52c 6.46c	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b 38.66c	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c 50.08b	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c 95.69b 125.7a	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c 95.33b 113.9a	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b 22.81b 19.57c	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b 22.05c 19.62d
Harvest time 1st stage 2th "	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} 8 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab 332.4bc 244.0c 356.1.7 ^{ns}	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b 235.3c 350.4	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b 5.59d 6.31cd 7.37 ^{ns}	8.20a 8.65a 7.79b 5.52c 6.46c 7.32	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b 38.66c 53.43b 52.23 ^{ns}	56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c 50.08b 50.65	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c 95.69b 125.7a 83.69 ^{ns}	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c 95.33b 113.9a 81.43	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b 22.81b 19.57c 23.39 ^{ns}	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b 22.05c 19.62d 23.18
Harvest time 1st stage 2th " 3rd " 4th " 5th " Years Lsd Lsd	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} 8 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab 332.4bc 244.0c 356.1.7 ^{ns} 94.02	406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b 235.3c 350.4 46.83	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b 5.59d 6.31cd 7.37 ^{ns} 0.958	8.20a 8.65a 7.79b 5.52c 6.46c 7.32 0.509	Mn (ppi 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b 38.66c 53.43b 52.23 ^{ns} 7.468	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c 50.08b 50.65 3.305	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c 95.69b 125.7a 83.69 ^{ns} 6.290	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c 95.33b 113.9a 81.43 3.086	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b 22.81b 19.57c 23.39 ^{ns} 1.798	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b 22.05c 19.62d 23.18 0.929
Harvest time 1st stage 2th " 3rd " 4th " 5th " Years Lsd CV (%)	Fe (ppr 2012 45.5 ^{ns} s 416.0a 436.1a 352.3ab 332.4bc 244.0c 356.1.7 ^{ns} 94.02 13.21	2013 44.7 406.2a 414.5a 370.6ab 325.6b 235.3c 350.4 46.83 6.93	Cu (ppr 2012 7.20 ^{ns} 8.64a 8.90a 7.45b 5.59d 6.31cd 7.37 ^{ns} 0.958 5.27	2013 7.15 8.20a 8.65a 7.79b 5.52c 6.46c 7.32 0.509 2.82	Mn (ppr 2012 15.54 ^{ns} 58.83at 61.18a 49.03b 38.66c 53.43b 52.23 ^{ns} 7.468 6.74	2013 15.13 56.36a 57.45a 50.87b 38.51c 50.08b 50.65 3.305 3.08	2012 55.84 ^{ns} 44.66e 65.77d 86.65c 95.69b 125.7a 83.69 ^{ns} 6.290 3.07	2013 55.22 44.13e 63.13d 90.64c 95.33b 113.9a 81.43 3.086 4.54	2012 9.85 ^{ns} 25.35a 26.50a 22.73b 22.81b 19.57c 23.39 ^{ns} 1.798 3.50	2013 9.68 24.95a 25.76a 23.54b 22.05c 19.62d 23.18 0.929 5.83

Means in the same columns followed by the letters are not significantly different at the 0.001 level as statistically, ns: non significant

Table 5. Mineral nutrient contents in different organs of buckwheat in the second harvest time

tillic										
Plant organs	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Ca (%)		Mg (%))
	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
Flower	3.14 b	3.24b	0.434a	0.439a	1.94c	1.98c	1.597c	1.608c	0.443b	0.449b
Leaf	3.60 a	3.70a	0.304b	0.298b	3.53b	3.44b	4.022a	4.018a	0.810a	0.807a
Stem	0.62 c	0.66c	0.227c	0.238c	5.38a	5.67a	3.033b	3.164b	0.384c	0.416b
Years	2.45 ns	2.53	0.322 ns	0.328	3.61 ns	3.70	2.87 ^{ns}	2.93	0.546 n	0.557
LSD	0.386	0.411	0.018	0.029	0.207	0.286	0.275	0.570	0.025	0.035
CV (%)	4.18	4.31	3.50	2.47	4.52	3.06	2.54	5.17	3.23	3.68
F value	728.0	673.6	1386.8	523.3	2934.3	1782.7	827.8	194.5	3520.4	1592.1
P value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Fe (ppm)		Cu (ppm)		Mn (ppm)						
Plant organs	Fe (ppn	1)	Cu (ppr	n)	Mn (ppi	m)	Zn (ppn	1)	B (ppm	1)
Plant organs	Fe (ppn 2012	n) 2013	Cu (ppr 2012	n) 2013	Mn (ppi 2012	m) 2013	Zn (ppn 2012	2013	B (ppm 2012	2013
Plant organs Flower		-	`* *	-	, T I					
	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012 32.84a	2013 32.92a
Flower	2012 518.5b	2013 528.2b	2012 10.86a	2013 10.74a	2012 61.56b	2013 62.78b	2012 63.49b	2013 63.52a	2012 32.84a	2013 32.92a
Flower Leaf	2012 518.5b 652.9a	2013 528.2b 677.4a 156.7c	2012 10.86a 9.66a	2013 10.74a 9.61b	2012 61.56b 98.84a	2013 62.78b 99.95a	2012 63.49b 85.40a	2013 63.52a 88.25a	2012 32.84a 30.95a	2013 32.92a 31.95a 16.87c
Flower Leaf Stem	2012 518.5b 652.9a 154.4c	2013 528.2b 677.4a 156.7c	2012 10.86a 9.66a 5.42b	2013 10.74a 9.61b 5.49c	2012 61.56b 98.84a 20.03c	2013 62.78b 99.95a 21.44c	2012 63.49b 85.40a 28.79c	2013 63.52a 88.25a 30.07c	2012 32.84a 30.95a 17.34c	2013 32.92a 31.95a 16.87c
Flower Leaf Stem Years	2012 518.5b 652.9a 154.4c 441.9 ns	2013 528.2b 677.4a 156.7c 454.1	2012 10.86a 9.66a 5.42b 8.65 ^{ns}	2013 10.74a 9.61b 5.49c 8.60	2012 61.56b 98.84a 20.03c 60.14 ^{ns}	2013 62.78b 99.95a 21.44c 61.39	2012 63.49b 85.40a 28.79c 59.23 ns	2013 63.52a 88.25a 30.07c 60.61	2012 32.84a 30.95a 17.34c 27.04 ^{ns}	2013 32.92a 31.95a 16.87c 27.29
Flower Leaf Stem Years LSD	2012 518.5b 652.9a 154.4c 441.9 ns 50.543	2013 528.2b 677.4a 156.7c 454.1 44.193	2012 10.86a 9.66a 5.42b 8.65 ^{ns} 1.517	2013 10.74a 9.61b 5.49c 8.60 0.538	2012 61.56b 98.84a 20.03c 60.14 ^{ns} 4.750	2013 62.78b 99.95a 21.44c 61.39 3.461	2012 63.49b 85.40a 28.79c 59.23 ns 4.892	2013 63.52a 88.25a 30.07c 60.61 2.523	2012 32.84a 30.95a 17.34c 27.04 ^{ns} 2.481	2013 32.92a 31.95a 16.87c 27.29 2.405
Flower Leaf Stem Years LSD CV (%)	2012 518.5b 652.9a 154.4c 441.9 ns 50.543 3.04	2013 528.2b 677.4a 156.7c 454.1 44.193 2.58	2012 10.86a 9.66a 5.42b 8.65 ^{ns} 1.517 4.66	2013 10.74a 9.61b 5.49c 8.60 0.538 3.60	2012 61.56b 98.84a 20.03c 60.14 ^{ns} 4.750 2.10	2013 62.78b 99.95a 21.44c 61.39 3.461 2.49	2012 63.49b 85.40a 28.79c 59.23 ns 4.892 2.19	2013 63.52a 88.25a 30.07c 60.61 2.523 2.10	2012 32.84a 30.95a 17.34c 27.04 ^{ns} 2.481 4.40	2013 32.92a 31.95a 16.87c 27.29 2.405 2.34

Means in the same columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at the 0.001 level as statistically, ns: non significant

Discussion

In the study there were significant variations in the herbage and hay yields of buckwheat in terms of both plant parts and harvest times. Among the harvest times, herbage and hay yield increased by delaying the harvest time to maturity and ripening due to the higher dry matter accumulation and the longer season growing period than in a short season. Additionally, plant parts such as the leaf, stem and seeds also continue to grow and development with a delayed harvest time and therefore, herbage and hay yields increase. Kara et al. (2012) stated that dry matter in plants depends on the growth period between sowing and harvesting. Girma et al. (2011) reported that the herbage and hay yields increase with crop maturity, but there is generally a decrease in nutritive value. Yields of most species generally increase with time up to anthesis, after which yields decline due to increased losses from shading and respiration (Dear et al., 2005).

The mean grain yield, herbage and hay yields of the second year were higher than the first year. These differences between the years might be due to the higher rainfall during the growing period in the second year. The yield was directly related to the mean air temperature and rainfall. Russelle et al. (2004) stated that the grain yield of plants varies according to different climatic conditions, especially temperature and rainfall.

There were significant variations in the mineral elements' contents of the buckwheat grain. In the grain of buckwheat, while N, P, Mg, Cu and Zn levels were found to be high, lower levels were found of K, Ca, Fe, Mn and B. Ikeda and Yamashita (1994) determined that there were variations in the mineral element contents of buckwheat flour. Ikeda et al. (1999) stated that buckwheat flour contained high levels of magnesium, phosphorus and potassium. Dias et al. (2009) stated that high photosynthetic activity at final grain filling increased the Fe content of the grain.

There were significant variations in mineral composition among the harvest times of buckwheat. The highest N, P, K,

Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn and B contents were determined at the second harvest time, and these mineral elements were significantly decreased in the later harvest times (3rd, 4th and 5th harvest times) in both 2011 and 2012. However, the highest Zn content was determined at the latest harvest time. The most influential factor on nutritive value in is growing periods. Nutrient plants accumulation occurs mainly before anthesis, and its ratio in subsequent growing periods decreases because the plant continues to grow (Girma et al., 2011). Kilcher and Troelsen (1973) reported that N content was significantly decreased from vegetative period (30-35%) towards to maturity (8-10%). The macro nutrient elements of forage crops varied depending on harvesting stage, and generally the macro nutrient elements decreased with a delayed harvesting stage (Martin et al., 1989). Smith (1976) found that the K rate of oats decreased from 5.2% to 1.4, the Ca rate decreased from 4.2% to 0.30% and the P rate decreased from 0.52% to 0.26% with delayed harvest time. Similarly, Korkmaz et al. (1993) reported that the Mg rate decreased with delayed harvest time. Girma et al. (2011) determined that N accumulation was relatively rapid at the early season satge: it decreased in the later season and continued at a decreased rate until maturity.

In the parts of plants, mineral nutrient contents, including N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn and B significantly varied according to plant organs. The leaves of buckwheat contained higher levels of N, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn but there were lower levels of P. Cu, B and K. The flowers contained higher levels of P, Cu and B, and K was higher in the stem. Generally, the results of the present study showed that the accumulation of the examined mineral concentration was higher in the leaves and blossoms of the buckwheat plant. However, the leaves and flowers of buckwheat contained relatively high or low levels of some minerals. Similar results were reported by Nashriyah et al. (2010). Ikeda et al. (1999) found higher levels of potassium, calcium and manganese characterized at the leaves and hull when compared to buckwheat seed. Vojtiskova et al. (2012) reported that the N content in

leaves and blossoms of the buckwheat plant was higher than in its seed, and a lowest amount of N was found in the hull.

In general, the recommended that harvest time of forage crops for herbage yield is at the flowering and the soft dough stage (Açıkgöz, 2001). In this study, the recommended harvest time for quality forage is the second harvest time due to the high mineral nutrient content. In the later stages, the yield is much higher, but of lower nutrient content than at the second harvest time.

The yield and total nutrient content could be influenced by many factors, e.g. the variety of the plant, fertilization, different climatic conditions, harvest time, processing of the seed, laboratory conditions, etc. (Barta et al., 2004).

Conclusions

The results indicated that herbage, hay yield and mineral composition of buckwheat significantly varied according to the plant organs and harvest times. Mean grain yields of 1460.8 kg ha⁻¹ and 1592.6 kg ha⁻¹ were obtained. The herbage and hay yields of buckwheat were increased with delayed harvest time, and the highest herbage and hay yield was obtained from the latest harvest time (5th harvest stage). In plant organs, the highest herbage and hay yields were obtained from stem.

High levels of N, P, Mg, Cu and Zn and lower levels of K, Ca, Fe, Mn and B were found in the grain of buckwheat.

The mineral nutrient composition of the second harvest time was higher than that of other harvest times, but the highest Zn content was determined at the latest harvest time.

The buckwheat leaves contained higher levels of N, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn but lower levels of P, Cu, B and K. The flowers contained higher levels of P, Cu and B, and K was higher in the stem.

Based on the results of the research: 1-Having obtained high grain, herbage and hay yields, it is possible to say that buckwheat can be successfully produced in Isparta's, Turkey, ecological conditions, 2- the latest harvest time (5th stage) is very important for

maximum herbage and hay yield, but if the economic yield and quality (the highest nutrient content) are desired, the second harvest time can be suggested.

References

- Açıkgöz, E. 2001. Forage Crops (3rd press). Uludag University Puplication No:182, Bursa, 584p.
- Alkhatib, K. and Paulsen, G.M. 1990. Photosynthesis and productivity during high-temperature stress of wheat genotypes from major world regions. Crop Science, 1990, 30:1127-1132.
- Barta, J., Kalinova, J., Moudry, J. and Curn, V. 2004. Effects of environmental factors on protein content and composition in buckwheat flour. Cereal Research Communication, 2004, 32:541-548.
- Bloom, A.J., Burger, M., Asensio J.S.R. and Cousins, A.B. 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and arabidopsis. Science, 2010, 328:899-903.
- Campbell, C.G. 1983. Manor buckwheat. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 1983, 63:1053-1054.
- Campbell, C.G. 1997. Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench.) promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops. 19. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- Christensen, K.B., Kaemper, M., Loges, R., Frette, X.C., Christensen L.P. and Grevsen, K. 2010. Effects of nitrogen fertilization, harvest time, and species on the concentration of polyphenols in aerial parts and seeds of normal and tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum* sp.). European Journal of HortiScience, 2010, 75(4):153–164.
- Christa, K. and Soral-Smietana, M. 2008. Buckwheat grains and buckwheat products-nutritional and prophylactic value of their components-a review.

- Czech J. of Food Sci., 2008, 26:153–162.
- Dear, B., Kaiser, A. and Pilt, J. 2005. Yield and Digestibility of Legume and Oat Forages. Profitable & Sustainable Primary Industries, 52, 2005.
- Dias, A.S., Lidon, F.C. and Ramalho, J.C. 2009. Heat stress in *Triticum*: kinetics of Fe and Mn accumulation. Brazilian Journal of Plant Philology, 2009, 21:153-164.
- Dizlek, D., Özer, M.S., İnanç, E. and Gül, H. 2009. Composition of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and its possible uses in food industry. Gıda, 2009, 34(5):317-324.
- Girma, K., Holtz, S., Tuban, B., Solie, J. and Raun, W. 2011. Nitrogen accumulation in shoots as a function of growth stage of corn and winter wheat. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 2011, 34:165-182.
- Ikeda, S. and Yamashita, Y. 1994. Buckwheat as a dietary source of zinc, copper and manganese. Fagopyrum, 1994, 14:29-34.
- Ikeda, S., Yamashita, Y. and Murakami, T. 1995. Minerals in buckwheat. Current Advances in Buckwheat Research: 789792 (Proc, 6th Intl. Syrup. Buckwheat at Ina)
- Ikeda, S., Yamashita, Y. and Kreft, I. 1999.

 Mineral composition of buckwheat by-products and its processing characteristics to konjak preparation. Fagopyrum, 1999, 16:89-94.
- Kara, B., Atar, B. and Gül, H. 2012. Effects of different sowing dates on protein, sugar and dry matter of sweet corn. Research on Crops, 2012, 13(2):493-497.
- Kilcher, M.R. and Troelsen, J.E. 1973.

 Contribution and nutritive value of the major plant components of oats through progressive stages of development. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 1973, 53:251-256.
- Korkmaz, A., Gülser, C., Manga, İ. and Sancak, C. 1993. Effects of planting systems and cutting time on mineral content and quality of forage plants from Samsun district. Turkish Journal

- of Agriculture and Forestry, 1993, 17:1069-1080.
- Kozak, U.K., Wronkowska, M. and Smietana, M.S. 2011. Effect of buckwheat flour on microelements and proteins contents in gluten-free bread. Czech Journal of Food Science, 2011, 29(2):103-108.
- Martin, P.J.Z., Garcia, B.I. and Rivilla, M. 1989. Nutritive value of winter cereals used for forage in the west central zone of Spain. Herbage Abstract, 1989, 522, 3923.
- Nashriyah, M., Shamsiah, A.R., Salmah, M., Misman, S., Maizatul, M., Akmam, N., Jamaliah, M.Y. and Mazleha, M. 2010. Growth and mineral content of *Mokara charkkuan* Pink Orchid as affected by allelopathic *Lantana camara* weed. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology Science, 2010, 1(1):35-39.
- Russelle, M.P., Wilhelm, W.W., Olson, R.A. and Power, J.F. 2004. Growth analysis based on degree days, http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaars facpub/123. (Accessed September 12, 2013)
- Smith, D. 1976. Yield and chemical composition of oats for forage with advance in maturity. Agronomy Journal, 1976, 68:637-638.
- Stibilj, V., Kreft, I., Smrkolj, P. and Osvald, J. 2004. Enhanced selenium content in buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) seeds by foliar fertilization. European Food Research and Technology, 2004, 219:142–144.
- Tahir, I. and Farooq, S. 1988. Review article on buckwheat. Fagopyrum, 1988, 8:33-53.
- Tsuneo, N. 2004. A history of buckwheat (soba noodle seed) and its advantages. Foods and Food Ingreded Journal, 2004, 209 (4):345-353.
- TÜİK., 2012. Turkey Statistical Institute, www.tuik.gov.tr
- Vojtiskova, P., Kmentova, K., Kuban, V. and Kracmar, S. 2012. Chemical composition of buckwheat plant (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) and selected buckwheat products. Journal of

- Microbiology Biotechnology and Food Science, 2012, 1:1011-1019.
- Ye, N. and Guo, G. 1992. Classification, origin and evolution of genus *Fagopyrum* in China. Proc. 5th Int'l Symp. On Buckwheat. 20-26 Aug. 1992. pp. 19-28, Taiyuan, China.
- Wijngaard, H.H. and Arendt, E.K. 2006. Buckwheat. Cereal Chemistry, 2006, 83(4):391-401.
- Zhou, J. 2003. Traditional Chinese medicines: Molecular structures, natural sources and applications/compiled by Zhou, J., G. Xie and X. Yan; In: Milne, G.W.A. (ed.): 2nd ed. Ashgate Publishing Ltd, Hampshire, England.