# Optimising Anatolian Black Pine (Pinus nigra) and Turkish Red Pine

# (*Pinus brutia*) seedling production in state forest nurseries of Turkey

Altay Uğur GÜL<sup>1</sup>, Ali Ömer ÜÇLER<sup>2</sup>, Cantürk GÜMÜŞ<sup>2</sup>, Zafer YÜCESAN<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Manisa Celal Bayar University School of Tobacco Expertise, Akhisar/Manisa, 45200, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Karadeniz Technical University Forest Engineering Department, Trabzon, 61080, Turkey <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: <u>yucesan@ktu.edu.tr</u>

| Received Date: 01.02.2017 | Accepted Date: 03.05.2017 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                           |                           |

#### Abstract

*Aim of study:* In this study, Anatolian black pine (*Pinus nigra*) and Turkish red pine (*Pinus brutia*) seedling production at different ages were planned with linear programming method and maximizing the seedling revenues was aimed.

*Material and Methods*: In this respect, according to the assumptions used in the model, fields in various sizes such as 10000 m<sup>2</sup> area for one year old, 20000 m<sup>2</sup> for two years old, 30000 m<sup>2</sup> for three years old and 40000 m<sup>2</sup> for four and five years old seedling were separated in the nursery. On the other hand, balanced seedling production in consecutive years and consideration of demand increase or decrease on the seedling production at any ages were main goals. For this, developed model was solved in three different formats deal with rapid demand increase (RDI), slow demand increase (SDI) and demand decrease (DD) scenarios.

*Main results:* Obtained results showed that if there is a rapid increase in demand, largest present value was 385310 \$ and total produced seedling number was 905262. Realization of slow demand increase has led to reduction of 6658 \$ (1.73%) in present value, and 17461 piece in produced seedling number. However, reduction of demand decrease caused to occur present value 24989 \$ (1.93%) and produced seedling number 61479 piece (6.79%) less.

*Research highlights:* By controlling the seedling production amount and process, more effective usage of nursery area can be possible as well. By the way it can be possible to endure nursery costs and reach quality targets more efficiently.

Keywords: Seedling, Nursery, Seedling production, Linear programming, Optimization.

## Türkiye'de devlet orman fidanlıklarında Karaçam (*Pinus nigra*) ve

# Kızılçam (Pinus brutia)) fidanı üretiminin optimizasyonu

### Özet

*Çalışmanın amacı:* Bu çalışmada, doğrusal programlama kullanılarak farklı yaşta karaçam (*Pinus nigra*) ve kızılçam (*Pinus brutia*) fidan üretimi planlanmış ve fidan satış gelirinin maksimize edilmesi amaçlanmıştır.

*Materyal ve Yöntem:* Bunun için; fidanlık alanında, ilk yıl 10,000 m<sup>2</sup>, ikinci yıl 20,000 m<sup>2</sup>, üçüncü yıl 30,000 m<sup>2</sup>, dördüncü ve beşinci yıl için 40,000 m<sup>2</sup> alan ayrılmıştır. Ayrıca; kızılçam/karaçam fidanının birbirini izleyen yıllarda dengeli üretilmesi; herhangi bir yaştaki kızılçam/karaçam fidan üretiminde talep artışı veya azalışının göz önünde bulundurulması hedeflenmiştir. Bunun için, geliştirilen model, hızlı talep artışı (HTA), yavaş talep artışı (YTA) ve talep azalışı (TA) senaryolarına göre üç ayrı biçimde çözülmüştür.

*Sonuçlar:* Çözüm sonucunda; hızlı talep artışı olması durumunda en büyük bugünkü değer (\$385,310.00) ve fidan üretim düzeyi (905,262 adet) elde edilmiştir. Talep artışının yavaş gerçekleşmesi, bugünkü değerin \$6,658 (%1.73), fidan üretiminin 17,461 (%1.93) adet; talep hızının azalması ise bugünkü değerin \$24,989 (%1.93), fidan üretiminin 61,479 adet (%6.79) daha az gerçekleşmesine yol açmıştır.

*Araştırma vurguları:* Üretim miktarını ve sürecini kontrol ederek fidanlık alanının daha etkin kullanılması mümkündür. Böylece fidanlık maliyetlerini azaltmak ve kalite hedeflerine daha etkin ulaşmak mümkün olabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Fidan, Fidanlık, Fidan üretimi, Doğrusal programlama, Optimizasyon.



#### Introduction

A well-functioning forestry seedling nursery sector is essential for plantation expansion, while seedling production is a critical first step in the timber supply chain (Harrison et al., 2008). State nursery enterprises have many problems in Turkey such as capacity utilization, facility location, employment, seedling cost and sale price, finance, mechanization, governance and organization (Alkan, 2009). Productivity problems are also possible in these enterprises (Alkan, 2003).

Nursery enterprises are establishments with field and greenhouse plants that produce some plant materials, and they are established in various types according to their marketing goals (Ürgenç, 1999; Boydak & Çalışkan, 2014). Nursery field is divided into parcels. Afterwards, levelling, drainage and tillage are completed in nurseries. As bare-root seedlings can be produced in nurseries, seedlings can also be produced in tubes or containers by using some mixture of growing medium.

Seedling production in state forest nursery enterprises in Turkey is employed according to annual sowing, rotation and working programs which are organized within five years as a requirement of the planned work. In addition to the fact that nurseries make profit, they oversee other purposes such as serving for the benefit of society, meeting customer needs and desires, and creating employment. On the other hand, they have some problems such as lack of capacity utilization, facility location, and high number of non-value creating activities in production process, unproductivity in value creating activities, quality and cost. Therefore, present situation analysis must be performed and effective factors in management success and necessary precautions with regard to rational criteria in terms of management strategies must be determined in forest nursery enterprises (Alkan, 2003).

High quality and low cost are the most important criteria in nurseries. There are some costs as labor cost, material cost, machine operation, staff expenses, depreciation, land use prices etc. that enterprises have to endure in order to reach quality targets and carry on sustainability as well (Alkan, 2000; Alkan, 2003). Thus, it is necessary to reveal and monitor the production costs in nursery and greenhouse enterprises for determining profitable growth conditions (Power et al., 1991).

In the literature, morphological quality criteria such as seedling height, root collar diameter, seedling height/root collar diameter ratio, and stem/root ratio have been examined and quality classifications have been studied (Genç et al., 1999; Demircioğlu et al., 2004; Atik 2013). In addition, seedling production techniques, costs and sales incomes have been evaluated (Séhouéto et al., 2015); seedling marketing models have been developed and economic analysis have been made (Emokaro et al., 2014); working conditions of the nursery staff have been examined (Ünver-Okan & Kaya, 2015); analysis of the nursery sector has been made (Gregorio et.al, 2015); integer programming has been used in solution of seedling production distribution and problem (Rantala, 2004).

Though effective and profitable managing of nursery enterprises that constitutes the basis of reforestation efforts is very important, there has not been any study on the optimization of seedling production in the state forest nursery enterprises in Turkey. In the nursery industry, cost-effectiveness has, perhaps for historical reasons, usually been of secondary concern while more attention has been paid to biological issues (Rantala, 2004).

In this study, applicability of a linear model in rationalization of nurseries is examined. *Pinus brutia* and *Pinus nigra* seedlings production at different ages were projected in the study, and maximization of seedling sales revenues was the primary goal. Balanced seedling production in consecutive years and consideration of demand increase or decrease on the Turkish red pine and Anatolian black pine seedling production at any ages were also aimed.

### Material and Method

In this study, sales price of the seedlings as of 21.01.2016 ("Seedling Sales Price", 2016) were used in linear programming model as a material (Table 1).

|              |      | 1       | Seedling Age         | e      |      |
|--------------|------|---------|----------------------|--------|------|
|              | 1    | 2       | 3                    | 4      | 5    |
| Tree Species |      | Sales P | Price ( $R_i$ , \$/n | umber) |      |
| Pinus brutia | 0.27 | 0.32    | 0.49                 | 0.69   | 0.85 |
| Pinus nigra  | 0.24 | 0.31    | 0.49                 | 0.69   | 0.85 |

#### Table 1. Seedling sales prices in 2016

The model was solved in different ways based on different target values and the assumptions used in the model were set up as follows:

• Forest nursery enterprise is willing to produce one, two, three, four and five years old seedlings between 2017 and 2021 years, and to maximize seedling sales revenues.

• Seedlings will be produced in polythene pots or plastic containers in different size such as 15x15 cm, 18x30 cm, 30x40 cm, 40x40 cm. Fields varied in sizes such as 10000 m<sup>2</sup> area for one year old, 20000 m<sup>2</sup> for two years old, 30000 m<sup>2</sup> for three years old and 40000 m<sup>2</sup> for four and five years old seedlings in the nursery.

• It is required to produce at least number of 150000 Turkish red pine and 100000 Anatolian black pine seedlings at one year old.

• The difference between seedling numbers of Turkish red pine/Anatolian black pine at one, two, three, four and five years old should be maximum  $\pm 20\%$ .

• The number of the produced Turkish red pine and Anatolian black pine seedlings at any age in consecutive years should be;

a) 1.2-1.5 times more than seedling production amount of previous year at the same age [Rapid demand increase (RDI)],

b) 1.0-1.1 times more than seedling production amount of previous year at the same age [Slow demand increase (SDI)],

c) 0.85-0.95 times more than seedling production amount of previous year at the same age [Demand decrease (DD)].

#### **Purpose equation**

Purpose equation was defined as maximization of the total present value of Turkish red pine and Anatolian black pine seedling sales revenues between 2017-2021 years and formulated as given below.

$$Z_{\max} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=i}^{5} c_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=i}^{5} c_{ij} y_{ij}$$
(1)

 $x_{ij} = Number of the "i" aged Turkish red pine seedling at "j" year,$ 

 $y_{ij} =$  Number of the "i" aged Anatolian black pine seedling at "j" year,

 $C_{ij}$  =Present value of "i" aged Turkish red pine/Anatolian black pine seedlings produced in "j" year ( $c_{ij} = R_i / (1+r)^j$ ; r (discounted rate)=2%)

<u>Constraints</u> Area constraint was defined as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_{ij} = b_j \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., 5)$$
(2)

 $a_i$  = Separated area for production of Turkish red pine/Anatolian black pine seedlings at "i" age (m<sup>2</sup>/number)

 $b_{j}$  = Total separated area for production of Turkish red pine/Anatolian black pine seedlings in "j" year (m<sup>2</sup>).

Number of seedling constraints was defined as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} - B_i = 0$$
(3)  
(i = 1,2,...,5)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ij} - N_i = 0$$
 (*i* = 1,2,...,5) (4)

 $B_i$  = Number of total Turkish red pine seedling to be produced at "i" age  $N_i$  = Number of total Anatolian black pine seedling to be produced at "i" age

Production amount constraints were expressed as:

 $B_1 \ge 150000$ 

 $N_1 \ge 100000$ 

Constraint defined as the difference between seedling numbers of *Pinus brutia/Pinus nigra* at one, two, three, four and five years old was expressed as follows:

$$-0.8B_{i} + B_{i+1} \ge 0 \ (i = 1, 2..., 4)$$
  
$$-1.2B_{i} + B_{i+1} \le 0 \ (i = 1, 2..., 4)$$
  
$$-0.8N_{i} + N_{i+1} \ge 0 \ (i = 1, 2..., 4)$$
  
$$-1.2N_{i} + N_{i+1} \le 0 \ (i = 1, 2..., 4)$$

Production flow constraints based on the changes in the level of demand was expressed as follows:

a) Rapid demand increase (RDI):

$$\begin{split} & -1.5x_{ij-1} + x_{ij} \leq 0 \\ & (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ & -1.5y_{ij-1} + y_{ij} \leq 0 \\ & (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ & -1.2x_{ij-1} + x_{ij} \geq 0 \\ & (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \end{split}$$

$$-1.2y_{ij-1} + y_{ij} \ge 0$$
  
(*i* = 1,2...,4) (*j* = *i*+1,*i*+2,...,*i*+5)

b) Slow demand increase (SDI):

$$\begin{array}{l} -1.1x_{ij-1} + x_{ij} \leq 0 \\ (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ -1.1y_{ij-1} + y_{ij} \leq 0 \\ (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ - x_{ij-1} + x_{ij} \geq 0 \\ (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ - y_{ij-1} + y_{ij} \geq 0 \\ (i = 1, 2..., 4), (j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., i + 5) \\ \text{c) Demand decrease (DD):} \\ - 0.95x_{ij-1} + x_{ij} \leq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (i=1,2...,4), \ (j=i+1,i+2,...,i+5) \\ -0.95y_{ij-1}+y_{ij} \leq 0 \\ (i=1,2...,4), \ (j=i+1,i+2,...,i+5) \\ -0.85x_{ij-1}+x_{ij} \geq 0 \\ (i=1,2...,4), \ (j=i+1,i+2,...,i+5) \\ -0.85y_{ij-1}+y_{ij} \geq 0 \\ (i=1,2...,4), \ (j=i+1,i+2,...,i+5) \end{array}$$

Equations and inequalities in the text constitute more than one constraint and variable. Therefore; Model consists of 73 constraints and 40 variables. For example; equation 2 consists of 5 constraints, equation 3 consists of 5 constraints and equation 4 consists of 5 constraints. So, the 2, 3 and 4 polynomial equations constitute a total of 15 constraints. Variable (xij) shows the production amount of fifteen Pinus brutia seedlings, (Bi) shows 5 count variables; variable (yij) shows the production amount of 15 Pinus nigra seedlings and (Ni) shows 5 counting variables. In this way, linear programming model was obtained which was resulting from 40 variables and 73 constraints including area size, seedling number, production amount and flow.

### Results

Models are resolved by using Microsoft Excel and solution summaries are given in Table 2, 3 and 4. Obtained purpose equation value (the present value of total sales revenues) is \$385310 in RDI scenario. Purpose equation value is 1.73 % less than this value in SDI scenario and 6.49 % less in DD scenario. Also, 905262 piece seedlings are produced between 2017-2021 years in RDI scenario and the seedling production amounts are 1.93 % and 6.79 % less in SDI and DD scenarios respectively.

According to Table 2, at least 100000 one year old *Pinus nigra* seedlings production constraint exceeded 19295 units more while at least 150000 one year old *Pinus brutia* seedling production constraint exceeded at targeted level. The difference between seedling numbers of *Pinus brutia/Pinus nigra* at one, two, three, four and five years old should be maximum  $\pm 20\%$  constraint exceeded -20%. For example, two years old *Pinus brutia* seedling production amount was 80 % of the production amount of one year old *Pinus brutia* seedling. Constraint of *Pinus brutia* and *Pinus nigra* seedling production amount at any age that should be 1.2-1.5 times more than seedling production amount of previous year at the same age in

consecutive years was also provided. For example, according to Table 2, in 2018, 2019 and 2020, *Pinus brutia* seedling production amount reached to 1.2 times more than the previous year amount and in the last year seedling production amount was reached to 1.5 times more than the previous year.

| Year Seedling age |  |
|-------------------|--|

| Year Seedling age   |   |  |   |  | Total  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1                   | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | — Total  |  |
|                     |   | Pinus brutia   |   |  |  |  |
| 18844               |   |  |   |  | 18844  |  |
| 22613               | 22355   |  |   |  | 44968  |  |
| 27136               | 26826   | 26374  |   |  | 80336  |  |
| 32563               | 32191   | 31648  | 30720   |  | 127122   |  |
| 48844               | 38629   | 37978  | 46080   | 61440  | 232971   |  |
| 150000              | 120001  | 96000  | 76800   | 61440  | 504241   |  |
|                     |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (Bi)<br>Pinus nigra |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 14987               |   |  |   |  | 14987  |  |
| 17984               | 17502   |  |   |  | 35486  |  |
| 21581               | 21002   | 20975  |   |  | 63558  |  |
| 25897               | 25203   | 25170  | 24432   |  | 100702   |  |
| 38846               | 31729   | 30203  | 36647   | 48863  | 186288   |  |
| 119295              | 95436   | 76348  | 61079   | 48863  | 401021   |  |
|                     |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|                     | Pinus   | <i>brutia</i> and <i>Pin</i>   | us nigra  |  |  |  |
| 33831               |   |  |   |  | 33831  |  |
| 40597               | 39857   |  |   |  | 80454  |  |
| 48717               | 47828   | 47349  |   |  | 143894   |  |
| 58460               | 57394   | 56818  | 55152   |  | 227824   |  |
| 87690               | 70358   | 68181  | 82727   | 110303   | 419259   |  |
| 269295              | 215437  | 172348   | 137879  | 110303   | 905262   |  |
|                     |   | Zmax=\$38531   | 0   |  |  |  |
|                     | 18844<br>22613<br>27136<br>32563<br>48844<br>150000<br>14987<br>17984<br>21581<br>25897<br>38846<br>119295<br>33831<br>40597<br>48717<br>58460<br>87690 | 18844   22613 22355   27136 26826   32563 32191   48844 38629   150000 120001   14987 17984   17984 17502   21581 21002   25897 25203   38846 31729   119295 95436   Pinus   33831 40597   40597 39857   48717 47828   58460 57394   87690 70358 | 1 2 3   Pinus brutia   18844 22613 22355   27136 26826 26374   32563 32191 31648   48844 38629 37978   150000 120001 96000   Pinus nigra 14987   17984 17502   21581 21002 20975   25897 25203 25170   38846 31729 30203   119295 95436 76348   Pinus brutia and Pin 33831   40597 39857   48717 47828 47349   58460 57394 56818   87690 70358 68181   269295 215437 172348 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |  |

According to Table 3, at least 100000 one year old Pinus nigra seedlings production constraint exceeded 14101 units more. However, at least 150000 one year old Pinus seedling production brutia constraint exceeded at targeted level. The difference between seedling numbers of Pinus brutia/Pinus nigra at one, two, three, four and five years old should be maximum  $\pm 20\%$ constraint exceeded -20% same as in the RDI scenario. Two years old Pinus brutia seedling production amount was 80 % of the production amount of one year old Pinus brutia seedling. Constraint of Pinus brutia and Pinus nigra seedling production amount at any age that should be 1.0-1.1 times more than seedling production amount of previous year at the same age in consecutive years was

also provided. As it is seen in Table 3, in 2018 and 2019, *Pinus brutia* seedling production amount was provided at the same level with the previous year and in 2020 and 2021, seedling production amount was reached to 1.1 times more than the previous year.

According to Table 4, at least 100000 one year old *Pinus nigra* seedlings production constraint exceeded 1007 units more and at least 150000 one year old *Pinus brutia* seedling production constraint exceeded at targeted level. The difference between seedling numbers of *Pinus brutia/Pinus nigra* at one, two, three, four and five years old should be maximum  $\pm 20\%$  constraint exceeded -20% same as in the the RDI and SDI scenarios. Two years old *Pinus brutia*  seedling production amount was 80% production amount of one year old *Pinus brutia* seedling.

|                              |                    | I ODI               |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Table 3 Seedling production  | n amount according | to the SDI scenario |
| Table 3. Seedling production | n amount according | to the SD1 sectand  |

| Year                    |        |        | Seedling age      |         |        | Total   |  |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| rear                    | 1      | 2      | 3                 | 4       | 5      | — Total |  |
|                         |        |        | Pinus brutia      |         |        |         |  |
| 2017                    | 28249  |        |                   |         |        | 28249   |  |
| 2018                    | 28249  | 27842  |                   |         |        | 56091   |  |
| 2019                    | 28249  | 27842  | 29003             |         |        | 85094   |  |
| 2020                    | 31073  | 30626  | 31903             | 36571   |        | 130173  |  |
| 2021                    | 34181  | 33689  | 35094             | 40229   | 61440  | 204633  |  |
| Total                   | 150001 | 119999 | 96000             | 76800   | 61440  | 504240  |  |
| (B <sub>i</sub> )       |        |        |                   |         |        |         |  |
| Pinus nigra             |        |        |                   |         |        |         |  |
| 2017                    | 21488  |        |                   |         |        | 21488   |  |
| 2018                    | 21488  | 21179  |                   |         |        | 42667   |  |
| 2019                    | 21488  | 21179  | 22062             |         |        | 64729   |  |
| 2020                    | 23637  | 23297  | 24268             | 27819   |        | 99021   |  |
| 2021                    | 26000  | 25626  | 26694             | 30601   | 46735  | 155656  |  |
| Total (N <sub>i</sub> ) | 114101 | 91281  | 73024             | 58420   | 46735  | 383561  |  |
|                         |        | Pinus  | s brutia and Pinu | s nigra |        |         |  |
| 2017                    | 49737  |        |                   |         |        | 49737   |  |
| 2018                    | 49737  | 49021  |                   |         |        | 98758   |  |
| 2019                    | 49737  | 49021  | 51065             |         |        | 149823  |  |
| 2020                    | 54710  | 53923  | 56171             | 64390   |        | 229194  |  |
| 2021                    | 60181  | 59315  | 61788             | 70830   | 108175 | 360289  |  |
| Total                   | 264102 | 211280 | 169024            | 135220  | 108175 | 887801  |  |
|                         |        |        | Zmax=\$37865      | 2       |        |         |  |

| <b>T</b> 1 1 4 C 11 | 1 .*       |         | 1.        | · DD      | •        |
|---------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Toble / Sodding     | nroduotion | omount  | 0000rding | 10 I 11 1 | connerio |
| Table 4. Seedling   |            | аннонии |           | 1011717   | SUCHALIO |
|                     |            |         |           |           |          |
|                     |            |         |           |           |          |

| Seedling age            |        |         |                   |        | Total  |        |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Year                    | 1      | 2       | 3                 | 4      | 5      | Total  |
|                         |        |         | Pinus brutia      |        |        |        |
| 2017                    | 36115  |         |                   |        |        | 36115  |
| 2018                    | 30698  | 32346   |                   |        |        | 63044  |
| 2019                    | 29163  | 30729   | 33655             |        |        | 93547  |
| 2020                    | 27705  | 29192   | 31972             | 39385  |        | 128254 |
| 2021                    | 26320  | 27733   | 30373             | 37415  | 61440  | 183281 |
| Total (B <sub>i</sub> ) | 150001 | 120000  | 96000             | 76800  | 61440  | 504241 |
|                         |        |         | Pinus nigra       |        |        |        |
| 2017                    | 24319  |         |                   |        |        | 24319  |
| 2018                    | 20671  | 21781   |                   |        |        | 42452  |
| 2019                    | 19638  | 20692   | 22662             |        |        | 62992  |
| 2020                    | 18656  | 19657   | 21529             | 26521  |        | 86363  |
| 2021                    | 17723  | 18674   | 20452             | 25195  | 41372  | 123416 |
| Total (N <sub>i</sub> ) | 101007 | 80804   | 64643             | 51716  | 41372  | 339542 |
|                         |        | Pinus b | rutia and Pinus r | nigra  |        |        |
| 2017                    | 60434  |         |                   |        |        | 60434  |
| 2018                    | 51369  | 54127   |                   |        |        | 105496 |
| 2019                    | 48801  | 51421   | 56317             |        |        | 156539 |
| 2020                    | 46361  | 48849   | 53501             | 65906  |        | 214617 |
| 2021                    | 44043  | 46407   | 50825             | 62610  | 102812 | 306697 |
| Total                   | 251008 | 200804  | 160643            | 128516 | 102812 | 843783 |
|                         |        | 7       | Zmax=\$360321     |        |        |        |

Constraint of *Pinus brutia* and *Pinus nigra* seedling production amount at any age that should be 0.85-0.95 times of the seedling

production amount of previous year at the same age in consecutive years was also provided. For example, according to Table 4, in 2018, 2019 and 2020, *Pinus brutia* seedling production amount reached to 0.85 times more than the previous year amount and in 2019, 2020 and 2021, seedling production amount was reached to 0.95 times more than the previous year.

Field constraint target values and field values used in seedling production according

to model solutions are given in Table 5 Decrease in the demand rate caused difference in the field size in seedling production. For example, while 6446 m<sup>2</sup> is used in 2017 in RDI scenario, field size is 808 m<sup>2</sup> more in SDI scenario and 1195 m<sup>2</sup> more in DD scenario. While the amount used in the last three years is decreasing.

| Table 5. Fie | eld sizes used in the model          |                   |                   |                   |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Year         | Target value (most, m <sup>2</sup> ) | RDI               | SDI               | DD                |
| _            |                                      | (m <sup>2</sup> ) | (m <sup>2</sup> ) | (m <sup>2</sup> ) |
| 2017         | 10000                                | 6446              | 7254              | 7641              |
| 2018         | 20000                                | 18773             | 19803             | 20000             |
| 2019         | 30000                                | 30000             | 30000             | 29265             |
| 2020         | 40000                                | 40000             | 39047             | 36424             |
| 2021         | 40000                                | 38462             | 34999             | 31272             |

Table 5. Field sizes used in the model

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

There is still plenty of room for further improvements in controlling supply chain systems, despite the considerable advances that have occurred throughout the years (Sarimveis et al., 2008). The most important solution approaches for supply chain problems are based on discrete mathematical programming and continuous approximations (Rantala 2004). Due to their dynamic and uncertain nature, production and inventory problems can be naturally formulated as dynamic programs. Demand uncertainty is one of the major factors affecting the decision making in production and control (Sarimveis et al., 2008). The main purpose of the nursery enterprise is to produce the wellrooted, layered, healthy and stabile seedlings in a short period as soon as possible (Saatcioğlu, 1976). However, conditions that will provide quality seedling production in our country are not fully provided in state forest nurseries (Alkan, 2000). In countries with high inflation rates, accessibility to macro-level afforestation targets with budgetary conditions is of great importance. It is accepted as hypothetical that the work items related to the seedling production process in each nursery have some method etudes within themselves (İlter et al., 1988).

Rantala (2004) emphasized that according to the developed optimizing model, compared to the company's current supply chain strategy with 5 nursery units producing seedlings, when other supply chain strategies were applied the number of nursery units decreased by 2-4 units, and cost savings in the supply chain varied from 11.3% to 21.3%. Perea-López et al. (2003) compared the behaviour of a supply chain under centralized and decentralized management approaches, and showed that the former yields better results, with profit increases of up to 15%. Boukas and Liu (2001), investigated a continuous-time inventoryproduction planning problem, where the products deteriorate and their value reduces with time. Chen et al. (2006) formulated the profit-maximization problem as dynamic programming model and showed that the percentage of profit difference between the two policies increases significantly in demand parameters and production unit cost.

By maximization of seedling sales revenues, balancing seedling production in consecutive years and considering demand increase or decrease on Pinus brutia and Pinus nigra seedling production at any ages as given in the methodology, it can be possible to solve production process in nurseries by mathematical models. We have presented that seedling production in nurseries can be planned by using mathematical models like linear programming. By controlling the seedling production amount and process, more effective usage of nursery area can be possible as well. By the way it can be possible to endure nursery costs and reach

quality targets more efficiently. This is very important in terms of the sustainability of nursery enterprises.

### Acknowledgement

Special thanks to the General Directorate of Forestry of Turkish Republic to provide data sharing for the study.

## References

- Alkan, H. (2000). Seedling cost analysis in the Eğirdir Forest Nursery, *SDU Journal* of Faculty of Forestry, A (1), 1-20.
- Alkan, H. (2003). Target costing as cost management technique and applicability of target costing in the state forest nursery business. Doctoral dissertation, Süleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.
- Alkan, H. (2009). A review on the confinement and privatization effort of state forest nursery enterprises, SDU Journal of Faculty of Forestry, A (1), 62-74.
- Atik, A. (2013). Creation of quality classes of seedling and evaluation two years old oriental beech (*Fagus orientalis* Lipsky.) produced in Zonguldak-Gökçebey forest nursery as to the TSI norms. *NWSA*-*Ecological Life Sciences*, 8 (2), 1-12.
- Boukas, E.K., Liu, Z.K. (2001). Manufacturing systems with random breakdowns and deteriorating items. *Automatica*, 37, 401-408.
- Boydak, M. & Çalışkan, S. (2014). *Afforestation*. OGEM-VAK publications, İstanbul, Turkey: CTA Ltd Press.
- Chen, J.M., Chen, L.T., Leu, J.D. (2006). Developing optimization models for cross-functional decision-making: integrating marketing and production planning. *OR Spectrum*, 28, 223-240.
- Demircioğlu, N., Ayan, S., Avanoğlu, B., Sıvacıoğlu, A. (2004). Evaluation of 2+0 aged nursery of the Scots pine (*Pinus* sylvestris L.) raised in Kastamonu-Taşköprü forest nursery as to TSI quality classification. Journal of Engineering Science, 20 (2), 243-251.
- Emokaro, C.O., Alufohai, G.O., Ogbeni, G. L. (2014). Analysis of ornamental plants production in Edo and Delta States,

Nigeria. *Applied Tropical Agriculture*, 19 (1), 1-5.

- Genç, M., Güner, T., Şahan, A. (1999). Morphological studies of 3+0 Anatolian black pine stocks grown in Eskişehir, Eğirdir and Seydişehir forest nurseries. *Tr. J. Agriculture and Forestry*, 23 (2), 517-525.
- Gregorioa, N., Herbohn, J, Harrison, S., Smith, C. (2015). A systems approach to improving the quality of tree seedlings for agroforestry, tree farming and reforestation in the Philippines. *Land Use Policy*, 47, 29-41.
- Harrison, S., Gregorio, N., Herbohn, J. (2008). A critical overview of forestry seedling production policies and practices in relation to smallholder forestry in developing countries. *Small-Scale Forestry*, 7, 207–223
- İlter, E., Türegün, H., Yüksel, S., Savyer, S., Şirin, G., Çevik, İ., Acar, İ., Dinç, B. (1988). Studies on the determination of seedling production costs in forest nurseries. Forestry Research Institute publications, Technical Bulletin: 198, Ankara.
- Perea-López, E., Ydstie, B.E., Grossmann, I.E. (2003). A model predictive control strategy for supply chain optimization. *Computer and Chemical Engineering*, 27, 1201-1218.
- Power, K.C., Fitzgeralt, J.B., Meyer, G.E., Schulte, D.D. (1991). Plant production cost-accounting management system. *Hortscience*, 26 (2), 201-203.
- Rantala, J. (2004). Optimizing the supply chain strategy of a multi-unit Finnish nursery company. *Silva Fennica*, 38 (2), 203–215.
- Saatçioğlu, F. (1976). *Nursery Techniques*. İstanbul University Forest Faculty Publications, 2188/223, İstanbul, Turkey.
- Sarimveis, H., Patrinos, P., Tarantilis, C.D., Kiranoudis, C.T. (2008). Dynamic modelling and control of supply chain systems: A review. *Computers & Operations Research*, 35, 3530-3561
- Séhouéto, C., Aoudji, A.K.N, Avocèvou-Ayisso, C., Adégbidi, A., Ganglo, J.C., Lebailly, P. (2015). Technico-economic evaluation of teak (*Tectona grandis* L.f.) seedling production in community forest

nurseries, southern Benin. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ., 19 (1), 32-41.

- Seedling sales prices of General Directorate of Forestry in Turkey. (2016, January). Retrieved from <u>http://www.ogm.gov.tr/SitePages/OGM/O</u> <u>GMDuyurular.aspx?l=bab5d875-bc9e-</u> <u>415b-99c6-f5088acdaf36&i=637</u>
- Ünver-Okan, S., Kaya, A. (2015). Analysis of the working postures with Reba method for the repikage works in nursery, *SDU Journal of Engineering Sciences and Design*, 3 (3), 157-163.
- Ürgenç, S. (1999). Nursery and production techniques of trees and ornamental plants. İstanbul University Forest Faculty Publications, Volume 442, İstanbul, Turkey.