

# Akdeniz Spor Bilimleri Dergisi

## Mediterranean Journal of Sport Science

ISSN 2667-5463

## The Relationship Between Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction

Çağrı İLK<sup>1</sup>, Cemal GÜLER<sup>2</sup>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.38021asbid.1371253

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

<sup>1</sup>Acıbadem University, Faculty of Sport Science, İstanbul/Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Sport Science İstanbul/Türkiye

#### Abstract

This study aimed to explore the relationship between digital addiction and life satisfaction among university students across their demographic characteristics. A sample of 264 university students, including 148 females and 116 males, was selected through a random sampling method. "Digital Addiction Scale" was used to measure the digital addiction levels of the participants, and "Life Satisfaction Scale" was used to examine the satisfaction they received from life. Descriptive statistical methods, such as percentage and frequency, were employed to determine the demographic characteristics of the participants. The data were assessed for normal distribution based on the skewness and kurtosis values. Additionally, parametric tests such as independent sample t-test and one-way ANOVA test were employed. Pearson's correlation analysis was employed to determine the relationship between the sub-dimensions of the scale. The findings indicated a significant difference in the sub-dimensions of the digital addiction scale based on participants' age, frequency of digital tool use, and level of welfare. However, no significant difference was found in the sub-dimensions of digital addiction and life satisfaction levels according to gender and grade level variables. On the contrary, there was a correlation between the life satisfaction scale and sub-dimensions of the digital addiction scale. Consequently, the relationships between digital addiction and life satisfaction levels varied depending on the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants.

# **Corresponding Author:** Cemal GÜLER

cemal.guler95@gmail.com

Received: 04.09.2023

Accepted: 13.09.2023

Online Publishing: 29.10.2023

Keywords: Digital Addiction, University Student, Life Satisfaction.

## Dijital Bağımlılık ile Yaşam Doyumu Arasındaki İlişkinin İncelenmesi

## Öz

Calısmanın amacı, üniversite öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılık ve yasam doyumu arasındaki ilişkinin demografik değişkenlere göre incelenmesidir. Bu amaç doğrultusunda tesadüfi örneklem yöntemi ile seçilen 148'i kadın 116'sı erkek olmak üzere toplam 264 üniversite öğrencisi araştırmaya dahil edilmiştir. Katılımcıların dijital bağımlılık düzeylerini ölçek amacıyla "Dijital Bağımlılık Ölçeği" ile yaşamdan aldıkları doyumları incelemek amacıyla ise "Yaşam Doyumu Ölçeği" kullanılmıştır. Katılımcıların demografik özelliklerine ait dağılımları tespit etmek için tanımlayıcı istatistik yöntemlerinden yüzde ve frekans kullanılmıştır. Verilerin çarpıklık ve basıklık değerlerine bakılarak normal dağılım gösterdiği bulunmuş ve parametrik testlerinden Bağımsız Örneklem t-Testi ve Tek Yönlü Varyans Analizi uygulanmıştır. Ölçek alt boyutları arasındaki ilişkiyi tespit etmek için ise Pearson korelasyon analizi kullanılmıştır. Sonuç olarak katılımcıların yaş, dijital araç kullanım sıklığı ve refah düzeylerine göre dijital bağımlılık alt boyutları arasında anlamlı farklılık tespit edilmiştir. Cinsiyet ve sınıf değişkenine göre ise dijital bağımlılık ve yaşam doyum düzeyleri alt boyutları arasında anlamlı farklılık bulunmamıştır. Diğer yandan yaşam doyum ölçeği ile dijital bağımlılık ölçeği alt boyutları arasında ilişki olduğu tespit edilmiştir. Sonuç olarak katılımcıların sosyo demografik özelliklerine göre dijital bağımlılık ve yaşam doyum düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki farklılık göstermektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Dijital bağımlılık, Üniversite Öğrencisi, Yaşam Doyumu.

#### Introduction

Today, digital technologies constitute a substantial and expanding portion of people's leisure time worldwide. Individuals with internet access spend almost 2.5 hours daily on social media. Currently, there are 3.8 billion social media users. According to a study encompassing 57 countries, people spend more time on social media than watching television (Allcott et al. 2022). The term "digital addiction" encompasses various aspects of this issue, including internet addiction, the widely discussed problem of gaming addiction, and the recent problem of social media addiction or digital media addiction. Digital addiction not only covers addiction to online activities but also offline activities using digital devices, including offline gaming addiction (Meng et al. 2022). With the rise of digital addiction in recent years, a significant area of research has emerged. Digital addiction shows global variation, ranging between 8.9% in Eastern and 4.60% in Western countries. There is some disagreement in the literature regarding the definition of digital addiction. While it can be defined as excessive time spent on digital devices and overdependence on them, it is also suggested that it is characterized by behavioral characteristics such as mood fluctuations, preoccupation, and negative impacts on relationships (Cemiloglu et al. 2022). Digital addiction is a behavioral technology addiction, often fueled by a sense of incompleteness. It has given rise to a dependent generation that does not have a social life, feels lonely in the absence of mobile devices, closely follows every application on their phones, craves information about the latest innovations, makes friendships only through social media, and creates new identities on social media (Yengin, 2019). With the development of technology and new generations' easy adaptations, the types of addiction have also evolved, including internet addiction, computer game addiction, phone addiction, social media addiction, and TV addiction (Yalçın, 2021). The uncontrolled use of technology can cause social, psychological, and physical consequences (Karabulut, 2023).

Life satisfaction is another critical concept analyzed in this study, as it is one of the most important determinants of an individual's overall well-being and quality of life. It includes the evaluation of one's quality of life and well-being based on their choices (Özgür et al. 2010). Satisfaction is the fulfillment of an individual's wishes, desires, and needs, while life satisfaction is the outcome of a person's gains versus their expectations (Karabulut and Özer, 2003). Physical, social, emotional, mental health, psychological well-being, functional and effective communication, and maintaining social relationships are the determinants of life satisfaction. Besides, life satisfaction is an indicator of the quality of life in society. It is a sign of mental health and is positively associated with various favorable personal, psychological, behavioral, social, interpersonal, and personal outcomes (Kermen et al. 2016). Life satisfaction measures the extent to which individuals enjoy their lives. Multiple variables affect life satisfaction, including socio-

demographic factors, such as age, gender, psychological characteristics, lifestyle, leisure pursuits, and satisfaction with leisure (Tokay Argan and Mersin, 2021). Life satisfaction, a psychological trait based on a person's perspectives, expectations, and fulfillment, impacts their social and professional lives. It reflects the perception of what one wants and the corresponding reality realized through comparison. That is, life satisfaction emerges with an individual's expectations of life and the level of fulfilled expectations (Ülker Tümlü and Recepoğlu, 2013). When expectations are unmet, many problems arise, which can negatively impact mental health. The challenges can lead to a sense of despair and reduced life satisfaction and mood fluctuations (Türkel & Dilmaç, 2019).

The advancements in digital technology have changed social relationships and expectations in life. Despite the benefits of technology, there are also negative aspects that negatively affect interpersonal relationships, communication, and social life. Especially during university education, individuals' relationship with technology is prominent, and their expectations from life begin to develop. Accordingly, it is thought that the results obtained from the study will give us an idea about the variables in which the frequency of digital technology use of children and university students differ and how they affect their life satisfaction. At the same time, we think that the result that will be obtained regarding whether there is a relationship between the use of digital technology and the life satisfaction of the individual will provide benefits regarding the fact that the use of digital technology during the university period should be managed in a way that students will be more satisfied with life. In this regard, this study aims to explore the levels of digital addiction and life satisfaction among university students.

## **Method and Materials**

During the current research, we acted within the framework of the "Higher Education Institutions Scientific Research and Publication Ethics Directive".

This study employed a relational survey model, one of the quantitative research methods, to determine the relationship between digital addiction and life satisfaction among university students. A relational survey model is used to discern changes between variables (Karasar, 2011). The sample comprised 264 university students, with 56.1% (148) female (56.1%) and 43.9% (116) male (43.9%) enrolled at Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Sports Sciences. The sample was selected using a random sampling method.

## Personal Information Form

The first part includes questions about the participants' gender, age, grade level, daily frequency of digital tool use, and level of welfare.

## **Digital Addiction Scale (DAS)**

In the second part of the study, the "Digital Addiction Scale" developed by Kesici and Tunç (2018) for university students was utilized to evaluate participants' digital addiction levels. The five-point Likert type scale has 19 items and five sub-dimensions, with the reliability coefficients of "overuse" (.78), "non-restraint" (.93), "inhibiting the flow of life" (.83), "emotional state" (.86) and "dependence" (.86). The overall internal consistency coefficient of the scale was .93.

## **Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS)**

In the third part of the study, the "Satisfaction with Life Scale," developed by Diener et al. (1985) and adapted into Turkish by Daglı ve Baysal (2016), was administered to assess participants' satisfaction with life. The five-point Likert scale has five items and one sub-dimension. The overall internal consistency coefficient of the scale was calculated as .90.

## **Data Analysis**

SPSS 25 software package was used to determine the distribution of the demographic characteristics of the participants. Descriptive statistical methods of percentage and frequency were used as well. The results indicated normal distribution based on the skewness and kurtosis values. Then, parametric tests were conducted, including an independent sample t-test and a one-way ANOVA test. Pearson's correlation analysis test was performed to show the correlation between the sub-dimensions of the scale.

#### **Findings**

Table 1
Distribution of Scale Scores

<b>Sub-dimension</b>	Item	Avg.	Sd	Min	Max	Skew.	Kurt.
SWLS	5	2.96	.73	1.00	4.60	385	044
DAS	19	3.07	.71	1.00	4.89	612	.258
Overuse	5	3.11	.78	1.00	5.00	679	.350
Non-restraint	3	3.12	1.01	1.00	5.00	214	-1.00
Inhibiting the		3.37	.81	1.00	5.00	670	.336
flow of life	4						
<b>Emotional state</b>	4	3.28	.86	1.00	5.00	474	439
Dependence	3	2.26	.90	1.00	5.00	.694	339

SWLS: The Satisfaction with Lise Scale, DAS: Digital Addiction Scale

Table 1 shows the distributions of the scale scores. The mean score obtained from the life satisfaction scale is  $2.96 \pm 73$ . The highest average score from the sub-dimensions of the digital addiction scale is  $3.37 \pm 81$ , specifically from the sub-dimensions of "inhibiting the flow of life."

Table 2
Distribution of Demographic Characteristics

Varia	ibles	n	%	
Gender	Male	116	43.9	
	Female	148	56.1	
_	18-20 Years old	98	37.1	
Age	21-23 Years old	85	32.2	
_	24-26 Years old	81	30.7	
	1. Grade	42	15.9	
Grade Level	Male       116         Female       148         18-20 Years old       98         21-23 Years old       85         24-26 Years old       81         1. Grade       42         2. Grade       90         3. Grade       56         4. Grade       76         1-2 hours       51         3-4 hours       70         5-6 hours       69         7 or more hours       74         Bad       74         Medium       83         Good       107	34.1		
_	3. Grade	56	21.2	
_	4. Grade	76	28.8	
Daily frequency of	1-2 hours	51	19.3	
digital tool use	3-4 hours	70	26.5	
<del>-</del>	5-6 hours	69	26.1	
<del>-</del>	7 or more hours	74	28.0	
	Bad	74	28.0	
Level of Welfare	Medium	83	31.4	
<del>-</del>	Good	107	40.5	
Tot	tal	264	100	

Table 2 shows the analysis results regarding the demographic characteristics of the participants. Accordingly, 56.1% of the participants are "Female," 37.1% are "18-20 years old", 34.1% are "2nd grade", 28.0% report using digital tools for "7 or more hours" and 40.5% have a "Good" level of welfare.

Table 3
Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Scores Based on Gender

Gender	N	Avg.	Sd	F	p
3.6.1	116	2.07	70	020	001
Male	116	3.07	./8	828	.801
Female	148	3.15	.78		
Male	116	3.17	1.00	.786	.452
Female	148	3.07	1.02		
Male	116	3.42	.83	.751	.827
Female	148	3.34	.80		
Male	116	3.32	.91	.710	.387
Female	148	3.24	.83		
Male	116	2.29	.96	.551	.079
Female	148	2.23	.84		
Male	116	2.98	.69	.384	.305
Female	148	2.95	.76		
	Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male	Male       116         Female       148         Male       116         Female       148         Male       116         Female       148         Male       116         Female       148         Male       116         Female       148         Male       116	Male     116     3.07       Female     148     3.15       Male     116     3.17       Female     148     3.07       Male     116     3.42       Female     148     3.34       Male     116     3.32       Female     148     3.24       Male     116     2.29       Female     148     2.23       Male     116     2.98	Male         116         3.07         .78           Female         148         3.15         .78           Male         116         3.17         1.00           Female         148         3.07         1.02           Male         116         3.42         .83           Female         148         3.34         .80           Male         116         3.32         .91           Female         148         3.24         .83           Male         116         2.29         .96           Female         148         2.23         .84           Male         116         2.98         .69	Male         116         3.07         .78        828           Female         148         3.15         .78           Male         116         3.17         1.00         .786           Female         148         3.07         1.02           Male         116         3.42         .83         .751           Female         148         3.34         .80           Male         116         3.32         .91         .710           Female         148         3.24         .83           Male         116         2.29         .96         .551           Female         148         2.23         .84           Male         116         2.98         .69         .384

Table 3 presents the independent sample t-test results regarding the statistically significant differences in digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on participants' gender. The results indicated no significant difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels by gender (p>0.05).

Table 4
Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Scores Based on Age

<b>Sub-dimension</b>	Age	N	Avg.	Sd	F	p	
	18-20	98	3.21	.72			
Overuse	21-23	85	2.90	.88	4.620	.011	
_	24-26	81	3.22	.69			
Non-restraint	18-20	98	3.19	.95			
	21-23	85	2.84	1.13	5.157	.006	
_	24-26	81	3.32	.90			
Inhibiting the	18-20	98	3.43	.76			
flow of life	21-23	85	3.20	.89	3.048	.049	
	24-26	81	3.50	.76			
	18-20	98	3.31	.82			
Emotional	21-23	85	3.08	.97	3.765	.024	
state	24-26	81	3.44	.77			
	18-20	98	2.30	.90			
Dependence	21-23	85	2.12	.83	1.572	.210	
_	24-26	81	2.35	.96			
	18-20	98	3.03	.74			
Life	21-23	85	2.93	.69	.679	.508	
satisfaction	24-26	81	2.92	.75			

Table 4 shows the one-way ANOVA test results that aimed to reveal if there was a statistically meaningful difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on age. Accordingly, a significant distinction was observed between the age factor and the sub-dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," "Inhibiting the flow of life," and "Emotional state" (p<0.05).

Table 5
Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Scores Based on Grade Level

<b>Sub-dimension</b>	Grade	N	Avg.	Sd	F	p
	1. grade	42	3.24	.74		
Overuse	2. grade	90	3.08	.77	.497	.685
	3. grade	56	3.07	.83		
	4. grade	76	3.11	.78		
Non-restraint	1. grade	42	3.10	.93		
_	2. grade	90	3.15	1.02	.742	.528
_	3. grade	56	2.95	1.02	<u>_</u>	
	4. grade	76	3.21	1.04		
Inhibiting the	1. grade	42	3.50	.75		
flow of life	2. grade	90	3.35	.83	.520	.669
_	3. grade	56	3.30	.81		
_	4. grade	76	3.39	.83		
	1. grade	42	3.38	.85		
Emotional	2. grade	90	3.28	.88	.331	.803
state	3. grade	56	3.27	.91		
_	4. grade	76	3.22	.83		
	1. grade	42	2.38	1.01		
Dependence	2. grade	90	2.23	.90	.388	.762
<del>_</del>	3. grade	56	2.29	.88		
_	4. grade	76	2.20	.85		

-	1. grade	42	3.06	.75			
Life	2. grade	90	2.96	.74	.329	.805	
satisfaction	3. grade	56	2.91	.77			
	4. grade	76	2.95	.67			

In Table 5, a one-way ANOVA test was utilized to check if there was a statistically meaningful difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on their grade levels. The results revealed no significant difference between the given variable and digital addiction and life satisfaction levels (p>0.05).

Table 6
Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Scores Based on the Frequency of Digital Tool Use

Sub-dimension	Digital Tool	N	Avg.	Sd	F	р
	Use					
_	1-2 hours	51	3.23	.69		
Overuse	3-4 hours	70	3.30	.67		00=
<del>-</del>	5-6 hours	69	2.86	.80	4.415	.005
_	7 hours and	74	3.09	.85		
	above					
Non-restraint	1-2 hours	51	3.15	.95		
_	3-4 hours	70	3.41	1.01		
_	5-6 hours	69	2.86	1.02	3.532	.015
<del>-</del>	7 hours and above	74	3.06	1.00		
Inhibiting the	1-2 hours	51	3.41	.75		
flow of life	3-4 hours	70	3.56	.80		
<del>-</del>	5-6 hours	69	3.24	.86	2.095	.101
<del>-</del>	7 hours and	74	3.30	.81		
	above					
	1-2 hours	51	3.31	.80		
Emotional	3-4 hours	70	3.45	.81	2.878	
state	5-6 hours	69	3.03	.86		.037
<del>-</del>	7 hours and	74	3.32	.93		
	above					
	1-2 hours	51	2.20	.85		
Dependence	3-4 hours	70	2.29	.96		
_	5-6 hours	69	2.23	.80	.128	.944
_	7 hours and	74	2.28	.97		
	above					
	1-2 hours	51	3.05	.67		
Life	3-4 hours	70	2.99	.66		
satisfaction	5-6 hours	69	2.88	.72	.603	.614
<del>-</del>	7 hours and	74	2.96	.82	<del></del>	
	above					

In Table 6, a one-way ANOVA test was applied to show if there was a statistically significant difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on the daily frequency of digital tool use. The findings indicated a significant difference between the frequency of digital tool use and the sub-dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," and "Emotion state" (p<0.05).

Table 7
Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Scores Based on the Level of Welfare

<b>Sub-dimension</b>	Level of welfare	N	Avg.	Sd	F	р
	Low	74	3.20	.71		
Overuse	Normal	83	3.22	.73	3.189	.043
	Good	107	2.97	.83		
Non-restraint	Low	74	3.21	.95		
	Normal	83	3.31	1.04	4.106	.018
	Good	107	2.91	1.01		
Inhibiting the	Low	74	3.39	.73		
flow of life	Normal	83	3.46	.81	.953	.387
	Good	107	3.30	.87		
	Low	74	3.34	.85		
Emotional	Normal	83	3.41	.82	2.730	.067
state	Good	107	3.13	.89		
	Low	74	2.28	.95		
Dependence	Normal	83	2.30	.93	.320	.727
	Good	107	2.20	.84		
	Low	74	2.96	.74		
Life	Normal	83	2.98	.72	.025	.976
satisfaction	Good	107	2.95	.73		

In Table 7, a one-way ANOVA test was employed to uncover if there was a statistically significant difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on their welfare levels. Accordingly, there was a significant difference between the welfare level variable and the sub-dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," and "Emotional state" (p<0.05).

Table 8
The Correlation Between Digital Addiction and Life Satisfaction Scale Sub-Dimensions

Sub- dimension		Overuse	Non-restraint	Inhibiting the flow of life	Emotional state	Dependence	Life satisfaction
Overuse	r	1					
<del>-</del>	p						
Non-restraint	r	.781**	1				
-	p	.001					
Inhibiting the	r	.630**	.675**	1			
flow of life	p	.001	.001				
Emotional	r	.693**	.650**	.708**	1		
state -	p	.001	.001	.001			
Dependence	r	.466**	.442**	.283**	.472**	1	
<del>-</del>	p	.001	.001	.001	.001		
Life	r	.057	.132*	.143*	.075*	.122*	1
satisfaction -	p	.354	.032	.021	.227	.047	

In Table 8, the Pearson correlation analysis was utilized to reveal if there was a correlation between the digital addiction scale and life satisfaction scale sub-dimensions. The analysis results

indicated a positive correlation between the life satisfaction scale and the sub-dimensions of "Non-restraint," "Inhibiting the flow of life," and "Dependence."

#### **Conclusion and Discussion**

This study aims to investigate whether university students' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels varied based on their gender, age, grade level, frequency of digital tool use, and level of welfare.

The analysis showed no significant difference in participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on gender. However, Arslan (2020) studied the digital addiction levels among university students and found a significant difference in the "Inhibiting the flow of life," "Emotional state," and "Dependence" sub-factors of the DAS. In another study conducted by Arslan and Bardakçı (2020), a significant difference was observed in digital addiction levels by gender. Similarly, Avşaroğlu et al. (2005) found a significant difference in participants' life satisfaction by gender. These results are different from those in our study.

As seen in Table 4, while a significant difference was observed in participants' digital addiction levels by age, no meaningful difference was found between their life satisfaction and age. The difference was observed in the sub-dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," "Inhibiting the flow of life," and "Emotional state."In a study conducted by Çukurluöz (2016) on digital addiction levels among high school students, a significant difference was observed in digital addiction levels based on age. Demirel et al. (2022) also reached a similar finding, suggesting a statistically significant difference between the sub-dimensions of the digital addiction scale and age. A study conducted by Aydıner (2011) on the life satisfaction levels among university students showed no significant difference in life satisfaction levels according to age. It can be inferred that there are similarities as well as differences between the results of the research in the literature and our study.

As seen in Table 5, participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels were evaluated based on their grade levels, and no significant difference was found between the given variable and scale sub-dimensions. In a similar study by Altınok (2021), a significant difference was observed in digital addiction levels for this variable. Ünal and Korkmaz (2023) revealed a difference in the "Inhibiting the flow of life" and "Emotional state" sub-dimensions based on their classes. Yelpaze and Yakar (2019) also reported no difference in the literature. Consequently, there are similarities as well as differences between the results of the research in the literature and our study.

Table 6 shows the analysis results regarding participants' digital addiction, life satisfaction levels, and daily frequency of digital tool use. Accordingly, there was a difference in the sub-

317

dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," and "Emotional state." In a study by Erzincanlı (2022), a

significant difference was found between digital addiction levels based on the frequency of digital

tool use. In a similar study, Altınok (2021) found a significant difference between the time spent on

digital tools and life satisfaction levels. The study results align with the literature review.

According to the one-way ANOVA analysis results in Table 7 regarding the links between

participants' digital addiction and life satisfaction levels based on their level of welfare, there was

no difference between the mentioned variables. In contrast, a significant difference was observed in

the sub-dimensions of "Overuse," "Non-restraint," and "Emotional state." Similarly, Demirel et al.

(2022) observed significant differences between the digital addiction scale and welfare levels. In a

study by Köylü (2022) on the life satisfaction levels among university students, a significant

difference was found between welfare and life satisfaction levels. Our results share similarities as

well as differences with the relevant literature.

The analyses results performed to check the relationship between digital addiction and life

satisfaction sub-dimensions indicated a relationship at the level of sub-dimensions.

Consequently, digital addiction and life satisfaction levels varied based on particular socio-

demographic characteristics.

Technology is a practical tool that makes our lives easy and allows speedy access to

information. Along with its advantages, technology may reach addictive levels and become

harmful. The literature on digital addiction has predominantly focused on young individuals. Thus,

studies on adults can be expanded. At the same time, young people should use the beneficial aspects

of digital technology to enhance their life satisfaction and fulfill their personal desires. Future

studies should focus on different sample groups and research themes like happiness, loneliness, and

self-efficacy.

**Ethics Committee Permission Information** 

Ethics review board: Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa Social Sciences and Humanities Research

**Ethics Committee Chairmanship** 

Date of ethics assessment document: 03.10.2023

Ethics assessment document number: 2023/372

#### **Statement of Researchers' Contribution Rates**

The data collection process of the research was carried out by the first author, the process related to the introduction part was carried out by the first author, and the issues related to the method, findings and discussion and conclusion were carried out by two authors.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Referencee

- Allcott, H., Gentzkow, M., & Song, L. (2022). Digital addiction. American Economic Review, 112(7), 2424-2463.
- Altınok, M. (2021). Lise öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılık ve yaşam doyumunun incelenmesi. *Türk Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 19(1), 262-291.
- Arslan, A. (2020). Üniversite öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılık düzeylerinin çeşitli değişkenler açısından incelenmesi. *International e-Journal of Educational Studies*, 4(7), 27-41.
- Arslan, A., & Bardakçı, S. (2020). Üniversite öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılık düzeylerinin iletişim becerileri üzerindeki etkisinin incelenmesi. *Gençlik Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 8(20), 36-70.
- Avşaroğlu, S., Engin Deniz, M., & Kahraman, A. (2005). Teknik öğretmenlerde yaşam doyumu iş doyumu ve mesleki tükenmişlik düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, (14), 115-129.
- Aydıner, B. B. (2011). Üniversite öğrencilerinin yaşam amaçlarının alt boyutlarının genel öz-yeterlik yaşam doyumu ve çeşitli değişkenlere göre incelenmesi. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek lisans tezi, Sakarya Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Eğitimde Psikolojik Hizmetler Ana Bilim Dalı, Sakarya.
- Cemiloglu, D., Almourad, M. B., McAlaney, J., & Ali, R. (2022). Combatting digital addiction: Current approaches and future directions. *Technology in Society*, 68, 101832.
- Çukurluöz, Ö. (2016). Lise öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılıklarının incelenmesi: Ankara ili çankaya ilçesi örneği. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek lisans tezi, Gazi Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü Büro Yönetimi Eğitimi Ana Bilim Dalı, Ankara.
- Dağlı, A., ve Baysal, N. (2016). Yaşam Doyumu Ölçeğinin Türkçe'ye uyarlanması: *Geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması*. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 15(59), 1250-1262.
- Demirel, M., Er, Y., Kaya, A., & Çuhadar, A. (2022). Dijital bağımlılık ve boş zaman yönetiminin günlük hayata etkisinin incelenmesi. *Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 13(3), 1292-1306.
- Diener, E. D. Emmons, R., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49,71–75.
- Erzincanlı, Y. (2022). Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Kişilik Tiplerine Göre Dijital Bağımlılık ve Bilinçli Farkındalık Düzeylerinin İncelenmesi. Yayımlanmamış Doktora tezi, Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Halkla İlişkiler ve Tanıtım Ana Bilim Dalı, Erzurum.
- Karabulut, A. (2023). Bağımlılık eğitiminin üniversite öğrencilerinin dijital bağımlılık düzeylerine etkisi. *Tıbbi Sosyal Hizmet Dergisi*, (21), 1-25.
- Karabulut, Ö. Ö., & Özer, M. (2003). Yaşlılarda yaşam doyumu. Geriatri, 6(2), 72-74.
- Karasar, N. (2011). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri. Ankara: Nobel Yayınları.
- Kermen, U., Tosun, N. İ., & Doğan, U. (2016). Yaşam doyumu ve psikolojik iyi oluşun yordayıcısı olarak sosyal kaygı. Eğitim Kuram ve Uygulama Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2(1), 20-29.
- Kesici, A., & Tunç, N. F. (2018). The development of the Digital Addiction Scale for the university students: Reliability and validity study. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 6(1), 91-98.

- Köylü, A. Ü. (2022). Üniversite öğrencilerinin yaşam doyumu ve serbest zaman doyumlarının incelenmesi. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans tezi, Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Rekreasyon Yönetimi Ana Bilim Dalı, Karaman.
- Meng, S. Q., Cheng, J. L., Li, Y. Y., Yang, X. Q., Zheng, J. W., Chang, X. W., & Shi, J. (2022). Global prevalence of digital addiction in general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 92, 102128.
- Özgür, G., Babacan-Gümüş, A., & Durdu, B. (2010). Evde ve yurtta kalan üniversite öğrencilerinde yaşam doyumu. *Psikiyatri Hemşireliği Dergisi*, *I*(1), 25-32.
- Tokay Argan, M., & Mersin, S. (2021). Life satisfaction, life quality, and leisure satisfaction in health professionals. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 57(2), 660-666.
- Türkel, Z., & Dilmaç, B. (2019). Ergenlerde sosyal medya kullanimi, yalnızlik ve yaşam doyumu arasındaki yordayici ilişkiler. *Necmettin Erbakan Üniversitesi Ereğli Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 1(1), 57-70.
- Ülker Tümlü, G., & Recepoğlu, E. (2013). Üniversite akademik personelinin psikolojik dayanıklılık ve yaşam doyumu arasındaki ilişki. *Yükseköğretim ve Bilim Dergisi*, (3), 205-213.
- Ünal, S., & Korkmaz, Ö. (2023). Ortaöğretim düzeyindeki öğrencilerin dijital okuryazarlık düzeyleri dijital bağımlılık ve sanal ortam yalnızlık düzeyleri. *Bayburt Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 18(37), 218-240.
- Yalçın, A. (2021). *Genç yetişkinlerde dijital bağımlılık düzeyleri ve aleksitimi ilişkisi*. Yayımlanmamış Yüksek lisans tezi, İstanbul Gelişim Üniversitesi Lisansüstü Eğitim Enstitüsü Psikoloji Ana Bilim Dalı, İstanbul.
- Yelpaze, İ., & Yakar, L. (2019). Üniversite öğrencilerinin yaşam doyumu ve bilişsel esnekliklerinin incelenmesi. *Turkish Psychological Counseling and Guidance Journal*, 9(54), 913-935.
- Yengin, D. (2019). Teknoloji bağımlılığı olarak dijital bağımlılık. The Turkish Online Journal of Design Art and Communication, 9(2), 130-144.



This paper is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.