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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of mild solutions for a first-order semilinear differential with statedependent delay. The existence results are established by means of a new version of Perov's fixed point principles combined with a technique based on vector-valued matrix and convergent to zero matrix.
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## 1. Introduction

Random ordinary differential equations (RODEs) are ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that include a stochastic process in their vector field. They seem to have had a shadow existence to stochastic differential equations (SODEs), but have been around for as long as if not longer and have many important applications. In particular, RODEs play a fundamental role in the theory of random dynamical systems, it is more realistic to consider such equations as random operator equations. Therefore, it is more realistic to consider such equations as random operator equations which are much more difficult to handle mathematically than deterministic equations. Important contributions to the study of the mathematical aspects of such random equations have been undertaken in [9, 7, 1, 16] among others. Since sometimes we can get the random distributions of some main disturbances by historical experiences and data rather than take all random disturbances into account and assume the noise to be white noises. In a separable metric space, random

[^0]fixed point theorems for contraction mappings were proved by Hans [2, 3], S̆pac̆ek [8], Hans̆ and, S̆pac̆ek 4] and Mukherjee [5, 6].
In this work we prove the existence of mild solutions of the following functional differential equation with delay and random effects (random parameters) of the form:
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t, \omega) & =A_{1}(w) x(t, \omega)  \tag{1.1}\\
& +f^{1}\left(t, x_{\rho_{1}\left(t, x_{t}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), y_{\rho_{1}\left(t, y_{t}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), \omega\right), \quad \text { a.e, } t \in J:=[0, a] \\
y^{\prime}(t, \omega) & =A_{2}(w) y(t, \omega) \\
& +f^{2}\left(t, x_{\rho_{2}\left(t, x_{t}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), y_{\rho_{2}\left(t, y_{t}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), \omega\right) \quad \text { a.e, } t \in J:=[0, a] \\
x(t, \omega) & =\phi_{1}(t, \omega), t \in(-\infty, 0] \\
y(t, \omega) & =\phi_{2}(t, \omega)
\end{align*}
$$\right.
\]

Here, $x(\cdot), y(\cdot)$ takes the value in the separable Hilbert space $X$ with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|, A_{i}: \Omega \times X \longrightarrow X, i=1,2$ are random operators and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete probability space, $w \in \Omega$, $J:=[0, a]$ for fixed $a>0$ and $X$ is a real separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ induced by norm $\|\cdot\|, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are two random maps and $f^{1}, f^{2}: J \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \Omega \longrightarrow X$ and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{1}: J \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}$ is a phase space to be specified later. For any function $x$ defined on $(-\infty, a] \times \Omega$ and any $t \in J$ we denote by $x_{t}(., w)$ the element of $\mathcal{B} \times \Omega$ defined by $x_{t}(\theta, w)=x(t+\theta, w), \theta \in(-\infty, 0]$. Here $x_{t}(., w)$ represents the history of the state from time $-\infty$, up to the present time $t$. We assume that the histories $x_{t}(., w)$ belong to the abstract phase $\mathcal{B}$. To our knowledge, the literature on the local existence of random evolution equations with delay is very limited, so the present paper can be considered as a contribution to this question. We refer the reader to [11, 17] for the properties of the first order abstract Cauchy problem and the semigroup theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section Íš, we introduce all the background material needed such as generalized metric spaces, some random fixed point theorems. In Section Íş, by some new random versions of Perov's fixed point theorems in a vector Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations, recall some definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Actually we will borrow it from [20, 10]. Although we could simply refer to this paper whenever we need it, we prefer to include this summary in order to make our paper as much self-contained as possible.
Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ be a measurable space. We equip the metric space $X$ with a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of Borel subsets of $X$ so that $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ becomes a measurable space. A mapping $z: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called a random variable if

$$
z^{-1}(B)=\{w \in \Omega: z(w) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

for all Borel sets $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$
Definition 2.1. Let $X, Y$ is a real separable Hilbert space, a mapping $A: \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is called a random operator if $w \longmapsto A(w, z)$ is measurable for all $z \in X$. We also denote a random operator $A$ on $X$ by

$$
A(z)(w)=A(w, z), w \in \Omega, \quad z \in X
$$

Definition 2.2. A random fixed point of $A$ is a measurable function $z: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
z(w)=A(w, z(w)) \quad \text { for all } \quad w \in \Omega
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $A: \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a random operator.

- $A$ is called continuous on $X$ if $A(w, \cdot)$ is continuous for each $w \in \Omega$,
- $A$ is is called compact if for every bounded subset $C$ of $X, A(w, C)$ is a relatively compact subset of $Y$ for each $w \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.4. Let $g:[, b] \times X \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is called random Carathéodory if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) The $\operatorname{map}(t, w) \longmapsto g(t, z, w)$ is jointly measurable for all $z \in X$,
(ii) The $\operatorname{map} z \longmapsto g(t, z, w)$ is continuous for all $t \in[0, b]$ and $w \in \Omega$.

In this paper, we will employ an axiomatic definition of the phase space $\mathcal{B}$ introduced by Hale and Kato in [12] and follow the terminology used in [13]. Thus, $\left(\mathcal{B},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ will be a semi norm linear space of functions mapping $(-\infty, 0]$ into $X$, and satisfying the following axioms :

- $A_{1}$ If $x:(-\infty, \sigma+a) \rightarrow X, a>0$, is continuous on $[\sigma, \sigma+a]$ and $x_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{B}$ then for every $t$ in $[\sigma, \sigma+a)$ the following conditions hold:
(i) $x_{t} \in \mathcal{B}$.
(ii) $\|x\| \leq H\left\|x_{t}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}$.
(iii) $\left\|x_{t}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t-s) \sup \{\|x(s)\|, \sigma \leq s \leq t\}+M(t-\sigma)\left\|x_{\sigma}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}$
where $H \geq 0$ is a constant, $\mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, K$ is continuous and $M$ is locally bounded and $H, K$ and $M$ are independent of $x$.
- $A_{2}$ For the function $x$ in $A_{1}, x_{t}$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-valued continuous functions on $[\sigma, \sigma+a]$.
- $A_{3}$ The space $\mathcal{B}$ is complete

Remark 2.5. 1. (ii) is equivalent to $\|\phi(0)\| \leq H\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$
2. Since $\|.\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a seminorm, two elements $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}$ can verify $\|\phi-\psi\|_{\mathcal{B}}=0$ without necessarily $\phi(\theta)=\psi(\theta)$ for all $\theta \leq 0$.
3. From the equivalence of in the first remark, we can see that for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|\phi-\psi\|_{\mathcal{B}}=0$. We necessarily have that $\phi(0)=\psi(0)$.
$(C 2)$ If a uniformly bounded sequence $\left(\phi_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ converges to a function $\phi$ in the compact-open topology, then $\phi$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\left\|\phi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
Remark 2.6. Let $S(t): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the $C_{0}$-semigroup defined by $S(t) \phi(\theta)=\phi(0)$ for $\theta \in[-t, 0]$ and $S(t) \phi(\theta)=\phi(t+\theta)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{0}=\{\phi \in \mathcal{B}: \phi(0)=0\}$. We denote by $S_{0}(t)$ the restriction of $S(t)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{0}$.

- (FMS) The space $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a fading memory space if it verifies axiom (C2) and $S_{0} \phi(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{0}$.
- (UFMS) The space $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a uniformly fading memory space if it verifies $(\mathrm{C} 2)$ and $\left\|S_{0}(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We now indicate some examples of phase spaces. For other details we refer, for instance to the book by Hinoet al. 13.

Example 2.7. Let: $C_{b}$ the space of bounded continuous functions defined from $(-\infty, 0]$ to $X, C_{b u}$ the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions defined from $(-\infty, 0$ ] to $X$,

$$
C^{\infty}=\left\{\phi \in C_{b}: \lim _{\theta \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(\theta) \text { exist in } X\right\}
$$

$$
C^{0}=\left\{\phi \in C_{b}: \lim _{\theta \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(\theta)=0\right\}
$$

endowed with the uniform norm

$$
\|\phi\|=\sup \{|\phi|, \theta \leq 0\}
$$

We have that the spaces $C_{b u}, C^{\infty}$ and $C^{0}$ satisfy conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$. However, $C_{b}$ satisfies $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{3}\right)$ but $\left(A_{2}\right)$ is not satisfied.

Example 2.8. Phase space $C_{g}^{0}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{B}=C_{g}^{0}(X)$ be the space consisting of continuous functions $\phi$ : $(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ such that $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\|\phi(\theta)\|}{g(\theta)}=0$,
where $g:(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is a continuous function that satisfies conditions $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$ in the terminology of [13]. This means that
$\left(g_{1}\right)$ The function $G(t)=\frac{g(t+\theta)}{g(\theta)}$ is locally bounded for $t \geq 0$.
$\left(g_{2}\right) g(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow-\infty$.
The norm in $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$
\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup _{\theta \leq 0} \frac{\|\phi(\theta)\|}{g(\theta)}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}
$$

The space $\mathcal{B}$ is a phase space ([13], Theorem 1.3.2). If $G$ is bounded, then $\mathcal{B}$ verifies (FMS) and, if $G(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mathcal{B}$ verifies (UFMS) ([13], Example 7.1.7). To simplify some estimate, in this text we always assume that $g$ is decreasing and $g(0)=1$.

Example 2.9. For any real positive constant $\gamma$, we define the functional space $C_{\gamma}$ by

$$
C_{\gamma}:=\left\{\phi \in C((-\infty, 0), X): \lim _{\theta \rightarrow-\infty} e^{\gamma \theta} \phi(\phi) \text { exists in } X\right\}
$$

endowed with the following norm

$$
\|\phi\|=\sup \left\{e^{\gamma \theta}|\phi(\theta)|: \theta \leq 0\right\}
$$

Then in the space $C_{\gamma}$ the axioms $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ are satisfied.

## 3. Vector metric space and Random variable

If, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, by $x \leq y$ we mean $x_{i} \leq y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Also $|x|=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right), \max (x, y)=\max \left(\max \left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots, \max \left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad x_{i}>0\right\}$. If $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x \leq c$ means $x_{i} \leq c$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Definition 3.1. Let $X$ be a nonempty set. By a vector-valued metric on $X$ we mean a map $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with the following properties:

- $d(u, v) \geq 0$ for all $u, v \in X$; if $d(u, v)$ then $u=v$;
- $d(u, v)=d(v, u)$ for all $u, v \in X$;
- $d(u, v) \leq d(u, w)+d(w, v)$ for all $u, v, w \in X$.

We call the pair $(X, d)$ a generalized metric space with $d(x, y):=\left(\begin{array}{l}d_{1}(x, y) \\ \cdots \\ d_{n}(x, y)\end{array}\right)$.
Notice that $d$ is a generalized metric space on $X$ if and only if $d_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ are metrics on $X$.

For $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we will denote by

$$
B\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left\{x \in X: d\left(x_{0}, x\right)<r\right\}
$$

the open ball centered in $x_{0}$ with radius $r$ and

$$
\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}=\left\{x \in X: d\left(x_{0}, x\right) \leq r\right\},
$$

the closed ball centered in $x_{0}$ with radius $r$. We mention that for generalized metric space, the notation of open subset, closed set, convergence, Cauchy sequence and completeness are similar to those in usual metric spaces.

Definition 3.2. A square matrix of real numbers is said to be convergent to zero if and only if its spectral radius $\rho(M)$ is strictly less than 1 . In other words, this means that all the eigenvalues of $M$ are in the open unit disc i.e. $|\lambda|<1$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)=0$, where $I$ denote the unit matrix of $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 3.3. [18] Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. The following assertions are equivalent:

- $M$ is convergent towards zero;
- $M^{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- The matrix $(I-M)$ is nonsingular and

$$
(I-M)^{-1}=I+M+M^{2}+\ldots+M^{k}+\ldots
$$

- The matrix $(I-M)$ is nonsingular and $(I-M)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements.

Some examples of matrices convergent to zero are the following:

- $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\max (a, b)<1$
- $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & -c \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $a+b<1, c<1$
- $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & -a \\ b & -b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $|a-b|<1, a>1, b>0$.

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, d)$ be a generalized metric space. An operator $N: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be contractive if there exists a convergent to zero matrix $M$ such that

$$
d(N(x), N(y)) \leq M d(x, y) \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

For $n=1$ we recover the classical Banach's contraction fixed point result.
We shall use a random version of Perov type of random differential equations of first order for different aspects of the solutions under suitable conditions
Theorem 3.5. [19] Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ be a measurable space, $X$ be a real separable generalized Banach space and $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous random operator, and let $M(w) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$be a random variable matrix such that, for every $w \in \Omega$, the matrix $M(w)$ converges to 0 and

$$
d\left(F\left(w, x_{1}\right), F\left(w, x_{1}\right)\right) \leq M(w) d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \text { for each } x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, w \in \Omega
$$

Then there exists any random variable $x: \Omega \rightarrow X$ which is the unique random fixed point of $F$.
Lemma 3.6. [19] Let $X$ be a separable generalized metric space and $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $F(., x)$ is measurable for all $x \in X$ and $F(w,$.$) is continuous for all w \in \Omega$. Then the map $(w, x) \rightarrow F(w, x)$ is jointly measurable.
Proposition 3.7. [15] Let $X$ be a separable Banach space, and $D$ be a dense linear subspace of $X$. Let $L: \Omega \times D \rightarrow X$ be a closed linear random operator such that, for each $w \in \Omega, L(w)$ is one to one and onto. Then the operator $R: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $R(w) x=L^{-1}(w) x$ is random.

## 4. Main Results

Now we give our main existence result for problem (1.1). Before starting and proving this result, we give the definition of the mild random solution.

Definition 4.1. A stochastic process $x, y: J \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be random mild solution of problem (1.1) if $(x(t, w) y(t, w))=\left(\phi_{1}(t, \omega), \phi_{2}(t, \omega)\right), t \in(-\infty, 0]$ and the restriction of $(x(., w), y(., w))$ to the interval $J$ is continuous and satisfies the following integral equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(t, w)=S_{1}(w, t) \phi_{1}(t, \omega)+\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), \omega\right) d s, \quad t \in J \\
y(t, w)=S_{2}(w, t) \phi_{2}(t, \omega)+\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) f^{2}\left(s, x_{\rho_{2}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), y_{\rho_{2}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}(\cdot, \omega), \omega\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{S_{1}(w, t), S_{2}(w, t)\right\}$ are random $C_{0}$ - Îşemigroups of bounded linear operators on $X$ with infinitesimal generators $A_{1}, A_{2}$, respectively.

We will need to introduce the following hypotheses which are assumed there after:
There exist random variables $M_{1}, M_{2}: \Omega \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{i}(w, t)\right\| \leq M(w), 0 \leq t \leq a \text { for each } i=1,2, w \in \Omega \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, to abbreviate the writing, we set $K_{a}=\sup _{t \in[0, a]} K(t)$ and $M_{a}=\sup _{t \in[0, a]} M(t), M=M(w)$
We will need to introduce the following hypotheses which are assumed there after:
( $H_{1}$ ) (1) For every $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$ the function $f^{i}\left(., \psi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right): J \rightarrow X, t \longmapsto f^{i}\left(t, \psi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)$ is strongly measurable, and the function $f^{i}(., 0,0)$ is integrable on $I$.
(2) There exists a constant $L_{f_{i}}, \bar{L}_{f_{i}}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\left\|f^{i}\left(t, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, w\right)-f^{i}\left(t, \bar{\varphi}_{1}, \bar{\varphi}_{2}, w\right)\right\| \leq L_{f_{i}}(w)\left\|\varphi_{1}-\bar{\varphi}_{1}\right\|+\bar{L}_{f_{i}}(w)\left\|\varphi_{2}-\bar{\varphi}_{2}\right\|
$$

where

$$
L_{f}(w)=\max \left\{L_{f_{i}}(w), \bar{L}_{f_{i}}(w)\right\}, i=1,2
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$ The function $\rho_{i}: J \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ satisfies :
(1) For every $\psi$, the function $t \longmapsto \rho(t, \psi)$ is continuous
(2) There exists a constant $L_{\rho}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\rho_{i}(t, \psi)-\rho_{i}(t, \bar{\psi})\right\| \leq L_{\rho}\|\psi-\bar{\psi}\|
$$

for all $(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}, t \in[0, a]$
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ For every $r>0$, there exists a constant $L_{2}(r,):. \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\left\|f^{i}\left(t, x_{t_{2}}, y_{t_{2}}, w\right)-f^{i}\left(t, x_{t_{1}}, y_{t_{1}}, w\right)\right\| \leq L_{2}(r, w)\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|
$$

For each $i=1,2, w \in \Omega, \phi_{i}(., w)$ is continuous and for each $t, \phi_{i}(t,$.$) is measurable and$

$$
\left(\sup _{s \in[0, r]}\|x(s)\|, \sup _{s \in[0, r]}\|x(s)\|\right) \leq(r, r)
$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ are satisfied and the matrix

$$
M_{\text {trice }}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1}(w) & \lambda_{1}(w) \\
\lambda_{1}(w) & \lambda_{1}(w)
\end{array}\right), \lambda_{1}(w) \geq 0 .
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{1}(w)=M K_{a} t\left(L_{f}(w)+L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho}\right),
$$

If $M_{\text {trice }}$ converges to zero. Then problem (1.1) has at least one mild random solution on $(-\infty, a]$.
Proof. We can choose a constant $p(w), q(w)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
M\left(H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+L_{f}(w) M_{a} a\left(\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\mid \phi_{2} \|_{\mathcal{B}}\right)+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a(p(w)+q(w))\right. \\
+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \leq p(w) \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
M\left(H\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+L_{f}(w) M_{a} a\left(\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\mid \phi_{2} \|_{\mathcal{B}}\right)+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a(p(w)+q(w))\right. \\
+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{2}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \leq q(w) \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $Y=\left\{x, y \in C(J, X):(x(0, w), y(0, w))=\left(\phi_{1}(0, w), \phi_{2}(0, w)\right)=(0,0)\right\}$ endowed with the uniform convergence topology. Consider the operator $N: \Omega \times Y \times Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ be the random operator defined by

$$
(x, y) \longmapsto\left(N_{1}(w, x, y), N_{2}(w, x, y)\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)=S_{1}(t, w) \phi_{1}(0, w) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s, w) f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}(s, \omega), y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}(s, \omega), \omega\right) d s, \quad t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)=S_{2}(t, w) \phi_{2}(0, w) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s, w) f^{2}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}(s, \omega), y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}(s, \omega), \omega\right) d s, \quad t \in J .
\end{aligned}
$$

First we show that $N$ is a random operator on $Y \times Y$. Since $f^{1}$ and $f^{2}$ are Caratheodory functions, then $w \longmapsto f^{1}(t, x, y, w)$ and $w \longmapsto f^{2}(t, x, y, w)$ are measurable maps in view of Lemma 3.6. By the CrandallLiggett formula, we have

$$
S_{i}(w, t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-\frac{t}{n} A_{i}(w)\right)^{-n} x, \quad i=1,2
$$

From Proposition 3.7. we know that $w \rightarrow\left(I-\frac{t}{n} A_{i}(w)\right)^{-n} x$ are measurable operators, thus $w \rightarrow S_{i}(w, t)$ are measurable. Using the continuity properties of the semigroups $S_{1}(w,),. S_{2}(w,$.$) , we get$

$$
w \rightarrow S_{i}(t, w) \phi_{i}(w) \quad \text { and } \quad(s, w) \rightarrow S_{i}(t-s, w) f^{i}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)
$$

are measurable. Further, the integral is a limit of a finite sum of measurable functions; therefore, the maps

$$
w \longmapsto N_{1}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w), \quad w \longmapsto N_{2}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)
$$

are measurable. As a result, $N$ is a random operator on $Y \times Y \times \Omega$ into $Y \times Y$. Let $B_{p}, B_{q}: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{Y}$ be defined by:

$$
B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)=\left\{(x, y) \in Y \times Y:\left\|\binom{x(t, w)}{y(t, w)}\right\| \leq\binom{ p(w)}{q(w)}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\left\|\binom{x(t, w)}{y(t, w)}\right\|=\binom{\|x(t, w)\|}{\|y(t, w)\|}
$$

The set $B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)$ bounded, closed, convex and solid for all $w \in \Omega$. Then $B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)$ is measurable. Let $w \in \Omega$ be fixed.
Step 1.- We show initially that $N\left(B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)\right) \subseteq B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)$. In fact, for $(x, y) \in B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)$, using (4.2) and (4.3), we can estimate we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N_{1}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq M H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq M H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)-f^{1}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \\
& \quad+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \\
& \leq M H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M L_{f_{1}}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s+L_{f_{2}}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s \\
& \quad \leq M H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M L_{f_{1}}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left(K_{a} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq \rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}\|x(\tau)\|+M_{a}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}\right) d s \\
& +M L_{f_{2}}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left(K_{a} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq \rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}\|y(\tau)\|+M_{a}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}\right) d s+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \\
& \quad \leq M H\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a p(w)+M L_{f}(w) M_{a} a\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& +M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a q(w)+M L_{f}(w) a M_{a}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s . \\
& \quad \leq p(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|N_{2}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)\right\| \leq & M H\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a p(w)+M L_{f}(w) M_{a} a\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& +M L_{f}(w) K_{a} a q(w)+M L_{f}(w) a M_{a}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}+M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{2}(s, 0,0, \omega)\right\| d s \\
& \leq q(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2.- we show that $N$ is Lipschitz continuous. Let $(x, y),(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)$. Using conditions
$\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N_{1}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)-N_{1}(\bar{x}(t, w), \bar{y}(t, w), w)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)-f^{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{s}\right)}, \bar{y}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{y}_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f^{1}\left(s, x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)-f^{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, \bar{y}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}, \omega\right)\right\| d s \\
& \left.\quad+M \int_{0}^{t} \| f^{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}, \bar{y}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}\right) \omega\right)-f^{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{s}\right)}, \bar{y}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{y}_{s}\right)}, \omega\right) \| d s \\
& \leq M L_{f}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}-\bar{x}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s+M L_{f}(w) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}-\bar{y}_{\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s \\
& \quad+M L_{2}(r, w) \int_{0}^{t}\left|\rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)-\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{x}_{s}\right)\right| d s+M L_{2}(r, w) \int_{0}^{t}\left|\rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)-\rho_{1}\left(s, \bar{y}_{s}\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \\
& \left.\quad M L_{f}(w) K_{a} \int_{0}^{t} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq \rho_{1}\left(s, x_{s}\right)} \| x_{( } \tau\right)-\bar{x}(\tau)\left\|d s+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} \int_{0}^{t} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq \rho_{1}\left(s, y_{s}\right)}\right\| y(\tau)-\bar{y}(\tau) \| d s \\
& \quad+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{s}-\bar{x}_{s}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{s}-\bar{y}_{s}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s \\
& \leq M L_{f_{1}}(w) K_{a} \int_{0}^{t} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq s}\left\|x_{(\tau)}-\bar{x}(\tau)\right\| d s+M L_{f}(w) K_{a} \int_{0}^{t} \sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq s}\|y(\tau)-\bar{y}(\tau)\| d s \\
& \quad+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|x_{s}-\bar{x}_{s}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{s}-\bar{y}_{s}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} d s \\
& \leq M L_{f}(w) K_{a} t \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|x(s)-\bar{x}(s)\| d s+M L L_{f}(w) K_{a} t \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\| \\
& \quad+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} K_{a} t \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\|+M L_{2}(r, w) L_{\rho} K_{a} t \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\| \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}(w) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|x(s)-\bar{x}(s)\|+\lambda_{1}(w) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|N_{2}(x(t, w), y(t, w), w)-N_{2}(\bar{x}(t, w), \bar{y}(t, w), w)\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}(w) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|x(s, w)-\bar{x}(s, w)\| \\
\left.+\lambda_{1}(w) \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\|\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq a$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|N(x, y, w)-N(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, w)\|_{\infty} & =\binom{\| N_{1}\left((x, y, w)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, w) \|_{\infty}\right.}{\left\|N_{2}(x, y, w)-N_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, w)\right\|_{\infty}} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}(w)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\|x(\cdot, w)-\bar{x}(\cdot, w)\|_{\infty}}{\|y(\cdot, w)-\bar{y}(\cdot, w)\|_{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\|N(x, y, w)-N(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, w)\|_{\infty} \leq M_{\text {trice }}\binom{\|x(\cdot, w)-\bar{x}(\cdot, w)\|_{\infty}}{\|y(\cdot, w)-\bar{y}(\cdot, w)\|_{\infty}}, \text { for all, }(x, y),(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in B_{p}(w) \times B_{q}(w)
$$

It is clear that the radius spectral $\rho\left(M_{\text {trice }}\right)<1$. By Lemma 3.3, $M_{\text {trix }}(w)$ converges to zero. From Theorem 3.5 there exists a unique random solution of problem (1.1). We denote by $(x(t, w), y(t, w))$ the mild solution of (1.1).
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