Available online: March 29, 2019

Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. Volume 68, Number 2, Pages 1647-1652 (2019) DOI: 10.31801/cfsuasmas.420820 ISSN 1303-5991 E-ISSN 2618-6470



http://communications.science.ankara.edu.tr/index.php?series=A1

CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES FOR SALAGEAN-TYPE ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY *q*-DIFFERENCE **OPERATOR**

ASENA CETINKAYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define Salagean-type analytic functions by using concept of q-derivative operator. We investigate convolution properties and coefficient estimates for Salagean-type analytic functions denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{q}^{m,\lambda}[A,B].$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f defined by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
(1)

that are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and Ω be the family of functions w which are analytic in U and satisfy the conditions w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1for all $z \in U$. If f_1 and f_2 are analytic functions in U, then we say that f_1 is subordinate to f_2 written as $f_1 \prec f_2$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w \in \Omega$ such that $f_1(z) = f_2(w(z)), z \in U$. We also note that if f_2 univalent in U, then $f_1 \prec f_2$ if and only if $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$, $f_1(U) \subset f_2(U)$ (see [5]). Let $f_1(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $f_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be elements in \mathcal{A} . Then

the Hadamard product or convolution of these functions is defined by

$$f_1(z) * f_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Next, for arbitrary fixed numbers $A, B, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, denote by $\mathcal{P}[A, B]$ the family of functions $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots$, analytic in U such that $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$

©2019 Ankara University Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara-Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics

Received by the editors: May 03, 2018; Accepted: November 16, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Salagean differential operator, q-difference operator, convolution, coefficient estimate.

if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

for some functions $w \in \Omega$ and every $z \in U$. This class was introduced by Janowski [8].

In 1909 and 1910 Jackson [6, 7] initiated a study of q-difference operator D_q defined by

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1 - q)z} \quad \text{for} \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\},$$
(2)

where B is a subset of complex plane \mathbb{C} , called q-geometric set if $qz \in B$, whenever $z \in B$. Obviously, $D_q f(z) \to f'(z)$ as $q \to 1^-$. The q-difference operator (2) is also called Jackson q-difference operator. Note that such an operator plays an important role in the theory of hypergeometric series and quantum physics (see for instance [1, 3, 4, 9]).

Since

$$D_q z^n = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} z^{n-1} = [n]_q z^{n-1},$$

where $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$, it follows that for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1},$$

where $q \in (0, 1)$. Clearly, as $q \to 1^-$, $[n]_q \to n$. For notations, one may refer to [4].

The Salagean differential operator \mathbb{R}^{m} was introduced by Salagean [10] in 1998. Since then, many mathematicians used the idea of Salagean differential operator in their papers (see [2]). q-Salagean differential operator is defined as below:

Definition 1. The q-analogue of Salagean differential operator $R_q^m f(z) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is formed by

$$\begin{split} R_q^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ R_q^1 f(z) &= z D_q(f(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ R_q^m f(z) &= z D_q^1 (R_q^{m-1} f(z)). \end{split}$$

From definition $R_q^m f(z)$, we obtain

$$R_{q}^{m}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_{q}^{m} a_{n} z^{n},$$
(3)

where $[n]_q^m = (\frac{1-q^n}{1-q})^m$, $q \in (0,1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, as $q \to 1^-$, the equation (3) reduces to Salagean differential operator.

Motivated by q-Salagean differential operator, we define the class of Salageantype analytic functions denoted by $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$.

Definition 2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ such that

$$1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\cos\lambda} \left(\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where $q \in (0,1), |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, m \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U$. Also, we note that $C_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ is the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $zD_qf \in \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B].$

In this paper, we investigate the necessary and sufficient convolution conditions and coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ associated with the q-derivative operator.

2. Main Results

We first begin with necessary and sufficient convolution conditions of our class $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B].$

Theorem 3. The function f defined by (1) is in the class $S_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[R_q^m f(z) * \frac{z - Lqz^2}{(1 - z)(1 - qz)} \right] \neq 0$$
(4)

for all $L = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + (A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B}{(A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}}$, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and also L = 1.

Proof. First suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$, then we have

$$1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\cos\lambda} \left(\frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},\tag{5}$$

therefore we get

$$\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_a^m f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + ((A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)z}{1 + Bz}.$$
(6)

Since the function from the left-hand side of the subordination is analytic in U, it follows $f(z) \neq 0, z \in U^* = U \setminus \{0\}$; that is, $\frac{1}{z}f(z) \neq 0$ and this is equivalent to the fact that (4) holds for L = 1. From (6) according to the subordination of two analytic functions, we say that there exists a function w analytic in U with w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 such that

$$\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} = \frac{1 + ((A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},\tag{7}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} \neq \frac{1 + ((A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)e^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}}$$
(8)

or

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[(1 + Be^{i\theta}) R_q^{m+1} f(z) - (1 + ((A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)e^{i\theta}) R_q^m f(z) \right] \neq 0.$$
(9)

Since

$$\begin{split} R_q^m f(z) * \frac{z}{1-z} &= R_q^m f(z), \\ R_q^m f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)} &= R_q^{m+1} f(z) \end{split}$$

we may write (9) as

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[R_q^m f(z) * \left(\frac{(1+Be^{i\theta})z}{(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{(1+((A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)e^{i\theta})z}{(1-z)} \right) \right] \neq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{((B-A)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda})e^{i\theta}}{z} \left[R_q^m f(z) * \frac{z - \frac{e^{-i\theta} + (A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B}{(A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}}qz^2}{(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (10)$$

which leads to (4) and the necessary part of Theorem 3.

Conversely, because assumption (4) holds for L = 1, it follows that $\frac{1}{z}f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$; hence, the function $\varphi(z) = 1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\cos\lambda} \left(\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_q^mf(z)} - 1\right)$ is analytic in U. Since it was shown in the first part of the proof that assumption (4) is equivalent to (8), we obtain that

$$\frac{R_q^{m+1}f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} \neq \frac{1 + ((A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)e^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}}$$
(11)

and if we denote

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1 + ((A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B)z}{1 + Bz},$$
(12)

relation (11) shows that $\varphi(U) \cap \psi(U) = \emptyset$. Thus, the simply connected domain $\varphi(U)$ is included in a connected component of $C \setminus \psi(\partial U)$. From here, using the fact that $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$ together with the univalence of the function ψ , it follows that $\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z)$, which represents in fact subordination (6); that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A, B]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Taking $q \to 1^-$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4. The function f defined by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[R^m f(z) * \frac{z - L z^2}{(1 - z)^2} \right] \neq 0$$
(13)

for all
$$L = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + (A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B}{(A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}}$$
, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and also $L = 1$.

Theorem 5. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function f defined by (1) to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ is that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B) - e^{-i\theta} + (B - A)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} - B}{(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}} a_n z^{n-1} \neq 0.$$
(14)

Proof. From Theorem 3, $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[R_q^m f(z) * \frac{z - Lqz^2}{(1 - z)(1 - qz)} \right] \neq 0$$
(15)

for all $L = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + (A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} + B}{(A-B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}}$ and also L = 1. The left-hand side of (15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{z} \bigg[R_q^m f(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{Lqz^2}{(1-z)(1-qz)} \right) \bigg] \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \{ R_q^{m+1} f(z) - L[R_q^{m+1} f(z) - R_q^m f(z)] \} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m ([n]_q (L-1) - L) a_n z^{n-1} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B) - e^{-i\theta} + (B - A) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} - B}{(A - B) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}} a_n z^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

the proof is completed.

Thus, the proof is completed.

Taking $q \to 1^-$ in Theorem 5, we get the following result.

Corollary 6. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function f defined by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$ is that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m \frac{n(e^{-i\theta} + B) - e^{-i\theta} + (B - A)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} - B}{(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}} a_n z^{n-1} \neq 0.$$
(16)

We next determine coefficient estimate for a function of form (1) to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$.

Theorem 7. If the function f defined by (1) satisfies the following inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \{ [n]_q (1-B) - 1 + (A-B) \cos \lambda + B \} |a_n| \le (A-B) \cos \lambda,$$
(17)

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$.

Proof. From Theorem 5, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B) - e^{-i\theta} + (B - A)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} - B}{(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}} a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ > 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B) - e^{-i\theta} + (B - A)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda} - B}{(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}} \right| |a_n| \\ \ge 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (1 - B) - 1 + |(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + B}{|(A - B)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}|} |a_n| \\ = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^m \frac{[n]_q (1 - B) - 1 + (A - B)\cos\lambda + B}{(A - B)\cos\lambda} |a_n| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$.

Corollary 8. Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 7, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m \{ n(1-B) - 1 + (A-B) \cos \lambda + B \} |a_n| \le (A-B) \cos \lambda, \qquad (18)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{m,\lambda}[A,B]$.

References

- Andrews, G. E., Applications of basic hypergeometric functions, SIAM Rev. 16 (1974), 441-484.
- [2] Çaglar, M. and Deniz, E., Initial coefficients for a subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by Salagean differential operator, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. 66 (1) (2017), 85-91.
- [3] Fine, N. J., Basic hypergeometric series and applications, Math. Surveys Monogr. 1988.
- [4] Gasper, G. and Rahman, M., Basic hypergeometric series, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
 [5] Goodman, A. W., Univalent functions, Volume I and Volume II, Mariner Pub. Co. Inc. Tampa Florida, 1984.
- [6] Jackson, F. H., On q- functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 46 (1909), 253-281.
- [7] Jackson, F. H., q- difference equations, Amer. J. Math. 32 (1910), 305-314.
- [8] Janowski, W., Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic Functions I, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 297-326.
- [9] Kac, V. and Cheung, P., Quantum calculus, Springer, 2002.
- [10] Salagean, G. S., Subclass of univalent functions, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest, 1 (1998), 362-372.

Current address: Asena Çetinkaya: Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Istanbul Kültür University, Istanbul, Turkey.

E-mail address: asnfigen@hotmail.com

ORCID Address: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8815-5642