hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Volume 42(1)(2013), 9-14

# DYNAMICS OF A NONLINEAR RATIONAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION

S. Ebru Daș \*

Received 22:08:2010 : Accepted 20:12:2011

# Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the dynamical properties of the following nonlinear difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n^a x_{n-2} x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-2} x_{n-3}^a + 1}{x_n^a x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-3}^a + 1} \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

 ${\bf Keywords:} \quad {\rm Difference\ equation,\ Stability,\ Global\ Stability,\ Oscillation.}$ 

2000 AMS Classification: 39A10.

# 1. Introduction

Recently, there has been a great interest in studying the qualitative behavior of rational difference equations. Berenhaut et al.[4] has showed that the unique positive equilibrium  $\bar{y} = 1$  of the difference equation:

$$y_n = \frac{y_{n-k} + y_{n-m}}{1 + y_{n-k}y_{n-m}}, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

is globally asymptotically stable.

Chen et al. [5] investigated the dynamical properties of the following fourth-order nonlinear difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-2}^a + x_{n-3}}{x_{n-2}^a x_{n-3} + 1}, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

with nonnegative initial conditions and  $a \in [0, 1)$ .

Das [6] investigate the qualitative behavior of the following fourth-order difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-1}x_{n-2}^a + x_{n-1}x_{n-3}^a + 1}{x_{n-2}^a + x_{n-3}^a + 1}, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

<sup>\*</sup>Yıldız Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Istanbul, Turkey. E-mail: eyeni@yildiz.edu.tr

where  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial conditions  $x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . For more work, see [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10].

To be motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we consider the following nonlinear difference equation:

(1.1) 
$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n^2 x_{n-2} x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-2} x_{n-3}^2 + 1}{x_n^2 x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-3}^2 + 1}, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and the initial conditions are arbitrary positive real numbers. It is easy to see that the positive equilibrium  $\bar{x} = 1$  of Eq.(1.1) satisfies  $\bar{x} = (2\bar{x}^{a+2}+1)/(2\bar{x}^{a+1}+1)$ .

In the following, we state some main definitions used in this paper.

**1.1. Definition.** A positive semi-cycle of a solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) consists of a "string" of terms  $\{x_{\ell}, x_{\ell+1}, ..., x_m\}$  all greater than or equal to the equilibrium  $\bar{x}$ ,

```
with \ell \ge -3 and m < \infty such that
either \ell = -3 or \ell > -3 and x_{\ell-1} < \bar{x}
and
either m = \infty or m < \infty and x_{m+1} < \bar{x}
```

A negative semi-cycle of a solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) consists of a "string" of terms  $\{x_{\ell}, x_{\ell+1}, ..., x_m\}$  all less than  $\bar{x}$ ,

with 
$$\ell \ge -3$$
 and  $m < \infty$  such that  
either  $\ell = -3$  or  $\ell > -3$  and  $x_{\ell-1} \ge \bar{x}$   
and  
either  $m = \infty$  or  $m < \infty$  and  $x_{m+1} \ge \bar{x}$ 

The length of a semi-cycle is the number of the total terms contained in it.

**1.2. Definition.** A solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) is said to be eventually trivial if  $x_n$  is eventually equal to  $\bar{x} = 1$ ; Otherwise the solution is said to be nontrivial. A solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) is said to be eventually positive (negative) if  $x_n$  is eventually greater (less) than  $\bar{x} = 1$ .

#### 2. Three Lemmas

Before to draw a qualitatively clear picture for the positive solutions of Eq.(1.1), we first establish three basic lemmas which will play a key role in the proof of our main results.

**2.1. Lemma.** A positive solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) is eventually equal to 1 if and only if

 $(2.1) \quad (x_{-2} - 1)(x_{-1} - 1)(x_0 - 1) = 0$ 

*Proof.* Assume that (2.1) holds. Then according to Eq.(??), it is easy to see that the following conclusions hold:

- (i) if  $x_{-2} = 1$ , then  $x_n = 1$  for  $n \ge 40$
- (ii) if  $x_{-1} = 1$ , then  $x_n = 1$  for  $n \ge 40$
- (ii) if  $x_0 = 1$ , then  $x_n = 1$  for  $n \ge 40$

Conversely, assume that

 $(2.2) \qquad (x_{-2} - 1)(x_{-1} - 1)(x_0 - 1) \neq 0$ 

Then one can show that

 $(2.3) x_n \neq 1 for any n \ge 1$ 

Assume the contrary that for some  $N \ge 1$ ,

(2.4)  $x_N = 1 \text{ and that } x_n \neq 1 \text{ for } -2 \le n \le N-1$ 

It is easy to see that

(2.5) 
$$1 = x_N = \frac{x_{N-1}^a x_{N-3} x_{N-4} + x_{N-1} x_{N-3} x_{N-4}^a + 1}{x_{N-1}^a x_{N-4}^a + x_{N-1} x_{N-4}^a + 1}$$

which implies  $(x_{N-1}^a x_{N-4} + x_{N-1} x_{N-4}^a)(x_{N-3} - 1) = 0$ . Obviously, this contradicts (2.3).

**2.2. Remark.** If the initial conditions do not satisfy Eq.(1.1), then, for any solution  $x_n$  of Eq.(1.1),  $x_n \neq 1$  for  $n \geq -3$ . Here, the solution is a nontrivial one.

**2.3. Lemma.** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  be a nontrivial positive solution of Eq.(1.1). Then the following conclusions are true for  $n \ge 0$ :

(a) 
$$(x_{n+1}-1)(x_{n-2}-1) > 0$$
  
(b)  $(x_{n+1}-x_{n-2})(x_{n-2}-1) < 0$ 

*Proof.* It follows in light of Eq.(1.1) that

$$x_{n+1} - 1 = \frac{(x_n^a x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-3}^a)(x_{n-2} - 1)}{x_n^a x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-3}^a + 1}, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$x_{n+1} - x_{n-2} = \frac{(1 - x_{n-2})}{x_n^a x_{n-3} + x_n x_{n-3}^a + 1}, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

from which inequalities (a) and (b) follow.

#### 2.4. Lemma.

*Proof.* (i) If  $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 > 1$ , from Lemma 2.3.(a) and (b), for  $n \ge -3$ 

$$\begin{split} 1 &< x_{3k-2} < \ldots < x_4 < x_1 < x_{-2} \\ 1 &< x_{3k-1} < \ldots < x_5 < x_2 < x_{-1} \\ 1 &< x_{3k} < \ldots < x_6 < x_3 < x_0, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  has a positive semi-cycle with an infinite number of terms and monotonically decreasing for  $n \ge 0$ . So the limit

(2.6)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = L$ 

exists and finite. Taking the limits on both sides of Eq.(1.1), we have

$$L = \frac{2L^{a+2} + 1}{2L^{a+1} + 1}$$

we can easily see that  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  tends to the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x} = 1$ . (ii) If  $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0 < 1$ , from Lemma 2.3.(a) and (b), for  $n \ge -2$ 

$$\begin{split} & x_{-2} < x_1 < x_4 < \ldots < x_{3k-2} < 1 \\ & x_{-1} < x_2 < x_5 < \ldots < x_{3k-1} < 1 \\ & x_0 < x_3 < x_6 < \ldots < x_{3k} < 1 \ k = 0, 1, \ldots \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  has a negative semi-cycle with an infinite number of terms and monotonically increasing for  $n \ge 0$ . So the limit

$$(2.7) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = M$$

exists and finite. Taking the limits on both sides of Eq.(1.1), we have

$$M = \frac{2M^{a+2} + 1}{2M^{a+1} + 1}$$

So,  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  tends to the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x} = 1$ .

# 3. Main Results and their proofs

First we analyze the structure of the semi-cycles of nontrivial solutions of Eq.(1.1). Here we confine us to consider the situation of the strictly oscillatory solution of Eq.(1.1).

**3.1. Theorem.** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  be a strictly oscillatory solution of Eq.(1.1). Then the rule for the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles of this solution to successively occur is  $\ldots 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, \ldots$  or  $\ldots 2^-, 1^+, 2^-, 1^+, 2^-, 1^+, \ldots$ 

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3.(a) and (b), one can see that the length of a positive semi-cycle is not larger than 2 and the length of a negative semi-cycle is at most 2. Based on the strictly oscillatory character of the solution, we see, for some  $p \ge 0$ , that one of the following two cases must occur:

Case1.  $x_{p-2} > 1$ ,  $x_{p-1} < 1$  and  $x_p > 1$ Case2.  $x_{p-2} > 1$ ,  $x_{p-1} < 1$  and  $x_p < 1$ 

If Case 1. Occurs, it follows from Lemma 2.3.(a) that

 $x_{p+1} > 1, x_{p+2} < 1, x_{p+3} > 1, x_{p+4} > 1, x_{p+5} < 1, x_{p+6} > 1, x_{p+7} > 1, x_{p+8} < 1, \dots$ 

It means that the rule of the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles of the solution of Eq.(1.1) to occur successively is  $\ldots 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, \ldots$ 

If Case 2. Occurs, it follows from Lemma 2.3.(a) that

$$x_{p+1} > 1, x_{p+2} < 1, x_{p+3} < 1, x_{p+4} > 1, x_{p+5} < 1, x_{p+6} < 1, x_{p+7} > 1, x_{p+8} < 1, x_{p+9} < 1, \dots$$

It means that the rule of the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles of the solution of Eq.(1.1) to occur successively is  $\ldots 2^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 1^{+} \ldots$ 

Therefore, the proof is complete.

Now we present the global asymptotically stable results for Eq.(1.1).

**3.2. Theorem.** Assume that  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . Then the positive equilibrium of Eq.(1.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* We should prove that the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x}$  of Eq.(1.1) is both locally asymptotically stable and globally attractive. The linearized equation of Eq.(1.1) about the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x} = 1$  is

$$y_{n+1} = 0.y_n + \frac{2}{3}.y_{n-2} + 0.y_{n-3}$$
,  $n = 0, 1, ...$ 

By virtue of [7, Remark 1.3.7],  $\bar{x}$  is locally asymptotically stable. It remains to verify that every positive solution  $\{x_n\}_{n=-3}^{\infty}$  of Eq.(1.1) converges to 1 as  $n \to \infty$ . Namely, we want to prove

# $(3.1) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1$

If the solution is nonoscillatory about the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x}$  of Eq.(1.1), then from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, the solution is either equal to 1 or eventually positive or negative one which has an infinite number of terms and monotonically tends to the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x}$  of Eq.(1.1), and so Eq.(3.1) holds. Therefore, it suffices to prove that Eq.(3.1) holds for the solution to be strictly oscillatory.

Consider now  $\{x_n\}$  to be strictly oscillatory about the positive equilibrium point  $\bar{x}$  of Eq.(1.1). By virtue of Theorem 3.1, one understands that the rule for the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles which occur successively is  $\ldots 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, \ldots$  or  $\ldots, 2^-, 1^+, 2^-, 1^+, 2^-, 1^+, \ldots$ 

Now, we investigate the case where the rule for the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles which occur successively is  $\ldots 2^+, 1^-, 2^+, 1^-, \ldots$ 

For simplicity, we denote by  $\{x_t, x_{t+1}\}^+$  the terms of a positive semi-cycle of length two, followed by  $\{x_{t+2}\}^-$  the terms of a negative semi-cycle with length one,followed by  $\{x_{t+3}, x_{t+4}\}^+$  the terms of a positive semi-cycle of length two, followed by  $\{x_{t+5}\}^-$  the terms of a negative semi-cycle with length one, and so on. Namely, the rule for the lengths of positive and negative semi-cycles to occur successively can be periodically expressed as follows for  $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ :

 $\{x_{t+6n}, x_{t+6n+1}\}^+, \{x_{t+6n+2}\}^-, \{x_{t+6n+3}, x_{t+6n+4}\}^+, \{x_{t+6n+5}\}^-$ 

then the following results can be easily observed:

$$(3.2) 1 < x_{t+6n+4} < x_{t+6n+1}$$

 $(3.3) \qquad 1 < x_{t+6n+6} < x_{t+6n+3} < x_{t+6n}$ 

 $(3.4) \qquad x_{t+6n+2} < x_{t+6n+5} < 1$ 

It follows from 3.2 that  $\{x_{t+6n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is decreasing with lower bound 1. So the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+1} = 1$$

exists and finite. Accordingly, by view of 3.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+4} = L$$

Also, it is easy to see from 3.3 that  $\{x_{t+6n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is decreasing with lower bound 1. So the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n} = M$$

exists and finite. By view of 3.4, we obtain

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+3} = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+6} = M$ 

Lastly, from 3.4 that  ${x_{t+6n+2}}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is increasing with upper bound 1. So the limit

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+2} = N$ 

exists and finite. By view of 3.4, we obtain

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+5} = N$ 

Taking the limits on both sides of

$$x_{t+6n+6} = \frac{x_{t+6n+5}^a x_{t+6n+3} x_{t+6n+2} + x_{t+6n+5} x_{t+6n+3} x_{t+6n+2}^a + 1}{x_{t+6n+5}^a x_{t+6n+2} + x_{t+6n+5} x_{t+6n+2}^a + 1}$$

one has,  $M = (2MN^{a+1} + 1)/(2N^{a+1} + 1)$ , which gives rise to M = 1. Similarly, taking the limits on both sides of

$$x_{t+6n+5} = \frac{x_{t+6n+4}^a x_{t+6n+2} x_{t+6n+1} + x_{t+6n+4} x_{t+6n+2} x_{t+6n+1}^a + 1}{x_{t+6n+4}^a x_{t+6n+1} + x_{t+6n+4} x_{t+6n+1}^a + 1}$$

one has,  $N = (2NL^{a+1} + 1)/(2L^{a+1} + 1)$ , which gives rise to N = 1. Lastly, taking the limits on both sides of

$$x_{t+6n+4} = \frac{x_{t+6n+3}^a x_{t+6n+1} x_{t+6n} + x_{t+6n+3} x_{t+6n+1} x_{t+6n}^a + 1}{x_{t+6n+3}^a x_{t+6n} + x_{t+6n+3} x_{t+6n}^a + 1}$$

one has,  $L = (2LM^{a+1} + 1)/(2M^{a+1} + 1)$ , which gives rise to L = 1. So we can see that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{t+6n+k} = 1, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ 

For  $\dots, 2^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}, 1^{+}, \dots$  can be similarly shown.

# References

- Agarwal R.P. Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, Newyork, NY, USA, 1st Edition, 1992.
- [2] Agarwal R.P. Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, Newyork, NY, USA, 2nd Edition, 2000.
- Bayram, M. and Das, S. E. Global Asymptotic Stability of a Nonlinear Recursive Sequence, Int. Math. For., 5(22), 1083-1089, 2010.
- [4] Berenhaut, K.S., Foley, J.D. and Stevic, S. The Global Attractivity of the Rational Difference Equation, Appl. Math. Lett., 20, 54-58, 2007.
- [5] Chen, Y. and Li, X. Dynamical Properties in a Fourth-order Nonlinear Difference Equation, Adv. Diff. Equ., ID.679409, 9 pages, 2010.
- [6] Das, S. E. Qualitative Behavior of a Fourth-order Rational Difference Equation, in review, 2010.
- [7] Das, S. E. Global Asymptotic Stability for a Fourth-order Rational Difference Equation, Int. Math. For., 5(32), 1591-1596, 2010.
- [8] Kocic, V. L. and Ladas, G. Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications, Vol. 256 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [9] Li, X. and Zhu, D. Global asymptotic stability of a nonlinear recursive sequence, Compt. Math. Appl., 17, 833- 838, 2004.
- [10] Li, X. Qualitative properties for a fourth-order rational difference equation, J.Math.Anal.Appl., 311, 103 - 111, 2005.