

Effect of Prostaglandin E2 on Corpus Luteum Function During Early Luteal Phase in Sheep

Aykut GRAM

Erciyes University, Faculty of Veterinary, Department of Histology and Embrology, Kayseri-TÜRKİYE

Corresponding author: Aykut GRAM, E-mail:aykutgram@erciyes.edu.tr; ORCID: 0000-0002-7490-5836 **How to cite:** Gram A. Effect of Prostaglandin E2 on Corpus Luteum Function During Early Luteal Phase in Sheep. Erciyes Üniv Vet Fak Derg 2020;17(1): 50-56.

Summary: Prostaglandins (PGs) in particular PGE2 by regulating luteal angiogenesis and steroidogenesis, play an important role in ovarian as well as luteal function in many mammalian species. While the direct luteotrophic effect of PGE2 has been studied intensively, there is still not much information available regarding the indirect effects of PGE2 in ovine corpus luteum (CL). Therefore, in the present study, possible effects of PGE2 stimulation on expression of some steroidogenic hormone receptors (ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR) and growth factors (IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF) were investigated using the isolated primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells. Neither the expression of steroidogenic hormone receptors (ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR) nor growth factors (IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF) were affected by PGE2 stimulation in primary luteal cells. However, there was statistically significant difference for the expression of both ER α /ER1 and ER β /ER2 following PGE2 treatment in primary microvascular endothelial cells. Moreover, while the expression of IGF2 was upregulated, there was no statistically significant change for the expression of IGF1 and VEGF following PGE2 treatment in ovine primary microvascular endothelial cells. In conclusion, by regulating expression of estrogen receptors (ER α /ER1 and ER β / ER2) and IGF2 in ovine luteal endothelial cells, PGE2 might involve in luteal angiogenesis and vasculogenesis during early luteal phase in sheep.

Key words: Corpus luteum, prostaglandin E2, sheep

Prostaglandin E2`nin Koyunlarda Erken Luteal Dönemde Korpus Luteum Üzerine Etkisi

Özet: Prostaglandinler (PG'ler) özellikle de PGE2 luteal anjiyogenezis ve steroidogenezis'i düzenleyerek, birçok memeli türünde ovaryumun yanı sıra luteal fonksiyonun düzenlenmesinde önemli rol oynamaktadır. PGE2'nin direkt luteotropik etkisi yoğun olarak çalışılsa da PGE2'nin koyun korpus luteumundaki (CL) indirekt etkileri hakkında hala çok fazla bilgi bulunmamaktadır. Bu nedenle, bu çalışmada, korpus luteumdan izole edilen primer luteal ve mikrovasküler endotel hücreleri kullanılarak, PGE2 stimülasyonunun bazı steroidogenik hormon reseptörlerinin (ERα / ER1, ERβ / ER2 ve PGR) ve büyüme faktörlerinin (IGF1, IGF2 ve VEGF) ekspresyonu üzerindeki olası etkileri araştırılmıştır. Primer luteal hücrelerin PGE2 ile stimülasyonunu takiben ne steroidogenik hormon reseptörlerinin (ERα / ER1, ERβ / ER2 ve PGR) ne de büyüme faktörlerinin (IGF1, IGF2 ve VEGF) ekspresyonu etkilendi. Ancak, PGE2 ile primer mikrovasküler endotel hücrelerininin stimülasyonunu takiben ERα / ER1 ve ERβ / ER2'in ekspresyonu belirgin olarak arttı. Aynı zamanda, primer mikrovasküler endotel hücrelerinde IGF2'nin ekspresyonu upregüle olurken, IGF1 ve VEGF'in ekspresyonu PGE2 stimülasyonundan etkilenmedi. Sonuç olarak, PGE2 östrojen reseptörlerinin (ERα / ER1 and ERβ / ER2) ve IGF2'in ekspresyonunu düzenleyerek erken luteal dönemde luteal anjiyogenez ve vaskülogeneze katıldığı düşünülmektedir. **Anahtar kelimeler:** Korpus luteum, koyun, prostaglandin E2

Introduction

Following ovulation, the remnants of the granulosa and the theca cell layer of the Graafian follicle turns into a temporary endocrine gland called a corpora lutea (CL) (Channing et al., 1980; Smith et al., 1994; Fraser and Wulff, 2003). Rapid and intense blood vessel formation and supply, which are important for the establishment and maintenance of CL as well as

Geliş Tarihi/Submission Date : 26.11.2019 Kabul Tarihi/Accepted Date : 28.01.2020 progesterone (P4) production, are observed during formation of CL (Suzuki et al., 1998; Grazul-Bilska et al., 2007; Martelli et al., 2009). In the CL, vascularization and angiogenesis are regulated by a variety of locally produced growth factors such as, fibroblast growth factors (FGFs), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-system and angiopoietins (Angpts). Furthermore, locally produced luteotropic factors i.e. prostaglandins (PGs), P4 and estrogens (E2) are involved in the maintenance of this temporary endocrine gland (CL).

Prostaglandins are known as a fatty-acid hormone

synthesized following the liberation of 20-carbon unsaturated fatty acid derivate arachidonic acid from the cellular plasma membrane by phospholipaseA2 (Smith and Dewitt, 1996). Then, this released arachidonic acid is converted into the prostaglandin H2 (PGH2) the main precursor of the different PGs, by means of cyclooxygenases (COXs) (Smith and Dewitt, 1996). While COX1 is constitutively expressed in most of the mammalian cells, expression of PTGS2/COX2 is induced by an inflammatory and proliferative stimulus (Smith and Dewitt, 1996). Prostaglandin E2, prostacyclin (PGI2), PGD2 and PGF2 α are produced form the PGH2 with the aid of specific enzymes (Tai et al., 2002).

Among PGs, particularly PGE2 plays important roles in angiogenesis, cellular proliferation and blood supply (Namkoong et al., 2005; Trau et al., 2016). PGE2 mediates its signal through four PGE2 receptors, PTGER1, -2, -3 and -4 (also known as EP1, EP2, EP3 and EP4) (Castellone et al., 2005; Cha et al., 2007). Activation of PTGER2 (EP2) and PTGER4 (EP4) increases production of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), an activator of PKA signaling pathway (Narumiya et al., 1999). However, activation of PTGER1 (EP1) and PTGER3 (EP3) induces intracellular Ca2+ release that activates of PKC signaling pathway (Narumiya et al., 1999).

While PGF2a exerts luteolytic effect, PGE2 shows luteoprotective effect in sheep and cows (Weems et al., 1985; Weems et al., 1995; Weems et al., 2006; Wiltbank et al., 2018). Luteotropic effect of PGE2 has been shown in a variety of species such as dog, pig and human as well (Hahlin et al., 1988; Ford and Christenson, 1991; Kowalewski et al., 2013). In accordance with this, high expression of COX2 and PTGES was detected in the dog CL during early luteal phase (Kowalewski et al., 2006). Additionally, PGE2 by inducing expression of ETB and ANGPT2 expression in the dog appears to involve in luteal blood supply and angiogenesis (Gram et al., 2015; Gram et al., 2018). Furthermore, CL, pregnant uterus and conceptus are able to produce PGE2 in sheep. During establishment of pregnancy in ewe, PGE2 seems to be involved in the rescue of the CL (Weems et al., 1995). In sheep, intrauterine infusion of PGE2 mitigates luteolytic action of PGF2a during spontaneous luteolysis (Weems et al., 1985). Administration of PGE2 as well as PGE1 blocks PGF2a induced luteolysis and P4 production in this species as well (Reynolds et al., 1981). Moreover, PGE2 induces production of P4 by activating production of cAMP an activator of PKA signaling pathway in human and rabbit (Boiti et al., 2001; Hahlin et al., 1988). Blockage of the PTGS2/COX2 in vivo with its specific blocker reduces expression of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR) thereby P4 production in the dog (Janowski et al., 2014).

While the effect of PGE2 on luteal steroidogenesis was intensively investigated in a variety of species, there is little information available regarding the indirect effects of PGE2 in the ovine CL. In order to get more information regarding the potential function of PGE2 in ovine CL and thus to better understand the regulation of its function, in the current study ovine primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells were isolated. Thereafter, using the isolated luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells, possible effects of PGE2 stimulation on expression of some steroidogenic hormone receptors (ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR) and growth factors (IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF) were investigated.

Materials and Methods

Tissue collection and isolation of ovine primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells

Luteal cells and luteal microvascular endothelial cells were isolated by using our previously described protocol (Gram et al., 2019). Briefly, primary luteal and microvascular endothelial cells were isolated from CL of clinically healthy and non-pregnant ewes (n=3). Samples for isolation of primary cells were obtained from the local slaughterhouse. Corpora lutea of each animal were separated from the surrounding tissues and washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Afterwards, they were cut into small pieces with a sterile scalpel blade and incubated for 1h in PBS containing 0.15 % collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH). Following digestion of tissues, they were filtered through a sterile 100µm nylon strainer. Then filtrate was centrifuged at 500 g at room temperature. The obtained pellet was subsequently resuspended with PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin. Then, the endothelial cells were separated from the resulting suspension through affinity purification with magnetic beads. Magnetic tosylactivated beads (Dynabeads M- 450) were coated with (Bandeiraea) Simplicifolia Lectin I (BSL-I) (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Following incubation dispersed cells together with BSL-I labelled magnetic beads, bead adherent cells were separated with the aid of the magnet. Bead-free supernatant that contained the luteal cells were separated and suspended in culture medium ((DMEM/F12, with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 100 U/ ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, and 1% ITS (Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium), seeded immediately into six well plates.

Bead adherent cells, resuspended in endothelial cell culture medium (DMEM/F12, with 10% heat inactivated FBS, containing 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin and 200 μ g/ml Endothelial Cell Growth Supplement (ECGS)) and seeded directly into the six -well plates. Both endothelial and luteal cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C under 5%

 CO_2 . To characterize endothelial and luteal cells sterile cover glasses were put into each well and grown on them. When cells reached approximately 90-100% confluency, they were fixed by adding 2% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C.

As for stimulation experiments, prior to stimulation, the cells were washed with sterile PBS and replaced by a serum and ECGS-free DMEM/F12 medium containing 1ng/ml PGE2 for 6h. This dosage of the PGE2 is decided based on previously published report.

RNA isolation and semi-quantitative RT-PCR and data evaluation

Total RNA was obtained from primary luteal and microvascular endothelial cells using TRIzol® reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). The concentrations of isolated RNA samples were quantified by spectrophotometrically. DNase treatment was performed using RQ1 RNasefree DNase (Promega). Reverse transcription (RT) of the RNA samples was achieved following the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems).

Semi-quantitative Real Time (TaqMan) PCR was performed in CFX Connect Real Time system (Bio-Rad). All the reactions were performed in duplicates. Primers and TaqMan® probes labelled with 6carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) and 6carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) and are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. List of primers used for Real Time (TaqMan) PCR

Normalization of the target genes was achieved using two different reference genes, GAPDH and β -ACTIN. Relative gene expression of each target gene was calculated with comparative CT method ($\Delta\Delta$ CT method).

Statistical analyses

Each experiment was performed independently at least three times. All the statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 16.0 Software. The Effect of PGE2 treatment on expression of ERa/ER1, ER β /ER2, PGR, IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF in primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells was calculated using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test. All numerical data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Effect of PGE2 stimulation on expression of ERa/ ER1, ER β /ER2, PGR and IGF1, -2 and VEGF in ovine primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells

Both primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells were isolated from CL of sheep by enzymatic digestion. The expression of all target genes were detected by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. They were

Gene	Primer sequence	Product length (bp)	Accession number
	Forward: 5'- CCTGACGGCTGACCAGATGA-3'		
ERα/ER1	Reverse: 5'- CCTCACTGAAAGGGTCTGGTAGGT-3`	92	AY033393.1
	Taqman probe: 5-1GGAGGCTGAGCCCCCCATAATCTATTCT-3		
EDR/ED2		02	NM 001000737
		92	1
	3		
	Forward: 5'- CAGCAGTCTTCCAACCCAAT-3`		
IGF1	Reverse: 5'- CAGGGCCAGATAGAAGAGATG-3`	103	NM_001009774
	TaqMan probe: 5'-AGGTGAAGATGCCAGTCACATCCTCCTC-3`		.3
	Forward: 5'- GGGATGTGTCTGCCTCTACGA-3`		
IGF2	Reverse: 5'- GACTGCTTCCAGGTGTCAGATTG-3`	91	NM_001009311
	TaqMan probe: 5'-TCACAGCATACCCCGTGGGCAAGTT-3`		1
	Forward: 5'- GGGCTGCTGTAATGACGAAAGT-3`		
VEGF	Reverse: 5'- CTGGCTTTGGTGAGGTTTGATC-3`	94	AF071015.1
	TaqMan probe: 5'-TGTGCCCACTGAGGAGTTCAACATCAC-3`		
	Forward: 5'- AGA GGC ATC CTG ACC CTC AA-3		
β-ΑCΤΙΝ	Reverse: 5'- GTT GTA GAA GGT GTG GTG CCA GAT-3`	93	U39357.1
	TaqMan probe: 5'-TAC CCC ATT GAG CAC GGC ATT GTCA -3'		
	Forward: 5'- GGC ACA GTC AAG GCA GAG AAC-3		
GAPDH	Reverse: 5 - CAU GTA UTU AGU AUU AGU ATU A-3	114	NM_001190390
	radivian probe: 5 - AAG GUU ATU AUU ATU TTU UAG GAG U-3		.1

clearly detectable in both stimulated and nonstimulated ovine primary luteal (Fig. 1-2) and microvascular endothelial cells (Fig. 3-4). There were no statistically significant changes in the expression of investigated steroidogenic hormone receptors (P=0.20 for ER α /ER1, P=0.93 for ER β /ER2 and P=0.70 for PGR) in primary luteal cells following treatment with 1ng/ml PGE2 treatment (Fig. 2A-C).



Figure 1. Effect of PGE2 on expression of ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR in ovine primary luteal cells collected from clinically healthy and non-pregnant ewes. Luteal cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium with 1ng/ml PGE2. Non-stimulated cells served as a negative control. (A-C) ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR mRNA expression as determined by real-time (TaqMan) PCR normalized against GAPDH and β -ACTIN. Numerical data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation



Figure 2. Effect of PGE2 on expression of IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF in ovine primary luteal cells collected from clinically healthy and non-pregnant ewes. Luteal cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium with 1ng/ml PGE2. Non-stimulated cells served as a negative control. (A-C) IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF mRNA expression as determined by real-time (TaqMan) PCR normalized against GAPDH and β -ACTIN. Numerical data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation

Similarly, there was no statistically significant changes in the expression of IGF1, either, (P=0.70) -2 (P=0.70) and VEGF (P= 0.10) in primary luteal cells

Interestingly, the expression of ER α /ER1 and ER β /ER2 were affected in luteal microvascular endothelial cells following stimulation with 1ng/ml PGE2 (Fig. 3A and B). There was a significant change in expression of both ER α /ER1 (P=0.002) and ER β /ER2 (P=0.0001) in primary microvascular endothelial cells following treatment with PGE2 (Fig. 3A and B).





Figure 3. Effect of PGE2 on expression of ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR in ovine primary microvascular endothelial cells collected from clinically healthy and non-pregnant ewes. Microvascular endothelial cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium with 1ng/ml PGE2. Non-stimulated cells served as a negative control. (A-C) ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR mRNA expression as determined by real-time (TaqMan) PCR normalized against GAPDH and β -ACTIN. Bars with different letters differ at P=0.002 (ER α /ER1), P=0.0001 (ER β /ER2) and P=0.1 (PGR). Numerical data are presented as the mean ± stand-ard deviation

Moreover, the expression of IGF2 (P=0.0005) was also increased significantly (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, the expressions of PGR (P=0.10), IGF1 (P=0.60), and VEGF (P=0.60) did not change signifi-

cantly in primary microvascular endothelial by 1ng/ml PGE2 stimulation (Fig. 3C-4A and C).



Figure 4. Effect of PGE2 on expression of IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF in ovine primary microvascular endothelial cells collected from clinically healthy and non-pregnant ewes. Microvascular endothelial cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium with 1ng/ml PGE2. Non-stimulated cells served as a negative control. (A-C) IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF mRNA expression as determined by real-time (TaqMan) PCR normalized against GAPDH and β -ACTIN. Bars with different letters differ at (P=0.0005). Numerical data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation

Discussion and Conclusion

Prostaglandin E appears to play an important role in luteal function in domestic animals by regulating angiogenesis, proliferation and steroidogenesis. Luteotrophic effect of PGE2 has been shown in many domestic animal species such as dog, cow, sheep, pig and human (Hahlin et al., 1988; Ford and Christenson, 1991; Kowalewski et al., 2013; Weems et al., 1985; Weems et al., 1995; Weems et al., 2006; Wiltbank et al., 2018). However, indirect effect of PGE2 on luteal function has not yet been investigated in detail. Therefore, aiming to better understand the effect of PGE2 on luteal function, primary luteal and microvascular endothelial cells were isolated from early sheep CL and mRNA expression of ERa/ER1, ERB/ER2 and PGR and IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF, we investigated in primary luteal and microvascular endothelial cells following treatment with PGE2.

Time dependent expression of both ER α /ER1 and ER β /ER2 were shown in ovine CL previously (Cardenas et al., 2001; Tomanek et al., 1997). Localization of both receptors in different cellular compartments of the CL such as luteal cells and endothelial

cells suggest involvement of estrogen in the regulation of an autocrine/paracrine role of CL (Cardenas et al., 2001; Tomanek et al., 1997). Furthermore, the increase in expression of ERa/ER1 at mid luteal phase, and the decrease ER β /ER2 expression during mid-luteal phase indicate that the functions of these two receptors in the sheep CL might differ (Cardenas et al., 2001; Tomanek et al., 1997). Interestingly, in the present study, expression of the investigated steroid hormone receptors (ERa/ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR) remained unaffected by the PGE2 stimulation. Thus, it seems that there is no functional connection between locally acting PGs, especially PGE2 and ERa/ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR in ovine luteal cells.

Interestingly, in ovine primary microvascular endothelial cells, expression of both ER α /ER1 and ER β /ER2 were upregulated following treatment with PGE2, suggesting an interplay between estrogen and PGE2 in the regulation of the proliferation of endothelial cells as well as vascularization occurring during early CL formation. Nevertheless, further research is required to better understand the mechanisms of PGE2 -mediated effects on endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis in ovine CL.

As for PGR, similar to luteal cells, its expression was not affected by PGE2 treatment. On the other hand, the time period that was used to stimulate primary microvascular endothelial cells might be not enough to induce expression of PGR. Moreover, there might be no functional connection between locally produced PGE2 and PGR in ovine luteal endothelial cells. Therefore, further research is required to better understand the effect of PGE2 stimulation on PGR in ovine CL.

Growth hormones (IGF1 and IGF2), like insulin, are also involved in the development, proliferation and regression of the CL in sheep (Juengel et al., 1997; Hastie and Haresign, 2006). By regulating P4 production, IGF1 and IGF2 are involved in steroidogenesis in ovine CL as well (Juengel et al., 1997; Hastie and Haresign, 2006). Furthermore, similar to other domestic animals such as dog and cow, in the sheep early luteal phase is characterized by upregulated expression of VEGF. By regulating angiogenesis this angiogenic growth factor is crucial for the maintenance of the CL. However, in our study neither expression of insulin like growth hormones (IGF1 and IGF2) nor VEGF were affected by PGE2 stimulation in primary luteal cells. Therefore, it appears that there is no functional connection between locally acting PGE2 and IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF in ovine luteal cells. On the other hand, while the expression of IGF2 was upregulated, expression of IGF1 and VEGF were not affected by PGE2 treatment in ovine primary microvascular endothelial cells. This indicates the possible functional interplay among different cellular compartments within the ovine CL. ThereEffect of prostaglandin...

fore, involvement of PGE2 in local autocrine and paracrine regulation of this temporary endocrine gland still needs to be elucidated.

In conclusion, the effects of PGE2 on expression of ER α /ER1, ER β /ER2 and PGR and IGF1, IGF2 and VEGF have been investigated herein by using the ovine primary luteal and -microvascular endothelial cells. The results presented in the current study suggest that by regulating expression of estrogen receptors (ER α /ER1 and ER β /ER2) and IGF2 in ovine luteal endothelial cells, PGE2 might involve in luteal angiogenesis and vasculogenesis during early luteal phase in sheep.

References

- Boiti C, Zampini D, Zerani M, Guelfi G, Gobbetti A. Prostaglandin receptors and role of G proteinactivated pathways on corpora lutea of pseudopregnant rabbit in vitro. J Endocrinol 2001; 168: 141-51.
- Cárdenas H, Burke KA, Bigsby RM, Pope WF, Nephew KP. Estrogen receptor beta in the sheep ovary during the estrous cycle and early pregnancy. Biol Reprod 2001; 65(1): 128-34.
- Castellone MD, Teramoto H, Williams BO, Druey KM, Gutkind JS. Prostaglandin E2 promotes colon cancer cell growth through a Gs-axinbeta-catenin signaling axis. Science 2005; 310: 1504-10.
- Cha YI, DuBois RN. NSAIDs and cancer prevention: targets downstream of COX-2. Annu Rev Med 2007; 58: 239-52.
- Channing CP, Schaerf FW, Anderson LD, Tsafriri A. Ovarian follicular and luteal physiology. Int Rev Physiol 1980; 22: 117-201.
- Ford SP, Christenson K. Direct effects of oestradiol-17β and prostaglandin E-2 in protecting pig corpora lutea from a luteolytic dose of prostaglandin F2α. J Reprod Fertil 1991; 93(1): 203-9.
- Fraser HM, Wulff C. Angiogenesis in the corpus luteum. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2003; 1: 88.
- Gram A, Grazul-Bilska AT, Boos A, Rahman NA, Kowalewski MP. Lipopolysaccharide disrupts gap junctional intercellular communication in an immortalized ovine luteal endothelial cell line. Toxicol In Vitro 2019; 60: 437-49.
- Gram A, Latter S, Boos A, Hoffmann B, Kowalewski MP. Expression and functional implications of luteal endothelins in pregnant and non-pregnant dogs. Reproduction 2015; 150(5): 405-15.
- Gram A, Tavares Pereira M, Boos A, Grazul-Bilska

AT, Kowalewski MP. Luteal ANGPT-TIE system during selected stages of pregnancy, and normal and antigestagen-induced luteolysis in the dog. Reproduction 2018; 156(5): 451-61.

- Grazul-Bilska AT, Navanukraw C, Johnson ML, Vonnahme KA, Ford SP, Reynolds LP, Redmer DA. Vascularity and expression of angiogenic factors in bovine dominant follicles of the first follicular wave. J Anim Sci 2007; 85(8): 1914-22.
- Hahlin M, Dennefors B, Johanson C, Hamberger L. Luteotropic effects of prostaglandin E2 on the human corpus luteum of the menstrual cycle and early pregnancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1988; 66 (5): 909-14.
- Hastie PM, Haresign W. A role for LH in the regulation of expression of mRNAs encoding components of the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system in the ovine corpus luteum. Anim Reprod Sci 2006; 96(1-2): 196-209.
- Janowski T, Fingerhut J, Kowalewski MP, Zdunczyk S, Domoslawska A, Jurczak A, Boos A, Schuler G, Hoffmann B. In vivo investigations on luteotropic activity of prostaglandins during early diestrus in nonpregnant bitches. Theriogenology 2014; 82(6): 915-20.
- Juengel JL, Nett TM, Anthony RV, Niswender GD. Effects of luteotrophic and luteolytic hormones on expression of mRNA encoding insulin-like growth factor I and growth hormone receptor in the ovine corpus luteum. J Reprod Fertil 1997; 110(2): 291-8.
- Kowalewski MP, Fox B, Gram A, Boos A, Reichler I. Prostaglandin E-2 functions as a luteotrophic factor in the dog. Reproduction 2013; 145: 213-26.
- Kowalewski MP, Schuler G, Taubert A, Engel E, Hoffmann B. Expression of cyclooxygenase 1 and 2 in the canine corpus luteum during diestrus. Theriogenology 2006; 66: 1423-30.
- Martelli A, Palmerini MG, Russo V, Rinaldi C, Bernabo N, Di Giacinto O, Berardinelli P, Nottola SA, Macchiarelli G, Barboni B. Blood vessel remodeling in pig ovarian follicles during the periovulatory period: an immunohistochemistry and SEMcorrosion casting study. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2009; 7: 72.
- Namkoong S, Lee SJ, Kim CK, Kim YM, Chung HT, Lee H, Han JA, Ha KS, Kwon YG, Kim YM. Pros-

taglandin E2 stimulates angiogenesis by activating the nitric oxide/cGMP pathway in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Exp Mol Med 2005; 37(6): 588-600.

- Narumiya S, Sugimoto Y, Ushikubi F. Prostanoid receptors: Structures, properties, and functions. Physiol Rev 1999; 79: 1193-226.
- Reynolds LP, Stigler J, Hoyer GL, Magness RR, Huie JM, Huecksteadt TP, Whysong GL, Behrman HR, Weems CW. Effect of PGE1 or PGE2 on PGF2αinduced luteolysis in nonbred ewes. Prostaglandins 1981; 21(6): 957-72.
- Smith MF, McIntush EW, Smith GW. Mechanisms associated with corpus luteum development. J Anim Sci 1994; 72(7): 1857-72.
- Smith WL, Dewitt DL. Prostaglandin endoperoxide H synthases-1 and -2. Adv Immunol 1996; 62: 167-215.
- Suzuki T, Sasano H, Takaya R, Fukaya T, Yajima A, Nagura H. Cyclic changes of vasculature and vascular phenotypes in normal human ovaries. Hum reprod 1998; 13(4): 953-59.
- Tai HH, Ensor CM, Tong M, Zhou H, Yan F. Prostaglandin catabolizing enzymes. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 2002; 68-69: 483-93.
- Tomanek M, Pisselet C, Monget P, Madigou T, Thieulant ML, Monniaux D. Estrogen receptor protein and mRNA expression in the ovary of sheep. Mol Reprod Dev 1997; 48(1): 53-62.
- Trau HA, Brännström M, Curry TE Jr, Duffy DM. Prostaglandin E2 and vascular endothelial growth factor A mediate angiogenesis of human ovarian follicular endothelial cells. Hum Reprod 2016; 31 (2): 436-44.
- Weems CW, Huie M, Hoyer GL, Magness RR, Whysong GL. Maternal recognition of pregnancy in ruminants. Davis WC, Shelton JN, Weems CW, ed. In: Characterization of the Bovine Immune System and the Genes Regulating Expression of Immunity With Particular Reference to Their Role in Disease Resistance. Pullman, WA: Washington State University Press 1985; pp. 193-210.
- Weems CW, Weems YS, Randel RD. Prostaglandins and reproduction in female farm animals. Vet J 2006; 171: 206-28.

- Weems CW, Weems YS, Vincent DL. Maternal recognition of pregnancy and maintenance of gestation in sheep. Enne G, Greppi GF, Lauria A, ed. In: Reproduction and Animal Breeding: Advances and Strategies. Proceedings XXX International Simposia Societa Italiana Zootechnica, Milan, Italy. Amsterdam, Holland: Elsevier Press; 1995; pp. 277-93.
- Wiltbank MC, Mezera MA, Toledo MZ, Drum JN, Baez GM, García-Guerra A, Sartori R. Physiological mechanisms involved in maintaining the corpus luteum during the first two months of pregnancy. Anim Reprod 2018; 15(1): 805-21.

Effect of prostaglandin...

Erciyes Üniv Vet Fak Derg 2020;17(1): 50-56.