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ABSTRACT

In this article, we study polypredicate structures with spatial meanings in the Yakut language. They are divided into synthetic and analytic synthetical. Mostly, such sentences can be found in narrative texts. They have analytical, attributive and functional equivalents. Analytical equivalents can be found in an informal language. Attributive and functional equivalents are mono-subject structures with “compact” attributive predicates.
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АННОТАЦИЯ

В данной статье рассматриваются полипредикативные конструкции якутского языка, выражающие пространственные отношения. Они подразделяются на синтетические и аналитико-синтетические. Подобные предложения встречаются в основном в повествовании – в художественных текстах. Они имеют аналитические и атрибутивные функциональные эквиваленты. При этом первые употребляются, прежде всего, в разговорной речи, вторые представляют собой моносубъектные конструкции со «свернутым» — атрибутивным — предикатом.
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ÖZET

Makalede geniş iliskileri ifade eden Yakutça’nın poliyüklemli yapıları tettik edilmiştir. Onlar bireşimli ve tahlili-bireşimli olarak ikiye ayrılmaktadırlar. Benzeri cümleler genellikle edebi metinlerinin naklinde rasgelmektedirler. Onların bireşimli ve karatesistik
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1. From The History Of Study The Composite Sentences With Spatial Meaning In Yakut Language.

In Yakut language polypredicate structures in the form of compound sentences were first found and described by E.I. Ubryatova (1976).

Elizaveta Ivanovna noted that such sentences are quite rare in Yakut language. She explained it with the fact that the definitive compound sentences with synthetic link are used to express the relation of place in Yakut language where words with spatial semantics stand up as defining words (eg. sir ‘world’, ‘land’, ‘place’, tumul ‘cape’) in dative or main case of personal possessive declension. For example:

*Bulchut ygehin byhyytynan, diahtar baar siriger tyataagy tuhunan kepsetillibet bagaiy.*

bulchut ygehin byhyytynan diahtar baar sir:i:ger tyataagy tuhunan.

Hunter custom: POSS.3SG POSTP the presence of woman: POSS.3Sg. DAT bear POSTP kepset:ill:bet bagaiy

Talk: PASS: PAST.NEG PARTCL

‘The hunters’ custom is that you should never talk about bears in the presence of women’.

In her research work, E.I. Ubryatova looked into polypredicate structures of different subjects where dependent predicates are arranged with functional partcipal parts of the verb or the presence identifier (baar ‘is’ ‘have’) in the dative case of personal predicate declension. However, such structures are polyfunctional and their spatial relation is passed depending on the lexical filling of the structure. For example:

In the past research works of the syntax of Yakut language, compound sentences/polypredicate structures of the place were marked in the frame of defining compound sentences/polypredicate structures as singular defining compound sentences/polyprediacte structures (Grammatica …, 1995; Efremov, 1998). The predicate of the depending part of such sentences matches with postpositions and words with spatial meaning which usually have dative, initial cases.

Therefore, as we can see in the works of researchers, specialized models of complex sentences through which location relation could have been represented, were not established. They were classified as structures which function in defining compound sentences among dozens. And their elements characterized with the defining spatial meaning.

However, the results of our research let us state that complex sentences with spatial postpositions and auxiliary words acting as analytical synthetical clamp and some sentences with the case indicator are personal locative compound sentences.
2. Polypredicate structures with a spatial meaning in Yakut language

Let’s have a look at other mentioned sentences. Meanwhile, let’s not leave without a notice their functional alternatives which are represented with different structural semantical types: “extended” (bifinite, different subject, analytical) and “compressed” (monofinite, monosubject) locative polypredicate structures.

Let’s state beforehand that the relation and link between events is represented with the first part (dependent predicate unit) in synthetic and analytical synthetic polypredicate structures, whereas in their alternatives – analytical polypredicate structures – only the predicate structure is described in the first part and the relation and link is marked with a pronominal clamp which is placed as part of the second predicate unit.

“Compressed” alternatives of synthetic and analytical synthetic polypredicate structures with a spatial meaning act as kernel structures of analytic polypredicate structures with a pronominal clamp. However, it is possible to transform mentioned polypredicate structures into analytic, but it is not always possible to do so with their transformations into “compressed”. This will be shown in the process of the linguistic experiment below.

Personal locative compound sentences

Personal locative compound sentences are represented with the analytic synthetic and synthetic indicators structures.

*Compound sentences with the analytic synthetic indicators*

Such sentences are characterized with the means of communication such as postpositions and auxiliary words with spatial meanings. Unlike synthetic, analytic synthetic compound sentences describe locative meaning more in detail, which is defined by the semantics of postpositions and auxiliary words.

In our selection there are phrases which models are represented with postpositions of direction meaning (eg. hotu ‘in the direction’, ‘there, where’; dieki ‘there, where’), and also with auxiliary words of invariant locative semantics “side lokuma”, which is represented depending on the situation (eg. ettyger ‘by the side, where’; anyygar ‘under there’).

**The models of compound sentences T byt+/hotu (T – verbal base, byt – participle of the past perfect tense, // - person number of the subject, hotu – postposition).** In sentences of such structure, the motion direction of the subject is usually represented in the main part which caused by the subject of the dependent predicate unit. This is the reason why the last mentioned can be characterized the shade of reasoning.

1 *Kini, uurbutterin qotu, qaaman iste* (Erlik Eristiin)

   Kini uur=but=ter=in qotu qaam=an is=t=e
   He turn out=PP=3PL=POSS.3SG POSTP go=CV AUX=PAST=3SG
   ‘He went where he was turned out to’.

   This sentence can only be reorganized according to the model of bifinite structures which is arranged in the form of compound sentence with a single pronominal clamp.

   1a *Kinini uuren ispittere, ol qotu qaaman iste*

   Kini=ni uur=en is=pit=tere ol qotu qaam=an is=t=e
He=ACC turn out=CV AUX=PP=3PL PRON POSTP go=CV AUX=PAST=3SG
‘He was turned out and in this direction he kept on going’.

T ar+/dieki. Here described the motion direction of the subject of dependent predicate unit, which defined by the reference subject placed (really and mentally) before the subject of the observation.

2 Ujbaan, qaraña böhuölek uhugar suos-soňotoq tünük simiktk sändaararin dieki elestene turda (Hotugu Sulus)

ujbaan qaraña böhuölek uhugar suos-soňotoq tünük simiktk
Ivan dark village POSTP only window deamly
sändaar=ar=in dieki elestene=tur=d=a
glow=PFUT=POSS.3SG POSTP quickly run=CV AUX=PAST=3SG
‘Ivan ran quickly to the other side of the dark village where the only window was glowing’.

Analytic alternative of the initial phrase is arranged with the bifinite predicate units which are linked with the single (two components) – pronominal clamp as part of a prepositional predicate unit. Finite forms are at the head of such predicate units and form a complex syntax unit or its part.

2a Qaraña böhuölek uhugar suos-soňotoq tünük simiktk sändaarar. Ujbaan ol dieki elestene turda.

qaraña böhuölek uhugar suos-soňotoq tünük simiktk sändaar=ar
dark village POSTP only window deamly glow=PFUT.3SG
ujbaan ol dieki elestene=e tur=d=a
ivan PRON POSTP quickly ran=CV AUX=PAST=3SG
‘The only window glows the only window on the other side of a dark village. Ivan quickly ran there’.

In this case, compressed predicate adverbial option of phrase structure is non-typical because such part of a unit can’t be a guideline of the motion. Compare:

2b * Ujbaan qaraña böhuölek uhugar simiktk sändaarar suos-soňotoq tünük dieki elestene turda

ujbaan qaraña böhuölek uhugar simiktk sändaar=ar suos-soňotoq tünük
ivan dark village POSTP demly glows=PFUT only window
dieki elestene=e tur=d=a
POSTP quickly run=CV AUX=PAST=3SG
‘*Ivan quickly ran in the direction of the only window which deamly glows on the other side of the dark village’.

T byt+/annygar. Here there is the location of the main part subject which is under the “supporting locum” (Nevskaya, 2005) and it’s the proposition of the dependent predicate unit.
Dependent predicate unit of the above sentence can be transformed into singular predicate – compressed predicate adverbial structure. The result of such transformation is that it can be characterized as the adverbial version of the initial phrase not the complex one.

The predicate structure of this version has a definitive meaning.

3a Balıktar ulaatınnaryllıbyt oiuularyn anyggar kiniler dienneh keemeidere hara lyulyugyunen kyerderyuller

balık=tar ulaatınnar*=ill=an ojuula=m=müit=tarin annıgar kini=ler
fish=PL increase=PASS=CV portray=PASS=PP=POSS.3PL POSTP he=PL
d'ıneeq keemej=dere qara külüg=ünen
real size=POSS.3PL black shadow=INSTR show=PASS=PFUT.3SG
‘Real size fish in the form of shadows are shown under the place where increased size fish are portrayed’.

The analytic version is characterized as a structure of combination of independent sentences through the single (multi component) clamp of a pronominal type. Such structure in a text can function as a complex syntax unit or its parts:

3b Balıktar ulaatınnarıllan ojuulammıtta. Ol anyggar kiniler d'ıneeq keemejdere qara külügünen kördörüller

balık=tar ulaatınnar=ill=an ojuula=m=müit=tar ol annıgar
fish=PL increase=PASS=CV portray=PASS=PERF=3PL it POSTP
kini=ler d'ıneeq keemej=dere qara külüg=ünen
he=PL real size=POSS.3PL dark shadow=INSTR
kördör=üll=er
show=REFL=PFUT.3SG
‘Fish is shown in the increased size. So are shown its real size in the form of a shadow’.

T ar yeryut+/dative case. We have a sentence with such model where the dependent predicate unit functions as a component which specifies the adverbial modifier of time. An auxiliary word with a spatial meaning in the monofinite bipredicate structure serves as the means of communication between the dependent predicate unit and the main predicate unit.
This auxiliary word together with the participle component of the dependent predicate is the part of a locum where the event takes place.

4 Ṭil kūölge, Tünkéléj kiihèn këtta balikti üsilldar öttüleriger, kùüske bãhajjì üren siriñet (Yus yutuyue algys)

ṭil kûöl=ge Tünkéléj kîh=i=n kîtta balikt=iï
wind lake=DAT Tyunkelei girl=POSS.3SG=ACC POSTP to fish=CV
sîld=ar öttüleri=ger kûüske bãhajjì ür=en
AUX=PFUT side=POSS.3PL=DAT strongly PARTCL blow=CV
siriñet=er
with a force=PFUT.3SG
‘The wind blows strongly with a force on the side of the lake where Tyunkelei with a daughter go fishing’.

Such phrase only allows an analytic conversion which is a complex syntax where the second sentence begins with the single (multicomponent) pronominal clamp with an extended structure:

4a Kûölge Tünkéléj kiihèn këtta balikti üsillðállar. öl balikti üsilldar öttüleriger ürlküüske bãhajjì üren siriñet.

kûöl=ge Tünkéléj kîh=i=n kîtta balikt=iï
lake=DAT Tyunkekei girl=POSS.3SG=ACC POSTP fish=CV
sîld=al=lar ol balikt=iï sîld=ar öttüleri=ger ṭâl
AUX=PFUT=3PL it fish=CV AUX=PFUT side=POSS.3PL=DAT wind
kûüske bãhajjì ür=en siriñet=er
strongly PARTCL blow=CV blow with a force=PFUT.3SG
‘Tyunkelei goes fishing on the lake with a daughter. The wind blows strongly with a force on that side of the lake where they go fishing’.

Compound sentences with synthetic (case) indicators

Structural models of such sentences are polysemantic, that is the reason why they express the spatial meaning depending on the lexical grammatical filling of the sentence structure.

According to the results of our research, the subject of the dependent predicate unit which forms locative polypredicate structure, stands out as one of the leading structural semantic component. And this subject of the dependent predicate unit must not only have a subject semantics but also a spatial one.

The models of the discussed sentences are presented with the structural patterns of the dependent predicates which are arranged with participles, words of presence in oblique cases – dative, instrumental and initial cases. In our selection, phrases which predicates are expressed with participles or words of presence in instrumental case (T participle+//instrumental case, Baar+///рудный case) have a locative meaning. Besides, structures with baar in dative case when the subject of the dependent part is expressed with
the spatial meaning noun (e.g. kyuel ‘lake’) directly express a locative relation. Other phrases express a spatial meaning in the form of the additional semantics (models T ar//dative case, T byt+// initial case) because the subject of the dependent part is presented with the subject meaning noun.

**T ar+// instrumental case** of the dependent predicate unit is expressed with a route of the subject motion which is indicated with the main predicate unit.

5 Ürdük d’ieler büüstarıñan lünkär büt kühüŋq gallaan öröhöttö köstörüñen arbañar bilittar bert tietelinen ustan küülgüdüüheller (Hotug Sulus)

ürdük d’ie=ler büüstarıñan lünkür=büt kühüŋq gallaan
tall building=PL POSTP become dark=PERF autumn sky
öröhö=tö köst=ör=ü=nen arbañar bilit=tar
lower part=POSS.3SG seen=PFUT=POSS.3SG=INSTR bushy cloud=PL
bert tietelinen ust=an küülugüd’ü=h=el=ler
very quickly float=CV quickly fly by=RICEPR=PFUT=3PL
‘Torn clouds quickly fly by on the dark autumn sky which is seen between tall buildings’.

Analytic transformation of such structure is indicated with a single (one component) anaphoric clamp ol together with the participle with -ar in instrumental case.

5a Ürdük d’ieler büüstarıñan lünkär büt kühüŋq gallaan öröhöttö köstör. **Ol köstörünü** bert tietelinen arbañar r bilittar ustan küülugüdüüheller

‘There is a dull autumn sky between tall buildings. There you can see how quickly torn clouds fly by’.

The compressed version of the discussed compound sentence is passed by the model of the definitive structure which has a prepositional participial definitive structure.

You can translate this phrase the same way as the initial compound sentence.

5b Ürdük d’ieler büüstarıñan köstör lünkär büt kühüŋq gallaan öröhöttunen bert tietelinen arbañar bilittar ustan küülugüdüüheller

**T ar+//datice case.** The subject of the dependent predicate unit of a below stated compound sentence has a subject meaning and the adlocation relation is showed on the basis of an objective. The phrase has an informal shade because stylistically less informal structure would have an attributive structure (6a).

6 Ypaachiyi, hahsaibaaqtaan baran, munnukka urukmuonniuk turarygar tiide

İpaachi jáqasajbaqtaan baran munnukka urukmuonni’uk turarígar tiijde (Üibaan Nuolur).

İpaachi jáqasaj=baqta=n baran munnuk=ka urukmuonni’uk
Ipaachiyough strongly=INTEN=CV corner=DATwashstand
tur=ar= iğar tiij=d=e
stand=PFUT=POSS.3SG=DAT come=PAST=3SG
‘Ipaachi coughed and then came to the washstand which stands in the corner’.
6a Ḯpaacëj qaqsajbaqtaan baran, munnuuka turar urukumuonn'ukka tiijde (the same translation)

7 T baar+/dative case. This model expresses a locative meaning under the above stated condition, i.e. the subject of the dependent predicate unit must have a spatial meaning.

Sihiŋ saqatijaŋ gomus saqalaaq kūöl baarīŋar, nalīŋ uuga kustar ahaan ajaqtarin ūhaa čaļiğirəs (Hotuŋu Sulus)

sihii saqatijgar gomus saqa=laaq kūöl baar=i=gar nalii uu=ga
meadow POSTP rush hem=POSSV.3SG lake have=3SG=DAT shallow water=DAT
kus=tar ahaa=n ajaq=tarin ūha=a čaļiğirə=s
duck=PL eat=CV beak=POSS.3PL noise=POSS.3PL champ=RECIPR

‘Ducks are eating and champing at the shallow water lake hemmed with rushes on the outskirts of a meadow’.

Extended and compressed versions of such structure are formed with the similar patterns to the above stated.

3. Conclusion

Spatial polypredicate structures and compound sentences in Yakut language are described mainly with two structural types: synthetic, analytic synthetical. Synthetic compound sentence is polysematic and the locative relation is expressed only when the subject of the dependent predicate unit has a spatial meaning. In sentences with the subject which has a subject meaning, the discussed meaning appears as an additional.

In fact, spatial meaning is expressed in compound sentences with a locative postpositional link indicator (analytic synthetical type). That is why such structures take up a central position in polypredicate locative structures.

Synthetic and analytic synthetical compound sentences with a spatial meaning have analytic equivalents – compound sentences which are their detailed transformations.

Unlike synthetic and analytic synthetical, such compound sentences can be observed mainly in informal style. They also can function in texts as complex syntax units or as their parts.

Monosubject bipredicate versions of the discussed compound sentences –attributive spatial structures – sometimes are more typical (stylistically neutral) structural semantic models of locative polypredicate structures than similar different subject structures.

Symbolic notation

Grammatical meanings in glosses and structural patterns:

1, 2, 3 – person; SG – singular, PL – plural; ACC – accusative case, DAT –dative, INSTR – instrumental; CV – adverbal participle; AUX – auxiliary verb; INTEN – rush form; PAST – past tense with -t; PP – past participle with -byt; PFUT – present future tense; PERF – perfect(has a predicate affix); POSS – possession form; POSSV –
possessive form (-laah); POSTP – postposition; PARTCL - participle; PRON – pronoun; REFL – refundable voice; RECIPIR – reflexive voice.
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