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Abstract

The aim of this study was to explore the impact of the menstrual phases on exercise capacity parameters measured by a treadmill exercise test in sedentary premenapausal women. Exercise capacity expressed in terms of metabolic equivalents (MET) and exercise duration was measured by performing treadmill exercise tests in 30 women (mean age: 29 ± 5.8 years) with regular menstrual cycles at two points during their menstrual cycles: the late-follicular (LF) phase and the mid-luteal (ML) phase. The exercise duration was significantly higher (P < 0.05), and the exercise capacity (in MET) tended to be higher (P = 0.075) in the ML phase than in the LF phase. These results suggested that the menstrual phases in sedentary women can have an influence on exercise capacity. These changes may be attributed to the relative changes in the female steroid hormones between the LF and the ML phases.
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INTRODUCTION

Female steroid hormone profiles continuously change throughout ovulatory menstrual cycles. While estrogen levels begin to increase halfway through the follicular phase to reach a peak just prior to ovulation, both estrogen and progesterone are elevated during the middle of the luteal phase (36). Different hormonal environments in the follicular and luteal phases may have implications on exercise capacity. Several studies have reported differences in performance such as the time to exhaustion (14,26,28,29,34,35,37), while others have reported that exercise performance did not differ during the phases of the menstrual cycle (5,9,10,11,13,31,38,39). Therefore, there is no consensus regarding the effect of the menstrual cycle on exercise capacity.

The duration of exercise is an objective measurement of exercise capacity (functional capacity) (1,17). Another measurement of exercise capacity is the estimation of maximal oxygen uptake during exercise, which can be converted to metabolic equivalents (MET; 1 MET = 3.5 mL O2/kg/min) (6,24,33). MET is a widely-used physiological concept that expresses the energy cost of physical activities as a multiple of the resting metabolic rate. Exercise capacity can be easily measured by performing a symptom-limited exercise test. Epidemiologic studies have noted that exercise capacity (measured in METs) and exercise duration are inversely and strongly related to cardiovascular events and the overall mortality risk in asymptomatic women and men (5,15,20,32,40).

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports about the influence of the menstrual cycle on exercise capacity (in METs). The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of the menstrual cycle on exercise capacity, as estimated by a treadmill exercise test, in premenopausal women.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Subject Selection

Thirty non-obese healthy female subjects, 22-37 years old (average age: 29 ± 5.8 years), were included in the study. For the 12 months prior to the commencement of the study, their menstrual cycles were regular and ranged from 25 to 30 days (average: 27 ± 1.6 days). We determined the length of the menstrual cycle by counting the number of days from the first day of one bleeding episode up to and including the day before the start of the next bleeding episode. A menstrual cycle was considered normal when menstruation regularly occurred between 25- and 31-day intervals (18). All participants were sedentary and non-smokers. Each
participant underwent a detailed physiological examination prior to the study. According to a health history questionnaire, none of them had any chronic diseases and none were pregnant or lactating. They also did not take any medications, including estrogen/progesterone contraceptives, hormone replacement therapy or other drugs.

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Konya Education and Research Hospital. All subjects gave written informed consent prior to participation.

Protocol

All subjects underwent a symptom-limited treadmill test according to the standard Bruce protocol in each of the following phases of the menstrual cycle: the late-follicular (LF) phase (11 ± 2 days after the first day of bleeding) and the mid-luteal (ML) phase (21 ± 2 days after the first day of bleeding). Menstrual status was determined based on the cyclic history.

The peak exercise time was recorded in minutes. The peak workload was estimated as METs. One MET is a unit of basal oxygen consumption, which is equivalent to an oxygen consumption of 3.5 mL/kg body weight per minute (24). The exercise capacity (in METs) was estimated on the basis of the speed and the grade of the treadmill(16). Subjects were encouraged to exercise until they experienced maximal exhaustion in the absence of symptoms or other indicators of ischemia.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS version 15.0 for Windows.(SPSS Inc., IL, USA). Results were given as mean ± standard deviation. The paired sample t-test was used for the comparison of both phases of the menstrual cycle. P values of <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The treadmill exercise stress test parameters measured during the LF and ML phases of the menstrual cycle are listed in Table 1. The exercise duration (time of exhaustion) was significantly longer, and the peak exercise capacity (in METs) tended to be higher in the ML phase compared with those measurements in the LF phase. While the peak heart rate did not differ during the menstrual phases, the resting heart rate was higher in the follicular phase.

Table 1. Exercise capacity parameters estimated by treadmill exercise test during each menstrual phase (Values are mean ± SD, n= 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Follicular phase</th>
<th>Luteal phase</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>100±18</td>
<td>94±13</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>177±9</td>
<td>174±11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METs</td>
<td>12.9±1.8</td>
<td>13.3±1.8</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration (min)</td>
<td>10.7±1.7</td>
<td>11.4±1.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bpm = per minute beat ; MET = metabolic equivalent

DISCUSSION

Unlike previous studies of menstrual cycle phase and exercise performance, we examined the exercise capacity (in MET) using the final speed and grade of the treadmill, as defined by the Bruce protocol. Similar to the findings of other studies (14,26,28,29,34,35,37), this study demonstrated that there were differences in exercise performances during the follicular and luteal phases. In two studies, the exercise capacity, expressed as peak oxygen uptake (VO2 peak), was higher during the follicular phase in untrained (37) and trained (28) subjects. Other studies have found improved exercise performance and time to exhaustion during the luteal phase in both untrained (26,29,35) and trained (14,34) subjects. The women in the present study demonstrated a higher exercise capacity (in METs) and exercise duration during the ML phase than during the LF phase. This finding is contrary to the results of other reports which had noted no difference (5,9,10,11,13,31,38,39). Inconsistent findings regarding the interaction between the menstrual cycle and exercise performance may be due to the sample size, age, physical condition status of the subjects, the choice of phase (menstruation, follicular phase, ovulation, and luteal phase), and the different methods that may be used to identify normal menstrual function and to verify the specific menstrual phase.

During exercise, both estrogen and progesterone concentrations increase; however, the increase in estrogen, which is relative to progesterone during exercise, is greater in the luteal phase than in the follicular phase (25,26). Studies which found a better exercise performance during the luteal phase had a higher estrogen to progesterone concentration ratio (E/P), whereas the studies reporting no changes in performance had a lower E/P ratio (2,3). Furthermore, a number of studies found a lower rate of glycogen use (8,12,17,22,23,30) and a higher whole body lipid use
in the luteal phase than in the follicular phase (7,21,41). For that reason, increased exercise performance in the luteal phase may be related with the magnitude of increase in estrogen during the luteal phase compared with the follicular phase, and can be attributed to the metabolic effects of estrogen.

In this study, menstrual status was determined according to cycle history and exercise capacity estimated during times of high (LF and ML phase) hormonal influence. However, the serum endogenous sex hormone concentrations were not measured during the menstrual phases. Thus, our findings cannot be attributed to specific hormones. This was a major limitation of the present study. However, pre-test hormone concentrations may not necessarily reflect the hormone concentrations during the test because the estrogen and progesterone levels increased during exercise.

In conclusion, different hormonal statuses and variations in hormonal response to exercise during the follicular and luteal phases contributed to the improved exercise capacity during the ML phase. Future studies that evaluate the combined influence of endogenous sex hormone concentrations, age, body mass index (BMI), and physical condition on exercise performance during the menstrual cycle may more clearly determine the relationship between exercise capacity and the menstrual cycle.
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