ABSTRACT

In the absence of a single tooth, prosthetic treatments such as conventional fixed prosthesis and implant supporting single crown can be applied to the edentulous area. Adhesive bridges strengthened with fibre can be used as alternative treatments because they are economic and easy to repair. One of the other reasons to use the adhesive bridges as alternative treatment is that they do not require much preparation for supported teeth. In this study, we report a case of fibre reinforced adhesive bridge for work out esthetic, phonetic and functional problems in a patient who lost maxillary lateral incisor.
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ÖZ

Tek dış eksikliğinde dışsiz boşluğun giderilmesi için, geleneksel sabit protez veya implant üstü tek kron gibi protetik tedaviler uygulanabilmektedir. Ayrıca günümüzde, fiberle güçlendirilmiş adeziv köprüler ekonomik olmaları, kolay tamir edilebilmeleri ve destek dişlerde fazla preparasyon gerektirmemeleri gibi nedenlerle alternatif tedavi seçenekleri arasında yer almaktadır.

Bu çalışmada, travma nedeni ile üst sol yan kesici dişini kaybetmiş bir hastadaki estetik, fonetik ve fonksiyonel bozukluğun giderilmesi amacıyla uygulanan fibrele güçlendirilmiş köprü sunulmuştur.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Üst çene yan kesici diş, fiberle güçlendirme, adeziv köprü

INTRODUCTION

In view of development of adhesive techniques, conservative therapies that require fewer tooth preparation may be administered.1-5 Despite the fact that the metal resin has been found successful mechanically and clinically the reflection of the underlying metal motivated researchers to search for a more aesthetic material.6 Development of fibre-reinforced composites (FRC) has given an opportunity for metal-free restorations which are aesthetic and durable at the same time.7-13 In addition, unlike metals, FRC restorations are lack of the corrosion property and this eliminates the risk of allergic reaction.14

Fibre-reinforced composite resin bridges can be applied in the restoration of lost teeth due to trauma or failed endodontic treatment, when periodontal prognosis of the teeth adjacent to the missing tooth is uncertain, in the cases of patients cannot receive local anaesthesia or long-term therapy15-20 or when fixed placeholder is required to be used after orthodontic treatment and in cases where temporary restoration is necessary to be applied to patients prior to installations. However, it cannot be applied where a long edentulous space is present, in deep bite cases, in the presence of large restorations of support teeth and diastema.20-24

In fibre-reinforced composite resin bridges, fibres were used to form substructure and hybrid or
micro-filler composites were used to cover substructure. In dentistry, carbon-graphite, aramid, polyethylene and glass were used as fibre constitution in FRC. Because of carbon-graphite and aramid fibres are aesthetically unacceptable, composites reinforced with polyethylene and glass were preferred. Artificial acrylic teeth, porcelain teeth, composite resin teeth or patient’s own teeth may be used as pontic in adhesive bridges reinforced with fibres.

In this case presentation FRC restoration which was strengthened with polyethylene fibre and prepared with composite resin in order to bring back the aesthetics, function and phonation of a patient who lost a maxillary lateral tooth is reported.

CASE

A 25-year old male patient who lost a maxillary left lateral tooth due to trauma admitted to the Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Atatürk to solve the aesthetic problems. Intraoral examination showed that there was no other missing tooth and no restoration on support teeth; the periodontal tissues were healthy and edentulous space could be used as a support for adjacent teeth. No pathological examination was observed in the respective area during radiographic examination (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Pre treatment.

Treatment options were explained to the patient. The patient rejected the application of implant supporting single crown due to the financial reasons while did not prefer the conventional fixed bridge due to not being conservative. The fibre-reinforced composite bridge, which is a more conservative and aesthetics approach, was decided to be applied. After patient’s consent, the impression was taken by additional silicone impression material (Zhermack Elite HD, 45021 Badia Polesue, Italy) and hard plaster (Ultrarock Klassek D–63654, Megadental, Büdingen, Germany) was poured to obtain a dental cast. The stone cast of the opposing jaw (mandible) was prepared by pouring model plaster into the impression taken by alginate (Kromopan Alginate, Lascod, Florence, Italy). The models were sent to the laboratory after taking waxed closure of the patient.

The dental cavity and lingual surfaces of supporting teeth were measured by calliper in order to determine the length of the fibre required. The polyethylene fibre was cut by scissors accordingly (Ribbond; Ribbond, Seattle, WA, USA). Model was isolated with lac (gypsum and acrylic separator (Sepia)) then, nano hybrid composite resin (Grandio, VOCO, Cuxhaven, Germany) was put and plasma treated polyethylene fibre was placed to prepare the substructure of the bridge.

Fibre substructure was allowed to come in the middle of the edentulous space. Fibre and composite structure was polymerized by applying light from all directions for 20 seconds with intensity of 550mW/cm² with the aid of a light emitting device (Optilight Digital, Gnatus Dental S.R.L. Casagiove, Italy). The lateral tooth body (Pontic) was shaped by condensation technique. After completion of the restoration, the routine finishing and polishing procedures applied in the resin composite restorations were performed.

The lingual surfaces of adjacent teeth were treated with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds. Subsequently phosphoric acid was removed by washing with water and air dried. A self-etching adhesive system (Clearfil SE Bond, Kuraray, Kurashiki, Japan) was applied to the respective regions according to the manufacturer’s instructions and polymerized for 10 seconds by using a LED light device (Elipar FreeLight II, 3M ESPE, USA).

Length of the exposed ends of the fibre material was adjusted to the lingual surfaces of adjacent teeth with the help of special cutting scissors. Prior to application fibre was soaked in to bonding material (CLEARFIL SE BOND, Kuraray, Okayama Japan), and then polymerized with LED light device and then, was adapted to the adjacent teeth with nano and polymerized for 40 seconds. Composite surfaces were fixed and polishing procedure was...
performed by composite finishing burs (Mani, Dia-
scholarship, Tokyo, Japan) and composite polishing
discs (Sof-Lex, 3M-ESPE, St. Paul, USA) (Figure 2).

The treatment was finished in the same month and
followed up for a year.

Figure 2. Post treatment.

DISCUSSION

The success of the restoration depends on having a good treatment plan and choosing the right material. During the treatment plan the localization of the teeth, the length of the edentulous space and the patient's occlusion should be evaluated.

The fibre-reinforced composite resin bridges seem highly natural due to the semi-permeable property of FRC substructure. Therefore this gives a great advantage to FRC applications. The advantage of FRC applications over veneer crowns is that the former does not lead to attrition of the opposing tooth. However, FRC applications have some disadvantages such as requirement of a meticulous work and limited load-carrying capacity. The most controversial aspect of this kind of restorations are their degree of resistance to the chewing forces. In addition, even there is a break of polyethylene fibre reinforced bridge, the strip-like structure of the material avoids its possibility of tearing and becoming completely unusable. Also, the broken part can be easily repaired.

Gonulol et al. have solved both aesthetical and functional problems with FRC restorations in patients who lost the maxillary incisor tooth. Moreover, they reported long-term follow-up data. In a case, they could not receive good results with FRC in first premolar tooth. Because of limited load-carrying capacity, these restorations are not suitable for the premolar and molar regions.

Kuşçuoğlu et al. reported 2 year follow-up results of three cases with FRC in loss of maxillary incisor. During the 2 year follow-up time, no aesthetic or phonetic problem was observed. Moreover, these restorations have easily suited to the therapy.

Ulku and Belli have determined that FRC adhesive bridges showed acceptable success in 3 year clinical follow-up.

Ustun et al. have established that adhesive bridges reinforced with polyethylene fibre could be a good treatment option in short-term conditions.

Clinical studies have shown that FRC bridges can be used without problem up to 5-10 years. Although, initially, the fibre-reinforced composite dental bridge applications were considered as a temporary method for the restoration of front region teeth in the absence of a single tooth, because of its ease of preparation and non-invasive property, it can be used in appropriate cases as a permanent restoration.

In this study, the aesthetic aspects and polishing were achieved successfully since an FRC restoration was applied to the patient and the body part was prepared from composite and the implementation was performed under laboratory conditions rather than clinic. Furthermore, there has not been a separation between the restoration and adjacent teeth because the composite enabled the holding to the adjacent teeth. At the end of a one-month clinical follow-up of the patient, no breakage or staining was observed.

The fibre-reinforced composite adhesive bridges are conservative and economical treatment option which can be applied easily and in a short time for the absence of a single tooth or short edentulous spaces. Although there are case reports with successful results, further scientific studies are needed in order to eliminate the existing disadvantages.

Only one single case and relatively short follow up duration are the limitations of the present study.
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