Plio-Quaternary Sandy Deposits and Microbial Buildups at the Southern Marmara Shelf Near Shoreface Area, Turkey
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Abstract

Understanding the formation and evolution mechanism of the sandy deposits plays key role to define the hydrodynamics of the shelves and coasts. The barrier islands determined from high resolution chirp seismic reflection profiles, were started to deposit on the boundary (SB) that characterized by the lowstand stages of global sea level in the southern Marmara shelf near shoreface area. The unit has lost its activity at 55 ms, 60 ms depth in Erdek Bay, at 55 ms in Bandırma Bay, at 61 ms front of the Kocasu River. According to global sea level curves, this unit was evolved between 11000-11500 BP and 10450-10150 BP. The geometrical situation and internal reflection character of these units indicate the balance between fluvial sediment transportation, marine intrusion and current systems in the study area. Belkis Isthmus that connects the Kapıdağ Peninsula to the mainland occurred synchronously with same process that formed the barrier islands. Bioherm structures were formed on the barrier islands and some of the bioherm’s uppermost surface is reached to 1 m below the sea floor. Bioherm structures are composing from organisms; formation and evolution depend on various stress factors. Barrier islands provide nutritional source to bioherms. Since these structures occur in a limited area, the development should be controlled by secondary factors. Biogenic gas determined from seismic sections close to bioherm structures, probably plays the secondary role. Addition to this, during the forming and the growing of bioherms, Marmara Islands (Pasalimanı, Avşa, Marmara Islands) and İmralı Island were possibly control the currents and the flooding in the study area and provided convenient environment to these structures evolution.
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Introduction

The morphology, geology and underlying stratigraphy of a coastal system has been related to the near-shore dynamics and longer-term expressions of shoreline variations (Schwab et al., 2000; McNinch, 2004; Harris et al., 2005; Browder and McNinch, 2006; Schupp et al., 2006; Houser et al., 2008; Hapke et al., 2010b; Houser, 2012). The modifications of dunes and beaches, such as beach replenishment, increase the elevation, grade, and width of a beach, though their impacts on morphologic behavior are often poorly understood (Thornton et al., 2006; Park et al., 2009). The primary aim of this paper to map the geometry and the distribution of the buried barrier islands and microbial buildup (bioherm) at the southern Marmara shelf near offshore area, define these morphological structures by seismic stratigraphic principles and discuss the integration with the relative global sea level changes that affect the study area.

Barrier islands which found along 13% of the world's coastlines (Dean and Dalrymple, 2002), are elongated accumulations of sediment. Generally, the barrier islands are representing by the time-integrated deposition of past beach, backshore and dune environments, eroding layers deposited by shelf and land-based processes (Riggs et al., 1995). Such as most coastal depositional environments, the evolution of the barrier islands is controlled by
the balance between sediment accumulation and sediment accommodation, which is closely related to relative sea-level.

The formation of the barrier islands defined as aggradational, transgressive, and regressive styles (Fig 1) (Galloway and Hobday, 1983). Aggradational barriers form when the rate of sediment accumulation equals the rate of creation of sediment accommodation and the estuarine and ocean shorelines remain stationary through time forming a thick lithosome. Transgressive barriers form when the rate of sediment accumulation is less than the rate of creation of sediment shorelines of these islands migrate landward through time by wave erosion and overwash, forming a thin and low-elevation coastal lithosome. Regressive barriers form when the rate of sediment accumulation exceeds the rate of creation of sediment accommodation and the ocean shoreline progrades seaward while the estuarine shoreline remains relatively stable forming a wide and high-elevation barrier with ridge and swale topography.

Fig 1. Models of aggradational (A), transgressive (B), and regressive (C) barrier island evolution (after Galloway and Hobday, 1983).

The differences in architecture and the variety of structural, depositional, and compositional features observed microbial buildups have been also explained in terms of global climate and paleoceanographic collocation on the shelves. The analysis of the different reef building styles defined by the types of associated facies and by the early diagenetic features can provide information on the climatic and spatial conditions of the primary depositional setting. Since the relative development of the main reef-building components and their evolution within the reef communities appear to reflect the dominant physico-chemical factors of the environment. In an attempt to establish clear descriptive terms, Cumings and Shrock (1928) proposed bioherm for “a dome-like, lens-like
or other circumscribed mass built exclusively or mainly by sedimentary organisms and enclosed in normal rock of different lithological character’’.

In Aksu et al. (1999); shore-parallel, NW-SE trending depositional mounds which interpreted as barrier islands, were mapped at the Kapıdağ Peninsula which extends to Marmara Island and at the east and west of Imralı Island. The Internal reflections within delta packages that determined in Aksu et al. (1999), were laterally traced into the barrier islands thereby the authors were suggesting the barriers were successively active throughout the time of deltaic sedimentation, perhaps as delta-front sands were reworked by waves.

The bioherm structures in the different parts of the Marmara Sea were outlined in some previous studies (Aksu et al., 1999; Yaltırak et al., 2002; Çağatay et al., 2009), defined as algal-serpulid bioherm and pointed that were formed on the barrier islands and deltas during the Holocene (Aksu et al., 1999, Yaltırak et al., 2002). In Çağatay et al. 2009, the authors were characterized the stratigraphy of the bioherm structures with 1.5 meter length C1 core where picked from İstanbul Çekmece shelf nearly -73 meter depth and make δ18O and δ13C analysis.

And they conclude an increasing salinity in the Marmara Sea.

Study Area

The southern shelf of the Sea of Marmara covers a broader area (4194 km2) compared to the northern one and its average width is 20 km (Gazioğlu et al. 2002) (Fig. 3a). Along the 290-km long southern coasts, the study area covers three sub-basins, namely Erdek, Bandırma and Gemlik, lined up between the Karabiga Promontory in the west and Gemlik Village in the east. The steep and uplifted shores observed between the Kapıdağ Peninsula and Armutlu Peninsula, are mostly fault-controlled. Kapıdağ peninsula connects to mainland via Belkis Isthmus.

The surface sediments covering the study area (Fig 2) are composed of reflecting the effect of the strong riverine input (Kocasu, Gönen and Biga) and carbonate-rich biogenic sediments (Ergin et al. 1997; Balkıs and Cagatay, 2001; Ünlü and Alpar 2006). Erdek, Bandırma and Gemlik bays represent semi-isolated small sedimentary environments within the southern shelf and are dominated by fine-grained sediments. Kocasu River’s drainage area 21611 km2, average discharge 150.6 m3s-1, suspended sediment discharge 1986x1000 t yr-1 (Aksu et al. 1999). Gönen River’s drainage area 1193 km2, average discharge 16.0 m3s-1, suspended sediment discharge 78,000 t yr-1 (Aksu et al. 1999). Biga Rivers drainage area 2096 km2, average discharge 18.6 m3s-1, suspended sediment discharge 97,000 t yr-1 (Aksu et al. 1999).
2. Material and Method

New high-resolution chirp seismic data were collected in 2010-2011 (total 1000 km) (Fig. 2) by Bathy 2010P™ Chirp sub-bottom profiler and bathymetric echo sounder which provides high performance sub-bottom survey capability usually for shallow inland waterways by providing algorithms for peak signal detection. The system uses 4 transducers, each producing 2-8 kHz simultaneous chirp signals, in array configurations to provide full power capability. The power level, sweep bandwidth and detection threshold was adjusted automatically during the survey. The transmit pulse repetition rate was 1 Hz dependent on the depth range (150 m) and also on the selected pulse length which is short enough in order to resolve thin layers covering the sub-bottom strata. The depth resolution was about 0.2 meters with 50-60 meters of bottom penetration, but mainly depending on the bottom type. The speed of the research boat was set to 7.0-7.5 km/h during the survey. The ship's position and heading provided with a Magellan Proflex 500 scientific GPS were stored in data files. Following some basic data processing sequences such as gain recovery and filtering using Kogeo Seismic Toolkit 2.7, the seismic sections were interpreted with the aid of seismic reflection interpretation software “The Kingdom Suite” donated by “Seismic Micro Technology”. The travel times were converted to depth values below the present mean sea level using the typical interval velocities of 1500 and 1700 m/s, which have been found to be appropriate for the water column and near-surface siliciclastic sediments (Eriş et al. 2007), respectively.

3. Results

Barrier islands are determined by internal seismic reflection that characterized by continuous, sub-horizontal, gently landward dipping (Fig 3 a, b, c, d; Fig 4a,b) in the seismic reflection profiles. The unit formed on the sequence boundary surface (SB) that related with last lowstand sea level (Fig 3 a, b, c, d; Fig 4a,b). The upper surface depth of the unit at 55 ms (~45 m) in the SE-NW direction seismic reflection profiles in front of the Gönen River (Fig 3a). The thickness was thinning to the coastal area and immediately thicken controlled by normal fault (Fig 3a). The average thickness of the barrier island is 6ms (~5 m). Although the continuity of the unit was masked by the gas (Fig 4a), the observable part’s width is approximately 2000 m. Between Kapıdağ Peninsula and the mouth of the Gönen River (Fig 3b) the upper surface of the barrier island at 60 ms (~55 m) and ended by fault at the NW part of the bay. The average width and thickness is respectively 110 m, 2 ms (~1.7 m). In the Bandırma Bay the unit is observed at the NW side of the bay and the upper surface is at ~55ms (~45 m). The average thickness of the unit is 8ms (~6.6 m) and get thinner to the north. The southern edge of the unit is steeper than northern edge and the width is approximately 1300 m (Fig 3c). In front of the Kocasu River, average thickness of the unit is 10 ms (~ 8m) and the upper surface at -61 ms (50 m). The continuity of the unit was masked by the gas (Fig 3d), the observable part’s width is approximately 4500 m. The bioherm structures were determined as a “dome-like” and “lens shaped” seismic reflection character (Fig. 4a, b) and these structures began to accumulate on the uppermost surface of the barrier island (Fig. 4a, b). The transgression period seismic package thickness that deposited on the unconformity surface is approximately 13 ms (10 m) for whole study area. The bioherm structures were determined as a “dome-like” and “lens shaped” seismic reflection character (Fig. 4a, b) and these structures began to accumulate on the uppermost surface of the barrier island (Fig. 4a, b).
The transgression period seismic package thickness that deposited on the unconformity surface is approximately 13 ms (10 m) for whole study area. In the Erdek Bay, bioherm structures are bulked at the southern shoreface area of the Pasalimani Island (Fig 4a). Despite of the barrier island deposits still in the area the bioherm structures are immediately disappear to the west. The uppermost surface of the bioherm is reached to 1 m below the sea floor. The eastern side of the Kocasu River and the southeast offshore side of the Imralı Island is the only area that bioherms could be observed. (Fig 4b) and the upper surface is reached to 1 m below the sea floor.

4. Discussion and Conclusion
The all buried barrier islands in the study area were deposited on the unconformity relatively conformity surface (SB) that characterized with lowstand sea level. This unit was starting to deposit during the marine intrusion to the study area at 11000-11500 BP (Fig 5). Morphological
character of the unit in the seismic reflection profiles corresponds with aggradational model given by Galloway and Hobday (1983). Buried barrier islands expanded to wide area at the right and left side the Kocasu River offshore, narrow area at the northern side of the Bandırma Bay (Fig 6). In the Erdek Bay, unit is located between mouth of the Gonen River and Belkis Isthmus and southern side of the Pasalimani Island towards to Kapıdağ Peninsula (Fig. 6). The barrier islands geometry is relatively S-E direction (Fig 6). The geometrical situation and internal reflection character of these units indicate the balance between fluvial sediment transportation, marine intrusion and current systems in the study area. According to sea level curves given by Stanford et al. (2011), barrier islands lost its depositional activity at approximately at 10450-10150 BP (Fig 6). During the initial stage of the marine intrusion, barrier islands formed in one piece but after lost its activity current and fluvial erosion comminutes it. The differences of the uppermost surface depths probably arise from erosional processes or regional tectonics given by Vardar et al. (2014). Another interesting result can be derived from the barrier island geometry is Belkis Isthmus that connects the Kapıdağ Peninsula to the mainland occurred synchronously with same process that formed the barrier islands. This isthmus is at the interior part of the Bandırma and Gemlik Bays so that the development continues without being affected by erosion.

Fig 5. The elevation of the ridges controlling water connections in the Sea of Marmara depicted on the glacio-eustatic sea level changes for the last 20,000 yr BP given by Stanford et al. (2011)

Fig 6. The distribution and geometry of barrier islands and bioherm structrues in the study are, AI Avşa Island, PI Pasalimani Island, MI Marmara Island, BR Biga River, GR Gemlik River, KR Kocasu River
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