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#### Abstract

In this work, we present a compact hardware implementation of cryptographic hash algorithms; [Keccak, Skein \& JH] on Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) by using an efficient primitive level programming approach. All the logic is not only mapped onto Look-Up-Table (LUT) but also effectively utilizes FPGAs internal dedicated logical resource, such as Fast Carry Chain logic with MUXCY and $X O R C Y$ to reduce overall hardware resources. This approach results in the usage of a minimized chip area with a good balance between resources and speed for selected hash algorithms. All the implementation has been done on the latest Xilinx FPGAs and their results comparisons are presented in the form of chip area consumption, throughput and throughput per area with previous up-to-date implementations. The results show a substantial improvement as compared to all the previously reported works.
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## 1. Introduction

Cryptographic hash functions are an essential component in many information security applications such as digital signatures, message authentication codes (MACs) that require authentication, and data integrity. A secure cryptographic hash function must have two important properties: it should be irreversible and it should be collision free. However, many previous hash functions and cryptographic hash functions, such as SHA-0, SHA-1, RIPEMD, MD4, MD5, and HAVAL, have been found vulnerable to pre-image and collision attacks and should not be used because of their weaknesses [1-4].

Therefore, to ensure the long-term robustness of applications that use hash functions, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) USA has selected Keccak as a new cryptographic hash algorithm called SHA-3 [5] in 2012. Though Keccak is selected as a standard hash algorithm, the four remaining proposed hash algorithms candidates (Skein, BLAKE, JH and Grøstl) were also equally as good and provide flawless security [6]. Similarly, the design of JH and Grøstl are strongly inspired by AES [7] and both can be a good choice once we require unified architecture with encryption algorithm, such as AES. Therefore, in this paper, we provide an efficient hardware implementation of only three selected SHA-3 candidates: Keccak as SHA-3, Skein and JH with respect to unified architecture on the latest Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) technologies from Xilinx. FPGAs are the best known leading reconfigurable platform for hardware implementation. All modern Xilinx FPGAs [8] are equipped with Configurable Logic Blocks (CLBs) that contain not only Look-Up-Tables (LUTs) but also dedicated hardware resources, such as Fast Carry Chain logic, MUXCY and XORCY gates. These resources can be effectively utilized to speed up the operation of cryptographic hash algorithms with minimum area resources.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: An overview of related work is discussed in Section 2. In Section 3, the methodology adopted for our hardware implementation of Keccak, Skein \& JH is described. The resource efficient hardware architectures for Keccak, Skein \& JH with their brief overview are given in Section 4. In Section 5, we present the implementation results and comparisons with previously reported work. Section 6 provides performance evaluation of Keccak, Skein \& JH. Finally, we conclude our work in Section 7.

## 2. Related Work

Various groups around the world provide the hardware implementation for the above selected algorithms on both the FPGA and ASIC platforms in two main categories of implementation: high speed designs and compact designs. The high speed ASIC implementations are reported in [9-11]. A number of efficient compact FPGA implementations of these algorithms are reported in [12-15]. In this work, we focus on high-speed implementations of FPGAs as it provides a direct snapshot of the basic operations cost for a given algorithm. The SHA-3 Zoo website [16] reports the comprehensive results of the reported work, in which most are focused on high speed architectures. In Table 1, we provide a snapshot of the high-speed implementations results for FPGAs from different groups. The comprehensive studies on the above selected algorithms are reported by Baldwin et al. [17], Matsuo et al. [18], Gaj et al.
[19], Shahid et al. [20] and Homsirikamol et al. [21, 22]. In [17-22], the authors have investigated different design strategies and have implemented various architectures for every algorithm using pipelining, folding and loop unrolling approaches. However, here we have selected only the results of the basic iterative architecture (x1) for Keccak, basic iterative architecture with 4 unrolled stages (x4) for Skein and basic iterative architecture (x1) with memory for JH.

TABLE 1. SHA-3 Candidates Implementations

| SHA-3 candidates | Author(s) | Device | 256-bit |  |  |  | 512-bit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {max }}$ | Area | TP | TPA | $F_{\text {max }}$ | Area | TP | TPA |
| Keccak | Keccak Team [27] | Virtex-5 | 122.00 | 1330 | 5.20 | 3.91 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Strömbergson [23] | Spartan-3 | 85.00 | 3393 | 4.80 | 1.41 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Strömbergson [23] | Virtex-5 | 118.00 | 1483 | 6.70 | 4.52 | - | - | - |  |
|  | Baldwin et al.[17] | Virtex-5 | 195.73 | 1971 | 6.26 | 3.17 | 195.73 | 1971 | 8.52 | 4.32 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 205.00 | 1433 | 4.20 | 2.93 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Akin et al. [24] | Spartan-3 | 81.40 | 2024 | 3.46 | 1.71 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Akin et al. [24] | Virtex-4 | 142.90 | 2024 | 6.07 | 3.00 |  | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1375 | 12.75 | 9.27 | - | 1283 | 7.18 | 5.60 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-6 | - | 1165 | 11.84 | 10.17 | - | 1231 | 7.23 | 5.87 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 1395 | 12.77 | 9.16 | - | 1220 | 6.56 | 5.37 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 248.2 | 1338 | 11.25 | 8.41 | - | - | - | - |
| Skein | Baldwin et al. [17] | Virtex-5 | - | - | - | - | 83.58 | 2756 | 0.97 | 0.35 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 115.00 | 854 | 0.283 | 0.33 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1245 | 3.13 | 2.51 | - | 1348 | 2.97 | 2.20 |
|  | Long [25] | Virtex-5 | 114.94 | 931 | 0.407 | 0.44 | 114.94 | 1758 | 0.82 | 0.46 |
|  | Tillich [26] | Virtex-5 | 68.40 | 937 | 1.751 | 1.87 | 69.04 | 1632 | 3.535 | 2.17 |
|  | Tillich [26] | Spartan-3 | 26.14 | 2421 | 0.669 | 0.28 | 26.66 | 4273 | 1.365 | 0.32 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-6 | - | 1216 | 3.52 | 2.90 | - | 1591 | 3.11 | 1.96 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 1476 | 2.94 | 1.99 | - | 1658 | 2.81 | 1.7 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 95.2 | 1306 | 2.56 | 1.96 | - | - | - | - |
| JH | Baldwin et al.[17] | Virtex-5 | 144.11 | 1763 | 1.64 | 0.93 | 144.11 | 1763 | 1.64 | 0.93 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 201.00 | 2661 | 0.733 | 0.27 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1001 | 4.54 | 4.54 | - | 1125 | 4533 | 4.03 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-6 | - | 847 | 5.70 | 6.73 | - | 896 | 5.34 | 5.95 |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 909 | 4.62 | 5.09 | - | 1020 | 4.73 | 4.64 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 354.7 | 985 | 5.04 | 5.12 | - | - | - | - |

$F_{\text {max }}$ in MHz, Area in Slices, $T P$ in Gbps and TPA in Mbps/Slice

## 3. Implementation Methodology

We have implemented the 256-bit and 512-bit variants of the selected SHA-3 candidates: Keccak, Skein \& JH. Our designs are fully autonomous with complete I/O interfaces. We targeted them for efficient implementations; however, we kept in mind the fair hardware performance comparison for these candidates.

### 3.1. I/O Interface

The input/output interface is shown in Fig. 1(a). Each I/O transaction is sampled at the rising edge of the system clock. The input cycle is started by setting the load signal to high. After that, the Hash Module sets the ack signal to high if it is able to receive input data. The input data is then received in the form of 64-bit words at every rising edge of the clock, and during this transaction, the ack signal remains high. When the Hash Module has received the desired amount of data_in, then this ack signal is set to low by it, and if no further transactions are required, then the load signal is pull down to low by the I/O Interface. Similarly, when the final hash value becomes available by the Hash Module, then hash_valid is set to high by it. The output data is then transferred in the form of 64-bit words on each rising edge of the clock until the desired hash length is received.

### 3.2. Control and Data Paths

The hash module has two major parts: the control path and the data path. The block diagram of the hash module is given in Fig. 1(b). The control path consists of the Finite State Machine, State register, clock and counter, while the data path consists of input and output registers, the Hash Core and intermediate registers. The input registers of the data path consists of registers


Figure 1. (a) Common I/O Interface (b) Hash Module separated in Control and Data paths
to store messages and other input parameters, such as the Initialization Vector (IV) in the case of JH . The Hash Core is the main arithmetic logic unit of the hash algorithm. Intermediate registers are utilized to store intermediate results of the hash algorithm. The output register contains the resulting hash output.

### 3.3. Xilinx FPGA Architecture and its Implication on the Design of SHA-3 Algorithms

The architectures of the latest FPGA families from Xilinx (Virtex-6, Virtex-7, and Spartan 6) are based on 6-input LUTs, named LUT6 [8]. A CLB Slice of a Xilinx FPGA consists of four such LUTs and the Fast Carry Chain logic. Each LUT6 has six independent inputs and two independent outputs. These LUTs may be configured and used in many different ways. A LUT6 may be used as an independent 5-input LUT using the LUT5 primitive from the Xilinx HDL library, as shown in Fig. 2(a). On the other hand, it is possible to implement any two 5input logic functions with shared inputs using the LUT6_2 primitive, as shown in Fig. 2(b). In this case, the LUT input $i 5$ selects between two 5 -input logic functions to connect to output


Figure 2. LUT5, LUT6_2 and CARRY4 primitives in the Xilinx HDL library

O6. The same LUT6_2 primitive may be used to draw two independent outputs from a LUT6 with shared 5-inputs. In this case, input $i 5$ should be tied to logic high (i.e. 1). The INIT value in hexadecimal, shown under the attributes in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b), configures the LUT to perform the desired operation at its inputs. The INIT value is derived by laying down the truth table for all possible combinations of the LUT inputs and outputs. The Fast Carry Chain logic in Fig. 2(c) is useful for the implementation of logical functions such as $A N D, O R$ and NOT. It consists of four multiplexers and four $X O R$ gates that connect to the LUTs in the same Slice through dedicated routing to form more complex functions. We have used these primitives excessively in the architectural designs of Keccak, Skein \& JH. We also exploit the techniques presented in [28] for efficient utilization of modern FPGA resources.

## 4. Proposed Implementation

### 4.1. Keccak Design

Keccak [27] is a family of sponge functions (Keccak [r, c]) parameterized by bitrate $r$ and capacity $c$. It is restricted to the set of seven permutations $\{25,50,100,200,400,800$, and $1600\}$ formed by the sum of $r+c$. Keccakf[1600] is the initial proposal for SHA-3 with different $r$ and $c$ values for each desired length of the hash output. For the 256-bit hash output $r=1088$ and $c=512$, and for the 512-bit hash output $r=576$ and $c=1024$, Keccak- $f[1600]$ consists of 1600 bits of an input state array that are arranged in the form of a $5 \times 5$ matrix of 64-bit words. The permutation function of Keccak- $f[1600]$ comprises 24 rounds, with each round having a total of five steps: theta $(\theta)$, rho $(\rho)$, pi $(\pi)$, chi $(\chi)$ and iota $(i)$. These five steps consists of bitwise operations such as $X O R, N O T$, $A N D$ and bitwise cyclic shift operators [27].

The sequential design of Keccak is shown in Fig. 3(a). The Reg represents the $A$ matrix register on which processing of the Keccak algorithm takes place with the width defined to $r+c$ (bits). As defined above for Keccak-256, $r$ is specified as 1088 -bits while $c$ is specified as 512 -bits; similarly for Keccak-512, $r$ is specified as 576 -bits and $c$ as 1024-bits. Accordingly Reg will be 1600-bits. Initially, the content of Reg is initialized with all zeros.

The input message block of r is directly copied to Reg after concatenating it with c number of zeros with the help of the Concat block in Fig. 3(a). The compression function of Keccak consists of five steps; $\theta, \rho, \pi, \chi$ and i . In Fig. 3(a), each step is denoted by the symbol as specified in the Keccak specifications. Wherever possible, we have combined these steps during implementation. We have implemented the second and third equations of $\theta$ and $\rho$ as a single step. Moreover, we integrated $\pi, \chi$ and i in the next step. The arithmetic operations, and the XOR, AND \& NOT operations are implemented using LUT primitives from Xilinx specific libraries. The following are details of the implementation of each step:

### 4.1.1. Theta ( $\theta$ ) and Rho ( $\rho$ ) Step

There are three equations in the $\theta$ step. The first equation is implemented using the LUT5 primitive for XOR logic, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The INIT value in hexadecimal, shown under


Figure 3. Architectural detail of Keccak
attributes in figure, configures the LUT to perform an XOR operation at its inputs. The INIT value is derived by laying down the truth table for all possible combinations of LUT inputs. To XOR five 64-bit operands of the equation, the LUT5 primitive is instantiated 64 times. For complete implementation of the equation, five 64 LUT5 primitives are required. We combine the remaining two equations of the theta step with $\rho$. The cyclic shift constant $r[x, y]$ is fixed and known for each position of matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. The LUT3 primitive is used for XOR logic in the second equation of $\theta$, as shown in Fig. 3(c), while the one-bit rotation of the last operand in the third equation of $\theta$ and $\rho$ are implemented through rewiring.

### 4.1.2. Pi ( $\pi$ ), Chi ( $\chi$ ) and Iota (i) Steps

The $\pi$ is a permutation step and it is also combined with Chi $(\chi)$ and Iota (i) Steps. In the $\chi$ step, three logical operations, XOR, NOT and AND, are implemented by using Fast Carry Chain logic with in same CLB instead of using the FPGA LUT primitive, as shown in Fig. 3(c). Thei step involves a simple XOR of a round constant with the least significant 64 bits of Reg, i.e. A[0,0]. It is also combined with the equations of the $\theta$ step and implemented using the LUT4 primitive, as shown in Fig. 3(c)

The round constants ( $R C$ ) are stored in a distributed ROM of $24 \times 64$ bits, implemented by using LUTs in a single port configuration. The round constant for each round is selected by means of a round number which is used as the ROM address. The whole Keccak algorithm takes 24 clock cycles to complete 24 rounds. After completion of 24 rounds on a message block, resulting $r$-bits of the state of Reg are XORed with the next message block and the same round sequence is repeated. This process continues until the end of all message blocks Finally, the state of Reg is truncated to the desired length of the hash output.

### 4.2. Skein Design

Skein [29] is a group of cryptographic hash functions for the three internal state sizes: 256, 512 and 1024 bits. It consists of three components: Threefish Block Cipher, Unique Block Iteration (UBI), and Optional Argument System. The core of Skein is built upon the tweakable block cipher that makes every instant of the compression function of Skein unique. For every input message, it divides the block into equal sizes of 64 -bit words and performs a simple non-linear MIX operation and permutation for every pair of words. The MIX function consists of an addition, a cyclic shift and an XOR operation.

The data path implemented for Skein is shown in Fig. 4(a). The Add_Subkey module consists of eight 64 -bit adders that are implemented using fast carry chain logic available in Xilinx FPGAs. The Threefish compression function of Skein is implemented in the form of Round_A and Round_B. Both of these modules are identical except for the value of the left shift constant $R$ involved in the MIX operation, which is different. Initially, the plaintext message has been added to the input key before being provided to the system. The first multiplexer selects between the input data only for the first clock cycle and for the feedback data for the remaining 72 rounds. The resulting output is then passes to the de-multiplexer that assigned date to either Round_A or Round_B. Both the de-multiplexer and second multiplexer are control by the same select input S2. The output of second multiplexer is then fed to the Add_Subkey module to add it with round subkey.


FIGURE 4. Architectural detail of Skein

The complete design for both the Round_A and Round_B modules are given in Fig. 4(b). In the Round_A module, four unrolled rounds are partially implemented from 1 to 4 with their rotational constants, while in the Round_B module, rounds 5 to 8 are implemented. Each round consists of MIX and Permutation operations with constant $R$ values and these four rounds are then iteratively used to complete 72 rounds of the compression function. We have efficiently implemented the second step in the MIX module using a LUT2 primitive depicted in Fig. 7(c). The Add_Subkey module is a 256 -bit adder having input keys from the key scheduler; however, here in our design, we calculate the subkeys on-the-fly. The execution of both the modules (Round_A and Round_B) which occurs on the rising edge of each clock pulse and the next subkey is available on the falling edge of the same clock. In this manner, the complete four rounds of the module and subkey addition is executed in one clock cycle. Therefore, to complete 72 rounds and 19 subkey additions of Skein-256, 18 clock cycles will be required instead of 72 clock cycles. The final hash value will be available after the latency of 18 cycles at the output of the $X O R$ gate.

### 4.3. JH Design

JH [30] is based on the idea that large block ciphers can be constructed through small components and a constant key. Its methodology is highly inspired by the AES design to high dimensions. It uses two types of S-boxes and a selection of each S-box for a given 4-bit substitution is controlled by the respective bit value of a round constant. JH has four variants, i.e. JH-224, JH-256, JH-384 and JH-512, with only a difference in the initial values (IV) and the output hash lengths. The JH compression function is constructed from the bijective function $E$ with a total of 42 rounds. Each round is composed of a 4-bit S-box substitution, a linear transformation and a series of three permutations [30].

The data path implemented for JH is shown in Fig. 5(a). The state_reg represents the intermediate JH state register, on which processing of the JH algorithm takes place and is initialized with the $I V$ of a desired hash digest size. The JH hash function uses the same algorithm for all JH variants with only a difference in $I V$ and the hash output registers. Then a complete JH compression is processed by setting msg and the round constant RC to zero. The higher order 512 bits of the resulting state of the JH compression is then XORed with first message block and stored in state_reg. Then the contents of state_reg are processed through a JH compression function with their respective round constants. The Trunc. block represents the truncation operation, while the Concat. block represents the concatenation operation. The grouping and de-grouping blocks are used to perform grouping and de-grouping of JH state


Figure 5. Architectural detail of JH
bits into 4-bit pairs as specified in JH [30]. In terms of hardware implementation, these steps are achieved through a simple rewiring of the interconnects at no cost to resources.

### 4.3.1. JH Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU)

JH ALU consists of S-boxes (S) and linear transformation units (L). For S-box, we used the LUT6_2 primitive (Fig. 2(b)) and we used both of its outputs, i.e. $O 5$ and 06 . Using this approach, the 4 S-boxes are adjusted within a single slice. Here, the S-box logic of JH ALU consists of only 128 slices. The implementation of a single S-box using this approach is depicted in Fig. 5(b). The INIT values (in hexadecimal), shown in the figure, are actual configuration values for each LUT to perform the S-box operation. The linear transformation is also implemented using the same optimized approach. The LUT6_2 primitive, with both outputs $O 5$ and $O 6$, is used. Implementation of a single linear transformation unit (L) is depicted in Fig. 5(c). The INIT values (in hexadecimal), shown in the figure, are actual configuration values for each LUT to perform the L operation. The same variables are shown for the inputs and outputs in Fig. 5(c), as denoted in the linear transformation equations in the specification document [30].

The round constants (RC) are stored in a single port distributed ROM of $43 \times 256$ bits. The round constant for each round is selected by means of a round number which is used as the ROM address. The completion of 42 rounds of the JH compression function (ALU) takes a total of 42 clock cycles. After the completion of 42 rounds, the resulting lower order 512 bits of the JH compression state is XORed with msg in order to obtain the next chaining hash value, while the higher order 512 bits of the resulting chaining hash value is XORed with the next message block. It is then stored in state_reg and the same compression sequence is repeated on it. This process continues until the end of all the message blocks. Finally, the resulting lower 512 bits of chaining hash value is truncated to the desired length of the hash output

## 5. Implementation Results and Comparisons

All the proposed designs have been implemented using the Xilinx ISE 14.7 platform by targeting latest Xilinx Virtex-7 as well as the Virtex-5 family devices. All the designs were synthesized using Xilinx Synthesis Technology (XST) v.14.7. Furthermore, the functionality of each design was tested and verified by an ISim simulator. Detailed device specifications include: Virtex-5 LX30T, speed grade 3, package FF323 (5vlx30tff323-3) and Virtex-7 LX585T, speed grade 3, and package FFG1761 (7vlx585ffg1761-3).

Table 2 shows the achieved area consumption (Area), clock frequency (F_max), throughput (TP) and throughput per area (TPA) for our implemented designs. The Block Size is the block size of the message in bits and N_clk is the number of clock cycles required for the hash of a single message block. The results for Virtex-5 were provided for comparison purposes as no implementation results were available on Virtex-7, while the Virtex-7 results were provided for future applications that will be using the new technology of FPGAs in their designs.

TABLE 2. Implementation results for the 256-bit and 512-bit variants for the SHA-3 candidates

| SHA-3 Candidates | Device | 256-bit |  |  |  |  |  | 512-bit |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Block Size [bis] | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\text {[cycles] }}^{\boldsymbol{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{F}_{\text {max }} \\ & {[\mathrm{MHz}]} \end{aligned}$ | Area [Slices] | $\underset{[\mathrm{Gb} / \mathrm{s}]}{\boldsymbol{T}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{T P A} \\ \text { [Mbps/ } \\ \text { slice] } \end{gathered}$ | Block Size [bits] | $\underset{\text { [cycles] }}{\boldsymbol{N}_{\text {clk }}}$ | $\underset{[\mathrm{MHz}]}{\boldsymbol{F}_{\max }}$ | Area [Slices] | $\underset{[\mathrm{Gb} / \mathrm{s}]}{\boldsymbol{T}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TPA } \\ \text { [Mbps } \\ \text { /slice] } \end{gathered}$ |
| Keccak | Virtex-5 | 1088 | 24 | 277 | 1217 | 12.56 | 10.31 | 576 | 24 | 270 | 1200 | 6.48 | 5.4 |
|  | Virtex-7 | 1088 | 24 | 300 | 998 | 13.60 | 13.63 | 576 | 24 | 298.68 | 983 | 7.17 | 7.27 |
| Skein | Virtex-5 | 256 | 18 | 109.63 | 450 | 1.56 | 3.46 | 512 | 18 | 110 | 980 | 3.13 | 3.19 |
|  | Virtex-7 | 256 | 18 | 139.23 | 465 | 1.98 | 4.26 | 512 | 18 | 120 | 1020 | 3.41 | 3.34 |
| JH | Virtex-5 | 512 | 42 | 287.44 | 865 | 3.50 | 4.05 | 512 | 42 | 292.48 | 888 | 3.57 | 4.02 |
|  | Virtex-7 | 512 | 42 | 329.49 | 587 | 4.02 | 6.84 | 512 | 42 | 338.41 | 679 | 4.13 | 6.08 |

For comparison purposes, we repeat only the Xilinx Virtex-5 results given by previous implementations in Table 1, as Table 3 with the inclusion of our Virtex-5 FPGA results only as no implementation results are available on Xilinx Virtex-7 FPGA. We achieved significant improvements in the implementation results from previously reported work. We take advantage of LUT and Carry Chain resources, available in Xilinx FPGAs, to reduce chip area consumption with a balanced area and speed ratio. The use of resource primitives from Xilinx specific libraries allowed us to design optimal hardware with a minimal use of resources.

TABLE 3. Comparison with previous work

| SHA-3 <br> Candidate | Author(s) | FPGA | 256-bit |  |  | 512-bit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {max }}$ | Area | TPA | $F_{\text {max }}$ | Area | TPA |
| Keccak | Our work | Virtex-5 | 277 | 1217 | 10.31 | 270 | 1200 | 5.4 |
|  | Baldwin et al.[17] | Virtex-5 | 195.73 | 1971 | 3.17 | 195.73 | 1971 | 4.32 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 205.00 | 1433 | 2.93 | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1375 | 9.27 | - | 1283 | 5.60 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 296.7 | 1369 | 9.83 | - | - | - |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 1395 | 9.16 | - | 1220 | 5.37 |
|  | Strömbergson [23] | Virtex-5 | 118.00 | 1483 | 4.52 | - | - |  |
|  | Keccak Team [27] | Virtex-5 | 122.00 | 1330 | 3.91 | - | - | - |
| Skein | Our work | Virtex-5 | 109.63 | 450 | 3.46 | 110 | 980 | 3.19 |
|  | Baldwin et al. [17] | Virtex-5 | - | - | - | 83.58 | 2756 | 0.35 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 115.00 | 854 | 0.33 | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1245 | 2.51 | - | 1348 | 2.20 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 95.2 | 1306 | 1.96 | - | - | - |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 1476 | 1.99 | - | 1658 | 1.7 |
|  | Long [25] | Virtex-5 | 114.94 | 931 | 0.44 | 114.94 | 1758 | 0.46 |
|  | Tillich [26] | Virtex-5 | 68.40 | 937 | 1.87 | 69.04 | 1632 | 2.17 |


| $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{J H}$ | Our work | Virtex-5 | 287.44 | $\mathbf{8 6 5}$ | 4.05 | 292.48 | $\mathbf{8 8 8}$ | 4.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baldwin et al.[17] | Virtex-5 | 144.11 | 1763 | 0.93 | 144.11 | 1763 | 0.93 |
|  | Matsuo et al. [18] | Virtex-5 | 201.00 | 2661 | 0.27 | - | - | - |
|  | Gaj et al. [19] | Virtex-5 | - | 1001 | 4.54 | - | 1125 | 4.03 |
|  | Shahid et al.[20] | Virtex-5 | 403.5 | 1004 | 5.72 | - | - | - |
|  | Homsirikamol et al. [22] | Virtex-5 | - | 909 | 5.09 | - | 1020 | 4.64 |

$F_{\text {max }}$ in MHz, Area in Slices and TPA in Mbps/Slice

In Table 3, we show our exceeding results in bold font. Most of our results for Virtex-5 are exceeding from the previously reported work in terms of throughput per area. Only JH is the case where our throughput per area results are slightly less than that of [20] and [22] on Virtex-5. However, in the case of Keccak and Skein, our throughput per area results are ahead of previously reported work with an exceptional use of a smaller area.

## 6. Performance Comparison of Keccak, Skein \& JH

Figures 8 and 9 represent the performance comparison of 256 -bit and 512-bit variants, respectively, in a graphical view based on our results. It is clear from the graphs that Keccak is far ahead of the other two candidates, on both Virtex-5 and Virtex-7, in terms of throughput (TP) and throughput per area (TPA) for both 256-bit and 512-bit variants. The difference is large for the 256 -bit variant; however, in the case of the 512-bit variants, the performance of JH and Skein is nearer to Keccak. For 256-bit variants, JH gives better throughput per area performance than Skein. In terms of area consumption, Skein leads all of the other candidates by consuming less area for the 256 -bit variants, while for the 512 -bit variants, the area consumption by JH is lower.


FIGURE 8. Performance comparison of 256-bit variants of SHA-3 finalists


FIGURE 9. Performance Comparison of 512-bit variants of SHA-3 Finalists

Hence, in a resource constrained environment, Skein (256-bit variants) is the better option because of its lower area consumption as compared to Keccak and JH, whereas for 512-bit variants, JH is compact. In terms of throughput, again Keccak is far ahead for 256-bit digest sizes. For TP and TPA, Skein is well behind the performances of Keccak and JH. Skein has computationally intensive designs as compared to other algorithms. If we consider throughput per area as the major deciding factor for performance comparisons, we can easily rank Keccak first followed by JH and Skein.

## 7. Conclusions

In this work, we have presented efficient hardware implementations of Keccak as SHA-3, Skein and JH with respect to a unified architecture. Dedicatedly mapped LUT resources on FPGAs with a combination of Fast Carry Chain, MUXCY and XORCY are used to enhance the hardware performance of these cryptographic hash algorithms in terms of area. Reported implementation results of 256 -bit and 512-bit variants of each algorithm on Xilinx Virtex-5 and Virtex-7 FPGA shows significant improvements in terms of area, throughput and throughput per area.
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