http://www.newtheory.org

ISSN: 2149-1402



Received: 15.12.2015 Published: 31.03.2016 Year: 2016, Number: 12, Pages: 44-50 Original Article<sup>\*\*</sup>

#### ON SOME BITOPOLOGICAL SEPARATION AXIOMS

Arafa Nasef<sup>1</sup> <nasefa50@yahoo.com> Roshdey Mareay<sup>2,\*</sup> <roshdeymareay@sci.kfs.edu.eg>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt

Abstaract — Fletcher et al. [1] introduced the concept of pairwise compactness for bitopological spaces. Reilly extended this concept to a larger class of bitopological spaces, called pairwise Lindelöf spaces. In this paper we prove some results on the bitopological spaces which have well known topological analogues.

Keywords - Bitopological space; pairwise Lindelöf; pairwise countably compact.

## 1 Introduction

In 1963, Kelly [2] introduced the notion of bitopological spaces. Such spaces equipped with its two (arbitrary) topologies. The reader is suggested to refer [2] for the detail definitions and notations. Furthermore, Kelly was extended some of the standard results of separation axioms in a topological space to a bitopological space. Such extensions are pairwise regular, pairwise Hausdorff and pairwise normal. There are several works [1] dedicated to the investigation of bitopologies, i.e., pairs of topologies on the same set; most of them deal with the theory itself but very few with applications. We are concerned in this paper with the idea of pairwise Lindelöf in bitopological spaces and give some results.

# 2 Preliminary

Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (or simply X) are always mean topological spaces and bitopological spaces, respectively. Let F be a subset

<sup>\*\*</sup> Edited by Oktay Muhtaroğlu (Area Editor) and Naim Çağman (Editor-in-Chief).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_1 - cl(F)$  and  $\tau_2 - cl(F)$  represent the  $\tau_1$ -closure and  $\tau_2$ -closure of F with respect to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , respectively. The open (respectively closed) sets in X with respect to  $\tau_1$  is denoted by  $\tau_1$ -open (respectively  $\tau_1$ -closed), and the open (respectively closed) sets in X with respect to  $\tau_2$  is denoted by  $\tau_2$ -open (respectively  $\tau_2$ -closed).

**Definition 2.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise-compact if the topological space  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are both compact. Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise-compact if every  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a finite  $\tau_1$ -open cover and every  $\tau_2$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a finite  $\tau_2$ -open cover.

In [5], it was mentioned that Birsan has given definitions of pairwise compactness which do allow Tychonoff product theorems. According to Birsan, a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise compact (denote  $p_1$ -compact) if every  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a finite  $\tau_2$ -open cover and every  $\tau_2$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a finite  $\tau_1$ -open cover. We will generalize it to pairwise Lindelöf in Section 4.

We shall sometimes say that a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a particular topological property, without referring specifically to  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$ , and we shall then mean that both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  have the property; for instance,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to satisfy second axiom of countability if both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  do so.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.

- (a) A set G is said to be pairwise open if G are both  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open in X,
- (b) A set F is said to be pairwise closed if F are both  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $\tau_2$ -closed in X.
- (c) A cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise open if its elements are members of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  and if contains at least one non-empty member of each  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

### **3** Bitopological Separation Axioms

**Definition 3.1.** [2] In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), \tau_1$  is said to be regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  if, for each point  $x \in X$ , there is a  $\tau_1$ -neighbourhood base of  $\tau_2$ -closed sets, or, as is easily seen to be equivalent, if, for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $\tau_1$ -closed set F such that  $x \notin F$ , there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set U and a  $\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

 $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is, or  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are, pairwise regular if  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  and vice versa.

**Theorem 3.1.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  if and only if for each point  $x \in X$  and  $\tau_1$ -open set H containing x, there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set U such that

 $x \in U \subseteq \tau_2 - cl(U) \subseteq H.$ 

Proof. (Necessity) suppose  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Let  $x \in X$  and H is a  $\tau_1$ -open set containing x. Then  $G = X \setminus H$  is a  $\tau_1$ -closed set which  $x \notin G$ . Since  $\tau_1$  is

regular with respect to  $\tau_2$ , then there are  $\tau_1$ -open set U and  $\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $x \in U, G \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $U \subseteq X \setminus V$ , then  $\tau_2 - cl(U) \subseteq \tau_2 - cl(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V \subseteq X \setminus G = H$ . Thus,  $x \in U \subseteq \tau_2 - cl(U) \subseteq H$  as desired.

(Sufficiency)Suppose the condition holds. Let  $x \in X$  and F is a  $\tau_1$ -closed set such that  $x \notin F$ . Then  $x \in X \setminus F$ , and by hypothesis there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq \tau_2 - cl(U) \subseteq X \setminus F$ . It follows that  $x \in U, F \subseteq X \setminus \tau_2 - cl(U)$  and  $U \cap (X \setminus \tau_2 - cl(U)) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.1.** In other words, Theorem 3.1 stated that  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  if, for each point  $x \in X$ , there is a  $\tau_1$ -neighbourhood base of  $\tau_2$ -closed sets containing x. This is equivalent definition in Definition 3.1.

If  $\tau_2$  is also regular with respect to  $\tau_1$ , we have the similar result as previous theorem and stated in the following corollary. By these reason we obtain a pairwise regular space.

**Corollary 3.1.** In a space bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), \tau_2$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_1$  if and only if for each point  $x \in X$  and  $\tau_2$ -open set H containing x, there exists a  $\tau_2$ -open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq \tau_1 - cl(U) \subseteq H$ .

If  $Y \subseteq X$ , then the collections  $(\tau_1)_Y = \{A \cap Y : A \in \tau_1\}$  and  $(\tau_2)_Y = \{B \cap Y : B \in \tau_2\}$  are the relative topology on Y. A bitopological space  $(Y, (\tau_1)_Y, (\tau_2)_Y)$  is then called a subspace of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Moreover, Y is said to be pairwise closed subspace of X if Y is both  $(\tau_1)_Y$ -closed and  $(\tau_2)_Y$ -closed in X. The pairwise open subspace is defined in the similar way.

the following theorem shows that, pairwise regular spaces satisfy the hereditary property.

**Theorem 3.2.** Every subspace of a pairwise regular bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise regular.

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise regular space and let  $(Y, (\tau_1)_Y, (\tau_2)_Y)$  be a subspace of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Furthermore, let F be a  $(\tau_1)_Y$ -closed set in Y, then  $F = A \cap Y$  where A is a  $\tau_1$ -closed set in X. Now if  $y \in Y$  and  $y \notin F$ , then  $y \notin A$ , so there are  $\tau_1$ -open set U and  $\tau_2$ -open set V such that

 $y \in U$ ,  $A \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

But  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  are  $(\tau_1)_Y$  -open set and  $(\tau_2)_Y$  -open set in Y, respectively. Also  $y \in U \cap Y$ ,  $F \subseteq V \cap Y$  and  $(U \cap Y) \cap (V \cap Y) = (U \cap V) \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

Similarly, let G be a  $(\tau_2)_Y$ -closed set in Y, then  $G = B \cap Y$  where B is a  $\tau_2$ -closed set in X. Now if  $y \in Y$  and  $Y \notin G$ , then  $y \notin B$ , so there are  $\tau_2$ -open set U and  $\tau_2$ -open set V such that

 $y \in U$ ,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . But  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  are  $(\tau_2)_Y$ -open set and  $(\tau_1)_Y$ -open set in Y, respectively. Also  $y \in U \cap Y$ ,  $G \subseteq V \cap Y$  and  $(U \cap Y) \cap (V \cap Y) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.2.** (Kelly, 1963). A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise normal if, given a  $\tau_1$ -closed set A and a  $\tau_2$ -closed set B with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , there exist a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ -open set V such that  $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal if, given a  $\tau_2$ -closed set C and a  $\tau_1$ -open set D such that  $C \subseteq D$ , there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set G and  $\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $C \subseteq G \subseteq F \subseteq D$ .

We shall prove the equivalent definition above in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal if and only if given a  $\tau_2$ -closed set C and a  $\tau_1$ -open set D such that  $C \subseteq D$ , there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set Gand a  $\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $C \subseteq G \subseteq F \subseteq D$ .

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal. Let C be a  $\tau_2$ -closed set and D a  $\tau_1$ -open set such that  $C \subseteq D$ . Then  $K = X \setminus D$  is a  $\tau_1$ -closed set with  $K \cap C = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal, there exists a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ -open set V such that  $K \subseteq U, C \subseteq G$  and  $U \cap G = \emptyset$ . Hence  $G \subseteq X \setminus U \subseteq X \setminus K = D$ . Thus  $C \subseteq G \subseteq X \setminus U \subseteq D$  and the result follows by taking  $X \setminus U = F$ .

(Sufficiency)Suppose the condition holds. Let A be a  $\tau_1$ -closed set and B a  $\tau_2$ -closed set with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $D = X \setminus A$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open set with  $B \subseteq D$ . By hypothesis, there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set G and a  $\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $B \subseteq G \subseteq F \subseteq D$ . It follows that  $A = X \setminus D \subseteq X \setminus F, B \subseteq G$  and  $(X \setminus F) \cap G = \emptyset$ . where  $X \setminus F$  is  $\tau_2$ -open set and G is  $\tau_1$ -open set. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal if and only if given a  $\tau_1$ -closed set C and a  $\tau_2$ -open set D such that  $C \subseteq D$ , there are a  $\tau_2$ -open set Uand a  $\tau_1$ -closed set F such that  $C \subseteq U \subseteq F \subseteq D$ .

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal. Let C be a  $\tau_1$ -closed set and D a  $\tau_2$ -open set such that  $C \subseteq D$ . Then K = X - D is a  $\tau_2$ -closed set with  $C \cap K = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal, there exists a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ open set V such that  $C \subseteq U, K \subseteq V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $U \subseteq X \setminus V \subseteq X \setminus K = D$ . Thus  $C \subseteq U \subseteq X \setminus V \subseteq D$  and the result follows by taking  $X \setminus V = F$ .

(Sufficiency)Suppose the condition holds. Let A be a  $\tau_1$ -closed set and B a  $\tau_2$ -closed set with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then D = X - B is a  $\tau_2$ -open set with  $A \subseteq D$ . By hypothesis, there are a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ -closed set F such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq F \subseteq D$ . It follows that  $B = X \setminus D \subseteq X \setminus F, A \subseteq U$  and  $(X \setminus F) \cap U = \emptyset$ . where  $X \setminus F$  is  $\tau_2$ -open set and U is  $\tau_2$ -open set. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Now we define a new weaker form of pairwise normal bitopological spaces.

**Definition 3.3.** A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise weak normal if, given A and B are pairwise closed sets with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , there exist a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ -open set V such that  $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise weak normal if and only if given a pairwise closed set C and a pairwise open set D such that  $C \subseteq D$ , there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set G and a  $\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $C \subseteq G \subseteq F \subseteq D$ .

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise weak normal. Let C be a pairwise closed set and D a pairwise open set such that  $C \subseteq D$ . Then  $K = X \setminus D$  is a pairwise closed set with  $K \cap C = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise weak normal, there exists a  $\tau_2$ -open set U and a  $\tau_1$ -open set G such that  $K \subseteq U, C \subseteq G$  and  $U \cap G = \emptyset$ . Hence

 $G \subseteq X \setminus U \subseteq X \setminus K = D$ . Thus  $C \subseteq G \subseteq X \setminus U \subseteq D$  and the result follows by taking  $X \setminus U = F$ .

(Sufficiency)Suppose the condition holds. Let A and B are pairwise closed sets with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $D = X \setminus A$  is a pairwise open set with  $B \subseteq D$ . By hypothesis, there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set G and a  $\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $B \subseteq G \subseteq F \subseteq D$ . It follows that  $A = X \setminus D \subseteq X \setminus F, B \subseteq G$  and  $(X \setminus F) \cap G = \emptyset$ . where  $X \setminus F$  is  $\tau_2$ -open set and G is  $\tau_1$ -open set. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.1.** Consider  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  defined on X. Observe that  $\tau_1$ -closed subsets of X are  $\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, and X$  and  $\tau_2$ -closed subsets of X are  $\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{a\}$ and X. It follows that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  does satisfy the condition in definition of pairwise normal. One of them we can take  $A = \{a\}, B = \{b, c\}, U = \{a\}$  and  $V = \{b, c\}$  in the definition, we can checks for the other. Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise normal, and hence pairwise weak normal.

It is clear from definition that every pairwise normal space is pairwise weak normal. The converse is not true in general as shown in the following counterexample.

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$  defined on X. Observe that  $\tau_1$ -closed subsets of X are  $\emptyset, \{c, d\}$  and X and  $\tau_2$ -closed subsets of X are  $\emptyset, \{b, c, d\}, \{a\}$  and X is pairwise weak normal as we can checks since the only pairwise closed sets of X are  $\emptyset$  and X. However  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise normal since the  $\tau_1$ -closed set  $A = \{c, d\}$  and  $\tau_2$ -closed set  $B = \{a\}$  satisfy  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , but do not exist the  $\tau_2$ -open set U and  $\tau_1$ -open set V such that  $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Naturally, any result stated in terms of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  has a dual, in terms of  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_1$ . The definitions of separation properties of two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , such as pairwise regularity, of course reduce to the usual separation properties of one topology  $\tau_1$ , such as regularity, when we take  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ , and the theorems quoted above then yield as corollaries of the classical results of which they are generalizations.

### 4 Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces

According to Definition 2.1, we generalize pairwise compact spaces to pairwise Lindelöf as the following.

**Definition 4.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwisw Lindelöf if the topological space  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are both Lindelöf. Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is pairwisw Lindelöf if every  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a countable  $\tau_1$ open cover and every  $\tau_2$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a countable  $\tau_2$ -open cover. Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Lindelöf if every pairwise open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ be a countable subcover.

Recall that, the relation between compactness and Lindelöfness is very strong, where every pairwise compact space is pairwise Lindelöf but not the converse, and hence the relation between pairwise compactness and pairwise Lindelöfness is very strong also.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = [0, \Omega]$ ,  $\tau_1$  be the discrete topology on X and  $\tau_2$  be the topology  $\{\emptyset, X, (a, \Omega)\}$  for each  $a \in X$ . Then Reilly in [4] proved that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Lindelöf. Furthermore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise compact.

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is second countable bitopological space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Lindelöf.

Proof. In bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , let  $\{B_n\}$  and  $\{C_n\}$ , n = 1, 2, ... be countable bases for  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X, then for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_x$ . From hypothesis  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is second countable, then so is  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Since  $\{B_n\}$  is a base for  $\tau_1$ , for each  $x \in U_x$  and  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is  $B_x \in \{B_n\}$  such that  $x \in B_x \subseteq U_x$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup \{B_x : x \in X\}$ . But  $\{B_x : x \in X\} \subseteq \{B_n\}$ , so it is countable and hence  $\{B_x : x \in X\} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose one set  $B_n \in \{B_n\}$  such that  $B_n \subseteq U_n$ . Then  $X = \bigcup \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and so  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable subcover of X. Thus  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a Lindelöf space. Similarly  $(X, \tau_2)$  is also a Lindelöf space. Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Lindelöf.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.1.** Every pairwise closed subset of a pairwise Lindelöf bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise Lindelöf bitopological space and let F be a pairwise closed subset of X. Then  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are Lindelöf, and F are  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $\tau_2$ -closed subset of X. If  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of F, then  $X = \{\cup U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \cup (X \setminus F)$ . Hence the collection  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  and  $X \setminus F$  form a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1)$  is Lindelöf, there will be a countable subcover,  $\{X \setminus F, U_{\alpha 1}, U_{\alpha 2}, ...\}$ . But F and  $X \setminus F$  are disjoint; hence the subcollection of  $\tau_1$ -open set  $\{U_{\alpha i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ also cover F, and so  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  has a countable subcover.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.2.** [3] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise countably compact if every countable pairwise open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a finite subcover.

The proof of the following two results are straightforward.

**Proposition 4.2.** In a pairwise Lindelöf space, pairwise countable compactness, is equivalent to pairwise compactness.

**Proposition 4.3.** The pairwise continuous image of a pairwise Lindelöf space is pairwise Lindelöf.

**Theorem 4.2.** If A is a proper subset of a pairwise Lindelöf bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which is  $\tau_1$ -closed, then A is pairwise Lindelöf and  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf. Proof. Let  $\beta$  be any pairwise open cover of a bitopological space  $(A, \tau_1 | A, \tau_2 | A)$ . Then  $\beta \cup \{(X \setminus A)\}$  induces a pairwise open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which has a countable subcover and hence so does  $\beta$ . Let  $\beta^*$  be any  $\tau_2$ -open cover of A. Then  $\beta^* \cup \{(X \setminus A)\}$  is a pairwise open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which has a countable subcover and hence so does  $\beta^*$ . **Proposition 4.4.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , let  $\tau_1$  be Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Then  $\tau_1$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is also  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Proof. Let F be a  $\tau_1$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and let  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of F, then  $X = (\bigcup \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}) \cup (X \setminus F)$ , hence the collection  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ form a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X. Since  $\tau_1$  is Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_2$ , then the  $\tau_1$ -open cover of X can be reduced to a countable  $\tau_2$ -open cover  $\{X \setminus F, U_{\alpha 1}, U_{\alpha 2}, ...\}$ . But for  $X \setminus F$  are disjoint, hence the subcollection of  $\tau_2$ -open set  $\{U_{\alpha i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  also cover Fand so  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  can be reduced to a countable  $\tau_2$ -open cover. This shows that F is  $\tau_1$ -Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_2$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** If  $\tau_2$  is Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_1$ , then  $\tau_2$ -closed subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_2$ -Lindelöf with respect to  $\tau_1$ .

## 5 Conclusion

For the following separation axioms, we can apply the results established in Sections 3 and 4:

- (1) Spaces defined in Definition 3.3.
- (2) Spaces defined in Definition 4.1.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the the Editor-in-Chief and anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions in proving this paper.

#### References

- P. Fletche; H.B. Hoyle and C.W. Patty, The comparison of topologies, Duke Math.T., 36(1969), 325-331.
- [2] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)13(1963), 71-89.
- [3] D.H. Pahk and B.D. Choi, Notes on pairwise compactness, KyungTook Math. J. II(1971), 52-54.
- [4] I.L. Reilly, Pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, Kyungpouk Math.J. Vol.13, Nu.1(1973), 1-3.
- [5] M.J. Saegrove, Pairwise complete regularity and compactification in bitopological spaces, J. London Math. Soc. (2)7(1973), 286-290.