



Quantum metric spaces of quantum maps

Maysam Maysami Sadr^{a*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan, Iran

*Corresponding author E-mail: sadr@iasbs.ac.ir

Article Info

Keywords: *C*-algebra, compact quantum metric space, Lipschitz algebra, quantum family of maps, state space*

2010 AMS: 46L05, 46L30, 54E25, 60B10

Received: 21 January 2018

Accepted: 26 February 2018

Available online: 15 March 2018

Abstract

We show that any quantum family of quantum maps from a noncommutative space to a compact quantum metric space has a canonical quantum pseudo-metric structure. Here by a ‘compact quantum metric space’ we mean a unital C^* -algebra together with a Lipschitz seminorm, in the sense of Rieffel, which induces the weak* topology on the state space of the C^* -algebra. Our main result generalizes a classical result to noncommutative world.

1. Introduction

One of the basic ideas of *Noncommutative Geometry* is that any unital C^* -algebra A can be considered as the algebra of *continuous functions* on a (symbolic) *compact quantum (noncommutative) space* ΩA . From this point of view, any unital $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi : B \rightarrow A$ between unital C^* -algebras can be interpreted as a *quantum map* $\Omega\Phi$ from ΩA into ΩB . There are many notions in Topology and Geometry that can be translate into NC language. The notion of *quantum family of (quantum) maps*, defined by Woronowicz [16] and Sołtan [15] (see also [10, 11, 12]), conclude from the following fact: “Every map f from X to the set of all maps from Y to Z (or in other word, any family of maps from Y to Z parameterized by f with parameters x in X) can be considered as a map $\tilde{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ defined by $\tilde{f}(x, y) = f(x)(y)$.” A translation of this to noncommutative language is as follows.

Definition 1.1. ([10, 11, 12, 15, 16]) *Let B, C be unital C^* -algebras. A quantum family of morphisms from B to C (or, a quantum family of maps from ΩC to ΩB) is a pair (A, Φ) consisting of a unital C^* -algebra A and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi : B \rightarrow C \otimes A$, where \otimes denotes the spatial tensor product of C^* -algebras.*

Another concept that can be translate from Geometry into NC Geometry, is *distance* or *metric*. Marc Rieffel, by using the notion of *order unite spaces*, has developed the notion of *quantum metric space* in a series of papers [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. For two other different notions of quantum metric see [3, 13, 14]. Here, we deals with special examples of Rieffel’s quantum metric spaces, stated in the C^* -algebraic formalism. The aim of this note is to show that any quantum family of maps from a quantum space to a compact quantum metric space has a canonical quantum pseudo-metric structure. We are motivated by the following trivial fact: Let (Z, d) be a metric space and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a family of maps from Y to Z , then X has a pseudo-metric ρ defined by

$$\rho(x, x') = \sup_{y \in Y} d(f(x, y), f(x', y)).$$

In Section 2 we introduce the notion of *compact quantum pseudo-metric space*. In Section 3 we define a natural compact quantum pseudo-metric space structure on any quantum family of maps from a quantum space to a compact quantum metric space. In Section 4 we examine our definition in the classical case.

2. Compact quantum pseudo-metric spaces

By a pseudo-metric d on a set X we mean a positive valued function on $X \times X$ which is symmetric, satisfies triangle inequality, and $d(x, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$. For any topological space X with topology τ (resp. pseudo-metric space (X, d)) $C(X, \tau)$ (resp. $C(X, d)$) denotes the

C^* -algebra of all continuous bounded complex valued maps on X with the uniform norm. For a pseudo-metric d , τ_d denotes the topology induced by d . Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space. For every $f \in C(X, d)$, the Lipschitz semi norm $\|f\|_d$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_d = \sup\left\{\frac{|f(x) - f(x')|}{d(x, x')} : x, x' \in X, d(x, x') \neq 0\right\}.$$

Also, the Lipschitz algebra of (X, d) is defined by,

$$\mathbf{Lip}(X, d) = \{f \in C(X, d) : \|f\|_d < \infty\}.$$

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space and a be a complex valued map on X . Then $a \in \mathbf{Lip}(X, d)$ and $\|a\|_d \leq 1$ if and only if $|a(x) - a(x')| \leq d(x, x')$ for every $x, x' \in X$. In particular, if $b \in C(X, d)$, then $\|b\|_d = 0$ if and only if b is a constant map.*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbf{Lip}(X, d)$ and $\|a\|_d \leq 1$. Suppose that $x, x' \in X$. If $d(x, x') = 0$, then $a(x) = a(x')$, since a is continuous with τ_d . If $d(x, x') \neq 0$, then $1 \geq \|a\|_d \geq \frac{|a(x) - a(x')|}{d(x, x')}$, and thus $|a(x) - a(x')| \leq d(x, x')$. The other direction is trivial. \square

For any C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , $S(\mathfrak{A})$ denotes the state space of \mathfrak{A} with w^* topology. If \mathfrak{A} is unital, $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ denotes the unit element of \mathfrak{A} .

Let \mathcal{A} be a self adjoint linear subspace of the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , and let $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a semi norm on \mathcal{A} . Connes has pointed out [1], [2], that one can define a pseudo-metric ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ by

$$\rho_L(\mu, \nu) = \sup\{| \mu(a) - \nu(a) | : a \in \mathcal{A}, L(a) \leq 1\} \quad (\mu, \nu \in S(\mathfrak{A})). \tag{2.1}$$

Note that ρ_L can take values $+\infty$ and 0 for different states of \mathfrak{A} . Conversely, let d be a pseudo-metric on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ (such that the topology induced by d on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is not necessarily w^* topology). Define a semi norm $L_d : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by

$$L_d(a) = \sup\left\{\frac{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)|}{d(\mu, \nu)} : \mu, \nu \in S(\mathfrak{A}), d(\mu, \nu) \neq 0\right\} \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Note that $L_d(a) = L_d(a^*)$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Consider the Lipschitz semi norm

$$\|\cdot\|_d : \mathbf{Lip}(X, d) \subset C(X, d) \rightarrow [0, +\infty).$$

Then it is easily checked that the semi norm $\rho_{\|\cdot\|_d}$ on the state space of $C(X, d)$ is a metric, called Monge-Kantorovich metric [4]. It is well known that the topology induced by $\rho_{\|\cdot\|_d}$ is the w^* topology, and for every $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) = \rho_{\|\cdot\|_d}(\delta_x, \delta_y)$, where $\delta : X \rightarrow C(X, d)^*$ is the point mass measure map.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X, τ) be a compact Hausdorff space and d be a pseudo-metric on X such that the topology induced by d on X is weaker than τ , i.e. $\tau_d \subset \tau$. Consider the Lipschitz semi norm $\|\cdot\|_d : \mathbf{Lip}(X, d) \subset C(X, \tau) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and let $\rho = \rho_{\|\cdot\|_d}$. Then the following are satisfied.*

- i) $d(x, y) = \rho(\delta_x, \delta_y)$, for every $x, y \in X$.
- ii) $L_\rho = \|\cdot\|_d$ on $C(X, d) \subset C(X, \tau)$.
- iii) Let $a \in C(X, \tau)$, then $a \in C(X, d)$ if and only if the map $v \mapsto v(a)$ on $S(C(X, \tau))$ is continuous with ρ .
- iv) the topology induced by ρ on $S(C(X, \tau))$ is weaker than the w^* topology.

Proof. i) Let x, y be in X . Suppose that $a \in \mathbf{Lip}(X, d)$ and $\|a\|_d \leq 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|\delta_x(a) - \delta_y(a)| = |a(x) - a(y)| \leq d(x, y)$, and thus by definition of ρ , we have $\rho(\delta_x, \delta_y) \leq d(x, y)$. Conversely, let $a_x \in C(X, d)$ be defined by $a_x(z) = d(x, z)$ ($z \in X$); then for every $x', y' \in X$, $|a_x(x') - a_x(y')| = |d(x, x') - d(x, y')| \leq d(x', y')$, and thus by lemma 2.1, $a_x \in \mathbf{Lip}(X, d)$ and $\|a_x\|_d \leq 1$. Now, we have

$$\rho(\delta_x, \delta_y) \geq |\delta_x(a_x) - \delta_y(a_x)| = |a_x(x) - a_x(y)| = d(x, y).$$

ii) By i) and definitions of L_ρ and $\|\cdot\|_d$, it is clear that $\|\cdot\|_d \leq L_\rho$ on $C(X, \tau)$.

Let $a \in C(X, d)$. If $\|a\|_d = 0$, then by Lemma 2.1, a is a constant map and thus $L_\rho(a) = 0$. If $\|a\|_d = \infty$ then $L_\rho(a) = \infty$ since $\|a\|_d \leq L_\rho(a)$. Thus suppose that $0 < \|a\|_d < \infty$. Then for every $\mu, \nu \in S(C(X, \tau))$, we have

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) \geq \left| \mu\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|_d}\right) - \nu\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|_d}\right) \right| = \frac{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)|}{\|a\|_d}$$

and thus if $\rho(\mu, \nu) \neq 0$ then $\|a\|_d \geq \frac{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)|}{\rho(\mu, \nu)}$. Therefore,

$$\|a\|_d \geq \sup\left\{\frac{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)|}{\rho(\mu, \nu)} : \mu, \nu \in S(C(X, \tau)), \rho(\mu, \nu) \neq 0\right\} = L_\rho(a).$$

iii) The ‘if’ part is an immediate consequence of i). For the other direction, we need some notations: Let \sim be the equivalence relation on X defined by $x \sim x' \Leftrightarrow d(x, x') = 0$. Let $Y = X / \sim$ and let $\hat{\cdot} : X \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical projection. Then \hat{d} , defined by $\hat{d}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$, is a well defined metric on Y , and $\hat{\cdot}$ is an isometry between (X, d) and (Y, \hat{d}) . Thus the C^* -algebras $C(X, d)$ and $C(Y, \hat{d})$, and the Lipschitz algebras $(\mathbf{Lip}(X, d), \|\cdot\|_d)$ and $(\mathbf{Lip}(Y, \hat{d}), \|\cdot\|_{\hat{d}})$ are isometric isomorph. In particular, the topology induced by ρ on $S(C(X, d))$ is the w^* topology, since as mentioned above the Monge-Kantorovich metric $\rho_{\|\cdot\|_d}$ induces the w^* topology on $S(C(Y, \hat{d}))$. Consider the canonical embedding $\Phi : C(X, d) \rightarrow C(X, \tau)$. For every $v, v' \in S(C(X, \tau))$, $v \circ \Phi$ and $v' \circ \Phi$ are in $S(C(X, d))$ and

$$\rho(v, v') = \rho(v \circ \Phi, v' \circ \Phi). \tag{2.2}$$

Now, let $a \in \mathbf{C}(X, d)$ and $v_i \rightarrow v$ be a convergent net in $S(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau))$ with ρ . Then $v_i \circ \Phi \rightarrow v \circ \Phi$ is a convergent net in $S(\mathbf{C}(X, d))$ with ρ , and since the topology induced by ρ agrees with the w^* topology on $S(\mathbf{C}(X, d))$, we have

$$v_i(a) = v_i \circ \Phi(a) \rightarrow v \circ \Phi(a) = v(a).$$

Thus we get the desired result.

iv) Let $v_i \rightarrow v$ be a convergent net in $S(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau))$ with w^* topology. Thus as in the proof of iii), $v_i \circ \Phi \rightarrow v \circ \Phi$ with ρ , and by (2.2), $v_i \rightarrow v$ in $S(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau))$ with the topology induced by ρ . This completes the proof of iv). \square

Definition 2.3. By a compact quantum pseudo-metric space (QSM space, for short) we mean a triple $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$, where \mathfrak{A} is a unital C^* -algebra, \mathcal{A} is a self adjoint linear subspace of \mathfrak{A} with $1_{\mathfrak{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$, and $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a semi norm such that

- (a) $L(a) = L(a^*)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (b) for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $L(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$, and
- (c) the topology induced by the pseudo-metric ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is weaker than the w^* topology.

As an immediate corollary of the definition, for any compact quantum pseudo-metric space $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$, the topology induced by ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is compact and in particular the diameter of $S(\mathfrak{A})$ under ρ_L is finite.

Proposition 2.4. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ be a QSM space. Then, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the map $\mu \mapsto \mu(a)$ on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is continuous with topology induced by ρ_L .

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 2.5. A QSM space $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ is called a compact quantum metric space (QM space, for short) if \mathcal{A} is a dense subspace of \mathfrak{A} .

Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ be a QM space and μ, ν be two different states of \mathfrak{A} . Then since \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathfrak{A} , there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(a) \neq \nu(a)$. Thus (by (2.1)) ρ_L is a metric on $S(\mathfrak{A})$. It is an elementary result in Topology that any Hausdorff topology τ weaker than a compact Hausdorff topology τ' on a set X , is equal to the same topology τ' . Using this, we conclude that the topology induced by ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is the w^* topology.

Example 2.6. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Then

$$(\mathbf{C}(X, d), \mathbf{Lip}(X, d), \|\cdot\|_d)$$

is a compact quantum metric space.

Example 2.7. Let (X, τ) be a compact Hausdorff space and let d be a pseudo-metric on X such that $\tau_d \subset \tau$. Then Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1, show

$$(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau), \mathbf{Lip}(X, d), \|\cdot\|_d)$$

is a compact quantum pseudo-metric space.

Remark 2.8. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ be a QM space and $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the linear subspace of all self-adjoint elements of \mathcal{A} . Then A is an order unite space and $(A, L|_A)$ is a compact quantum metric space in the sense of Rieffel's definition [7].

Lemma 2.9. Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra with the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|$, \mathcal{A} be a self adjoint linear subspace of \mathfrak{A} containing $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a semi norm such that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $L(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Let \tilde{L} and $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{L}}$ denote the quotient norm of L and $\|\cdot\|$ on $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}}$ and $\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}}$, respectively. Suppose that the image of $\{a \in \mathcal{A} : L(a) \leq 1\}$ in $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}}$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{L}}$. Then the topology induced by ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ is weaker than the w^* topology.

Proof. See Theorem 1.8 of [5]. \square

Example 2.10. Let \mathfrak{A} be a finite dimensional C^* -algebra and N be a Banach space norm on \mathfrak{A} such that $N(a) = N(a^*)$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let the semi norm $N_0 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$N_0 = \inf\{N(a + \lambda 1_{\mathfrak{A}}) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Since \mathfrak{A} is finite dimensional, the C^* -norm of \mathfrak{A} and N are equivalent. Thus the image K of $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : N_0(a) \leq 1\}$ is closed and bounded in $\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}}$. Again, since \mathfrak{A} is finite dimensional, K is compact and thus totally bounded for the quotient norm of the C^* -norm. Thus by Lemma 2.9, $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}, N_0)$ is a QM space.

Example 2.11. Let G be a compact Hausdorff group with identity element e . Let ℓ be a length function on G , i.e. ℓ is a continuous non negative real valued function on G such that

- (i) $\ell(gg') \leq \ell(g) + \ell(g')$, for every $g, g' \in G$,
- (ii) $\ell(g) = \ell(g^{-1})$ for every $g \in G$, and
- (iii) $\ell(g) = 0$ if and only if $g = e$.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra with a strongly continuous action $\cdot : G \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ of G by automorphisms of \mathfrak{A} , i.e.

- (a) for every $g \in G$ the map $a \mapsto g \cdot a$ is a $*$ -automorphism of \mathfrak{A} ,
- (b) $e \cdot a = a$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,
- (c) $g \cdot (g' \cdot a) = (gg') \cdot a$, for every $g, g' \in G, a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and
- (d) if $g_i \rightarrow g$ is a convergent net in G and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $g_i \cdot a \rightarrow g \cdot a$ with the C^* -norm of \mathfrak{A} .

Define a semi norm L on \mathfrak{A} by

$$L(a) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\|g \cdot a - a\|}{\ell(g)} : g \in G, g \neq e \right\} \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : L(a) < +\infty\}$. Then by Proposition 2.2 of [5], \mathcal{A} is a dense *-subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} . Now, suppose that the action of G is ergodic, i.e. if $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and for every $g \in G$, $g \cdot a = a$, then $a \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then it is trivial that $L(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Rieffel has proved [5, Theorem 2.3], that the topology induced by ρ_L on $S(\mathfrak{A})$ agrees with the w^* topology. Thus $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ is a QM space.

For some other examples that completely match our notion of QM space, see [5]. As we will see in the next section, using quantum family of morphisms we can construct many QSM spaces from a QSM space.

3. The main definition

We need the following simple topological lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y be a compact space, X be an arbitrary space and (Z, ρ) be a pseudo-metric space. Also, let $\mathbf{C}(Y, Z)$ be the space of all continuous maps from Y to Z , with the pseudo-metric $\hat{\rho}$ defined by*

$$\hat{\rho}(f, g) = \sup\{\rho(f(y), g(y)) : y \in Y\} \quad (f, g \in \mathbf{C}(Y, Z)).$$

Suppose that $F : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous map. Then the map $\tilde{F} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(Y, Z)$, defined by $\tilde{F}(x)(y) = F(y, x)$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since F is continuous, for every $y \in Y$, there are open sets U_y, V_y in X and Y respectively, such that $(y, x_0) \in V_y \times U_y$ and $\rho(F(y, x_0), F(y', x)) < \varepsilon/2$ for every $(y', x) \in V_y \times U_y$. Since Y is compact, there are $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ such that $Y = \cup_{i=1}^n V_{y_i}$. Let W be the open set $\cap_{i=1}^n U_{y_i}$. Let $x \in W$ and $y \in Y$ be arbitrary. Then for some i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), y belongs to V_{y_i} and we have,

$$\rho(F(y, x), F(y, x_0)) \leq \rho(F(y, x), F(y_i, x_0)) + \rho(F(y_i, x_0), F(y, x_0)) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we have $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{F}(x), \tilde{F}(x_0)) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in W$. The proof is complete. □

Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ be a QSM space, \mathfrak{B} be a unital C^* -algebra, and (\mathfrak{C}, Φ) be a quantum family of morphisms from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} , $\Phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{C}$. Let d be a pseudo-metric on $S(\mathfrak{C})$, defined by

$$d(v, v') = \sup\{\rho_L((\mu \otimes v)\Phi, (\mu \otimes v')\Phi) : \mu \in S(\mathfrak{B})\} \quad (v, v' \in S(\mathfrak{C})).$$

Proposition 3.2. *With the above assumptions, let \mathcal{C} be the linear space of all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that the map $v \mapsto v(c)$ on $S(\mathfrak{C})$ is continuous with the topology induced by d , and $L_d(c) < \infty$. Then the following are satisfied.*

- i) \mathcal{C} is a self adjoint linear subspace of \mathfrak{C} and $1_{\mathfrak{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$.
- ii) For every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $L_d(c) = 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{C}}$.
- iii) The topology induced by d on $S(\mathfrak{C})$ is weaker than the w^* topology.
- iv) With the restriction of the domain of L_d to \mathcal{C} , $\rho_{L_d} \leq d$.
- v) The topology induced by ρ_{L_d} on $S(\mathfrak{C})$ is weaker than the w^* topology.

Proof. i) is easily checked.

ii) Let c be in \mathcal{C} and $L_d(c) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, the map $v \mapsto v(c)$ on $S(\mathfrak{C})$ is constant, and thus $c \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

iii) Apply Lemma 3.1, with $X = S(\mathfrak{C})$, $Y = S(\mathfrak{B})$, $Z = S(\mathfrak{A})$, $\rho = \rho_L$ and $F : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ defined by

$$F(\mu, v) = (\mu \otimes v)\Phi \quad (\mu \in Y, v \in X).$$

We get $\tilde{F} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(Y, Z)$ is continuous with the metric $\hat{\rho}$ on $\mathbf{C}(Y, Z)$. On the other hand, for every v, v' we have $d(v, v') = \hat{\rho}(\tilde{F}(v), \tilde{F}(v'))$. Thus, if $v_i \rightarrow v$ is a convergent net in X with w^* topology, then

$$d(v_i, v) = \hat{\rho}(\tilde{F}(v_i), \tilde{F}(v)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that the topology induced by d is weaker than the w^* topology.

iv) Let v, v' be in $S(\mathfrak{C})$. If $d(v, v') = 0$ then for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $v(c) = v'(c)$ (since the map $\mu \mapsto \mu(c)$ is continuous with d) and thus by the definition of ρ_{L_d} , $\rho_{L_d}(v, v') = 0$. Thus suppose that $d(v, v') \neq 0$. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $L_d(c) \leq 1$. Then $1 \geq L_d(c) \geq \frac{|v(c) - v'(c)|}{d(v, v')}$, and thus $|v(c) - v'(c)| \leq d(v, v')$. Therefore

$$\rho_{L_d}(v, v') \leq d(v, v').$$

v) follows directly from iv) and iii). □

Definition 3.3. *With the above assumptions, Proposition 3.2, shows that $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{C}, L_d)$ is a QSM space that is called QSM space induced by the QSM space $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ and quantum family of maps (\mathfrak{C}, Φ) .*

Lemma 3.4. *With the above assumptions, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\mu \in S(\mathfrak{B})$. Then $c = (\mu \otimes id_{\mathfrak{C}})\Phi(a)$ is in \mathcal{C} , and $L_d(c) \leq L(a)$.*

Proof. We first show that $L_d(c) \leq L(a) (< \infty)$. If $L(a) = 0$ then $a \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and thus $c \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $L_d(c) = 0$. Suppose that $L(a) \neq 0$. We prove that for every $v, v' \in S(\mathfrak{C})$ with $d(v, v') \neq 0$,

$$\frac{|v(c) - v'(c)|}{d(v, v')} \leq L(a). \quad (3.1)$$

Let $v, v' \in S(\mathfrak{C})$ be such that $d(v, v') \neq 0$. If $|v(c) - v'(c)| = 0$, then (3.1) is satisfied. Suppose that

$$|v(c) - v'(c)| = |(\mu \otimes v)\Phi(a) - (\mu \otimes v')\Phi(a)| \neq 0.$$

By the definition of d , we have $d(v, v') \geq \rho_L((\mu \otimes v)\Phi, (\mu \otimes v')\Phi)$. On the other hand, by the definition of ρ_L ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_L((\mu \otimes v)\Phi, (\mu \otimes v')\Phi) &\geq |(\mu \otimes v)\Phi\left(\frac{a}{L(a)}\right) - (\mu \otimes v')\Phi\left(\frac{a}{L(a)}\right)| \\ &= \frac{|(\mu \otimes v)\Phi(a) - (\mu \otimes v')\Phi(a)|}{L(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.1) is satisfied and $L_d(c) \leq L(a)$.

Now, we show that the map $v \mapsto v(c)$ on $S(\mathfrak{C})$ is continuous with τ_d . Let $v_n \rightarrow v$ be a convergent sequence in $S(\mathfrak{C})$ with the metric d . Thus, by the definition of d , we have

$$\rho_L((\mu \otimes v_n)\Phi, (\mu \otimes v)\Phi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.4,

$$v_n(c) = (\mu \otimes v_n)\Phi(a) \rightarrow (\mu \otimes v)\Phi(a) = v(c).$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *With the above assumptions, suppose that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A}, L)$ is a QM space and the linear span of*

$$G = \{(\mu \otimes id_{\mathfrak{C}})\Phi(a) : \mu \in S(\mathfrak{B}), a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is dense in \mathfrak{C} (for example Φ is surjective). Then $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{C}, L_d)$ is a QM space.

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathfrak{A} and the linear span of G is dense in \mathfrak{C} , we have

$$G_0 = \{(\mu \otimes id_{\mathfrak{C}})\Phi(a) : \mu \in S(\mathfrak{B}), a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is dense in \mathfrak{C} . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4, $G_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$. Thus \mathcal{C} is dense in \mathfrak{C} and $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{C}, L_d)$ is a QM space. □

Example 3.6. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} be unital C^* -algebras. Suppose that $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ has a QSM structure. Consider $*$ -homomorphisms*

$$id : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad F : \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{A},$$

where F is the flip map, i.e. $F(a \otimes c) = c \otimes a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}, c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{C}, id_{\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{A}, F)$$

are quantum families of morphisms. Thus \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} have naturally QSM structures. Also, by Proposition 3.5, if $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ has a QM structure then so are \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} .

Example 3.7. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and suppose that \mathfrak{A} has a QSM structure. Let $\Phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a unital $*$ -homomorphism. Then (\mathfrak{B}, Φ) can be considered as a quantum family of morphisms from \mathfrak{A} to \mathbb{C} . Thus \mathfrak{B} naturally has a QSM structure. Also, if Φ is surjective and \mathfrak{A} has a QM structure, then by Proposition 3.5, \mathfrak{B} has a QM structure.*

4. The commutative case

In this last section we study induced metric structures on ordinary families of maps.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (X, τ) be a compact Hausdorff space and let d be a pseudo-metric on $S(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau))$ such that τ_d is weaker than the w^* topology. Let \mathcal{C} be the space of all $c \in \mathbf{C}(X, \tau)$ such that the map $v \mapsto v(c)$ is continuous on $S(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau))$ and $L_d(c) < \infty$. Consider the semi norm $L_d : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Then for every $x, x' \in X$, $d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) = \rho_{L_d}(\delta_x, \delta_{x'})$.*

(We remark that Lemma 4.1 is different from part i) of Proposition 2.2.)

Proof. Let x, x' be in X . By the definition of ρ_{L_d} , we have

$$\rho_{L_d}(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) = \sup\{|a(x) - a(x')| : a \in \mathcal{C}, L_d(a) \leq 1\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and $L_d(a) \leq 1$. If $d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) = 0$, then $a(x) = a(x')$ since the map $\delta_x \mapsto \delta_x(a) = a(x)$ is continuous with d , thus (4.1) implies that

$$\rho_{L_d}(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) = d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) = 0.$$

Now, suppose that $d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) \neq 0$. Since $1 = L_d(a) \geq \frac{|a(x) - a(x')|}{d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'})}$, we have $d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) \geq |a(x) - a(x')|$, thus (4.1) implies that $\rho_{L_d}(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) \leq d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'})$. Now, define a map b_x on X by $b_x(y) = d(\delta_x, \delta_y)$. Then $b_x \in \mathcal{C}$ and $L_d(b_x) \leq 1$. Thus

$$\rho_{L_d}(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}) \geq |b_x(x) - b_x(x')| = d(\delta_x, \delta_{x'}).$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \tau'), (Z, \tau'')$ be compact Hausdorff spaces and let d_0 be a pseudo-metric on X such that $\tau_{d_0} \subset \tau$. Let

$$F : Y \times Z \rightarrow X$$

be a continuous map with τ, τ', τ'' , and define a pseudo-metric d_1 on Z by

$$d_1(z, z') = \sup_{y \in Y} d_0(F(y, z), F(y, z')).$$

With the canonical identification $\mathbf{C}(Y \times Z, \tau' \times \tau'') \cong \mathbf{C}(Y, \tau') \otimes \mathbf{C}(Z, \tau'')$ let

$$\hat{F} : \mathbf{C}(X, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(Y, \tau') \otimes \mathbf{C}(Z, \tau'')$$

be defined by $\hat{F}(a) = aF$, for $a \in \mathbf{C}(X, \tau)$. Let

$$(\mathbf{C}(Z, \tau''), \mathcal{C}, N)$$

be the QSM space induced by QSM space $(\mathbf{C}(X, \tau), \mathbf{Lip}(X, d_0), \|\cdot\|_{d_0})$ and quantum family of morphisms $(\mathbf{C}(Z, \tau''), \hat{F})$. Then the following are satisfied.

- i) $d_1(z, z') = \rho_N(\delta_z, \delta_{z'})$ for every $z, z' \in Z$.
- ii) $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{Lip}(Z, d_1)$.
- iii) $\|\cdot\|_{d_1} \leq N$.

Proof. i) Let $L = \|\cdot\|_{d_0}$. Let us recall the definition of $(\mathbf{C}(Z, \tau''), \mathcal{C}, N)$. Let d be the pseudo-metric on $S(\mathbf{C}(Z, \tau''))$ defined by

$$d(v, v') = \sup\{\rho_L((\mu \otimes v)\hat{F}, (\mu \otimes v')\hat{F}) : \mu \in S(\mathbf{C}(Y, \tau'))\}.$$

Then $N = L_d$ and \mathcal{C} is the space of all $c \in \mathbf{C}(Z, \tau'')$ such that the map $v \mapsto v(c)$ on $S(\mathbf{C}(Z, \tau''))$ is continuous with d and $N(c) < \infty$. By Lemma 4.1, we have,

$$d(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}) = \rho_N(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}), \tag{4.2}$$

for every $z, z' \in Z$. Now, we explain the relation between d_1 and d .

Let $z, z' \in Z$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$(\delta_y \otimes \delta_z)\hat{F} = \delta_{F(y, z)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta_y \otimes \delta_{z'})\hat{F} = \delta_{F(y, z')}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2, for every $x, x' \in X$, $d_0(x, x') = \rho_L(\delta_x, \delta_{x'})$. Thus

$$\rho_L((\delta_y \otimes \delta_z)\hat{F}, (\delta_y \otimes \delta_{z'})\hat{F}) = d_0(F(y, z), F(y, z')).$$

This formula together with the definitions of d and d_1 , show that

$$d_1(z, z') \leq d(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}). \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\mu \in S(\mathbf{C}(Y, \tau'))$ be arbitrary. We consider μ as a probability Borel regular measure on (Y, τ') . Then for every $a \in \mathbf{Lip}(X, d_0)$ with $\|a\|_{d_0} \leq 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu \otimes \delta_z)\hat{F}(a) - (\mu \otimes \delta_{z'})\hat{F}(a)| &= \left| \int_Y (aF(y, z) - aF(y, z')) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \int_Y |a(F(y, z)) - a(F(y, z'))| d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For every $y \in Y$, by Lemma 2.1,

$$|a(F(y, z)) - a(F(y, z'))| \leq d_0(F(y, z), F(y, z')).$$

Therefore, we have

$$|a(F(y, z)) - a(F(y, z'))| \leq d_1(z, z'). \tag{4.5}$$

(4.5) and (4.4) implies that

$$|(\mu \otimes \delta_z)\hat{F}(a) - (\mu \otimes \delta_{z'})\hat{F}(a)| \leq d_1(z, z').$$

Therefore, by the definition of d ,

$$d(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}) \leq d_1(z, z'). \tag{4.6}$$

Now, by (4.6) and (4.3), $d(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}) = d_1(z, z')$, and thus by (4.2),

$$d_1(\delta_z, \delta_{z'}) = \rho_N(\delta_z, \delta_{z'})$$

for every $z, z' \in Z$, and i) is satisfied. ii) and iii) are immediate consequence of i) and definitions of $\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|_{d_1}$ and N . □

5. Conclusion

In this note, we introduced the new concept of *compact quantum pseudo-metric space* as a generalization of the concept of *compact quantum metric space*. The C^* -algebraic examples of the latter concept, which has been introduced by Rieffel, are very restricted. But, by using the concept of *quantum family of maps*, it was denoted that the source of examples for (C^* -algebraic) quantum pseudo-metric spaces are very wider than those for (C^* -algebraic) quantum metric spaces.

References

- [1] A. Connes, *Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness*, Ergo. Th. Dyn. Sys. **9** (1989), 207–220.
- [2] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [3] G. Kuperberg, N. Weaver, *A von Neumann algebra approach to quantum metrics/quantum relations*, Vol. 215, no. 1010. American Mathematical Society, 2012.
- [4] S. T. Rachev, *Probability Metrics and the Stability of Stochastic Models*, John Wiley and Sons, 1991.
- [5] M. A. Rieffel, *Metrics on states from actions of compact groups*, Doc. Math. **3** (1998), 215–229.
- [6] M. A. Rieffel, *Metrics on state spaces*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999), 559–600.
- [7] M. A. Rieffel, *Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), 1–65.
- [8] M. A. Rieffel, *Compact quantum metric spaces*, Contemp. Math. **365** (2004), 315–330.
- [9] M. A. Rieffel, *Leibniz seminorms for Matrix algebras converge to the sphere*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, 543–578.
- [10] M. M. Sadr, *Quantum functor Mor*, Math. Pannonica **21** no. 1 (2010), 77–88 .
- [11] M. M. Sadr, *A kind of compact quantum semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2012** (2012), Article ID 725270, 10 pages.
- [12] M. M. Sadr, *On the quantum groups and semigroups of maps between noncommutative spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **67** no. 1 (2017), 97–121.
- [13] M. M. Sadr, *Quantum metrics on noncommutative spaces*, available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.00661.pdf>
- [14] M. M. Sadr, *Metric operator fields*, available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1705.03378.pdf>
- [15] P. M. Soltan, *Quantum families of maps and quantum semigroups on finite quantum spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 354–368.
- [16] S. L. Woronowicz, *Pseudogroups, pseudospaces and Pontryagin duality*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Physics, Lausanne 1979 , Lecture Notes in Physics **116**, 407–412.