Effects of a single dose 20 mg tadalafil on resistive index value of prostate zones

Tayfun Şahinkanat¹, Erkan Efe¹, Hasan Çetin Ekerbiçer², Faruk Küçükdurmaz¹

¹Department of Urology, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University School of Medicine, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey ²Department of Public Health, Sakarya University School of Medicine, Sakarya, Turkey

DOI: 10.18621/eurj.349721

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Although there are several studies addressing the efficacy of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors for the management of benign prostatic hyperplasia-lower urinary tract symptoms (BPH-LUTS), unfortunately, there is a lack of high evidence data to support their effect at the prostate level. The existing studies suggested that resistive index (RI) could be used as a hemodynamic parameter to measure the severity of benign prostatic hyperplasia and intraprostatic pressure or bladder outlet obstruction. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a single dose 20 mg tadalafil on resistive index value in prostate zones to evaluate the mechanism of action of phosphodiesterase type 5-inhibitors at the prostate level.

Methods: Twenty consecutive patients aged between 54-67 years with BPH-LUTS [International Prostate Symptom Score ≥ 12] and erectile dysfunction [five-item International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) questionnaire < 22] underwent RI measurement in prostate transitional zone (TZ) and peripheral zone (PZ) using transrectal power Doppler ultrasonography baseline and within 16 hours after the administration of 20 mg tadalafil. The primary study end point is the change in prostate TZ RI values.

Results: The mean baseline total prostate scores and peak urinary flow were 16.2 ± 4.34 (range: 12-26) and 10.45 ml/s (range: 7-13 ml/s), respectively. The mean baseline total IIEF was 12.8 ± 4.22 (range: 6-20). The mean TZ RI at baseline and after tadalafil administration were 0.4985 and 0.5497, respectively (p = 0.232). No statistically significant differences for RI changes between baseline and after the administration of a single dose 20 mg tadalafil were observed in the prostatic zones.

Conclusion: A single dose 20 mg tadalafil showed no impact on prostate TZ RI.

Keywords: tadalafil, prostate, phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, resistive index, erectile dysfunction

Received: November 7, 2017; Accepted: January 2, 2018; Published Online: March 21, 2018

T he incidence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) secondary to clinical benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) increases with aging and is often a comorbid condition with erectile dysfunction [1]. Given the multiple pathways by which nitric oxide influences and mediates male prostatic function by increasing the blood perfusion, there has been substantial interest in the potential of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5i) in the treatment of LUTS [2, 3].

Tadalafil is an oral selective inhibitor of the enzyme phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) that is currently used for the management of erectile dysfunction and LUTS associated with BPH. Many studies in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia-lower urinary tract symptoms (BPH-LUTS) have



Address for correspondence: Faruk Küçükdurmaz MD, FECSM, MD., Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University School of Medicine, Department of Urology, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey

E-mail: fkucukdurmaz@gmail.com, Tel: +90 344 3003800

Copyright © 2018 by The Association of Health Research & Strategy Available at http://dergipark.gov.tr/eurj consistently demonstrated statistically significant improvements in International Prostate Symtom Score (IPSS) with tadalafil [1, 3].

In the literature, several clinical studies of tadalafil and other PDE5i have reported significant symptom reduction as assessed by IPSS in patients with BPH compared to placebo [1-4]. It is not completely clear what role PDE5 inhibition plays in the treatment of LUTS since most of those studies failed to demonstrate a significant effect on the urinary flow [1, 2, 4, 5]. Roehrborn et al. [5] reported that improvements in LUTS occurred without a significant increase in overall peak urinary flow (Qmax) and different doses of tadalafil provided similar results. In a recent dose-finding study in more than 1000 men with moderate to severe BPH-LUTS, while tadalafil was associated with significant improvements in multiple measures of LUTS and quality of life compared to placebo, no statistically significant effect of treatment compared to placebo was noted for Qmax at any tadalafil dose [1].

Although all those studies reported limited Qmax improvement, the precise mechanism of action of PDE5i at the prostate level remains unclear. The most widely accepted hypothesis, based on studies conducted on prostate tissue specimens [6, 7], is that those agents act by reducing the smooth muscle tone, but this effect could produce vascular changes as well [8]. However, this effect has not been adequately shown in vivo until now.

On the other hand, recent studies have demonstrated the potential value of resistive index (RI = maximum velocity-minimum velocity/maximum velocity) measurement (by using transrectal pulsedwave spectral Doppler imaging) of prostate in the evaluation of the severity of BPH and the degree of intraprostatic pressure or bladder outlet obstruction [9-11]. In a series examining 214 men with BPH, power Doppler imaging revealed that RI was discriminative between normal patients and patients with BPH [12]. Thus, if PDE5i showed their effect on LUTS by reducing the smooth muscle tone of the prostate, it is reasonable to expect a relationship between mechanism of action of PDE5i at the prostatic level and RI of prostate.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess whether a single dose 20 mg tadalafil administration induces changes in prostatic vasculature as a marker of the smooth muscle tone of the prostate that can be detected with RI.

METHODS

Men aged over 45 years and who were diagnosed as erectile dysfunction determined by a score of less than 22 in International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) questionnaire and BPH-LUTS, as clinically diagnosed more than 6 months before screening, with IPSS > 13 were enrolled in the study. All patients underwent uroflowmetry and a peak urinary flow rate (Qmax) of less than 15 mL/s (from an ultrasonography-assessed prevoid total bladder volume of > 150 to < 550 mL and a minimum voided volume of 125 mL) were included.

Men were excluded from the study if they met any of the criteria such as being nitrate or nitric oxide donors, use of a-blockers, anti androgens, 5areductase inhibitors within the previous 6 months; a history of pelvic surgery, radiotherapy and prostate biopsy. In addition, men with an evidence on baseline transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) of any conditions that could interfere with ultrasonographic blood flow measurement such as lower urinary tract malignancy, trauma or recent instrumentation; urinary retention; urethral obstruction due to stricture, sclerosis or tumor; bladder calculi; neurogenic bladder; detrusor-sphincter dyssynergia; urinary tract inflammation or infection; prostate cancer; any drug administration or neurologic diseases affecting the lower urinary system and a postvoiding residual volume of \geq 350 ml at the screening visit were also excluded from the study.

The study was approved by local-ethics committee (Protocol no:188/29-2015). An informed consent before the study was obtained from each participant. The effect of tadalafil on the smooth muscle tone of the prostate was assessed by RI measurement. By using this approach, it was able to demonstrate that prostatic blood flow was altered following tadalafil administration and this change could be detected in a reproducible and noninvasive manner with transrectal power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS).

TRUS Procedures and Measurement of Blood Flow Parameters

All TRUS examinations were performed using the

| Prostate zones | RI | | <i>p</i> value |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Mean ± SD | Median (min-max) | - |
| Left side PZ | | | < 0.001 |
| Baseline | 0.51 ± 0.05 | 0.52 (0.42-0.65) | |
| After tadalafil | 0.57 ± 0.03 | 0.56 (0.50-0.66) | |
| Right side PZ | | | 0.001 |
| Baseline | 0.54 ± 0.04 | 0.54 (0.47-0.60) | |
| After tadalafil | 0.56 ± 0.03 | 0.56 (0.49-0.61) | |
| Left side TZ | | | 0.007 |
| Baseline | 0.49 ± 0.06 | 0.49 (0.37-0.59) | |
| After tadalafil | 0.54 ± 0.06 | 0.53 (0.42-0.71) | |
| Right side TZ | | | 0.298 |
| Baseline | 0.49 ± 0.04 | 0.50 (0.40-0.57) | |
| After tadalafil | 0.55 ± 0.03 | 0.54 (0.48-0.61) | |

Table 1. RI values of various prostate zones before and after the administration of 20 mg tadalafil

RI = resistive index, PZ = peripheral zone, TZ = transition zone

same patient position throughout the study that is left decubitus and on men with an empty or nearly-empty bladder to avoid extrinsic compression affecting intraprostatic vessels. TRUS examinations were performed using a SSI-8000 ultrasound machine equipped with ultrasound-angio for power Doppler imaging with a 5.0 MHz end-fire probe. Blood flow samples were obtained and followed by spectral waveform analysis. When pulsatile waveforms of a given Doppler spectrum became stable, RI (maximum velocity-minimum velocity/maximum velocity) was measured from each blood flow sample using onboard software and the mean value was recorded. Power Doppler imaging of both right and left lobes of the prostate was performed and prostate transitional zone (TZ) RI and peripheral zone (PZ) RI were measured. Mean RI values were calculated by dividing the sum of right and left lobe RI results into two. All patients underwent RI measurement in prostate TZ and PZ using transrectal PDUS at baseline and within 16 hours after administration of single dose 20 mg tadalafil. The primary end point was the change in prostate TZ RI values. All measurements were performed by the same examiner (EE), who was blinded to the symptom scores of the patients at the time of the sonographic studies.

Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviations or as medians and ranges. Post-hoc power analysis were conducted using RI values of four

prostate zones before and after the administration of 20 mg tadalafil and the minimum power was calculated as 97.5%. A related-samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was performed to compare RI values. Calculations were performed using G*Power version 3.1.9.2 (Franz Faul, Universitat Kiel, Germany) and SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All statistical tests were two-sided, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean baseline IPSS and Qmax were 16.2 ± 4.34 (range: 12-26) and 10.45 ml/s (range: 7-13 ml/s), respectively. Fifteen (75%) patients had moderate BPH-LUTS (total IPSS = 8-19) at baseline. The mean baseline total IIEF was 12.8 ± 4.22 (range: 6-20). Mild to moderate erectile dysfunction (total IIEF = 12-16) was 60% (n = 12) in the study population.

The mean TZ RI at baseline and after tadalafil administration were 0.4985 and 0.5497, respectively (p = 0.232). According to the prostate zones, statistically significant differences for changes between baseline and after the administration of a single dose 20 mg tadalafil were observed in RI of the left side TZ, and both sides of PZ appeared in the form of increasing while no statistically significant difference was observed in RI of the right side TZ. RI values in different prostate zones at baseline and after tadalafil administration are shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

The available studies on the use of PDE5i for the treatment of LUTS are promising. Criticism of the use of PDE5i in LUTS has centered over the lack of precise understanding of the mechanism of action of these agents at the prostate level [13-15]. There are some studies to explain the effect of PDE5i at prostatic level. Bertolotto *et al.* [14] reported that after single dose tadalafil administration, the enhancement peak and area under curve (AUC) increased significantly (p < 0.01), reflecting changes in prostatic vasculature which can be detected with contrast-enhanced US. Haaga *et al.* [15] showed that sildenafil increased the enhancement and blood flow of the normal prostate on contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging.

Clinical data on the effect of PDE5i on smooth muscle tone of the prostate are limited [13, 14]. RI, which is emerging as a new and promising parameter, measures the intraprostatic pressure changes and blood flow and, thereby, can be used to explain the mechanism of action of PDE5i on the prostate. Prior observational studies have suggested that RI in the prostate TZ of men with BPH is higher than that measured in healthy controls, suggesting decreased prostate blood flow in those men [12].

In the present study, we assessed whether a single dose 20 mg tadalafil administration induces changes in prostatic tone that can be detected with RI measurement in the patients with erectile dysfunction and LUTS. The primary analysis of our results did not reveal an effect of single dose 20 mg tadalafil on the RI measured in the prostate TZ. This may be due to the high rate of patients (75%) with moderate LUTS and the mean TZ RI (0.4985) at baseline was lower than expected range of mean arterial RI in the prostate of men with BPH (0.70 to 0.80) [10, 16-20]. Moreover, the role of the effect of PDE5i on prostate may be more pronounced in men with true obstruction (Qmax < 10 ml/s) than the men with a Qmax of > 10ml/s. Roehrborn et al. [1] reported that tadalafil treatment resulted in larger numeric improvements in Qmax, bladder capacity and voiding efficiency compared with placebo in men with uroflowmetrically verified obstruction at baseline. In our study, the mean baseline Qmax was 10.45 ml/s (range: 7-13 ml/s).

In a randomized placebo-controlled study, Pinggera *et al.* [13] reported that tadalafil 5 mg once daily for 8 weeks did not provide detectable decreases in arterial RI in the prostate or bladder neck in men with BPH-LUTS. Our results are consistent with that study. According to that study, detection of changes may not be possible because of already low baseline, insufficient sensitivity of techniques used, or may have been confounded by methodologic variability across sites.

One possibility is that the lack of effect of PDE5i on flow rate in some patients with LUTS suggests that smooth muscle relaxation of the prostate and/or urinary outlet are only a partial mechanistic contributor to PDE5i-related improvements in BPH-LUTS. randomized, double-blind, А placebo-controlled study investigated the effects of a twice-daily treatment with vardenafil 10 mg in 222 patients (IPSS \geq 12, mean age of 56 yr) on irritative and obstructive LUTS secondary to BPH with or without erectile dysfunction [2]. After 8 weeks of treatment, there was a significant improvement in the IPSS scores in the vardenafil group compared with placebo (-5.9 vs. -3.6, p < 0.0013) as well as in irritative and obstructive IPSS sub-scores and in a quality-of-life questionnaire. The authors also reported that the effects of PDE5i on storage symptoms are more marked than on the voiding symptoms, and maximal flow rate and post-void residual volume did not change. Besides, the superiority of combination of alpha-blocker and PDE5i over either single agent in the treatment of LUTS also suggests that alternative mechanisms may be involved [21]. In addition to aforementioned studies, the present study provided evidence that the effects of PDE5i on urinary symptoms are not predominantly mediated through its effects on prostate.

The Limitations of the Study

It should be mentioned that our study had several major limitations. First, it was conducted on a small patient series, so our findings require validation on larger populations. Second, the imaging techniques used were technically complex and are not part of routine clinical management for BPH. Third, the mean TZ RI at baseline was lower than expected range of mean arterial RI in the prostate of men with BPH. This limitation could potentially be addressed by only enrolling patients with a prostatic RI confirmed to be at least 0.70 or higher at baseline. In addition, since peripheral zone of the prostate was not thought to be involved in the development of LUTS, we did not discuss the significant PZ RI differences and mean prostate RI values in the study.

Moreover the mean Qmax at baseline was 10.45 ml/s (range: 7-13 ml/s). Lack of measurement of prostate sizes is another limitation of the study. Further clinical trials into account the RI measurement of prostate in the men with Qmax \leq 10 ml/s will better clarify the mechanism of action of PDE5i at the prostate level. Lastly, our results based on a single dose of 20 mg tadalafil which is not indicated for daily use.

CONCLUSION

The available studies on the use of PDE5i for the treatment of LUTS are promising. However, clinical data on the effect of PDE5i on the prostate level are limited. Resistive index measurement allows objectively evaluation of tone of the smooth muscle of the prostatic stroma (the dynamic component of bladder outlet obstruction) and can therefore help to explain the mechanism of action of PDE5i at the prostate level. In the present study, single dose 20 mg tadalafil in men with BPH-LUTS and erectile dysfunction did not result in decreases in arterial RI in prostate. The missing effect on RI may be due to the fact that we included predominantly men without obstruction or smooth muscle relaxation of the prostate and/or urinary outlet tract are only a partial mechanistic contributor to PDE5i-related improvements in BPH-LUTS. A bigger sample size consist with men with true obstruction (Qmax < 10) ml/s) is required in order to confirm our results.

Author contributions

TS = Project development, conception and design, data collection and management, manuscript writing. EE = Conception and design, data collection, manuscript writing. HCE = Data analysis, statistical analysis, manuscript editing. FK = Data collection and management, data analysis, manuscript writing and editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors disclosed no conflict of interest during

the preparation or publication of this manuscript.

Financing

The authors disclosed that they did not receive any grant during conduction or writing of this study.

REFERENCES

[1] Roehrborn CG, McVary KT, Elion-Mboussa A, Viktrup L. Tadalafil administered once daily for lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a dose finding study. J Urol 2008;180:1228-34.

[2] Stief CG, Porst H, Neuser D, Beneke M, Ulbrich E. A randomised, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy of twice-daily vardenafil in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Eur Urol 2008;53:1236-44.

[3] McVary KT, Roehrborn CG, Kaminetsky JC, Auerbach SM, Wachs B, Young JM, et al. Tadalafil relieves lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urol 2007;177:1401-7.

[4] McVary KT, Monnig W, Camps JL Jr, Young JM, Tseng LJ, van den Ende G. Sildenafil citrate improves erectile function and urinary symptoms in men with erectile dysfunction and lower urinary tract symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia: A randomized, double-blind trial. J Urol 2007;177:1071-7.

[5] Roehrborn CG, Kaminetsky JC, Auerbach SM, Montelongo RM, Elion-Mboussa A, Viktrup L. Changes in peak urinary flow and voiding efficiency in men with signs and symptoms of benign prostatic hyperplasia during once daily tadalafil treatment. BJU Int 2010;105:502-7.

[6] Tinel H, Stelte-Ludwig B, Hutter J, Sandner P. Pre-clinical evidence for the use of phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors for treating benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms. BJU Int 2006;98:1259-63.

[7] Waldkirch ES, Uckert S, Langnase K Richter K, Jonas U, Wolf G, et al. Immunohistochemical distribution of cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase-1 in human prostate tissue. Eur Urol 2007;52:495-501.

[8] Grimsley SJ, Khan MH, Jones GE. Mechanism of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor relief of prostatitis symptoms. Med Hypotheses 2007;69:25-6.

[9] Yencilek E, Koyuncu H, Arslan D, Bastug Y. The measurement of the prostatic resistive index is a reliable ultrasonographic tool to stratify symptoms of patients with benign prosthatic hyperplasia. Med Ultrason 2014;16:208-13.

[10] Zhang X, Li G, Wei X, Mo X, Hu L, Zha Y, et al. Resistive index of prostate capsular arteries: a newly identified parameter to diagnose and assess bladder outlet obstruction in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urol 2012;188:881-7.

[11] Kojima M, Ochiai A, Naya Y, Okihara K, Ukimura O, Miki T. Doppler resistive index in benign prostatic hyperplasia: correlation with ultrasonic appearance of the prostate and infravesical obstruction. Eur Urol 2000;37:436-42.

[12] Tsuru N, Kurita Y, Masuda H, Suzuki K, Fujita K. Role of Doppler ultrasound and resistive index in benign prostatic hypertrophy. Int J Urol 2002;9:427-30.

[13] Pinggera GM, Frauscher F, Paduch DA, Bolyakov A, Efros M, Kaminetsky J, et al. Effect of tadalafil once daily on prostate blood flow and perfusion in men with lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial. Urology 2014;84: 412-20.

[14] Bertolotto M, Trincia E, Zappetti R, Bernich R, Savoca G, Cova

MA. Effect of tadalafil on prostate haemodynamics: preliminary evaluation with contrastenhanced US. Radiol Med 2009;114:1106-14.

[15] Haaga JR, Exner A, Fei B, Seftel A. Semiquantitative imaging measurement of baseline and vasomodulated normal prostatic blood flow using sildenafil. Int J Impot Res 2007;19:110-3.

[16] Berger AP, Deibl M, Leonhartsberger N, Bektic J, Horninger W, Fritsche G, et al. Vascular damage as a risk factor for benign prostatic hyperplasia and erectile dysfunction. BJU Int 2005;96:1073-8.

[17] Kojima M, Watanabe H, Watanabe M, Okihara K, Naya Y, Ukimura O. Preliminary results of power Doppler imaging in benign prostatic hyperplasia. Ultrasound Med Biol 1997;23:1305-9.

[18] Abdelwahab O, El-Barky E, Khalil MM, Kamar A. Evaluation of the resistive index of prostatic blood flow in benign prostatic hyperplasia. Int Braz J Urol 2012;38:250-5.

[19] Özden C, Günay I, Deren T, Bulut S, Koparal S, Memiş A. Effect of doxazosin on prostatic resistive index in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Fırat Tıp Dergisi 2009;14:171-4.

[20] Ozden C, Gunay I, Deren T, Bulut S, Ozdal OL, Koparal S, et al. Effect of transurethral resection of prostate on prostatic resistive index. Urol Int 2010;84:191-3.

[21] Gacci M, Corona G, Salvi M, Vignozzi L, McVary KT, Kaplan SA, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the use of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors alone or in combinationwith α -blockers for lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Eur Urol 2012;61:994-1003.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.