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Abstract—Wearable reality displays promise revolutionary developments for a wide range of applications. The key to achieving a high-quality wearable reality display system is to design a comfortable-to-wear, compact, lightweight system that can provide high resolution and high contrast. One potential method for achieving a compact and high-resolution display system is through designing a hybrid refractive/diffractive lens. With this approach, a chromatically-corrected optical system can be implemented with a single-element lens.

Index Terms—Diffractive optics, Displays, Lens design, Optical system design, Virtual Reality.

I. INTRODUCTION

WEARABLE REALITY systems are generally considered as the next frontier in human computer interaction. These systems include virtual, augmented and mixed reality systems. Wide range of applications of these devices include medical, defense, consumer, entertainment, industrial and educational applications [1-4]. Significant research and development efforts, together with recent developments in optical design, materials, and manufacturing technologies [5-7] and computational imaging and displays [8-10] enable rapid progress for introduction of mainstream virtual reality technologies. With the inclusion of cameras to view the environment and eye-tracking cameras in virtual reality (VR) display systems, video see-through augmented and mixed reality systems can also be implemented [11-13].

A virtual reality display system can be constructed by viewing a display through a positive lens. This configuration is similar to viewing an object through a magnifying glass. In this case, the display image appears magnified and at a large distance from the eye. This allows for a comfortable viewing experience without user eye strain. A very simple VR solution was introduced by Google with the Cardboard device. This device uses two plastics lenses (one for each eye) and a smartphone as the display, all enclosed in a cardboard enclosure [14]. More complex devices, such as VR systems from Oculus or HTC [15,16], have more complex lens designs. Even though these devices still have a single-element plastic lens, the lens surface shapes and profiles are optimized for high resolution displays.

Virtual reality systems should at the same time be compact and lightweight and provide an engaging experience for users. The user’s eyes should be relaxed and there should be ample clearance between the eyes and the system’s lenses. Realizing a compact system is possible with large field of view lenses. Wide-angle and fisheye lenses are common for a variety of imaging applications [17-19], typically with multi-element, aberration-corrected lenses. However, achieving wide field of view with single element lenses in VR systems is a challenging task.

In this paper, lens design of a chromatically corrected virtual reality display system is presented. As background information, a brief review of hybrid diffractive/refractive optical systems is introduced. The reference display for the device is a standard smartphone and the system achieves high resolution as evidenced by the high MTF curves. The system consists of a single lens, which is a hybrid refractive/diffractive element. The lens has two aspherical refractive surfaces and in addition, one of the surfaces has a diffractive phase profile. Two different designs, in which the diffractive surface is placed either on the first or the second surface of the lens are presented and evaluated.

II. HYBRID REFRACTIVE/DIFFRACTIVE LENSES

Typical imaging systems such as cameras and microscopes usually have refractive optical elements, i.e. lenses with spherical or aspherical surfaces. Optical materials are inherently dispersive, that is different wavelengths of light are refracted differently (Fig. 1, a). In order to achieve a broadband system for operation over a wide range of wavelengths, multi-element lenses with positive and negative lens elements can be designed to correct chromatic aberration. In a simple sense, positive elements are made of optical materials with low dispersion, whereas negative elements are made of optical materials with high dispersion, to correct chromatic aberrations at the image plane. Refractive lenses typically focus short wavelengths more than long wavelengths. If the visible spectrum is considered, blue light is focused closer to the lens than red light.

The Abbe number (V) of an optical material shows how dispersive the material is and is defined as:
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Abbe number \( (V) \) = \( \frac{n_{\text{center}} - 1}{n_{\text{short}} - n_{\text{long}}} \)  

(1)

in which \( n_{\text{center}}, n_{\text{short}} \) and \( n_{\text{long}} \) are the refractive indices of the center, short and long wavelengths, respectively. Typically for the visible spectrum, Fraunhofer d-, F- and C- lines define these wavelengths. The center wavelength is 588 nm (d-line), the short wavelength is 486 nm (F-line) and the long wavelength is 656 nm (C-line). Abbe numbers for optical materials typically range from 20 to 85, lower Abbe numbers mean the material is more dispersive. The Abbe number of PMMA material used in the design of the lenses in this paper is 59.2 [20].

Fig. 1. a) Dispersion characteristics for a refractive lens, blue wavelength has a shorter focal length compared to red wavelength. b) Dispersion characteristics for a diffractive lens, red wavelength has a shorter focal length compared to blue wavelength. c) With a hybrid refractive/diffractive lens, chromatic aberration correction can be achieved, such that both red and blue wavelengths are focused to the same point.

A different class of lenses is known as diffractive lenses. Instead of relying on refraction at interfaces, these lenses have structures on the order of light’s wavelength and rely on diffraction of light to achieve ray bending. Diffractive lenses typically focus long wavelengths of light more than short wavelengths (Fig. 1, b).

Analysis of the dispersion characteristics of a diffractive lens shows that dispersion does not depend on material refractive index but is related to the wavelength [21]. An effective Abbe number \( (V_{\text{diff}}) \) for a diffractive surface can be defined as:

\[ \text{Diffractive Abbe number} \ (V_{\text{diff}}) = \frac{\lambda_{\text{center}}}{\lambda_{\text{short}} - \lambda_{\text{long}}} \]

(2)

in which \( \lambda_{\text{center}}, \lambda_{\text{short}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{long}} \) are the center, short and long wavelengths, respectively. For the above stated d, F, C-lines, the diffractive Abbe number is -3.45.

A hybrid refractive/diffractive system can be designed to achieve a chromatically corrected optical system. With this approach, the positive Abbe number of the refractive lens is compensated for by the negative Abbe number of the diffractive lens for chromatic correction.

III. USING A SMARTPHONE AS VIRTUAL REALITY DISPLAY

Apple iPhone X smartphone was introduced in 2017. This was the company’s first smartphone with an organic light emitting diode (OLED) display. OLED displays provide high contrast due to their ability to turn pixels off completely for displaying black colors. iPhone X’s screen size is 5.8”, with a 19.5:9 aspect ratio. The full specifications of the iPhone X display are listed in Table 1.

As iPhone is a widely used smartphone, and the display size is similar to many other smartphones in the market, the design of the virtual reality lens was based around this phone. Another smartphone with a similar display size and resolution can also be used with the designed optical system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>SPECIFICATIONS OF APPLE IPHONE X DISPLAY [22]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screen size</td>
<td>5.8”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>2436 × 1125 pixels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size</td>
<td>55 µm × 55 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Frequency</td>
<td>9 cycles/mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. OPTICAL DESIGN OF VIRTUAL REALITY LENSES

The virtual reality optical system is a projection system, that is the image on the display is projected to the pupil of the eye. The optical system can also be modeled as an imaging system which focuses light onto the display plane, due to the optical principle of reversibility [23]. With this approach, optical analysis techniques such as spot size and modulation transfer function (MTF) can be utilized to evaluate the performance of the system.

There are several important requirements and related parameters for virtual reality displays to establish a pleasant experience for users. The lenses in the VR system should have sufficient clearance from the wearer’s eyes, this is known as eye relief. In addition, the VR system should be lightweight and compact in size. This sets an upper limit on the distance between the eye and the display. Based on these requirements, the system level parameters for the designed VR optical system are listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>SYSTEM PARAMETERS FOR THE DESIGNED VIRTUAL REALITY OPTICAL SYSTEM (PER EYE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pupil diameter</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye relief</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between pupil and display</td>
<td>&lt; 80 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen size</td>
<td>62 mm × 62 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens diameter</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The entrance pupil of the optical system is assumed to be 4 mm in diameter for the lens designs. This corresponds to the pupil diameter of a typical, undilated human eye. The two lens systems were modeled, optimized and analyzed in Zemax OpticStudio software [24]. In optical analyses, F-d-C wavelengths are specified to cover the full visible spectrum. The lens diameters are set to be 20 mm.

PMMA plastic was selected as the optical material for the lenses. PMMA is a thermoplastic material commonly used in optics and is especially suited for injection molding for high-volume manufacturing. Its refractive index is 1.49 (at 588 nm) and Abbe number is 59.2 [20].
A. Lens Design Procedure

Lens design process started by designing and optimizing a monochromatic lens with only refractive surfaces, operating at 588 nm wavelength. In this case, the distance from the pupil to the lens vertex was fixed to be 15 mm, to enforce the eye-relief requirement. Optimization constraints were also included to ensure the perpendicular distance between the pupil and the lens to be greater than 15 mm for the full lens diameter. In order to have an easy to manufacture lens, the center-edge and edge-center thickness ratios were limited to be less than 3 during lens optimization [25]. The total track length (TTL: distance from the pupil to the image plane) was also constrained to be less than 80 mm. Once the monochromatic lens was optimized, the design was made broadband and achromatized with the introduction of a diffractive surface profile and re-optimization of the full system.

Two different hybrid refractive/diffractive lenses were designed, with the main difference being the position of diffractive surface. In the first lens design the diffractive surface is placed on the front side of the lens (facing the user), whereas in the second lens design the diffractive surface is placed on the rear side of the lens (facing the display).

B. Lens Design with Front Side Diffractive Surface

Schematic drawing of the lens design with front side diffractive surface is shown in Fig. 2. In this figure, the field angles go up to 40°, which corresponds to a paraxial image height of 44.9 mm. The first surface has a gullwing shape and the second surface is convex. The effective focal length of the lens is 53.5 mm and the TTL for the lens is 75.6 mm. The center and edge thicknesses of the lens are 12 mm and 5.88 mm, respectively.

![Fig. 2. Schematic drawing for the lens design with front side diffractive surface.](image)

The modulation transfer function (MTF) analysis of the lens is shown in Fig. 3. This plot shows that on-axis and in the central field of view, the lens provides high contrast. With larger field angles, contrast is reduced.

![Fig. 3. MTF plot for the lens design with front side diffractive surface.](image)

C. Lens Design with Rear Side Diffractive Surface

Schematic drawing of the lens design with rear side diffractive surface is shown in Fig. 4. In this figure, the field angles go up to 40°, which corresponds to a paraxial image height of 45.2 mm. Both lens surfaces have a convex shape. The effective focal length for the lens is 53.8 mm and the TTL for the lens is 75.7 mm, both values are very similar to the previous design. The center and edge thicknesses of the lens are 12 mm and 5.35 mm, respectively.

![Fig. 4. Schematic drawing for the lens design with rear side diffractive surface.](image)

The modulation transfer function (MTF) analysis of the lens is shown in Fig. 5. This plot shows that modulation at the display spatial frequency of 9 cycles/mm, is greater than 0.5 in the central ±10° field of view and greater than 0.28 up to ±30° field of view.
When analyzed in imaging configuration, both lenses have negative (barrel) distortion. This means that in the projection configuration, they have the opposite, positive (pincushion) distortion. Due to this pincushion distortion effect of VR lenses, it is common practice to pre-distort display video feed of virtual reality systems through digital image processing [26]. If an image that is pre-distorted with the unique distortion profile of the lens is displayed on the screen, and a VR system with the corresponding lens is used to view this image, the viewer would see a distortion-free (i.e. rectilinear) image. Designing an optical system to have some optimal degree of distortion can also allow for correcting other optical aberrations more effectively [27-29].

V. DISCUSSIONS

The two hybrid refractive/diffractive lenses presented above have similar optical performance, as can be seen by comparing the MTF plots shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5. Both designs provide high contrast in the central field of view, and performance degradation is apparent at large field angles. Either lens design can be manufactured to implement high-resolution VR displays systems.

As shown in Fig. 2, the lens design with front side diffractive surface has a “gull-wing” profile for the front surface. This gullwing type of surface profile is difficult to manufacture and test [30]. Therefore, from a robust, high-volume manufacturing perspective, the lens design with rear side diffractive surface (as shown in Fig. 4) would be preferred over the other lens design.

VI. CONCLUSION

Optical design and performance analysis of a high-contrast wearable display system is presented. A compact and simple design with a single plastic lens element is achieved through a hybrid refractive/diffractive lens design approach.
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