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#### Abstract

In this paper, we want to solve the singular semi-Sylvester equation using the Drazin-inverse and the Drazin-inverse generalized minimum residual method (DGMRES $(m)$ algorithm). First, we transform the semiSylvester equation into a multiple linear systems. Then, we present the conditions and assumptions needed to apply the DGMRES $(\mathrm{m})$ algorithm. We compare our proposed method with the Galerkin projection method in point of view CPU-time, accuracy and iteration number. Finally, by some numerical experiments, we show the efficiency of the proposed method.


2010 AMS Classification: Primary 47A55; Secondary 39B52, 34K20, 39B82.
Keywords: Semi-Sylvester equations, multiple linear systems, DGMRES algorithm, Galerkin projection method.

## 1. Introduction

The semi-Sylvester equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X-E X B=C \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$ are given and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$ is to be determined, is one of the most important matrix equations in theory and applications and appear frequently in many areas. We refer the reader to the elegant survey by Bhatia and Rosenthal [6] and references therein for a history of the equation and many interesting and important theoretical result. These types of equations are important in a number of applications such as matrix eigendecompositions [13,23], control theory [10, 17], model reduction [1, 3, 24], numerical solution of matrix differential Riccati equations, and many more.

In [16], Karimi and Attarzadeh showed the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) has a unique solution if and only if $(A, C)$ and $\left(B^{T}, I\right)$ are regular matrix pairs with disjoint spectra. Several direct and iterative methods are proposed for solving semi-Sylvester equation (1.1). When the size of the cofficient matrices $A$ and $B$ are small, the popular and widely used numerical method is the Hessenberg-Schur algorithm [12]. For large and sparse matrices $A$ and $B$, iterative schemes to solve the semi-Sylvester equations such as those based on the matrix sign function or Newton method are widely used [5, 14, 16]. During last years, sevral projection methods based on Krylov subspace methods have also been proposed, see, e.g., [11, 15, 18].

[^0]In [16] Karimi and Attarzadeh showed that in a particular case, the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) can be converted into the following multiple linear systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(i)} x^{(i)}=b^{(i)}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [8], Chan and Michael presented the Galerkin projection method for solving multiple linear systems (1.2). In [16], Karimi and Attarzadeh have considered a special case of the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1), in which the matrix $B$ is normal. Then, by using the Schur decomposition of $B$, they transformed the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) into the multiple linear systems (1.2). Finally, by presenting the following propositions 1.1 and 1.2 , they studied the nonsingular case of multiple linear systems (1.2) and, in this case, they applied Galerkin projection method to solve the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1).

## Proposition 1.1. Let $A$ and $B$ are symmetric matrices and $E$ is symmetric positive definite matrix and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}<\frac{\langle A x, x\rangle}{\langle E x, x\rangle}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{j}$ be the eigenvalues of $B$. Then $\hat{A}^{(i)}$ is symmetic positive definite.
Proposition 1.2. Let $A, B$ and $E$ be symmetric positive definite matrices and symmetric positive semi-definite matrix, respectively. Then $\left(A-\lambda_{j} E\right), j=1,2, \ldots, s$ are symmetric positive definite, where $\lambda_{j}$ be the eigenvalues of $B$.

In this paper, we intend to consider a general case that the above propositions 1.1 and 1.2 , dose not exist, that is, the multiple linear systems (1.2) be singular, so in this regard, we provide the following definition.

Definition 1.3. We say that the multiple linear systems (1.2) is singular, if at least one of the coefficients matrices is singular. Also we say that the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) is singular if the corresponding multiple linear systems (1.2) is singular.

Now assume that the semi-sylvester equation is singular. In this case, we apply the Drazin-inverse and DEGMRES ( $m$ ) method for solving the multiple linear systems (1.2) and hence the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1). The results of this method will be compared with the results of Galerkin projection method [16], in point of view CPU-time, accurancy and iteration number. Note that the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) is the generalization of the standard Sylvester equation (this means that, if $E$ be identity matrix $I$ or an arbitary nonsingular matrix then the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) becomes the standard Sylvester equation).
The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will review the DGMRES method. In Section 3, we explain how to numerical solve the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) with the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method, and in Section 4, we will give some numerical expriments and compare them with the Galerkin projection method. Finally, we'll make some concluding remarks in Section 5.

## 2. DGMRES Method

Consider the following linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a singular matrix, $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\operatorname{ind}(A)$ is $\alpha$. Here $\operatorname{ind}(\mathrm{A})$ is the smallest nonnegative number that satisfy in $\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\alpha+1}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\alpha}\right)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\operatorname{ind}(A)=\alpha$. The matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the conditions
(1) $A X=X A$,
(2) $A^{\alpha} X A=A^{\alpha}$,
(3) $X A X=X$,
is called the Drazin-inverse of the matrix $A$. The Drazin-inverse of $A$ denoted by $A^{D}$.
We recall that the Drazin-inverse solution of the linear system (2.1) is the vector $A^{D} b[4,7]$. The Drazin-inverse solution $A^{D} b$ is the unique solution of the equation $A^{\alpha+1} x=A^{\alpha} b$ that belongs to $\mathcal{R}\left(A^{\alpha}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}\left(A^{\alpha}\right)\right.$ means range space of A) [25]. In [21], Sidi developed the DGMRES method for singular system that is analogous to GMRES method for nonsingular system. In addition, in [21], the author proposed an effective mode of usage for $D G M R E S$, denoted $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$, which is analogous to the $\operatorname{GMRES}(m)$ and requires a fixed amount of storage for its implementation.

In restarted $\operatorname{DGMRES}(\operatorname{DGMRES}(m))$ the method is restarted once Krylov subspace reachs dimension $m$, and the current approximate solution becomes the new initial guess for the next $m$ iterations. The restart parameter $m$ is generally chosen small relative to $n$ to keep storage and computation requirments reasonable. In the sequel, we review the $D G M R E S(m)$ method.
Krylov space methods are considered as one of the ten most important classes of numerical methods [9]. DGMRES ( m ) method is a Krylov subspace method for computing the Drazin-inverse solution of consistent or inconsistent linear system (2.1) [21,22]. In this method, there are not any restriction on the matrix $A$. Thus, in general, $A$ is nonHermitian, $\alpha=\operatorname{ind}(A)$ is arbitrary, and the spectrum of $A$ can be any shape. Thus, it is unnecessary for us to put any restriction on the linear system $A x=b$. So the system may be consistent or inconsistent. We only assume that ind(A) is known.
$D G M R E S(m)$ method starts with an initial vector $x_{0}$ and generates a sequence of vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=x_{0}+q_{m-1}(A) r_{0}, \quad r_{0}=b-A x_{0}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{m-1}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree at most $m-1$ defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{m-1}(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-\alpha} c_{i} \lambda^{\alpha+i-1}, \quad \alpha=\operatorname{ind}(A) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}(\lambda)=1-\lambda q_{m-1}(\lambda)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-\alpha} c_{i} \lambda^{\alpha+i}, \quad r_{m}=p_{m}(A) r_{0} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=x_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-\alpha} c_{i} A^{\alpha+i-1}, \quad r_{m}=b-A x_{m}=r_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-\alpha} c_{i} A^{\alpha+i} r_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Krylov subspace used is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{m-\alpha}\left(A, A^{\alpha} r_{0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{A^{\alpha} r_{0}, A^{\alpha+1} r_{0}, \ldots, A^{m-1} r_{0}\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We orthogonize the Krylov vectors $\left\{A^{\alpha} r_{0}, A^{\alpha+1} r_{0}, \ldots, A^{m-1} r_{0}\right\}$ by the Arnoldi-Gram-Schmidt process [2, 20], carried out like the modified Gram-Schmidt process:
(1) Let $\beta=\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{0}\right\|$ and set $v_{1}=\beta^{-1}\left(A^{\alpha} r_{0}\right)$.
(2) For $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ do
(a) Compute $h_{j i}=\left\langle v_{j}, A v_{i}\right\rangle, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, i$.
(b) Compute $\hat{v}_{i}=A v_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{i} v_{j} h_{j i}$.
(3) Let $h_{i+1, i}=\left\|\hat{v}_{i}\right\|$ and set $v_{i+1}=\frac{\hat{v}_{i}}{h_{i+1, i}}$.

Let we set resulting orthonormal vectors as the columns of the matrix $\hat{V}_{k}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}_{k}=\left[v_{1}\left|v_{2}\right| \ldots \mid v_{k}\right], \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=x_{0}+\hat{V}_{m-\alpha} \xi_{m}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m-\alpha} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we need to determine $\xi_{m}$. First, note that $r_{m}=r_{0}-A \hat{V}_{m-\alpha} \xi_{m}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\alpha} r_{m}=A^{\alpha} r_{0}-A^{\alpha+1} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha} \xi_{m}=\beta v_{1}-A^{\alpha+1} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha} \xi_{m} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we write

$$
A \hat{V}_{k}=\hat{V}_{k+1} \bar{H}_{k} ; \quad \bar{H}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11} & h_{12} & \ldots & \ldots & h_{1 k}  \tag{2.10}\\
h_{21} & h_{22} & \ldots & \ldots & h_{2 k} \\
0 & h_{32} & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & h_{k k} \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & h_{k+1, k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $\bar{H}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times k}$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\bar{H}_{k}\right)=k$. If we apply (2.10) to $A^{\alpha+1} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\alpha+1} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha}=A^{\alpha} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha+1} \bar{H}_{m-\alpha} \\
& \quad=A^{\alpha-1} \hat{V}_{m-\alpha+2} \bar{H}_{m-\alpha+1} \bar{H}_{m-\alpha}=\cdots=\hat{V}_{m+1} \hat{H}_{m} \\
& \\
& \hat{H}_{m} \equiv \bar{H}_{m} \bar{H}_{m-1} \ldots \bar{H}_{m-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\alpha} r_{m}=\beta v_{1}-\hat{V}_{m+1} \hat{H}_{m} \xi_{m} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also have $\hat{V}_{m+1}^{T} \hat{V}_{m+1}=I_{(m+1) \times(m+1)}$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\hat{H}_{m}\right)=m-\alpha$. We finally have the $(m+1) \times(m-\alpha)$ least squares problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{m}\right\|=\left\|\beta e_{1}-\hat{H}_{m} \xi_{m}\right\|=\min _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m-\alpha}}\left\|\beta e_{1}-\hat{H}_{m} \xi\right\| . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $n$ is normally very large and $m \ll n$, which implies that the problem in (2.12) is very small. Also, note that since $\hat{H}_{m}$ is a full rank, we can determine $\xi_{m}$ by applying the $Q R$ decomposition on $\hat{H}_{m}$. Thus $\hat{H}_{m}=Q_{m} R_{m}$, where $Q_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) \times(m-\alpha)}$ is a unitary matrix, that is, $Q_{m}^{T} Q_{m}=I_{(m-\alpha) \times(m-\alpha)}$ and $R_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-\alpha) \times(m-\alpha)}$ is a upper triangular matrix. Since $\hat{H}_{m}$ is full rank, so $R_{m}$ is nonsingular, therefore we can compute $\xi_{m}$ by solution the upper triangular system as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m} \xi_{m}=\beta\left(Q_{m}^{T} e_{1}\right), \quad e_{1}=[1,0, \ldots, 0]^{T} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the algorithm of the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method is as follows

## Algorithm 2.1 (DGMRES(m) algorithm).

(1) Choose an initial guess $x_{0}$ and compute $r_{0}=b-A x_{0}$ and $A^{\alpha} r_{0}$.
(2) Compute $\beta=\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{0}\right\|$ and set $v_{1}=\beta^{-1}\left(A^{\alpha} r_{0}\right)$.
(3) Orthogonalize the Krylov vectors $A^{\alpha} r_{0}, A^{\alpha+1} r_{0}, \ldots, A^{m+\alpha-1} r_{0}$ via the Arnoldi-Gram-Schmidt process carried out like the modified Gram-Schmidt process:
For $j=1, \ldots, m$ do
$u=A v_{j}$
For $i=1, \ldots, j d o$
$h_{i, j}=\left\langle u, v_{j}\right\rangle$
$u=u-h_{i, j} v_{i}$
end
$h_{j+1, j}=\|u\|$,
$v_{j+1}=u / h_{j+1, j}$
end (The vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+1}$ obtained by this way form an orthonormal set.)
(4) For $k=1: m$ form the matrices $\hat{V}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $\bar{H}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times k}$

$$
\hat{V}_{k}=\left[v_{1}\left|v_{2}\right| \ldots \mid v_{k}\right], \quad \bar{H}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11} & h_{12} & \ldots & \ldots & h_{1 k} \\
h_{21} & h_{22} & \ldots & \ldots & h_{2 k} \\
0 & h_{32} & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & h_{k k} \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & h_{k+1, k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(5) Form the matrix $\hat{H}_{m}=\bar{H}_{m} \bar{H}_{m-1} \ldots \bar{H}_{m-\alpha}$.
(6) Compute the $Q R$ decomposition of $\hat{H}_{m}: \hat{H}_{m}=Q_{m} R_{m} ; Q_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) \times(m-\alpha)}$ and $R_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-\alpha) \times(m-\alpha)}$. $R_{m}$ is upper triangular.)
(7) Solve the (upper triangular) system $R_{m} \xi_{m}=\beta\left(Q_{m}^{T} e_{1}\right)$, where $e_{1}=[1,0, \ldots, 0]^{T}$.
(8) Compute $x_{m}=x_{0}+\hat{V}_{m-\alpha} \xi_{m}\left(\right.$ then $\left.\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{m}\right\|=\beta \sqrt{1-\left\|Q_{m}^{T} e_{1}\right\|^{2}}\right)$. If satisfied then stop.
(9) Set $x_{0}=x_{m}$, compute $r_{0}=b-A x_{0}$, and go to (2).

## 3. Numerically Solving the Semi-Sylvester Equation

In this section, we want to numerically solve the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1), by using the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ is a normal matrix if and only if it is unitarily similar to a diagonal matrix [19].
Now let in the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1), $B$ is a normal matrix. So, according to Theorem 3.1 there are a unitary matrix $Q_{B}$ and a diagonal matrix $\Lambda_{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=Q_{B} \Lambda_{B} Q_{B}^{T} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the diagonal components of $\Lambda_{B}$ are eigenvalues of $B$ and the columns of the unitary matrix $Q_{B}$ are normalized eigenvectores of $B$. By substitution of (3.1) in (1.1), we have

$$
A X Q_{B}-E X Q_{B} \Lambda_{B}=C Q_{B}
$$

By taking $\hat{X}=X Q_{B}$ and $\hat{C}=C Q_{B}$, we obtain the following multiple linear systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}^{(i)} \hat{x}^{(i)}=\hat{c}^{(i)}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{A}^{(i)}=\left(A-\lambda_{i} E\right), \hat{x}^{(i)}$ is the $i$-th column of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{c}^{(i)}$ is the $i$-th column of $\hat{C}$.
Therefore, the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) is converted to $s$ linear systems. Notice, in this paper we considered the general case; that is, we did not impose any conditions and constraints on coefficients matrices of the resulting system. Therefore, it is possible to solve the semi-Sylvester equation by using $s$-time of the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method. In the next section we present some examples and numerical results.

## 4. Numerical Experiments and Conclusion

In this paper, we used the corresponding multiple linear systems form (form (3.2)) to solve the semi-Sylvester equation (1.1) and we considered the singular case. In this case, we used the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method to solve these systems. In this section, we present some experiments and numerical results. The described method is written with MATLAB.. In the following, we give three examples, in the first example 4.1, the equation is standard Sylvester equation and coefficients matrices are nonsingular and well-conditioned. In examples 4.2 and 4.3 , we consider the singular case of the semi-Sylvester equation. In this tow examples the coefficients matrices are singular and ill-conditioned. In all examples, the initial matrix $X_{0}$, is the zero matrix and the stop condition is $\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq 1 e-04$. The results obtained from these examples are presented in tables 1 and 2, which are compared with Galerkin projection method in point of view CPU-time, iteration numbers and residuals norm. In both tables 1 and 2, the symbols Total itr, time and Cond are total iteration numbers, total CPU-time and the maximun condition number of coefficients matrices, respectively.

Example 4.1. In this example we apply the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method on the standard Sylvester equation, that is, the matrix $E=I$. Also the matrices $A, B$ and $C$ are as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\operatorname{hilb}(n, n), \quad E=\operatorname{eye}(n, n), \\
& B=-\operatorname{tridiag}\left(-1+\frac{1}{1+s}, 5,-1+\frac{1}{1+s}\right), \quad C=\operatorname{ones}(n, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=1000$ and $s=4$. The numerical results are presented in table 1 . We recall that resulting systems are nonsingular and well-conditioned (the maximum condition number is 1.66 ).

| method $(1000,4, \mathrm{~m})$ | time(s) | Total itr | $\min \left\\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\\|_{2}$ | $\max \left\\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\\|_{2}$ | Cond |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Galerkin | $8.3464 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 10 | $1.7554 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $2.3386 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 1.66 |
| DGMRES $(10)$ | $1.5122 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 4 | $3.5004 \mathrm{e}-29$ | $1.5053 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 1.66 |

Table 1. The results obtained from applying Galerkin projection and DGMRES(m) methods on example 4.1

Example 4.2. In this example we consider semi-Sylvester equation that coefficients matrices are singular, the maximum condition number is $3.36 e+22$ and $\operatorname{ind}\left(A^{(i)}\right)$ are all equal to 5 . The matrices constituting the semi-Sylvester are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=5 * \operatorname{hilb}(n, n), \quad E=\operatorname{hilb}(n, n), \\
& B=\operatorname{tridag}\left(-1+\frac{1}{s+1}, 5,-1+\frac{1}{1+s}\right), \quad C=\operatorname{ones}(n, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=1000$ and $s=4$. The numerical results obtained in table 2 are presented.
Example 4.3. In this example we consider semi-Sylvester equation that coefficients matrices are singular, maximum condition number is $1.9 e+21$ and $\operatorname{ind}\left(A^{(i)}\right)$ are $6,5,5,6$, respectively. The matrices constituting the semi-Sylvester are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\operatorname{hilb}(n, n), \quad E=\operatorname{hilb}(n, n), \\
& B=\operatorname{tridiag}\left(-1+\frac{1}{s+1}, 5,-1+\frac{1}{1+s}\right), \quad C=\operatorname{eye}(n, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=1000$ and $s=4$. The numerical results are presented in table 2 .

| method | problem | Tol | time(s) | Total itr | $\min \left\\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\\|_{2}$ | $\max \left\\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\\|_{2}$ | Cond |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Galerkin | example 4.2 | le-04 | 2.17 | 430 | $2.2590 \mathrm{e}-19$ | $7.6387 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.3 \mathrm{e}+22$ |
| Galerkin | example 4.3 | $1 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $4.28 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 8525 | $6.2908 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $9.4382 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{e}+21$ |
| Galerkin | example 4.3 | $1 \mathrm{e}-04$ | - | - | - | - | $1.9 \mathrm{e}+21$ |
| DGMRES(10) | example 4.2 | $1 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 1.35 | 4 | $6.5855 \mathrm{e}-23$ | $2.0287 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.3 \mathrm{e}+22$ |
| DGMRES(11) | example 4.3 | $1 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.21 | 4 | $3.5937 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.5710 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{e}+21$ |
| DGMRES(11) | example 4.3 | $1 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 1.52 | 5 | $3.5937 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $5.1150 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.9 \mathrm{e}+21$ |

Table 2. The results obtained from applying the Galerkin projection and DGMRES(m) methods on examples 4.2 and 4.3

As the results of the examples in Tables 1 and 2 show, when the coefficients matrices are nonsingular and wellconditioned, the Galerkin projection method is better than the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method in point of view the CPU-time, although in terms of the number of itterations and the residuals norm $\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\|_{2}$ the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method shows a better result. But the results of Table 2 show that when the coefficients matrices are singular and ill-conditioned, in point of view CPU-time, iteration numbers and residuals norm $\left\|A^{\alpha} r_{i}\right\|_{2}$, the $\operatorname{DGMRES}(m)$ method has a more better performance than the Galerkin projection method.
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