



Weighted Approximation by the q –Szász–Schurer–Beta Type Operators

İsmet YÜKSEL¹, Ülkü DİNLEMEZ^{2,*}

^{1,2}Gazi University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Teknikokullar,
06500, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 27/08/2014 Revised: 01/12/2014 Accepted: 18/12/2014

ABSTRACT

In this study, we investigate approximation properties of a Schurer type generalization of q –Szász-beta type operators. We estimate the rate of weighted approximation of these operators for functions of polynomial growth on the interval $[0, \infty)$.

2000 Math. Subject Classification: 41A25, 41A36.

Key words and phrases: q –Szász–Schurer–beta operators, q –mixed operators, weighted approximation, rates of approximation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], based on q –integer and q –binomial coefficients, firstly, Lupaş introduced a q –analogue of the Bernstein operators. After then several interesting generalization about q –calculus were given in [2] – [13]. Recently, in [14], Dinlemez studied convergence of the q –Stancu–Szász–beta type operators. Our aim is to study on Schurer type generalization of q –Szász–beta type operators. We use without further explanation the basic notations and formulas, from the theory of q –calculus as set out in [15] – [19]. Let $A > 0$ and f be a real valued continuous function defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$. For $0 < q \leq 1$, q –Szász–Schurer–beta type operators are defined as

$$S_{n,p,q}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,p,k}^q(x) \int_0^{\infty/A} b_{n,p,k}^q(t) f(t) d_q(t), \quad (1)$$

where

$$s_{n,p,k}^q(x) = ([n+p]_q x)^k \frac{e^{-[n+p]_q x}}{[k]_q!}$$

and

$$b_{n,p,k}^q(x) = \frac{q^{k^2} x^k}{B_q(k+1, n+p)(1+x)_q^{n+p+k+1}}.$$

If we write $p = 0$ in (1), then the operators $S_{n,p,q}$ are reduced to q –Szász–beta type operators studied in [10, 11] and [14]. If we write $p = 0$ and $q = 1$ in (1), then the operators $S_{n,p,q}$ are reduced to Szász–beta type operators given in [20] – [23].

*Corresponding author, e-mail: ulku@gazi.edu.tr

2. MOMENT ESTIMATION

For the sake of shortness, the notation $F_3^-(m) = \prod_{i=0}^m [m-i]_q$ will be used throughout the article. In the following lemma, lemma is similarly to the proof given in [10,11]. So proof of the following lemma is omitted.

Lemma 1. $e_m(t) = t^m$, $m = 0,1,2,3$ and 4. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}(e_0, x) = 1, \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}(e_1, x) = \frac{[n+p]_q}{q^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{1}{q F_0^-(n+p-1)}, \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}(e_2, x) = \frac{[n+p]_q^2}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x^2 + \frac{[2]_q^2 [n+p]_q}{q^5 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{[2]_q}{q^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)}, \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}(e_3, x) = \frac{[n+p]_q^3}{q^{12} F_2^-(n+p-1)} x^3 + \frac{([5]_q + q[2]_q^2)[n+p]_q}{q^{11} F_2^-(n+p-1)} x^2 \\
 & + \frac{([2]_q^2 [4]_q + q^2 [2]_q)[n+p]_q}{q^9 F_2^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{[2]_q [3]_q}{q^6 F_2^-(n+p-1)}, \\
 \text{(v)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}(e_4, x) = \frac{[n+p]_q^4}{q^{20} F_3^-(n+p-1)} x^4 + \frac{([7]_q + q[5]_q + q^2 [2]_q^2)[n+p]_q}{q^{19} F_3^-(n+p-1)} x^3 \\
 & + \frac{([5]_q [6]_q + q[2]_q^2 [6]_q + q^2 [2]_q^2 [4]_q + q^4 [2]_q)[n+p]_q}{q^{17} F_3^-(n+p-1)} x^2 \\
 & + \frac{([2]_q^2 [4]_q [5]_q + q^2 [2]_q [5]_q + q^3 [2]_q [3]_q)[n+p]_q}{q^{14} F_3^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{[2]_q [3]_q [4]_q}{q^{10} F_3^-(n+p-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain our main results we need to compute second and fourth moments.

Lemma 2. Let $q \in (0,1)$ and $n > 4$. Then, we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^2, x) \leq \left(\frac{2(1-q^4)}{q^6} + \frac{28}{q^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \right) x(x+1) + \frac{2}{q^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \\
 \text{and} \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^4, x) \leq \left(\frac{8(q^{16}-q^{18})}{q^{20}} + \frac{12596}{q^{20}[n+p-4]_q} \right) (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (i). From linearity of $S_{n,p,q}$ operators and Lemma 1, we have the second moment as

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^2, x) &= S_{n,p,q}(t^2, x) - 2xS_{n,p,q}(t, x) + x^2S_{n,p,q}(1, x) \\
 &= \frac{[n+p]_q^2 - 2q^4[n+p]_q[n+p-2]_q + q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x^2 \\
 &+ \frac{q[2]_q^2 [n+p]_q - 2q^5 [n+p-2]_q}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{[2]_q}{q^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

In (2), using the inequality $[n+p-s]_q \leq [n+p]_q$ for $s > 0$ and ignoring some negative terms, we obtain

$$S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^2, x) \leq \frac{(1+q^6)[n+p]_q^2 - 2q^4[n+p-2]_q^2}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x^2 + \frac{q[2]_q^2 [n+p]_q}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x + \frac{[2]_q}{q^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} \tag{3}$$

In (3), using the inequality

$$[n+p]_q \leq [s]_q + q^s [n+p-s]_q \text{ for } s > 0, \tag{4}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^2, x) \\
 & \leq \frac{(1+q^6)([2]_q^2 + 2q^2 [2]_q^2 [n+p-2]_q + q^4 [n+p-2]_q^2) - 2q^4 [n+p-2]_q^2}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x^2 + \frac{q[2]_q^2 ([2]_q + q^2 [n+p-2]_q)}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x \\
 & + \frac{[2]_q}{q^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} \\
 & \leq \frac{|q^4(1+q^6) - 2q^4| [n+p-2]_q^2 + (2[2]_q q^2 (1+q^6) + [2]_q^2 q^3) [n+p-2]_q + (1+q^6) [2]_q^2 + q[2]_q^3}{q^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} x(1+x) + \frac{[2]_q}{q^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{2(1-q^4)}{q^6} + \frac{28}{q^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \right) x(1+x) + \frac{2}{q^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

And the proof of (i) of the Lemma 2 is now finished.

Proof of (ii). From linearity of $S_{n,p,q}$ operators and Lemma 1, we write the fourth moment as

$$S_{n,p,q}((t-x)^4, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= S_{n,p,q}(t^4, x) - 4xS_{n,p,q}(t^3, x) + 6x^2S_{n,p,q}(t^2, x) - 4x^3S_{n,p,q}(t, x) + x^4S_{n,p,q}(1, x) \\
 &= \frac{C_1(n,p,q)}{q^{20}F_3^-(n+p-1)}x^4 + \frac{C_2(n,p,q)}{q^{19}F_3^-(n+p-1)}x^3 + \frac{C_3(n,p,q)}{q^{17}F_3^-(n+p-1)}x^2 + \frac{C_4(n,p,q)}{q^{14}F_3^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{C_5(n,p,q)}{q^{10}F_3^-(n+p-1)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1(n, p, q) &= [n + p]_q^4 - 4q^8[n + p]_q^3[n + p - 4]_q + 6q^{14}[n + p]_q^2[n + p - 3]_q[n + p - 4]_q \\
 &\quad - 4q^{18}[n + p]_q[n + p - 2]_q[n + p - 3]_q[n + p - 4]_q + q^{20}F_3^-(n + p - 1), \\
 C_2(n, p, q) &= ([7]_q + q[5]_q + q^2[2]_q^2)[n + p]_q^3 - 4q^8([5]_q + q[2]_q^2)[n + p]_q^2[n + p - 4]_q \\
 &\quad + 6q^{14}[2]_q^2[n + p]_q[n + p - 3]_q[n + p - 4]_q - 4q^{18}[n + p - 2]_q[n + p - 3]_q[n + p - 4]_q, \\
 C_3(n, p, q) &= ([5]_q[6]_q + q[2]_q^2[6]_q + q^2[2]_q^2[4]_q + q^4[2]_q)[n + p]_q^2 \\
 &\quad - 4q^8([2]_q^2[4]_q + q^2[2]_q)[n + p]_q[n + p - 4]_q + 6q^{14}[2]_q[n + p - 3]_q[n + p - 4]_q, \\
 C_4(n, p, q) &= ([2]_q^2[4]_q[5]_q + q^2[2]_q[5]_q + q^3[2]_q[3]_q)[n + p]_q^2 - 4q^8[2]_q[3]_q[n + p - 4]_q
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_5(n, p, q) = [2]_q[3]_q[4]_q.$$

Now, we find upper boundaries for the coefficients $C_i(n, p, q)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and 4. Again using the inequalities $[n + p + s]_q \leq [n + p]_q$, (4) and ignoring some negative terms, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1(n, p, q) &= [n + p]_q^4(1 + 6q^{14} + q^{20}) - [n + p - 4]_q^4(4q^8 + 4q^{18}) \\
 &\leq 8(q^{16} - q^{18})[n + p - 4]_q^4 + 4992[n + p - 4]_q^3,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2(n, p, q) &\leq 40[n + p]_q^3 \\
 &\leq 40([n + p - 4]_q^3 + 12[n + p - 4]_q^2 + 48[n + p - 4]_q + 64),
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$C_3(n, p, q) \leq 84[n + p]_q^2 \leq 84([n + p - 4]_q^2 + 8[n + p - 4]_q + 16), \tag{8}$$

$$C_4(n, p, q) \leq 96[n + p]_q \leq 96([n + p - 4]_q + 4) \tag{9}$$

and

$$C_5(n, p, q) = 24. \tag{10}$$

Combining among (5) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{n,p,q}((t - x)^4, x) &\leq \frac{8(q^{16} - q^{18})[n+p-4]_q^4 + 4992[n+p-4]_q^3}{q^{20}[n+p-4]_q^4}x^4 \\
 &\quad + \frac{40[n+p-4]_q^3 + 12[n+p-4]_q^2 + 48[n+p-4]_q + 64}{q^{19}[n+p-4]_q^4}x^3 \\
 &\quad + \frac{84(12[n+p-4]_q^2 + 8[n+p-4]_q + 16)}{q^{17}[n+p-4]_q^4}x^2 + \frac{96([n+p-4]_q + 4)}{q^{14}[n+p-4]_q^4}x + \frac{24}{q^{10}[n+p-4]_q^4} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{8(q^{16} - q^{18})}{q^{20}} + \frac{12596}{q^{20}[n+p-4]_q} \right) (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

And the proof of (ii) of Lemma 2 is now finished.

3. LOCAL APPROXIMATION

Now, $C_B[0, \infty)$ denotes the space of bounded continuous functions with the norm $\|f\|_B = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [0, \infty)\}$. We denote the first modulus of continuity on the finite interval $[0, b]$, $b > 0$,

$$\omega_{[0,b]}(f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta, x \in [0,b]} |f(x+h) - f(x)|. \tag{11}$$

The Peetre's K -functional is defined by

$$K_2(f, \delta) = \inf\{\|f - g\|_B + \delta\|g''\|_B : g \in W_\infty^2, \delta > 0\},$$

where $W_\infty^2 = \{g \in C_B[0, \infty) : g', g'' \in C_B[0, \infty)\}$.

By [18, p. 177, Theorem 2.4], there exists a positive constant C such that

$$K_2(f, \delta) \leq C\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}), \tag{12}$$

where $\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)|$.

Thus we are ready to give direct results. The following lemma is routine and its proof is omitted.

Lemma 3. Let

$$\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(f, x) = S_{n,p,q}(f, x) - f\left(\frac{[n+p]_q}{q^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{1}{q F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) + f(x). \tag{13}$$

Then, the operators $\bar{S}_{n,p,q}$ satisfy the following assertions;

- (i). $\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(1, x) = 1$,
- (ii). $\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(t, x) = x$,
- (iii). $\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(t - x, x) = 0$.

Lemma 4. Let $q \in (0,1)$ and $n > 1$. Then for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $f'' \in C_B[0, \infty)$, we have the following inequality

$$|\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq \|f''\|_B \delta_{n,p,q}(x),$$

$$\text{where } \delta_{n,p,q}(x) = \left(\frac{2(1-q^4)}{q^6} + \frac{28}{q^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right)x(1+x) + \frac{2}{q^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)}.$$

Proof. Using Taylor’s expansion

$$f(t) = f(x) + (t - x)f'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du$$

and from Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(f, x) - f(x) = \bar{S}_{n,p,q}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du, x\right).$$

Then, using the Lemma 2 (i) and the inequality

$$\left|\int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du\right| \leq \|f''\|_B \frac{(t-x)^2}{2},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\bar{S}_{n,p,q}(f, x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} S_{n,p,q}((t - x)^2, x) + \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} \left(\left(\frac{[n+p]_q}{q^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)} - 1\right)x + \frac{1}{q F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{2(1-q^4)}{q^6} + \frac{28}{q^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right)x(x+1) + \frac{2}{q^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \right\} \\ & + \frac{\|f''\|_B}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{[n+p]_q}{q^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)} - 1\right)^2 x^2 + 2\left(\frac{[n+p]_q}{q^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)} - 1\right)\frac{x}{q F_0^-(n+p-1)} + \frac{1}{q^2 (F_0^-(n+p-1))^2} \right\} \\ & \leq \left\{ \left(\frac{2(1-q^4)}{q^6} + \frac{28}{q^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right)x(x+1) + \frac{2}{q^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \right\} \|f''\|_B \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the Lemma 4 is now completed.

Theorem 1. Let $(q_n) \subset (0,1)$ be a sequence such that $q_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for every $n > 2$, $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$, we have the inequality

$$|S_{n,p,q_n}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(f, \sqrt{\delta_{n,p,q_n}(x)}\right) + \omega(f, \eta_{n,p,q_n}(x)),$$

$$\text{where } \eta_{n,p,q_n}(x) = \left(\frac{[n+p]_{q_n}}{q_n^2 [n+p-1]_{q_n}} - 1\right)x + \frac{1}{q_n [n+p-1]_{q_n}}.$$

Proof. Using (13) for any $g \in W_{\infty}^2$, we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n,p,q_n}(f, x) - f(x)| & \leq |\bar{S}_{n,p,q_n}(f - g, x) - (f - g)(x) + \bar{S}_{n,p,q_n}(g, x) - g(x)| \\ & + \left|f\left(\frac{[n+p]_{q_n}x}{q_n^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)} + \frac{1}{q_n F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) - f(x)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we get

$$|S_{n,p,q_n}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq 2\|f - g\|_B + \delta_{n,p,q_n}(x)\|g''\|_B + \left| f\left(\frac{[n+p]_{q_n}}{q_n^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{1}{q_n F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) - f(x) \right|$$

By using (11), we have

$$|S_{n,p,q_n}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq 2\|f - g\|_B + \delta_{n,p,q_n}(x)\|g''\|_B + \omega(f, \eta_{n,p,q_n}(x)). \tag{14}$$

Taking infimum over $g \in W_\infty^2$ on the right hand side of the inequality (14) and using the inequality (12), we get the desired result.

4. WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION

The weighted Korovkin–type theorems was proved by Gadzhiev [19]. We give the Gadzhiev’s results in weighted spaces. Let $\rho(x) = 1 + x^2$. $B_\rho[0, \infty)$ denotes the set of all functions f , from $[0, \infty)$ to R , satisfying the growth condition $|f(x)| \leq N_f \rho(x)$, where N_f is a constant depending only on f . $B_\rho[0, \infty)$ is a normed space with the norm $\|f\|_\rho = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)} : x \in R \right\}$. $C_\rho^*[0, \infty)$ denotes the subspace of continuous functions in $B_\rho[0, \infty)$ for which $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)}$ exists finitely.

Theorem 2 Let $(q_n) \subset (0,1)$ be a sequence such that $q_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $f \in C_\rho^*[0, \infty)$ and $n > 1$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n,p,q_n}(f) - f\|_\rho = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 1, it is obvious that $\|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_0) - e_0\|_\rho = 0$. Let $n > 2$. Using Lemma we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n,p,q_n}(e_1, x) - e_1(x)| &= \left| \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}}{q_n^2 F_0^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{1}{q_n F_0^-(n+p-1)} - x \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{[n+p]_{q_n} - q_n^2[n+p-1]_{q_n}}{q_n^2[n+p-1]_{q_n}}x + \frac{1}{q_n[n+p-1]_{q_n}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1+q_n - q_n^{n+p}}{q_n^2[n+p-1]_{q_n}}x + \frac{1}{q_n[n+p-1]_{q_n}} \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3}{q_n^2}x + \frac{1}{q_n} \right) \frac{1}{[n+p-1]_{q_n}} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{q_n^2}(x+1) \frac{1}{[n+p-1]_{q_n}} \end{aligned}$$

And we have

$$\|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_1) - e_1\|_\rho \leq \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{1+x}{1+x^2} \frac{3}{q_n^2[n+p-1]_{q_n}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_1) - e_1\|_\rho = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n,p,q_n}(e_2, x) - e_2(x)| &= \left| \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{q_n^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)}x^2 + \frac{[2]_{q_n}^2 [n+p]_{q_n}}{q_n^5 F_1^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{[2]_{q_n}}{q_n^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} - x^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{q_n^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)} - 1 \right) x^2 + \frac{[2]_{q_n}^2 [n+p]_{q_n}}{q_n^5 F_1^-(n+p-1)}x + \frac{[2]_{q_n}}{q_n^3 F_1^-(n+p-1)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the equality

$$\begin{aligned} [n+p]_{q_n}^2 - q_n^6 F_1^-(n+p-1) &= \frac{1}{1-q_n} (q_n + q_n^{2(n+p)} - 2q_n^{n+p+1} - 2q_n^{n+p+2} - 2q_n^{n+p+3} - q_n^{n+p+4} + q_n^{2(n+p)+1} \\ &+ q_n^{2(n+p)+2} + q_n^2 + q_n^3 + q_n^4 + q_n^5 - 2q_n^{n+p} + 1) \\ &\leq \frac{9-9q_n^{n+p+4}}{1-q_n} \\ &= 9[n+p+4]_{q_n}. \end{aligned}$$

We get following inequality

$$|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_2, x) - e_2(x)| \leq (x^2 + x + 1) \frac{9[n+p+4]_{q_n}}{q_n^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_2) - e_2\|_\rho \leq \sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} \frac{1+x+x^2}{1+x^2} \frac{9[n+p+4]_{q_n}}{q_n^6 F_1^-(n+p-1)}$$

Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{n,p,q_n}(e_2) - e_2\|_\rho = 0$.

Thus, from A. D. Gadzhiev’s Theorem in [25], we obtain desired result of Theorem 2.

5. RATE OF WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION

Now we want to estimate the rate of convergence for the sequence of the q –Szász-Schurer-beta operators $S_{n,p,q}$. As it is known if f is not uniformly continuous on the interval $[0, \infty)$, then the usual first modulus of continuity $w(f, \delta)$ does not tend to zero, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. For every $f \in C_\rho^*[0, \infty)$, we would like to take a weighted modulus of continuity $\Omega(f, \delta)$ which tends to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We consider the weighted modulus of continuity $\Omega(f, \delta)$ as

$$\Omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta, x \geq 0} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{(1+h^2)(1+x^2)}, \text{ for each every } f \in C_\rho^*[0, \infty). \tag{15}$$

The definition and properties of the weighted modulus of $\Omega(f, \delta)$ were given by İspir in [26]. Now we will obtain the rate of convergence for the operators S_{n,p,q_n} .

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C_\rho^*[0, \infty)$ and $(q_n) \subset (0,1)$ be a sequence such that $q_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, we have the inequality

$$\|S_{n,p,q_n}(f) - f\|_{\bar{\rho}} \leq M(n, p, q_n) \Omega\left(f, \frac{1}{q_n^3} \sqrt{1 - q_n^4 + \frac{14+q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}}(x+1)\right),$$

where $\bar{\rho}(x) = 1 + x^5$ and $M(n, p, q_n)$ is a positive real number dependent on n, p and q_n for $n > 4$.

Proof. From the definition of $\Omega(f, \delta)$ in (15), we get

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq (1 + (t - x)^2)(1 + x^2) \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}\right) \Omega(f, \delta).$$

Then we yield the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n,p,q_n}(f(t), x) - f(x)| &\leq \Omega(f, \delta)(1 + x^2) S_{n,p,q_n}\left((1 + (t - x)^2) \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}\right), x\right) \\ &\leq \Omega(f, \delta)(1 + x^2) (S_{n,p,q_n}(1 + (t - x)^2, x) \\ &\quad + S_{n,p,q_n}\left((1 + (t - x)^2) \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}, x\right)). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in the last term of inequality (16) we obtain

$$S_{n,p,q_n}\left((1 + (t - x)^2) \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}, x\right) \leq \left(S_{n,p,q_n}((1 + (t - x)^2)^2, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(S_{n,p,q_n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{\delta^2}, x\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,p,q_n}(1 + (t - x)^2, x) &\leq 1 + \left(\frac{2(1-q_n^4)}{q_n^6} + \frac{28}{q_n^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) x(x+1) + \frac{2}{q_n^3 F_0^-(n+p-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{q_n^6} \left(\frac{1}{2} q_n^6 + 1 - q_n^4 + \frac{14}{F_0^-(n+p-1)} + \frac{q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) (x+1)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{q_n^6} \left(1 + q_n^6 - q_n^4 + \frac{14}{F_0^-(n+p-1)} + \frac{q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) (x+1)^2 \\ &\leq M_1(n, p, q_n)(x+1)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

using (17) and inequality (ii) of Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{n,p,q_n}((1 + (t - x)^2)^2, x) \\ &= 1 + 2S_{n,p,q_n}((t - x)^2, x) + S_{n,p,q_n}((t - x)^4, x) \\ &\leq 2M_1(n, p, q_n)(x+1)^2 + \left(\frac{8(q_n^6 - q_n^{18})}{q_n^{20}} + \frac{12596}{q_n^{20} F_0^-(n+p-1)}\right) (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) \\ &\leq M_2(n, p, q_n)(x+1)^4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(S_{n,p,q_n} \left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{\delta^2}, x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-q_n^4)}{q_n^6} + \frac{28+2q_n^3}{q_n^6 F_0^-(n+p-1)}} (x+1) \\ &\leq \frac{M_3(n,p,q_n)}{\delta q_n^3} \sqrt{1 - q_n^4 + \frac{14+q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}} (x+1) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $M(n, p, q_n) = (M_1(n, p, q_n) + \sqrt{M_2(n, p, q_n)})M_3(n, p, q_n)M_4$, where $M_4 = \sup_{x \geq 0} 3(1+x^2)(1+x)^2/(1+x^5)$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{q_n^3} \sqrt{1 - q_n^4 + \frac{14+q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}} (x+1)$ and using (17), (18), (19) in (16), we obtain

$$|S_{n,p,q_n}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq (1+x^5)M(n, p, q_n)\Omega \left(f, \frac{1}{q_n^3} \sqrt{1 - q_n^4 + \frac{14+q_n^3}{F_0^-(n+p-1)}} (x+1) \right)$$

Therefore the proof of the Theorem 3 is completed.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

REFERENCES

[1] Lupaş, A., A q –analogue of the Bernstein operator, Seminar on numerical and statistical calculus, University of Cluj-Napoca 9 (1987) 85-92.
 [2] Phillips, G. M., Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers, Ann. Numer. Math. 4 (1997) 511-518.
 [3] Dođru, O. and Gupta, V., Monotonicity and the asymptotic estimate of Bleimann Butzer and Hahn operators based on q -integers, Georgian Math. J. 12 (2005) (3) 415-422.
 [4] Dođru, O. and Gupta, V., Korovkin-type approximation properties of bivariate q –Meyer- König and Zeller operators, Calcolo 43 (1) (2006) 51-63.
 [5] Gupta, V. and Aral, A., Convergence of the q –analogue of Szász-beta operators, Appl. Math. Comput., 216 (2) (2010) 374-380.
 [6] Gupta, V. and Karlı, H., Some approximation properties by Szász -Mirakjan-Baskakov- Stancu operators, Lobachevskii J. Math. 33(2) (2012) 175-182.
 [7] Yüksel, İ., Approximation by q –Phillips operators, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 40 (2011) no. 2, 191-201.
 [8] Yüksel, İ., Direct results on the q –mixed summation integral type operators, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. 8(2) (2013) 235-245.
 [9] Dinlemez, Ü., Yüksel İ. and Altın, B., A note on the approximation by the q –hybrid summation integral type operators, Taiwanese J. Math. 18(3) (2014) 781-792.
 [10] Gupta, V. and Mahmudov, N. I., Approximation properties of the q –Szász-Mirakjan-Beta operators, Indian J. Industrial and Appl. Math. 3(2) (20012) 41-53.

[11] Yüksel, İ. and Dinlemez, Ü., Voronovskaja type approximation theorem for q –Szász-beta operators. Appl. Math. Comput. 235 (2014) 555-559.
 [12] Govil, N. K. and Gupta, V., q –Beta-Szász-Stancu operators. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22(1) (2012) 117-123
 [13] Mahmudov, N. I., q –Szász operators which preserve x^2 . Slovaca 63(5) (2013) 1059-1072
 [14] Dinlemez, Ü., Convergence of the q –Stancu-Szasz-beta type operators, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 2014:354, 8 pp.
 [15] Jackson, F. H., On q –definite integrals, quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41(15) (1910) 193-203.
 [16] Koelink, H. T. and Koornwinder, T. H., q –special functions, a tutorial, Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics (Amherst, MA, 1990) 141,142, Contemp. Math., 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
 [17] Kac, V. G. and Cheung, P., Quantum calculus, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
 [18] De Sole, A. and Kac, V. G., On integral representations of q –gamma and q –beta functions, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl., 16(1) (2005) 11-29.
 [19] Aral, A., Gupta, V. and Agarwal, R. P., Applications of q -calculus in operator theory, Springer, New York, 2013.
 [20] Gupta, V., Srivastava, G. S. and Sahai, A., On simultaneous approximation by Szász-beta operators, Soochow J. Math. 21(1) (1995) 1-11.
 [21] Gupta V. and Agarwal, R. P., Convergence estimates in approximation theory. Springer, Cham, ISBN: 978-3-319-02764-7 2014.
 [22] Deo, N., Direct result on the Durrmeyer variant of Beta operators. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 32(2) (2008) 283-290.

[23] Deo, N., Direct result on exponential-type operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 204(1) (2008) 109-115

[24] De Vore R. A. and Lorentz, G. G., *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin 1993.

[25] Gadzhiev, A. D., Theorems of the type of P. P. Korovkin type theorems, *Math. Zametki* 20(5) (1976) 781-786; English Translation, *Math. Notes*, 20(5/6) (1976) 996-998.

[26] İspir, N., On modified Baskakov operators on weighted spaces, *Turkish J. Math.* 25(3) (2001) 355-365.