

## CONVERSE THEOREM FOR MODIFIED BASKAKOV TYPE OPERATORS

G.S. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics  
University of Roorkee  
Roorkee-247667(U.P.).India

and

VIJAY GUPTA

Department of Mathematics  
Institute of Engineering & Technology  
M.J.P Rohilkhand University  
Bareilly-243006(U.P.)India

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove a converse theorem for Baskakov-Beta operators, using the device of Peetre's K-functional.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Durrmeyer [5] introduced the integral modification of Bernstein polynomials and several researcher worked on the Durrmeyer type operators (see e.g. [1], [4], [6], [7], [9] and [10] etc.). Recently one of the authors [8] gave a new modification of Baskakov operators by taking the weight function of Beta operators to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on  $[0, \infty)$  as

$$(1.1) \quad M_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

Where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k (1+x)^{-n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,k}(t) = t^k [B(k+1, n)(1+t)^{n+k+1}]^{-1},$$

$B(k+1, n)$  being the Beta function given by  $k!(n-1)!/(k+n)!$ . Direct results for the operators  $M_n(f, x)$  defined by (1.1) give better estimate than the earlier modification of Baskakov operators studied in [2], [11] and [12].

In the present paper, we study the converse behaviour of these operators.

By  $C_\beta[0, \infty)$  we denote the class of continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$|f(t)| \leq Kt^\beta, \quad K > 0 \quad \text{with the norm}$$

$$\|f\|_\beta = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} |f(t)| t^{-\beta}.$$

We may rewrite operators (1.1)

$$M_n(f, x) = \int_0^{\infty} W(n, x, t) f(t) dt$$

where

$$W(n, x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) b_{n,k}(t).$$

Let  $C_0$  denote the set of continuous functions on  $(0, \infty)$  having a compact support and  $C_0^k$  the subset of  $C_0$  of  $k$  times continuously differentiable functions, with  $[a', b'] \subset (a, b)$  and  $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ . Let  $G = \{g \in C_0^2, \text{Supp } g \subset [a', b']\}$  we define the Peetre's K-function by

$$K(\xi, f) = \inf\{\|f - g\| + \xi \|g'\| : g \in G\}, \text{ where } 0 < \xi \leq 1.$$

## 2.AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section , we first prove some preliminary results .

**LEMMA 2.1.** For  $m \in N \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^m$$

then

$$\mu_{n,1}(x) = 0, \quad \mu_{n,2}(x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{n}$$

and there holds the recurrence relation

$$n\mu_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)[\mu'_{n,m}(x) + m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)]$$

Consequently for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}), \text{ where } [\alpha] \text{ denote the integral part of } \alpha.$$

**LEMMA 2.2 [8].** If , we define

$$V_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n,k}(t)(t-x)^m dt$$

then

$$V_{n,0}(x) = 1, \quad V_{n,1}(x) = \frac{1+x}{n-1}$$

and there holds the recurrence relation

$$(n-m-1)V_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)V'_{n,m}(x) + [(m+1)(1+2x)-x]V_{n,m}(x) + 2mx(1+x)V_{n,m-1}(x), \quad n > m+1.$$

Consequent for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$

$$V_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}).$$

**LEMMA 2.3.** Let

$$\phi_{n,m}(x) = \int_0^\infty W(n, x, t)t^m dt$$

then  $\phi_{n,m}(x)$  is a polynomials in  $x$  of degree  $m$  and a rational function in  $n$ . Moreover for each  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi_{n,m}(x) = O(1)$ .

**PROOF.** For  $m=0, 1$

$$\phi_{n,0}(x) = \int_0^x W(n,x,t) dt = 1.$$

and

$$\phi_{n,1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t) dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t)(t-x) dt + x$$

Now , by using Lemma 2.2 , we have

$$\phi_{n,1}(x) = \frac{1+x}{n-1} + x = \frac{1+nx}{n-1}.$$

Next, we have

$$\phi_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t)t^m dt$$

using  $x(1+x)p'_{n,m}(x) = (k-nx)p_{n,k}(x)$ , and  $t(1+t)b'_{n,k}(t) = [k-(n+1)t]b_{n,k}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} x(1+x)\phi'_{n,m}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-nx)p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t)t^m dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x [k-(n+1)t] + (n+1)t - nx] b_{n,k}(t)t^m dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x t(1+t)b'_{n,k}(t)t^m dt + (n+1)\phi_{n,m+1}(x) - nx\phi_{n,m}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$i.e. (n-m-1)\phi_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)\phi'_{n,m}(x) + (nx+m+1)\phi_{n,m}(x), n > m+2.$$

From the above recurrence relation, we can prove the result easily.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** Let  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  be two positive numbers. Then for any  $m > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K_m$  such that

$$\left\| \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)t^\beta dt \right\|_{C[a,b]} \leq K_m n^{-m}.$$

**PROOF.** We have , by using Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)t^\beta dt &\leq \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t) \frac{(t-x)^{2m}}{\delta^{2m}} t^\beta dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2m}} \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)(t-x)^{4m} dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)t^{2\beta} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2m}} \left( \int_0^\infty W(n,x,t)(t-x)^{4m} dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)t^{2\beta} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{K_1}{\delta^{2m}} n^{-m} \left( \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} W(n,x,t)t^{2\beta} dt \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the corollary, since 9in view of Lemma 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|t-x|\geq \delta} W(n, x, t) t^{2\beta} dt &= \int_{t \leq x-\delta} W(n, x, t) t^{2\beta} dt + \int_{t \geq x+\delta} W(n, x, t) t^{2\beta} dt \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty W(n, x, t) (x-\delta)^{2\beta} dt + \int_{t \geq x+\delta} W(n, x, t) t^{2\beta} dt \\
&\leq (x-\delta)^{2\beta} + \int_0^\infty W(n, x, t) \frac{t^m}{(x+\delta)^{m-2\beta}} dt, \quad m > 2\beta \\
&= (x-\delta)^{2\beta} + \frac{\phi_{n,m}(x)}{(x+\delta)^{m-2\beta}} \\
&\leq K_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in [a, b]
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we shall prove the converse theorem:

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < b_1 < b_2 < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and suppose  $f \in C_\beta[0, \infty)$ . Then  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ .

$$(i) \|M_n(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} = O(n^{-\alpha/2});$$

$$(ii) f \in Lip^*(\alpha, C[a_2, b_2]),$$

where  $Lip^*(\alpha, [a, b])$  denotes the Zygmund class of functions for which  $\omega_1(f, h, a, b) \leq Mb^\alpha$ .

There are two major steps to prove the above theorem.

(I) We first reduce the above problem to following lemma as a special case when  $f$  has a compact support inside some interior interval  $[a', b']$  of  $(a_1, b_1)$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.** Let  $0 < a < a' < a'' < b'' < b' < b < \infty$ . If  $f \in C_0$  with  $\text{supp } f \in [a'', b'']$

and  $\|M_n(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{C[a, b]} = O(n^{-\alpha/2})$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad K(\xi, f) \leq K_0(n^{-\alpha/2} + n\xi K(n^{-1}, f)).$$

Consequently  $K(\xi, f) \leq K_1 \xi^{-\alpha/2}$  for some constant  $K_1$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\text{supp } f \subset [a'', b'']$ , there exists  $h \in G$  such that for  $i=0$  and  $2$

$$\|h^{(i)}(\cdot) - M_n^{(i)}(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a, b]} \leq K_2 n^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$K(\xi, f) \leq 3K_2 n^{-1} + \|f(\cdot) - M_n(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a, b]} + \xi \|M_n^{(2)}(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a, b]}.$$

Hence it is sufficient to show that there exists a constant  $M_3$  such that for each  $g \in G$

$$(3.2) \quad \|M_n^{(2)}(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a', b']} \leq K_3 n (\|f - g\|_{C[a', b']} + n^{-1} \|g'\|_{C[a', b']}).$$

In fact

$$(3.3) \quad \|M_n^{(2)}(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a', b']} \leq \|M_n^{(2)}(f - g, \cdot)\|_{C[a', b']} + \|M_n^{(2)}(g, \cdot)\|_{C[a', b']}.$$

Consequently, differentiation of the kernel  $W(n, x, t)$  gives

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, t) = \frac{1}{[x(1+x)]^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(k-nx)^2 - k - 2kx + nx^2] p_{n,k}(x) b_{n,k}(t).$$

Now , using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, t) \right| dt &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|(k-nx)^2 - k - 2kx + nx^2|}{[x(1+x)]^2} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{n^2}{[x(1+x)]^2} [\mu_{n,2}(x) + (1+2x)\mu_{n,1}(x) + \frac{x(1+x)}{n}] \\ &= \frac{2n}{x(1+x)}, \text{ using Lemma 2.1.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \|M_n''(f - g, \cdot)\|_{C[a,b]} \leq \frac{2n}{a(1+a)} \|f - g\| = K_4 n \|f - g\|.$$

On the other hand by Lemma 2.2 , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^x \left[ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} W(n, x, t) \right] (t-x)^i dt = 0 \text{ for } k > i$$

Also by Taylor's expansion, we have

$$(3.6) \quad g(t) = g(x) + g'(x)(t-x) + g''(\xi)(t-x)^2,$$

$\xi$  lies between  $t$  and  $x$ .

using (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_n''(g, x) &= \int_0^x \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, t) \right] g(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^x [\dots] (g(x) + g'(x)(t-x) + g''(\xi)(t-x)^2) dt \\ &= \int_0^x [\dots] g''(\xi)(t-x)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

and , using Lemma 2.1 , Lemma 2.2 and Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_n''(g, \cdot)\|_{C[a,b]} &\leq \|g''\| \cdot \left\| \int_0^x \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} W(n, x, t) \right] (t-x)^2 dt \right\|_{C[a,b]} \\ &\leq \|g''\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(k-nx)^2 + (1+2x)(k-nx) + nx(1+x)] p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^x b_{n,k}(t)(t-x)^2 dt \right\| \\ &\leq K_5 \|g''\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.2) follows, by combining (3.3) , (3.4) and (3.7). This completes the proof of (3.1).

**LEMMA 3.3.** Relation (3.1) implies

$$f \in L^*_p(\alpha, C[a,b]).$$

**PROOF.** Proceeding along the lines of the proof from [3] we have

$$(3.8) \quad K(\xi, f) \leq K_6 \xi^{\alpha/2}, \text{ for some constant } K_6 > 0.$$

Now let  $0 < |\delta| \leq h$ . Then for any  $g \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\delta}^2 f(x)| &\leq |\Delta_{\delta}^2(f(x) - g(x))| + |\Delta_{\delta}^2 g(x)| \\ &\leq 4\|f - g\| + \delta^{-2}\|g'\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (3.8) we get

$$\omega_2(f, h, a, b) \leq 4K(h^2, f) \leq 4K_6 h^\alpha, \quad \text{i.e. } f \in Lip^*(\alpha, C[a, b]).$$

(II) In this step we show that on using Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 the required results follows.

Let us choose  $a', a'', b', b''$  in such a way that  $a_1 < a' < a'' < a_2$  and  $b_2 < b' < b'' < b_1$ . Also let  $g \in C_0^\infty$  be such that  $\text{supp } g \subset [a'', b'']$  and  $g(x) = 1$  on  $[a_2, b_2]$ .

First assume that  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . For  $x \in [a', b']$  we have

$$M_n(fg, x) - f(x)g(x) = g(x)[M_n(f, x) - f(x)] + \int_{a_1}^{b_1} W(n, x, t)f(t)[g(t) - g(x)]dt + o(n^{-1})$$

$$(3.9) = I_1 + I_2 + o(n^{-1}), \quad \text{say.}$$

where  $o(n^{-1})$  term is uniform for  $x \in [a', b']$  by corollary 2.4.

By making use of the assumption  $\|M_n(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} = o(n^{-\alpha/2})$  we have

$$(3.10) \quad \|I_1\|_{C[a', b']} \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \|M_n(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} \leq K_7 n^{-\alpha/2}.$$

Also by mean value theorem, we get

$$I_2 = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} W(n, x, t)f(t)[g'(\xi)(t - x)]dt.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(3.11) \quad \|I_2\|_{C[a', b']} = o(n^{-1/2}) \leq o(n^{-\alpha/2}).$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we get

$$\|M_n(fg, \cdot) - fg(\cdot)\|_{C[a', b']} = o(n^{-\alpha/2}).$$

Thus by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have  $fg \in Lip^*(\alpha, [a', b'])$ . Since  $g(x) = 1$  on  $[a_2, b_2]$  it follows that  $f \in Lip^*(\alpha, [a_2, b_2])$  proving the implication  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  when  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

Now assume that  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . We also choose two points  $a^*_1$  and  $b^*_1$  satisfying  $a_1 < a^*_1 < a'$  and  $b' < b^*_1 < b_1$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we shall prove the assertion for  $1 < \alpha < 2 - \delta$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary, we may conclude that the result holds for  $\alpha < 2$ .

We notice from the previous result that the condition  $\|M_n(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{C[a_1, b_1]} = o(n^{-\alpha/2})$  implies  $f' \in Lip(1 - \delta, C[a^*_1, b^*_1])$ .

Now for  $x \in [a', b']$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(fg, x) - f(x)g(x) &= g(x)[M_n(f, x) - f(x)] + f(x)[M_n(g, x) - g(x)] \\ &\quad + \int_{a_1^*}^{b_1^*} W(n, x, t)[f(t) - f(x)][g(t) - g(x)]dt + o(n^{-1}) \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + o(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

where  $o(n^{-1})$  term holds uniformly for  $x \in [a', b']$  (by corollary 2.4).

In fact  $\|J_1\|_{C[a,b]} = O(n^{-\alpha/2})$  follows from the assumption,

$$\|J_2\|_{C[a,b]} = O(n^{-\alpha/2}) \leq O(n^{-\alpha/2}), \text{ by Lemma 2.2.}$$

Also since  $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq K|t - x|^{\alpha}$  and  $g(t) - g(x) = g'(\xi)(t - x)$ , using Jensen's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\|J_3\|_{C[a,b]} = O(n^{-(2-\delta)/2}) \leq O(n^{-\alpha/2}).$$

Combining the above estimates of  $J_1, J_2$  and  $J_3$ , we get

$$\|M_n(fg, \cdot) - fg(\cdot)\|_{C[a,b]} = O(n^{-\alpha/2}).$$

As in the first case using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, the results follows.

This completes the proof of converse theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. P.N.Agrawal and Vijay Gupta, Simultaneous approximation by linear combination of modified Bernstein polynomials, Bull. Soc. Math. Greece, 30 (1989), 21-29.
2. P.N.Agrawal, Vijay Gupta and A.Sahai, On convergence of derivatives of linear combinations of modified Lupas operators, Publ.de L'Inst Math. 45 (59) (1989), 147-154.
3. H.Berens and G.G.Lorentz, Inverse theorem for Bernstein polynomials, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972) 693-708.
4. Z.Ditzian and K.Ivanov, Bernstein-type operators and their derivatives, J.Approx.Theory 56 (1989), 72-90.
5. J.L. Durrmeyer, Une Formule d'inversion de la transformee de Laplace, Applications a la theorie des Moments, These de 3e cycle, Faculte des sciences de 'Universite' de Paris 1967.
6. Vijay Gupta, P.N.Agrawal, A.Sahi and T.A.K.Sinha,  $L_p$ -approximation by combination of modified Szasz-Mirakyan operators, Demons. Math. XXIII, (3) (1990) 577-591.
7. Vijay Gupta and P.N. Agrawal, An estimate of the rate of convergence for modified Szasz-Mirakyan operators of function of bounded variation, Publ de L' Inst. Math. 49 (63) (1991), 97-103.
8. Vijay Gupta, A note on the modified Baskakov operators, Approx. Theory and its Appl. 10:3(1994), 74-78.
9. Vijay Gupta, Approximation by Szasz-Durrmeyer operators, Proc. 57<sup>th</sup> Annual Conf Indian Math. Soc., Aligarh, India (1991).
10. Vijay Gupta and P.N.Agrawal,  $L_p$ -approximation by iterative combination of Phillips operators, Publ.de L'Inst. Math. 52(66)(1992), 101-109.
11. M.Heilmann and M.W.Muller, On simultaneous approximation by the method of Baskakov-Durrmeyer operators, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. 10 (1989) 127-138.
12. R.P.Sinha, P.N.Agrawal and Vijay Gupta, On simultaneous approximation by modified Baskakov operators, Bull.Soc.Math. Belg.Ser.B 43(2) (1991) 217-231.