

ON ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF IRREGULAR GROWTH DEFINED BY DIRICHLET SERIES

J.S. GUPTA - D.K. BHOLA

Let $f(s) = \sum_{n \in N} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ be an entire function defined by an everywhere convergent Dirichlet series whose exponents are subjected to the condition that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$ (R_+ is the set of positive reals), and E be the set of all such entire functions. An entire function $f \in E$ is said to be of irregular growth if its lower order is not equal to its Ritt order. In this paper we have studied certain properties of such functions

1. Let E be the set of mappings $f: C \rightarrow C$ (C is the complex field) such that the image of an element $s \in C$ under f is $f(s) = \sum_{n \in N} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ with

$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$ (R_+ is the set of positive reals), and

$\sigma_c^f = +\infty$ (σ_c^f is the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series defining f); N is the set of natural numbers $0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\langle a_n | n \in N \rangle$ is a sequence in C , $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma, t \in R$ (R is the field of reals), and $\langle \lambda_n | n \in N \rangle$ is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence of nonnegative reals. Since the Dirichlet series defining f converges for each $s \in C$, f is an entire function. Also, since $D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$, we have ([1], p.168) $\sigma_a^f = +\infty$ (σ_a^f is the abscissa of absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series defining f) and that f is bounded on each vertical line $Re(s) = \sigma_0$.

Let

$$M(\sigma, f) = \sup_{t \in R} \{ |f(\sigma + it)| \}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f \tag{1.1}$$

be the maximum modulus of an entire function $f \in E$ on any vertical line $Re(s) = \sigma$,

$$\mu(\sigma, f) = \max_{n \in N} \{ |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f \tag{1.2}$$

be the maximum term, for $Re(s) = \sigma$, in the Dirichlet series defining f and

$$N(\sigma, f) = \max_{n \in N} \{ n : \mu(\sigma, f) = |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f \tag{1.3}$$

be the rank of the maximum term.

An entire function $f \in E$ is said to be of irregular growth if its lower order is not equal to its Ritt order. In this paper we study a few results pertaining to such functions.

2. Kamthan has shown ([2], Thm. 4) that :

Theorem A. If $f \in E$ is an entire function of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+$ and type $T \in R_+$, then

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) \rho T e^{\rho\sigma}} \leq e,$$

where μ' is the derivative of μ with respect to σ .

Remark. Theorem A has been proved under condition that $D=0$, but it is true for any $D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$; that is why we have mentioned it in this improved form.

We first show that :

Theorem 1. For every entire function $f \in E$ of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+$ and type $T \in R_+$,

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) \rho T e^{\rho\sigma}} \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We know ([3], Lemma 1) that, for almost all values of σ ,

$$\frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f)} = \lambda_{N(\sigma, f)}.$$

Let

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_{N(\sigma, f)}}{e^{\rho\sigma}} = \gamma.$$

Then, for an infinite sequence of values of σ , and any given $\varepsilon \in R_+$, $\lambda_{N(\sigma, f)} > (\gamma - \varepsilon) e^{\rho\sigma} \geq (\rho T - \varepsilon) e^{\rho\sigma}$, since $\gamma \geq \rho T$ ([4], p. 141). Hence

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) \rho T e^{\rho\sigma}} \geq 1.$$

Next we show that :

Theorem 2. For every entire function $f \in E$ of irregular growth of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+$ and type $T \in R_+$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) \rho T e^{\rho\sigma}} = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. It is known ([5], p.250) that, for entire functions $f \in E$ of irregular growth,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup \log \mu(\sigma, f)}{\inf e^{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{T}{0}.$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon \in R_+$ and sufficiently large σ ,

$$- \varepsilon e^{\rho\sigma} < \log \mu(\sigma, f) < (T + \varepsilon) e^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (2.3)$$

Also, since ([6], p.67) $\log \mu(\sigma, f)$ is an increasing convex function of σ , we may write, for arbitrary σ, σ_0 ($\sigma > \sigma_0$),

$$\log \mu(\sigma, f) = \log \mu(\sigma_0, f) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \frac{\mu'(x, f)}{\mu(x, f)} dx. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, for any $k \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} \frac{\mu'(x, f)}{\mu(x, f)} dx &= \int_0^{\sigma+k} \frac{\mu'(x, f)}{\mu(x, f)} dx - \int_0^{\sigma} \frac{\mu'(x, f)}{\mu(x, f)} dx \\ &= \log \mu(\sigma+k, f) - \log \mu(\sigma, f), \text{ in view of (2.4)} \\ &< (T + \varepsilon) e^{\rho(\sigma+k)} + \varepsilon e^{\rho\sigma}, \text{ in view of (2.3)} \\ &= e^{\rho\sigma} (T e^{\rho k} + \varepsilon (e^{\rho k} + 1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

But

$$\int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} \frac{\mu'(x, f)}{\mu(x, f)} dx \geq \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f)} k. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, from (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) e^{\rho\sigma}} < \frac{T e^{\rho k} + \varepsilon (e^{\rho k} + 1)}{k}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since k is arbitrary but belongs to $R_+ \cup \{0\}$ and the left side of (2.7) is independent of k , it follows that

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) e^{\rho\sigma}} \leq p T. \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu'(\sigma, f)}{\mu(\sigma, f) e^{\rho\sigma}} \geq p T. \quad (2.9)$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we get (2.2).

Remarks. (i) With the same argument, it can be shown that Theorem 2 is true for entire functions $f \in E$ of perfectly regular growth.

(ii) We conjecture, although we have not been able to prove, that Theorem 2 is true for entire functions $f \in E$ of regular growth but not of perfectly regular growth.

3. In the end we give a result regarding ordinary proximate linear order of entire functions $f \in E$ of irregular growth. We first recall its definition.

Definition ([7], p.64). A nonnegative extended real valued function ϕ of reals σ is called an ordinary proximate linear order of an entire function $f \in E$ of Ritt order $p \in R_+$, if

- a) ϕ is eventually a continuous function,
- b) ϕ is differentiable almost everywhere except at isolated points at which the left and right derivatives exist,
- c) $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma \phi(\sigma) = 0$,
- d) $\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\sigma) = p$, and
- e) $\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma, f)}{e^{\sigma \phi(\sigma)}} = 1$.

Theorem 3. For every entire function $f \in E$ of irregular growth of Ritt order $p \in R_+$, and ordinary proximate linear order ϕ , and any $m \in Z_+$ (Z_+ is the set of positive integers),

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda_{N(\sigma, f^{(m)})}}{e^{\sigma \phi(\sigma)}} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let f be of lower order λ . Then $\lambda < p$. Since, by definition,

$$\lambda = \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma, f^{(m)})}{\sigma},$$

and ([8], Theorem 2.7)

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma, f^{(m)})}{\sigma} = \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \lambda_{N(\sigma, f^{(m)})}}{\sigma},$$

we have

$$\lambda = \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \lambda_{N(\sigma, f^{(m)})}}{\sigma}.$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon \in R_+$ and sufficiently large σ , we get

$$\lambda_{N(\sigma, f^{(m)})} > e^{(\lambda - \varepsilon)\sigma}, \quad (3.2)$$

and, for an infinite sequence of values of σ ,

$$\lambda_{N(\sigma, f^{(m)})} < e^{(\lambda + \varepsilon)\sigma}. \quad (3.3)$$

Dividing (3.2) and (3.3) by $e^{\sigma \phi(\sigma)}$ and proceeding to limit we get (3.1) in view of condition (d) of Definition.

Remark. This theorem generalizes and improves upon a result of Srivastava and Singh ([⁵], Lemma 2).

REFERENCES

- [¹] MANDELBJOJT : *Dirichlet Series*, The Rice Institute Pamphlet 31 (1944), No.4, Houston.
- [²] KAMTHAN, P.K. : *On the order and type of entire Dirichlet series*, Math. Student 33 (1965), 89-94.
- [³] SRIVASTAVA, R.S.L. and GUPTA, J.S. : *On the maximum term of an integral function defined by Dirichlet series*, Math. Ann. 174 (1967), 240-246.
- [⁴] SRIVASTAVA, K.N. : *On the maximum term of an entire Dirichlet series*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (India), Allahabad, 27 (1958), 134-146.
- [⁵] SRIVASTAVA, R.S.L. and SINGH, PREM : *On the λ -type of entire function of irregular growth defined by Dirichlet series*, Monatsh. Math. 70 (1966), 249-255.
- [⁶] YU, C.Y. : *Sur les droites Borel de certaines fonctions entières*, Ann. Sci. l'Ecole Norm. Sup. 68 (1951), 65-104.
- [⁷] GUPTA, J.S. : *On the ordinary proximate linear order of integral functions defined by Dirichlet series*, Monatsh. Math. 70 (1966), 111-117.
- [⁸] RAHMAN, Q.I. : *On the maximum modulus and coefficients of an entire Dirichlet series*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 8 (1956), 108-113.

UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU,
JAMMU-180001,
J AND K,
INDIA

Ö Z E T

Bu çalışmada, eksponentleri

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = D \in R_+ \cup \{0\} \quad (R_+ \text{ pozitif reel sayılar cümlesi})$$

koşuluna uyan ve her yerde yakınsak bir Dirichlet serisi ile belirtilen

$$f(s) = \sum_{n \in N} a_n e^{s \lambda_n}$$

tam fonksiyonlarının bazı özellikleri incelenmektedir.