İstanbul Üniv. Fen Fak. Mec. Seri A, 47 (1983-1986), 73-79

MATUSITA'S GENERALIZED MEASURE OF AFFINITY

J. N. KAPUR

Matusita's measure of affinity for m multivariate probability distributions is generalized and by using Holder's inequality, it is shown that the generalized measure always lies between 0 and 1. A generalized average distance is also defined and it is shown that this measure also always lies between 0 and 1 and is always \geq the corresponding Matusita's generalized measure. Expressions for these measures for the case of multivariate normal distributions are also obtained and on the basis of these, a generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is obtained. An alternative proof of the well-known result that the logarithm of a positive definite matrix is a concave function is also given.

1. MATUSITA'S GENERALIZED MEASURE OF AFFINITY

Matusita's [⁶] measure of affinity for m probability distributions with density functions $f_1(X), f_2(X), \ldots, f_m(X)$, where X is an n dimensional random vector, is defined by

$$\rho_m = \int [f_1(X)f_2(X)\dots f_m(X)]^{1/m} dX.$$
 (1)

It is easily seen that

$$0 \le \rho_m \le \int \frac{f_1(X) + f_2(X) + \dots + f_m(X)}{m} \, dX = 1.$$
 (2)

Now $\rho_m = 1$ when $f_1(X) = f_2(X) = ... = f_m(X)$ almost every where and $\rho_m = 0$, if at least one of $f_1(X), f_2(X), ..., f_m(X)$ vanishes at every point of the domain of X. Thus $\rho_m = 1$ when the distributions are identical almost everywhere i.e. when there is no separation or divergence between the distributions and $\rho_m = 0$ when the distributions are distinct. Thus $1 - \rho_m$ can be regarded as a measure of 'mutual divergence' or as a measure of 'multiclass probabilistic distance'. Instead of $1 - \rho_m$ which varies between 0 and 1, we can use $-\ln \rho_m$, which varies between 0 and ∞ , as a measure of multiclass probabilistic distance.

A large number of such multiclass probabilistic distance measures are available and these have been recently reviewed by Ray and Turner [⁷].

For
$$m = 2$$
,

$$\rho_i = \int \sqrt{f_1(X) f_2(X)} \, dX \,, \tag{3}$$

J. N. KAPUR

which is the well-known Bhattacharya [²] coefficient. This coefficient has been generalized to give generalized Bhattacharya coefficient [⁴]

$$\nu_2 = \int f_1^s(X) f_2^{1-s}(X) \, dX \quad , \quad 0 \le s \le 1 \; . \tag{4}$$

When s = 1/2, v_2 reduces to p_2 . By using Holder's inequality [⁵]

$$\nu_{2} = \int f_{1}^{s}(X) f_{2}^{1-s}(X) dX \ge \left[\int f_{1}(X) dX \right]^{s} \left[\int f_{2}(X) dX \right]^{1-s} = 1, \quad (5)$$

so that when $0 \le s \le 1$, $0 \le v_2 \le 1$. If however s > 1, then Holder's inequality gives

$$u_2 = \int f_1^s(X) f_2^{1-s}(X) \, dX \ge \left[\int f_1(X) \, dX \right]^s \left[\int f_2(X) \, dX \right]^{1-s} = 1 \,.$$
 (6)

Of course in this case we assume that either $f_2(X)$ is not zero anywhere or $f_2(X) = 0$ on a set of measure zero, such that v_2 exists and is finite or whenever $f_2(X) = 0$, $f_1(X)$ is also zero and $f_1^s(X)f_2^{1-s}(X) = 0$. When s > 1, v_2 has not been very much used in pattern recognition and signal processing, but it is used extensively in information theory in the form of Havrda and Charvats' [3] measure of directed divergence

$$(\alpha - 1)^{-1} \left[\int f_1^{\alpha}(X) f_2^{1-\alpha}(X) \, dX - 1 \right]$$
(7)

or in terms of Renyi's [8] measure of directed divergence

$$(\alpha - 1) \ln \int f_1^{\alpha}(X) f_2^{1-\alpha}(X) dX.$$
 (8)

We can similarly generalize p_n to get Matisuta's generalized measure of affinity

$$\nu_m = \int f_1^{a_1}(X) f_2^{a_2}(X) \dots f_m^{a_m}(X) \, dX \,. \tag{9}$$

If $0 \le a_1 \le 1$, $0 \le a_2 \le 1$, ..., $0 \le a_m \le 1$; $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_m = 1$, (10) then by using Holder's inequality we get

$$\mathbf{v}_m \leq \left[\int f_1(X) \, dX\right]^{a_1} \left[\int f_2(X) \, dX\right]^{a_2} \dots \left[\int f_m(X) \, dX\right]^{a_m} = 1 \tag{11}$$

and $1 - \nu_m$ or $-\ln \nu_m$ can be used as a measure of multiclass probabilistic distance or of mutual divergence or generalized divergence. If one or more of of a_i 's are greater than unity, then some others have to be negative and we have to see that the condition for the existence and finiteness for ν_m are satisfied. However in these cases, unlike the case when m = 2, ν_m is not necessarily always greater than or equal to unity. We shall discuss these cases in a separate communication. For the present we assume that conditions (10) are satisfied. As a special case, we may consider the case when $a_i = \pi_i$, where π_i is the a priori probability of the *i* th class, so that

$$\nu_m = \int f_{.1}^{\pi_1}(X) f_{.2}^{\pi_2}(X) \dots f_m^{\pi_m}(X) \, dX. \tag{12}$$

MATUSITA'S GENERALIZED MEASURE OF AFFINITY

2. AN ALTERNATIVE MEASURE OF AFFINITY

We can also use the following measure as a measure of affinity

$$\mu_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i a_j \int \sqrt{f_i(X) f_j(X)} \, dX \,, \tag{13}$$

where a_i 's satisfy (10). Since

$$0 \le \int \sqrt{f_j(X)f_j(X)\,dX} \le 1 \tag{14}$$

we get

$$0 \le \mu'_m \le \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i a_j = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 1 , \qquad (15)$$

so that μ_m also lies between 0 and 1, so that $1 - \mu_m$ or $-\ln \mu_m$ can also be used as a measure of generalized divergence. Now

$$\mu_{m} = \int \left(a_{1} \sqrt{f_{1}(X)} + a_{2} \sqrt{f_{2}(X)} + \dots + a_{m} \sqrt{f_{m}(X)} \right)^{2} dX$$

$$\leq \int \left(f_{1}^{a_{1}/2}(X) f_{2}^{a_{3}/2}(X) \dots f_{m}^{a_{m}/2}(X) \right)^{2} dX$$

$$= \int f_{.1}^{a_{1}}(X) f_{.2}^{a_{2}}(X) \dots f_{.m}^{a_{m}}(X) dX = \nu_{m}, \qquad (16)$$

so that

$$0 \le \mu_m \le \nu_m \le 1. \tag{17}$$

Now $\mu_m = \nu_m$ if $f_1(X) = f_2(X) = \dots = f_m(X)$ almost everywhere and in that case

$$\mu_m = \nu_m = 1. \tag{18}$$

Instead of (13) we can also use

$$\mu_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i a_j \int f_i^{sij}(X) f_j^{1-sij}(X) dX, \ 0 \le s_{ij} \le 1 .$$
 (19)

3. GENERALIZED AFFINITY MEASURE FOR MULTIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS

Let $N(M_i, V_i)$ be the *i* th multivariate normal distribution, then

$$\nu_{m} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n_{a_{i}/2}} |V_{i}|^{a_{i/2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{a_{i}}{2} (X - M_{i})^{t} V_{i}^{-1} (X - M_{i})\right] \right\} dX (20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} |V_{i}|^{a_{i/2}}} \int \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (X - M)^{t} V^{-1} (X - M) \frac{1}{2}\right] dX, (21)$$

75

where

$$V^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \, V_i^{-1} \tag{22}$$

$$V^{-1} M = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \, V_i^{-1} \, M_i \tag{23}$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{i}^{\prime} a_{i} V_{i}^{-1} M_{i} - M^{\prime} V^{-1} M$$
(24)

so that

$$\nu_m = \frac{|V|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|^{a_{i/2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}K}, \qquad (25)$$

where V is determined by (22), then M is determined from (23) and finally K is determined from (24).

4. CONCAVITY OF LOGARITHM OF THE DETERMINANT OF A POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRIX

If

$$M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_m = M_0, \qquad (26)$$

then from (23) and (24), $M = M_0$ and from (22) and (24) K = 0, whether V_i 's are equal or not. In this case also $v_m \ge 1$ so that

$$|V|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \prod_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|^{a_{i/2}}$$

or

$$\ln |V|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \ln |V_i|^{-1}$$
(27)

or

$$\ln \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i |V_i|^{-1} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \ln |V_i|^{-1}.$$
(28)

Since V_i is a non-singular positive definite matrix, we can write $V_i^{-1} = W_i$, where W_i is a non-singular positive definite matrix and

$$\ln \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i |W_i| \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \ln |W_i|, \ a_i \ge 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = 1,$$
(29)

which shows that the logarithm of the determinant of a non-singular positive definite matrix is concave. This result is true for every such matrix since given any matrix, we can have a multivariate normal distribution corresponding to it.

MATUSITA'S GENERALIZED MEASURE OF AFFINITY

Thus we have got an information-theoretic proof of an important result in matrix theory. An alternative proof of this result is available in Bellman [1].

5. GENERALIZATION OF CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

If each distribution has the same variance-covariance matrix V_0 , then $v_m = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}K\right)$, where

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i M_i^t V_0 M_i - M^t V_0 M$$
(30)

and since $v_m \ge 1$, we get $K \ge 0$ or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} M_{i}^{t} V_{0} M_{i} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} M_{i} \right)^{t} V_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} M_{i} \right).$$
(31)

If we write

$$a_i = \frac{b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i} , \qquad (32)$$

this gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} M_{i}^{\dagger} V_{i} M_{i} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} M_{i} \right)^{t} V_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} M_{i} \right).$$
(33)

When n = 1, this gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} m_{i}^{2} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} m_{i}\right)^{2}$$
(34)

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i\right)^2,$$
(35)

which is Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This is a special case of (33). As such (33) can be regarded as a generalization of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. If c_{jk} 's are the elements of the matrix V_0 , then (33) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} m_{ij} m_{ik} \right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} m_{ij} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} m_{ik} \right).$$
(36)
If

$$b_i = x_i^2$$
, $\sqrt{b_i} m_{ij} = y_{ij}$, $b_i m_{ij} = x_i y_{ij}$, (37)

then (36) gives

77

J. N. KAPUR

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} y_{ij} y_{ik}\right] \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} y_{ij}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} y_{ik}\right).$$
(38)

Here the equality sign holds iff all the mean vectors are equal i.e. if m_{ij} is independent of *i* or if $m_{ij} = d_j$ or

$$x_i y_{ij} = b_i d_j$$
 or $y_{ij} = x_i d_j$. (39)

If (39) is satisfied, then the L.H.S. of (38)

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} d_{j} d_{k}\right)\right]$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} d_{j} d_{k}\right)$$
(40)

and the R.H.S. of (38)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jh} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} d_{j} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} d_{k} \right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} \right)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{jk} d_{k}$$
(41)

so that when (39) is satisfied, equality sign holds in (38).

Thus (33) or (38) gives generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in which the equality sign holds only when y_{ij}/x_i is independent of *i*.

If V_0 is the unit matrix, (38) gives

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_{i1}^2 + y_{i2}^2 + \dots + y_{in}^2) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_{ij} \right)^2.$$
(42)

If n = 1, this again gives Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. However (42) can be at once deduced from Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities.

6. CALCULATION OF THE ALTERNATIVE MEASURE OF AFFINITY

For
$$m = 2$$
, we have [7]

$$\mathbf{p}_{2} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8} \left(M_{1} - M_{2}\right) \ W^{-1} \left(M_{1} - M_{2}\right)\right] \frac{|V_{1}|^{\frac{1}{4}} |V_{2}|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|W|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$W = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}.$$
 (44)

From (13)

$$\mu_{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} a_{j} \frac{|V_{i}|^{\frac{1}{4}} |V_{j}|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\left|\frac{V_{i} + V_{j}}{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{8} (M_{i} - M_{j}) \left[\frac{V_{i} + V_{j}}{2}\right]^{-i} \times (M_{i} - M_{j})\right\}.$$
 (45)

If the mean vectors are equal, (17), (25) and (45) give the inequality

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} a_{j} \frac{|V_{j}|^{\frac{1}{4}} |V_{j}|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\left|\frac{V_{i} - V_{j}}{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{|V|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} |V_{i}|^{a_{i/2}}} = 1.$$
(46)

It is observed that the calculation of ν_m is much simpler than that of μ_m .

REFERENCES

| ['] BELLMAN, R. | : | Introduction to Matrix Analysis, second edition, Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 1979. |
|--|---|---|
| [²] BHATTACHARYA, A. | : | On the measure of divergence between two statistical populations defined by their probability distribution, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 35 (1943), 99-109. |
| [⁸] HAVRDA, J. and CHARVAT, F. | : | Quantification Methods in Classification Processes: Concept of Structural α. Entropy, Kybernetics 3 (1967), 30-35. |
| [⁴] KAILATH, T. | : | The divergence and Bhattacharya distance measures in digital selection, IEEE Trans. Commu. Tech. 15 (1967), 52-69. |
| [⁵] MARSHALL, A.W. and OLKIN, I. | : | Inequalities, Theory of Majorisation and its applications, Academic Press, New York, 1979. |
| [⁶] MATUSITA, K. | : | On the notion of affinity of several distribution and some of its applications, Ann. Inst. Stat. Math. 19 (1967), 181-192. |
| [⁷] RAY, S. and TURNER, L.F. | : | Probabilistic Distance Measure as criteria for feature ex- traction, Advances in Information Science and Technology (Indian Statistical Institute Calcutta), 1 (1984), 28-45. |
| [⁸] BENYI, A. | : | On Measures of Entropy and Information, Proc. 4 th Berkeley Symp. Math. Stad. Prob. 1 (1961), 547-561. |
| | | |

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR-INDIA 208016

ÖZET

Bu çalışınada Matusita'nın, m değişkenli olasılık dağılımları için verdiği afinite ölçümü genelleştirilmekte ve Holder eşitsizliği kullanılarak, genelleştirilmiş ölçümün daima 0 ile 1 arasında olduğu gösterilmektedir.

79