# DECOMPOSITION IN R - ⊕ RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE OF SECOND ORDER WITH NON-SYMMETRIC CONNECTION

#### V. J. DUBEY - D. D. SINGH

The decomposition of  $R^i{}_{hjk}$   $(x,\dot{x})$  curvature tensor field along with its properties in R- $\bigoplus$  recurrent Finsler space with non-symmetric connection has been studied by Pande and Gupta [2]. The object of the present paper is to decompose the R- $\bigoplus$  curvature tensor field in R- $\bigoplus$  recurrent Finsler space of second order with non-symmetric connection to study the properties of such decomposition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider an *n*-dimensional Finsler space  $F_n^*$  [1] 1) having 2n line element  $(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ , (i, j, k, ... = 1, 2, 3, ..., n) and equipped with non-symmetric connection coefficients

$$\Gamma_{ik}^{i}(x,\dot{x})\neq\Gamma_{ki}^{i}(x,\dot{x})$$

based on non-symmetric tensor

$$g_{ii}(x, \dot{x}) \neq g_{ii}(x, \dot{x})$$
.

Cataline [3] defined a non-symmetric connection parameter as follows:

$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk} = M^{i}_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} N^{i}_{jk} \tag{i.i.}$$

where  $M_{jk}^i$  and  $\frac{1}{2}N_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  denote the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of  $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$ . One more connection parameter  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  has been introduced by Pande and Gupta [2]

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{i}_{jk} = \Gamma^{i}_{kj}(x, \dot{x}). \tag{1.2}$$

The covariant derivative of a tensor field  $X_i^i$  will be defined in two ways:

$$X_{j}^{i} = \partial_{j} X^{i^{2}} - (\dot{\partial}_{m} X^{i}) \Gamma_{pj}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} + X^{m} \Gamma_{mj}^{i}$$
(1.3)

and

$$X_{ij}^{i} = \partial_j X_i^{(1)} - (\partial_m X_i^{i}) \tilde{\Gamma}_{pj}^{m} x^p + X^m \tilde{\Gamma}_{mj}^{i}.$$
 (i.4)

<sup>1)</sup> The numbers in square brackets refer to the references given at the end of the paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>)  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ ;  $\dot{\partial}_i = \partial/\partial \dot{x}^i$ .

The duality in the nature of covariant derivatives introduce two curvature tensors given by:

$$\begin{split} R^{i}_{jkl} &= \partial_{l} \, \Gamma^{i}_{jk} - \, \partial_{k} \, \Gamma^{i}_{jl} - (\dot{\partial}_{m} \, \Gamma^{i}_{jk}) \, \Gamma^{m}_{pl} \, \dot{x}^{p} \, + \\ &+ (\dot{\partial}_{m} \, \Gamma^{i}_{jl}) \, \Gamma^{m}_{pk} \, \dot{x}^{p} + \Gamma^{p}_{jk} \, \Gamma^{i}_{pl} - \Gamma^{p}_{jl} \, \Gamma^{i}_{pk} \end{split}$$

and

$$\widetilde{R}_{jkl}^{i} = \partial_{l} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i} - \partial_{k} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^{i} - (\partial_{m} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} + 
+ (\partial_{m} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ll}^{i}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{lk}^{p} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^{i} - \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ll}^{p} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^{i} .$$
(1.6)

The following results and notations [2] will be used in the sequel.

$$\dot{x}_{1k}^{i} = 0 = \dot{x}_{1k}^{i} \tag{1.7}$$

$$R_{ik}^i = \dot{x}^h R_{hfk}^i \tag{1.8}$$

$$R_{hjk}^{i} = -R_{hkj}^{i}; R_{jk}^{i} = -R_{kj}^{i}$$
  
 $N_{jk}^{i} = -N_{kj}^{i}.$  (1.9)

The curvature tensor  $R_{ijk}^h$  satisfies the following identities in  $F_n^*$ :

$$R_{hik}^{i} + R_{ikh}^{i} + R_{khi}^{i} = 0 (1.10)$$

$$\tilde{R}^{i}_{hjk} + \tilde{R}^{i}_{jkh} + \tilde{R}^{i}_{khj} = 0 \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$R_{ijkl}^{h} + R_{ikll}^{h} + R_{ikll}^{h} + R_{iklll}^{h} + R_{iklll}^{h} + E_{iljk}^{h} = 0$$
 (1.12)

where

$$E_{iljk}^{h} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} R_{jk}^{m} \Gamma_{mil}^{h} + R_{kl}^{m} \Gamma_{mil}^{h} + R_{li}^{m} \Gamma_{mik}^{h}. \tag{1.13}$$

The commutation formulae [2] involving the + - covariant derivative are given by:

$$\dot{\partial}_{k} \left( T_{j|h}^{i} \right) - \left( \partial_{k} T_{j}^{i} \right)_{|h} = \\
= T_{j}^{m} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mh}^{i} - T_{m}^{i} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{jk}^{m} - \left( \dot{\partial}_{m} T_{j}^{i} \right) \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{ph}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} \qquad (1.14) \\
T_{j|h|k}^{i} - T_{j|k|h}^{i} = \left( -\dot{\partial}_{m} T_{j}^{i} \right) R_{hk}^{m} + T_{j}^{m} R_{mhk}^{i} - T_{m}^{i} R_{jhk}^{i} + \\
+ \left( T_{j|m}^{i} \right) N_{kh}^{m} \qquad (1.15)$$

where

$$N_{kh}^m = \Gamma_{kh}^m - \Gamma_{kh}^l \tag{i.16}$$

$$\partial_r R_{ijk}^h \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} R_{rijk}^h$$
 (1.71)

In an *n*-dimensional Finsler space  $F_n^*$  the curvature tensor field  $R_{hjk}^i(x,\dot{x})$  satisfies the relation [2]

$$R_{h j k \mid l}^{i} = \lambda_{l} R_{hjk}^{i} \quad (R_{hjk}^{i} \neq 0)$$
(1.18)

where  $\lambda_l(x)$  is non-zero recurrence vector field, then  $F_n^*$  is said to be  $R = \bigoplus$  recurrent Finsler space of first order.

An *n*-dimensional Finsler space  $F_n^*$  is said to be  $R - \oplus$  recurrent  $F_n^*$  of second order if its curvature tensor field  $R_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the relation

$$R_{h j k | lm}^{i} = a_{lm} R_{hjk}^{l}, \qquad (1.19)$$

where  $a_{lm}(x, \dot{x})$  is called non-zero recurrence tensor field. A relation between  $\lambda_l$  and  $a_{lm}$  is given by

$$a_{lm} = \lambda_{l \mid m} + \lambda_m \lambda_l \tag{1.19'}$$

# 2. DECOMPOSITION IN R - $\oplus$ RECURRENT $F_n^*$

We consider the decomposition of  $R_{jk}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$  as follows:

$$R_{jk}^{i}\left(x,\dot{x}\right) = \dot{x}^{i} \in {}_{jk}\,,\tag{2.1}$$

where  $\epsilon_{jk}(x, \dot{x})$  is a non-zero tensor field of first order in its directional arguments and

$$\dot{x}^i \lambda_i = P$$
 (Constant).

Differentiating (2.1) partially with respect to  $\dot{x}^h$  and using (1.17), we have

$$R_{hik}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) = x^{i} \in {}_{hJk} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\in_{hjk}(x,x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \partial_h \in_{jk}.$$
 (2.3)

**Theorem 2.1.** In view of decomposition (2.2) the identity for the curvature tensor field  $R^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$\epsilon_{[hjk]}^{(3)} = 0.$$
(2.4)

In view of equation (2.2) the identity (1.10) yields the above theorem.

$$\frac{1}{B} 3A_{[ijk]} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} A_{ijk} + A_{jki} + A_{kij}$$

Theorem 2.2. In a recurrent Finsler space of first order the decomposition tensor field  $R_{h/k}^{i}(x, x)$  satisfies:

$$3 \dot{x}^h \in_{i[jk\lambda i]} = E^h_{iljk} \tag{2.5}$$

In view of (1.18), the Bianchi identity (1.18) reduces to

$$3R_{il\,ik\lambda ll}^{h} = E_{ilik}^{h} \tag{2.6}$$

which for decomposition (2.2) yields the required results (2.5).

Theorem 2.3. Under the decompositions (2.1) and (2.2) the decomposed tensor fields  $E_{hh}(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\in_{jk}(x, \dot{x})$  behave like recurrent tensor field of second order.

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^s$  and  $x^m$  successively, we get

$$R_{h j k | sm}^{i} = \dot{x}^{i} | sm \in {}_{hlk} + \dot{x}^{i} \in {}_{h j k | sm}.$$

In view of the equation (1.7), equation (2.7) takes the form:

$$R_{h j k \mid sm}^{i} = x^{i} \in {}_{h j k \mid sm}.$$

$$(2.8)$$

which in view of equations (1.19) and (2.2) yields

$$a_{sm} \in_{hjk} = \in_{\underset{+,+,+}{h \text{ is } k \text{ is } sm}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Transvecting (2.9) by  $\dot{x}^h$  and using the homogeneity property of decomposition tensor field, we get

$$a_{sm} \in {}_{jk} = \in {}_{jk \mid sm} . \tag{2.10}$$

which proves the statement.

Theorem 2.4. In a recurrent Finsler space of second order the decomposition tensor fields satisfy

$$a_{sm} \in [hjk] = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

and

**Proof.** Differentiating (1.10) successively with respect to  $x^s$  and  $x^m$ , we get

$$R_{[h\ j\ k]sm}^{i} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

which in view of the equation (1.19) and (2.2) yields the required result (2.11).

Again, differentiating (1.12) with respect to  $x^s$ , we get

which in view of the equation (1.19) and (2.2), yields the result (2.21).

Theorem 2.5. Under the decomposition, characterized by equation (2.1) and (2.2) of  $\lambda_s$  is independent of the deviation, the recurrence tensor field  $a_{sm}$  satisfies

$$(\dot{\partial}_{n} a_{sm} - \dot{\partial}_{m} a_{sn}) \in j_{k} =$$

$$= \left[ \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid r}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} - \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right]$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right]$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right]$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \epsilon_{\substack{r \ k \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \epsilon_{\substack{j \ r \mid s}} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} \right\}$$

**Proof.** Differentiating (2.10) partially with respect to  $\dot{x}^n$  and using (2.3), we get

$$\dot{\partial}_n \left\{ \left( \in_{\stackrel{j}{+}\stackrel{k}{+}\mid s} \right)_m \right\} = a_{sm} \in_{njk} + \in_{jk} \dot{\partial}_n a_{sm} \tag{2.16}$$

In view of the commutation formula (1.14) the above equation reduces to

$$\{\dot{\partial}_{n}(\epsilon_{j|k|s})\}_{|m} - \epsilon_{r|k|s}\dot{\partial}_{j}\Gamma_{mn}^{r} - \epsilon_{j|r|s}\dot{\partial}_{k}\Gamma_{mn}^{r} - \epsilon_{j|k|r}\dot{\partial}_{s}\Gamma_{mn}^{r} =$$

$$= a_{sm} \epsilon_{nik} + \epsilon_{ik}\dot{\partial}_{n}a_{sm}. \tag{2.17}$$

In view of the fact that  $\lambda_s$  is independent of direction and the identity (1.19'), the above equation reduces to

$$-\left\{ \in_{\stackrel{r}{k}\mid s} \dot{\partial}_{j} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \in_{\stackrel{j}{k}\mid r} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mn}^{r} + \in_{\stackrel{j}{k}\mid r} \dot{\partial}_{s} \Gamma_{nm}^{r} \right\} = \in_{jk} \dot{\partial}_{n} a_{sm}. \tag{2.18}$$

Interchanging the indices m and n and substracting the equation thus obtained from the above equation we get the required result (2.15).

Theorem. If the recurrence vector  $\lambda_s$  is independent of direction in a Finsler space  $F_n^*$ , the following relation holds:

$$\{ \epsilon_{jk} (\dot{\partial}_n a_{sm}) - \epsilon_{jn} \dot{\partial}_k a_{sm} \} \dot{x}^m = 0.$$
 (2.19)

**Proof.** Interchanging the indices a and k in the equation (2.18) and subtracting the equation thus obtained from (2.18) itself, we get

$$\{ \in_{j_k} (\dot{\partial}_n a_{sm}) - \in_{j_n} (\dot{\partial}_k a_{sm}) \} =$$

$$= [\{ \in_{r,n} \mid_s \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{mk}^r + \in_{j,r} \mid_s \dot{\partial}_n \Gamma_{mk}^r + \in_{j,n} \mid_r \dot{\partial}_s \Gamma_{mk}^r \} -$$

$$- \{ \in_{r,k} \mid_s \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{mn}^r + \in_{j,r} \mid_s \dot{\partial}_k \Gamma_{mm}^r + \in_{j_k} \mid_r \dot{\partial}_s \Gamma_{mn}^r \} ].$$
(2.20)

Transvecting the above equation by  $\dot{x}^m$  and using the homogeneity property of the function, we get the required theorem.

## REFERENCES

[1] RUND, H. : The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,

1959.

[2] PANDE H.D. and : An R-  $\oplus$  recurrent Finsler space with non-symmetric connection,

GUPTA, K.K. İst. Üniv. Fen Fak. Mec. Seri A, 43 (1978), 107-112.

[8] NITESCU, C. : Bianchi's Identities in a non-symmetric connection space, Bui. Inst.

Politehn. Iași (N.S.), 20 (24) (1974), Fasc. 1-2, Sec. I, 69-72.

[\*] SINHA, B.B. : The decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields of second or-

der, Prog. of Maths., 6 (1) (1972), 7-14.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE M.M.E. COLLEGE, GORAKHPUR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GORAKHPUR UNIVERSITY GORAKHPUR

## ÖZET

Bu çalışmada, simetrik olmayan bağlantılı, 2. mertebeden R - 🕀 tekrarlı Finsler uzaymdaki R - 🕀 eğrilik tensör alanının parçalanışı incelenmektedir.