İstanbul Üniv. Fen Fak. Mec. Seri A, **47 (1983-1986), 91-96 91**

DECOMPOSITION IN $R - \oplus$ RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE OF SECOND ORDER WITH NON-SYMMETRIC CONNECTION

V. J. DUBE Y - D. D. SINGH

The decomposition of $R^{i}{}_{hjk}$ (*x*,*x*) curvature tensor field along with its properties in $R - \bigoplus$ recurrent Finsler space with non-symmetric connection **has been studied by Pande and Gupta [^a]. The object of the present paper** is to decompose the $R - \bigoplus$ curvature tensor field in $R - \bigoplus$ recurrent **Finsler space of second order with non-symmetric connection to study the properties of such decomposition.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider an *n*-dimensional Finsler space F_n^* [1] ¹ having 2*n* line element (x^i, \dot{x}^i) , $(i, j, k, ... = 1, 2, 3, ... , n)$ and equipped with non-symmetric connection coefficients

$$
\Gamma'_{ik}(x,\dot{x})\neq \Gamma'_{kj}(x,\dot{x})
$$

based on non-symmetric tensor

$$
g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \neq g_{ji}(x, \dot{x}).
$$

Cataline ^[3] defined a non-symmetric connection parameter as follows :

$$
\Gamma_{jk}^{i} = M_{jk}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^{i}
$$
 (i.i)

where M_{jk}^i and $\frac{1}{2}N_{jk}^i$ (x, x) denote the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of $\Gamma_{ik}^{\prime}(x, \dot{x})$. One more connection parameter $\Gamma_{ik}^{\prime}(x, \dot{x})$ has been introduced by Pande and Gupta $[2]$

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{l} = \Gamma_{kj}^{i} \left(x, \dot{x} \right). \tag{1.2}
$$

The covariant derivative of a tensor field X_i^i will be defined in two ways :

$$
X_{\,|\,j}^{\,i} = \partial_j X^{i\,2)} - (\dot{\partial}_m X^i) \,\Gamma_{pj}^m \,\dot{x}^p + X^m \,\Gamma_{mj}^i \tag{1.3}
$$

and

$$
X_{\parallel j}^{\perp} = \partial_j X^{i^{1}} - (\dot{\partial}_m X^i) \tilde{\Gamma}_{pj}^m x^p + X^m \tilde{\Gamma}_{mj}^i . \qquad (i.4)
$$

v) The numbers in square brackets refer to the references given at the end of the paper.

8) $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$; $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$.

The duality in the nature of covariant derivatives introduce two curvature tensors given by :

$$
R_{jkl}^i = \partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^i - \partial_k \Gamma_{jl}^i - (\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{jk}^i) \Gamma_{pl}^m \dot{x}^p +
$$

+
$$
(\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{jl}^l) \Gamma_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + \Gamma_{jk}^p \Gamma_{pl}^i - \Gamma_{jl}^p \Gamma_{pk}^i
$$

$$
\widetilde{R}_{jkl}^{i} = \partial_{I} \widetilde{T}_{jk}^{i} - \partial_{k} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^{l} - (\partial_{m} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{j}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} + \n+ (\partial_{m} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^{l}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^{m} \dot{x}^{p} + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{p} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^{l} - \widetilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^{p} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^{l} .
$$
\n(1.6)

The following results and notations $[2]$ will be used in the sequel.

$$
\dot{x}_{\,k}^{i} = 0 = \dot{x}_{\,k}^{i} \tag{1.7}
$$

$$
R_{jk}^i = \dot{x}^h R_{hjk}^i \tag{1.8}
$$

$$
R^i_{hjk}=-\ R^i_{hkj}\ ;\ R^i_{jk}=-\ R^i_{kj}
$$

$$
N_{jk}^i = -N_{kj}^i. \tag{1.9}
$$

The curvature tensor R_{ijk}^h satisfies the following identities in F_n^* :

$$
R_{hjk}^i + R_{jkh}^i + R_{khj}^i = 0 \qquad (1.10)
$$

$$
\widetilde{R}_{hjk}^i + \widetilde{R}_{jkh}^i + \widetilde{R}_{khy}^i = 0 \tag{1.11}
$$

and

$$
R_{\substack{i,j,k|l}}^{\stackrel{h}{\uparrow}} + R_{\substack{i,k|l|l}}^{\stackrel{h}{\uparrow}} + R_{\substack{i,j|k|l+1+1}}^{\stackrel{h}{\uparrow}} + R_{\substack{i,j|k}}^{\stackrel{h}{\uparrow}} + E_{\substack{i,j|k}}^{\stackrel{h}{\uparrow}} = 0 \hspace{1cm} (1.12)
$$

where

$$
E_{\text{tijk}}^h \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\equiv} R_{jk}^m \Gamma_{\text{mlt}}^h + R_{kl}^m \Gamma_{\text{mlt}}^h + R_{lj}^m \Gamma_{\text{mik}}^h. \qquad (1.13)
$$

The commutation formulae $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ involving the $+$ - covariant derivative are given by :

$$
\dot{\partial}_{k} (T_{\frac{j}{j}|h}^{i}) - (\partial_{k} T_{\frac{j}{j}}^{i})_{|h} =
$$
\n
$$
= T_{j}^{m} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{mh}^{i} - T_{m}^{i} \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{jk}^{m} - (\dot{\partial}_{m} T_{j}^{i}) \dot{\partial}_{k} \Gamma_{ph}^{m} \dot{x}^{p}
$$
\n
$$
(1.14)
$$
\n
$$
T_{\frac{j}{j}+h}^{i}{}_{k} - T_{\frac{j}{j}+k}^{i}{}_{h} = (-\dot{\partial}_{m} T_{j}^{i}) R_{hk}^{m} + T_{j}^{m} R_{mhk}^{i} - T_{m}^{i} R_{hhk}^{i} +
$$
\n
$$
+ (T_{\frac{j}{j}+m}^{i}) N_{kh}^{m}
$$
\n
$$
(1.15)
$$

where

DECOMPOSITION IN R - \bigoplus **RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE...** 93

$$
N_{kh}^m = \Gamma_{kh}^m - \Gamma_{kh}^l \tag{i.16}
$$

$$
\partial_r R_{ijk}^h \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} R_{ijk}^h \tag{1.71}
$$

In an *n*-dimensional Finsler space F_n^* the curvature tensor field $R_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$ satisfies the relation $[2]$

$$
R_{\substack{h\\i+1}}^i = \lambda_i R_{hjk}^i \quad (R_{hjk}^i \neq 0)
$$
 (1.18)

where $\lambda_i(x)$ is non-zero recurrence vector field, then F_n^* is said to be $R - \bigoplus$ recurrent Finsler space of first order.

An *n*-dimensional Finsler space F_n^* is said to be $R - \bigoplus$ recurrent F_n^* of second order if its curvature tensor field $R_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$ satisfies the relation

$$
R_{\substack{i,j,k|lm\\++}}^i = a_{lm} R_{kijk}^i \t{,} \t(1.19)
$$

where $a_{lm}(x, \dot{x})$ is called non-zero recurrence tensor field. A relation between λ_l and a_{lm} is given by

$$
a_{lm} = \lambda_{\mu \mid m} + \lambda_m \lambda_l \tag{1.19'}
$$

2. DECOMPOSITION IN R - \oplus RECURRENT F_{n}^*

We consider the decomposition of $R_{jk}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$ as follows :

$$
R_{jk}^{i}\left(x,\dot{x}\right)=\dot{x}^{i}\in j_{k}\,,\tag{2.1}
$$

where ϵ_{ik} (x, x) is a non-zero tensor field of first order in its directional arguments and

$$
\dot{x}^i \lambda_i = P
$$
 (Constant).

Differentiating (2.1) partially with respect to \dot{x} ^{*h*} and using (1.17), we have

$$
R_{hik}^i(x, \dot{x}) = x^i \in_{hjk}
$$
 (2.2)

$$
\in_{hik}(x,\,x)\triangleq\frac{\text{def.}}{n}\,\partial_h\in_{ik}.
$$

Theorem 2.1. In view of decomposition (2.2) the identity for the curvature tensor field R_{hik}^i (x, x) is given by

$$
\epsilon_{\lbrack hjk]}^{3)} = 0 \tag{2.4}
$$

 (2.3)

the control of the control of the control of the control

In view of equation (2.2) the identity (1.10) yields the above theorem.

$$
^{8}) 3A[i_{jk}] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} A_{ijk} + A_{jki} + A_{kij}.
$$

where

Theorem 2.2. In a recurrent Finsler space of first order the decomposition tensor field $R_{hjk} (x, \dot{x})$ satisfies :

$$
3 \dot{x}^h \in \mathfrak{g}_{ik\lambda l} = E_{ijk}^h \tag{2.5}
$$

In view of (1.18) , the Bianchi identity (1.18) reduces to

$$
3 R_{i[jk_{\lambda}I]}^h = E_{iijk}^h \tag{2.6}
$$

which for decomposition (2.2) yields the required results (2.5).

Theorem 2.3. Under the decompositions (2.1) and (2.2) the decomposed tensor fields E_{hjk} (x, \dot{x}) and $\in j_k(x, \dot{x})$ behave like recurrent tensor field of second order.

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly with respect to x^2 and x^m successively, we get

$$
R_{h\ j\ k\vert sm}^{\dagger} = \dot{x}^{\dagger} \vert \ sm \in_{h\bar{k}} + \dot{x}^{\dagger} \in_{h\ j\ k\vert sm}.
$$

In view of the equation (1.7) , equation (2.7) takes the form :

R **. 11 =** *x¹ G .* . . , . (2.8) *h j k* **I** *sin h J k | sm* **+ ++ +++**

which in view of equations (1.19) and (2.2) yields

$$
a_{sm} \in_{hjk} = \epsilon_{hjk} \cdot \epsilon_{m} \cdot \tag{2.9}
$$

Transvecting (2.9) by \dot{x}^h and using the homogeneity property of decomposition tensor field, we get

$$
a_{sm} \in j_k = \epsilon_{j,k \mid sm} . \tag{2.10}
$$

which proves the statement.

Theorem 2.4. In a recurrent Finsler space of second order the decomposition tensor fields satisfy

$$
a_{sm} \in \mathfrak{g}_{ijk} = 0 \tag{2.11}
$$

and

$$
\dot{x}^h \in \mathcal{B}_{i}[j_k]_s = E_{\begin{matrix}i & i & k \\ i & j & k \\ + & + & + \end{matrix}^s}
$$
 (2.12)

Proof. Differentiating (1.10) successively with respect to x^s and x^m , we get

$$
R_{[h j k] sm}^i = 0 \t\t(2.13)
$$

which in view of the equation (1.19) and (2.2) yields the required result (2.11) .

DECOMPOSITION IN R - \bigoplus **RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE...** 95

Again, differentiating (1.12) with respect to x^s , we get

$$
3 R_{\substack{i,j \ k l \rfloor s}}^{h} = E_{\substack{j \ j \ k+l+1}}^{h}
$$
 (2.14)

which in view of the equation (1.19) and (2.2) , yields the result (2.21) .

Theorem 2.5. Under the decomposition, characterized by equation **(2.1)** and (2.2) of λ_s is independent of the deviation, the recurrence tensor field a_{sm} satisfies

$$
(\partial_n a_{sm} - \partial_m a_{sn}) \in j_k =
$$
\n
$$
= [\{\epsilon_{r,k|s} \partial_j \Gamma^r_{nm} + \epsilon_{j,r|s} \partial_k \Gamma^r_{nm} + \epsilon_{j,k|r} \partial_s \Gamma^r_{nm}\} - \{\epsilon_{r,k|s} \partial_j \Gamma^r_{mn} + \epsilon_{j,r|s} \partial_k \Gamma^r_{mn} + \epsilon_{j,k|s} \partial_s \Gamma^r_{nm}\}]
$$
\n(2.15)

Proof. Differentiating (2.10) partially with respect to \dot{x}^n and using (2.3), we get

$$
\dot{\partial}_n \left\{ (\epsilon_{\underline{j} \underline{k} + \delta})_m \right\} = a_{\underline{s}m} \epsilon_{\underline{n}jk} + \epsilon_{\underline{j}k} \dot{\partial}_n a_{\underline{s}m} \tag{2.16}
$$

In view of the commutation formula (1.14) the above equation reduces to

$$
\{\dot{\partial}_n(\epsilon_{j,k|s})\}_{|m} - \epsilon_{r,k|s}\dot{\partial}_j\Gamma^r_{mn} - \epsilon_{j,r|s}\dot{\partial}_k\Gamma^r_{mn} - \epsilon_{j,k|r}\dot{\partial}_s\Gamma^r_{mn} =
$$

$$
= a_{sm}\epsilon_{mjk} + \epsilon_{jk}\dot{\partial}_n a_{sm}.
$$
 (2.17)

In view of the fact that λ_s is independent of direction and the identity (1.19'), the above equation reduces to

$$
-\{\epsilon_{\substack{r,k\mid s\mathbf{0}_j\mathbf{r}_{mn}^r}}\}_i^r + \epsilon_{\substack{r\mid s\mathbf{0}_k\mathbf{r}_{mn}^r}}\mathbf{a}_k^r + \epsilon_{\substack{r\mid k\mid r\mathbf{0}_s\mathbf{r}_{nm}^r}}\mathbf{a}_s^r + \epsilon_{\substack{r\mid k\mid s\mathbf{0}_m}}\mathbf{a}_s^r + \epsilon_{\substack{r\mid k\mid r\mathbf{0}_s}}\mathbf{a}_s^r + \
$$

Interchanging the indices m and n and substracting the equation thus obtained from the above equation we get the required result (2.15).

Theorem. If the recurrence vector λ_s is independent of direction in a Finsler space F_n^* , the following relation holds :

$$
\{\epsilon_{jk}(\partial_n a_{sm}) - \epsilon_{j_n} \partial_k a_{sm}\} x^m = 0.
$$
\n(2.19)

Proof. Interchanging the indices a and k in the equation (2.18) and subtracting the equation thus obtained from **(2.18)** itself, we get

$$
\{\epsilon_{jk}(\dot{\theta}_n a_{sm}) - \epsilon_{j_n}(\dot{\theta}_k a_{sm})\} =
$$
\n
$$
= [\{\epsilon_{\begin{matrix} r & \hat{\theta}_j \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_j \Gamma^r_{mk} + \epsilon_{\begin{matrix} j & r \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_n \Gamma^r_{mk} + \epsilon_{\begin{matrix} j & r \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_s \Gamma^r_{mk}\} - \{\epsilon_{\begin{matrix} r & \hat{k} \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_j \Gamma^r_{mn} + \epsilon_{\begin{matrix} j & r \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_k \Gamma^r_{mn} + \epsilon_{\begin{matrix} j & r \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_k \Gamma^r_{mn} + \epsilon_{\begin{matrix} j & r \end{matrix}} \dot{\theta}_s \Gamma^r_{mn} \}].
$$
\n(2.20)

96 V. J. DUBE Y - D. D. SINGH

Transvecting the above equation by \dot{x}^m and using the homogeneity property of the function, we get the required theorem.

REFERENCE S

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE M.M.E. COLLEGE, GORAKHPUR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GORAKHPUR UNIVERSITY GORAKHPUR

O Z E T

Bu çalışmada, simetrik olmayan bağlantılı, 2. mertebeden R - © tekrarlı Finsler uzaymdaki R- © eğrilik tensör alanının parçalanışı incelenmektedir.