

ON POWER SERIES AND MAHLER'S *U*-NUMBERS

M. H. ORYAN

In the present paper it is proved that the function values of certain power series are *S*-numbers or *T*-numbers for some algebraic arguments according Mahler's classification for transcendental numbers.

1. Introduction

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \tag{1}$$

be a series with non-zero rational coefficients $c_n = b_n / a_n$ (a_n, b_n integers and $a_n > 1$) and let c_n satisfy the following conditions

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{\log a_n} = \sigma > 1, \tag{2}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log b_n}{\log a_n} = \theta < 1. \tag{3}$$

Then the radius of convergence of (1) is infinity.

By using a theorem of LeVêque [⁴, Theorem 4-15, p.148] which is a generalization of Thue-Siegel-Roth Theorem it is possible to prove that for a non-zero algebraic number α of degree smaller than $\sigma(1 - \theta) / (2u)$ the number $f(\alpha)$ is transcendental, where

$$u = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log l. c. m. (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\log a_n} \tag{4}$$

is a finite number with $1 \leq u \leq \sigma / (\sigma - 1)$ (Zeren [⁵]).

It is the purpose of the present paper to give a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(\alpha)$ to be a *U*-number according to Mahler's classification for transcendental numbers. We prove

Theorem. Let $f(x)$ be a series as in (1) such that (2) and (3) hold. Suppose that α is a non-zero algebraic number of degree $m < \sigma(1 - \theta) / (2u)$.

1°) If

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{\log a_n} < +\infty \quad (5)$$

then $f(\alpha)$ is not a U -number, i.e. it is either an S -number or a T -number.

2°) If

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_{n+1}}{\log a_n} = +\infty \quad (6)$$

then $f(\alpha)$ is a U -number of degree $\leq m$.

For the proof of the first part of the theorem we use essentially the following theorem of Baker [2, Theorem 1, p. 98]

Theorem (Baker). Suppose that ξ is a real or a complex number and $\kappa > 2$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ be a sequence of distinct numbers in an algebraic number field K with field heights $H_K(\alpha_1), H_K(\alpha_2), \dots$ such that

$$|\xi - \alpha_i| < (H_K(\alpha_i))^{-\kappa} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log H_K(\alpha_{i+1})}{\log H_K(\alpha_i)} < +\infty \quad (8)$$

hold. Then ξ is either an S -number or a T -number.

I would like to thank Professor Michel Waldschmidt for his valuable remarks.

2. Lemmas

The following lemmas are used in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ ($k \geq 1$) be algebraic numbers which belong to an algebraic number field K of degree g , and let $F(y, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a polynomial with rational integral coefficients and with degree at least one in y . If η is an algebraic number such that $F(\eta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = 0$, then the degree of $\eta \leq dg$, and

$$H(\eta) \leq 3^{2dg + (d_1 + \dots + d_k)g} \cdot H^g \cdot (H(\alpha_1))^{d_1 g} \dots (H(\alpha_k))^{d_k g},$$

where $H(\eta)$ is the height of η , H is the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of F , d_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) is the degree of F in x_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$), d is the degree of F in y , and $H(\alpha_i)$ is the height of α_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Proof. See İçen [1, p.25].

Lemma 2. Suppose that K is an algebraic number field of degree N and that ζ is an algebraic number in K with field height $H_K(\zeta)$. Let the field

conjugates of ζ be $\zeta^{(1)} = \zeta, \zeta^{(2)}, \dots, \zeta^{(N)}$ and let the coefficient of x^N in the field equation of ζ , with relatively prime integer coefficients, be h . Then

$$h \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + |\zeta^{(i)}|) < 6^N \cdot H_K(\zeta).$$

Further, if j_1, \dots, j_s are s integers with $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq N$ then

$$h \cdot \zeta^{(j_1)} \dots \zeta^{(j_s)}$$

is an algebraic integer.

Proof. See LeVêque [4, Theorem 4-2, pp. 124-125, and Theorem 2-21, pp. 63-65].

Lemma 3. Let ζ_1 and ζ_2 be different conjugates of an algebraic number of degree m and of height H . Then

$$|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \geq (4m)^{-(m-2)/2} ((m+1)H)^{-(2m-1)/2}.$$

Proof. See Güting [3, Theorem 8, p. 158].

In the remainder of this paper the inequalities hold for all sufficiently large indices and the real numbers $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$ are positive and sufficiently small such that they are not depending on the varying indices.

Lemma 4. Let $f(x)$ be a series as in (1) such that (2), (3) and $1 < \sigma(1 - \theta)$ hold. Suppose that α is a non-zero algebraic number of degree m . Let

$\beta_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n c_\nu \alpha^\nu$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). Then the numbers of the consecutive terms of the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ which are of degree $< m$ are bounded.

Proof. Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$, then $[K : \mathbf{Q}] = m, \beta_n \in K$. It follows from (2) that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is monotonically increasing for all sufficiently large n and it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{n} = +\infty. \tag{9}$$

It follows further from (3)

$$|b_n| < a_n^{\theta + \epsilon_1} < a_n \quad (0 < \epsilon_1 < 1 - \theta). \tag{10}$$

We assume that the assertion of the lemma is not true. Then there must exist a sequence $\{\Sigma_s\}$ such that

$\Sigma_s = \{\beta_{n_s+1}, \dots, \beta_{n_s+q_s}\}$ ($n_s, q_s \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$) with $\deg \beta_{n_s} = m$ and $\deg \beta_\nu < m$ for $n_s + 1 \leq \nu \leq n_s + q_s$, where $\deg \beta$ denotes the degree of the algebraic number β .

Let $\beta_n^{(1)} = \beta_n, \beta_n^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_n^{(m)}$ be the field conjugates of β_n . For a pair (i, j) ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$) the equations

$$\beta_\nu^{(i)} = \beta_\nu^{(j)} \quad (\nu = n, n+1, n+2)$$

can not be satisfied simultaneously. For otherwise we would get from

$$\frac{\beta_{n+2}^{(i)} - \beta_{n+1}^{(i)}}{\beta_{n+1}^{(i)} - \beta_n^{(i)}} = \frac{\beta_{n+2}^{(j)} - \beta_{n+1}^{(j)}}{\beta_{n+1}^{(j)} - \beta_n^{(j)}}$$

that $\alpha^{(i)} = \alpha^{(j)}$ which is a contradiction. It follows from here, $q_n \rightarrow \infty$ and the finiteness of the number of the pairs (i, j) that there exists an index pair (i, j) and a subsequence $\{\Sigma_s'\}$ of $\{\Sigma_s\}$ such that for every s it is possible to find terms $\beta_{n_t}, \beta_{n_t+1} \in \Sigma_s'$ with $n_{t+1} - n_t \geq 2$, $\beta_{n_t}^{(i)} = \beta_{n_t}^{(j)}$, $\beta_{n_t+1}^{(i)} = \beta_{n_t+1}^{(j)}$ and $\beta_\nu^{(i)} \neq \beta_\nu^{(j)}$ ($n_t < \nu < n_{t+1}$). Because of $\beta_{n_t+1}^{(i)} \neq \beta_{n_t+1}^{(j)}$ it follows that $(\alpha^{(i)})^{n_t+1} \neq (\alpha^{(j)})^{n_t+1}$.

Furthermore we have

$$\beta_{n_t+1}^{(i)} - \beta_{n_t}^{(i)} = \beta_{n_t+1}^{(j)} - \beta_{n_t}^{(j)}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_t+1-n_t} c_{n_t+\nu} ((\alpha^{(i)})^{n_t+\nu} - (\alpha^{(j)})^{n_t+\nu}) = 0. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (2) and (10)

$$\left| \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} \right| \leq a_n^{-(1-\theta-\varepsilon_1)(\sigma-\varepsilon_2)+1} \quad (0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1-\theta, 1 < \sigma-\varepsilon_2). \quad (12)$$

From $1 < \sigma(1-\theta)$ we get $(1-\theta-\varepsilon_1)(\sigma-\varepsilon_2)-1 > 0$. By (11) and (12) we obtain

$$|(\alpha^{(i)})^{n_t+1} - (\alpha^{(j)})^{n_t+1}| < 2(n_{t+1}-n_t-1) \cdot \max(1, |\bar{\alpha}|)^{n_t+1} \left| \frac{c_{n_t+2}}{c_{n_t+1}} \right|. \quad (13)$$

It holds $H(\alpha^{n_t+1}) \leq \gamma_1^{n_t+1}$ and from Lemma 3

$$|(\alpha^{(i)})^{n_t+1} - (\alpha^{(j)})^{n_t+1}| \geq \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3^{-(n_t+1)}, \quad (14)$$

where the real constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are positive and are not depending on n_t .

If $n_{t+1} - n_t$ is bounded for $t \rightarrow \infty$ then there exists a real constant $B > 0$ with $n_{t+1} - n_t - 1 \leq B$. Hence it follows from (12), (13) and (14)

$$a_{n_t+1}^{(1-\theta-\varepsilon_1)(\sigma-\varepsilon_2)-1} \leq \frac{2B}{\gamma_2} \max(1, |\bar{\alpha}|)^B (\max(1, |\bar{\alpha}|) \gamma_3)^{n_t+1}$$

which is a contradiction because of (9).

Hence $n_{t+1} - n_t$ is not bounded for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there exists an index pair $(p, r) \neq (i, j)$ and a subsequence $\{\Sigma_s^{(p)}\}$ of $\{\Sigma_s\}$ such that for every s it is possible to find terms $\beta_{n_u}, \beta_{n_{u+1}} \in \Sigma_s^{(p)}$ with $n_{u+1} - n_u \geq 2, n_t < n_u < n_{u+1} < n_{t+1}, \beta_{n_u}^{(p)} = \beta_{n_u}^{(r)}, \beta_{n_{u+1}}^{(p)} = \beta_{n_{u+1}}^{(r)}$ and $\beta_{n_u}^{(p)} \neq \beta_{n_{u+1}}^{(r)}$ ($n_u < n_{u+1}$). We can show similarly that $n_{u+1} - n_u$ is not bounded for $u \rightarrow \infty$. If we go on, we get such terms in Σ_s for sufficiently large s with all different field conjugates because the number of the distinct pairs (i, j) is finite. This contradicts the definition of Σ_s . Hence the lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $f(x), \alpha$ and β_n be as in Lemma 4. If $\{\beta_{n_k}\}$ is the subsequence of the terms of degree m in $\{\beta_n\}$ then there is an integer k_0 so that it holds $\beta_{n_k} \neq \beta_{n_{k+1}}$ for all integers $k \geq k_0$.

Proof. If the assertion of the lemma were not true then it would hold $\beta_{n_k} = \beta_{n_{k+1}}$ for infinitely many k . Hence it would follow for infinitely many k

$$1 + \sum_{v=2}^{n_{k+1}-n_k} \frac{c_{n_k+v}}{c_{n_{k+1}}} \alpha^{v-1} = 0. \tag{15}$$

By Lemma 4 the number of the terms in (15) is bounded and by (12)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n_k+v}}{c_{n_{k+1}}} = 0 \quad (v = 2, 3, \dots, n_{k+1} - n_k).$$

Therefore we would get a contradiction from (15) and this proves Lemma 5.

3. Proof of First Part

We apply Lemma 1 on the polynomial

$$F(y, x) = A_n y - \sum_{v=0}^n A_v c_v x^v$$

where $A_n = l. c. m. (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Because of $F(\beta_n, \alpha) = 0$ we get from (4), (9) and (10)

$$H(\beta_n) \leq a_n^{n, m + \varepsilon_n}. \tag{16}$$

Let $\xi = f(\alpha)$. It follows from (2), (9), (10) and (16)

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi - \beta_n| &\leq a_{n+1}^{-(1-0-\varepsilon_4)} \quad (0 < \varepsilon_4 < 1 - \theta) \\ &\leq a_n^{-(1-0-\varepsilon_4)(\sigma-\varepsilon_2)} \\ &\leq H(\beta_n)^{-\kappa} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\kappa = (1 - \theta - \varepsilon_1)(\sigma - \varepsilon_2) / (um + \varepsilon_3)$. Because of $m < \sigma(1 - \theta) / (2u)$ we obtain $\kappa > 2$ and $1 < \sigma(1 - \theta)$.

We consider now the sequence $\{\beta_{n_k}\}$ ($k \geq k_0$) in Lemma 5. We have for the terms of this sequence

$$H(\beta_{n_k}) = H_K(\beta_{n_k}). \quad (18)$$

Let t_{n_k} be the coefficient of x^m in the field equation of β_{n_k} with relatively prime integer coefficients. We put

$$\Lambda = t_{n_{k+1}} \cdot t_{n_k} \cdot \text{Norm}(\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}) \quad (19)$$

where

$$\text{Norm}(\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\beta_{n_{k+1}}^{(i)} - \beta_{n_k}^{(i)}). \quad (20)$$

Since $\beta_{n_{k+1}} \neq \beta_{n_k}$ it follows from (19) that Λ is the sum of products of conjugates of $\beta_{n_{k+1}}$ and β_{n_k} , all multiplied by $t_{n_{k+1}} \cdot t_{n_k}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that Λ is a rational integer and hence we obtain

$$|\Lambda| \geq 1. \quad (21)$$

We now find an upper bound for $|\Lambda|$. Since

$$|\beta_{n_{k+1}}^{(i)} - \beta_{n_k}^{(i)}| \leq (1 + |\beta_{n_{k+1}}^{(i)}|)(1 + |\beta_{n_k}^{(i)}|)$$

and from Lemma 2 and (18) we obtain

$$t_{n_k} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + |\beta_{n_k}^{(i)}|) \leq 6^m \cdot H(\beta_{n_k}). \quad (22)$$

It follows from (19) and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda| &= |\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}| \cdot t_{n_{k+1}} \cdot t_{n_k} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (\beta_{n_{k+1}}^{(i)} - \beta_{n_k}^{(i)}) \\ &\leq |\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}| \cdot 6^{2m} \cdot H(\beta_{n_{k+1}}) \cdot H(\beta_{n_k}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\Lambda| \leq |\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}| \cdot 6^{2m} \cdot (\max\{H(\beta_{n_{k+1}}), H(\beta_{n_k})\})^2. \quad (23)$$

We obtain from (17) and $\sigma - \varepsilon_2 > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{n_{k+1}} - \beta_{n_k}| &\leq |\xi - \beta_{n_{k+1}}| + |\xi - \beta_{n_k}| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot a_{n_k}^{-(1-\theta-\varepsilon_1)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence from (21) and (23)

$$a_{n_k}^{1-\theta-\varepsilon_4} \leq 2.6^{2m} \cdot (\max \{ H(\beta_{n_{k+1}}), H(\beta_{n_k}) \})^2. \tag{24}$$

There are only a finite number of elements of K with bounded height and hence

$$\max \{ H(\beta_{n_{k+1}}), H(\beta_{n_k}) \} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus from (24) on taking logarithmus it follows that

$$(1 - \theta - \varepsilon_4) \log a_{n_k} \leq (2 + \varepsilon_5) \max \{ \log H(\beta_{n_{k+1}}), \log H(\beta_{n_k}) \}. \tag{25}$$

We define now inductively a sequence $\{k_i\}$. Let k_1 be the least positive integer for which the preceding inequalities and properties hold. Let i be a positive integer and we suppose that k_i has been defined and we take k_{i+1} as $k_i + 1$ or $k_i + 2$ according as $H(\beta_{n_{k_i+1}})$ is or is not greater than $H(\beta_{n_{k_i+2}})$. Then by definition

$$\max \{ \log H(\beta_{n_{k_{i-1}+2}}), \log H(\beta_{n_{k_{i-1}+1}}) \} = \log H(\beta_{n_{k_i}}). \tag{26}$$

By (5) there is a constant $c > 1$ such that

$$\log a_{n_{i+1}} < c \log a_{n_i}. \tag{27}$$

Hence from the definition of k_i it follows for all i

$$c^{-A} \cdot \log a_{n_{k_i}} < \log a_{n_{k_{i-1}+1}}, \tag{28}$$

where A is an upper bound for $n_{k+1} - n_k$ by Lemma 4. From (25), (26) and (28) we obtain

$$(1 - \theta - \varepsilon_4) \cdot c^{-A} \cdot \log a_{n_{k_i}} < (2 + \varepsilon_5) \log H(\beta_{n_{k_i}}) \tag{29}$$

for all i . Hence we obtain from (16), (27) and (29)

$$\frac{\log H(\beta_{n_{k_{i+1}}})}{\log H(\beta_{n_{k_i}})} \leq \frac{(um + \varepsilon_3) \log a_{n_{k_{i+1}}}}{\frac{1 - \theta - \varepsilon_4}{c^A(2 + \varepsilon_5)} \log a_{n_{k_i}}} \leq \frac{(um + \varepsilon_3) c^A \log a_{n_{k_{i+1}}}}{\frac{1 - \theta - \varepsilon_4}{c^A(2 + \varepsilon_5)} \log a_{n_{k_i}}}. \tag{30}$$

We obtain from (5) and (30)

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log H(\beta_{n_{k_{i+1}}})}{\log H(\beta_{n_{k_i}})} < \infty. \tag{31}$$

Finally we define a subsequence $\{\beta_{t_j}\}$ of $\{\beta_{n_{k_i}}\}$ so that we take $t_1 = 1$ and for each integer $j \geq 1$ we take t_{j+1} as the least integer in $\{n_{k_i}\}$ greater than t_j for which $H(\beta_{t_j})$ is less than $H(\beta_{t_{j+1}})$. It is possible to find such an index since the number of the algebraic numbers in K with bounded field height is finite and if in the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ a term is repeated infinitely many times, then ξ must be in K because of the definition of β_n . Then we have

$$H(\beta_{t_1}) < H(\beta_{t_2}) < \dots$$

and

$$\frac{\log H(\beta_{t_{j+1}})}{\log H(\beta_{t_j})} \leq \frac{\log H(\beta_{t_{j+1}})}{\log H(\beta_{t_{j+1}-1})}$$

hence

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log H(\beta_{t_{j+1}})}{\log H(\beta_{t_j})} < \infty. \quad (32)$$

Moreover the terms of $\{\beta_{t_j}\}$ are all distinct because their heights are all distinct. We have further for the sequence $\{\beta_{t_j}\}$ from (17)

$$|\xi - \beta_{t_j}| < (H(\beta_{t_j}))^{-\kappa} \quad (33)$$

with $\kappa > 2$. We obtain from (32) and (33) the conditions (7) and (8) of Baker's Theorem for the sequence $\{\beta_{t_j}\}$ and hence the first part of the theorem is proved.

4. Proof of Second Part

Let $s_n = (\log a_{n+1})/(\log a_n)$. It follows from (6) that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ contains a subsequence $\{s_{n_j}\}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_j} = +\infty$. We consider now the sequence $\{\beta_{n_j}\}$. No term in $\{\beta_{n_j}\}$ can be repeated infinitely many times because of the transcendence of ξ . Hence there is a subsequence $\{\beta_{n_{j_q}}\}$ of $\{\beta_{n_j}\}$ such that all its terms are different from each other and their heights increase monotonically. For this subsequence we get from (16) and (17)

$$|\xi - \beta_{n_{j_q}}| \leq H(\beta_{n_{j_q}})^{-\frac{1-\epsilon-\epsilon_1}{um+\epsilon_3} \cdot s_{n_{j_q}}}. \quad (34)$$

Because of $\deg \beta_{n_{j_q}} \leq m$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_{j_q}} = +\infty$ we get from (34) that ξ is a U^* -number of degree $\leq m$. From the equivalence of the Mahler's and Koksma's classification of transcendental numbers it follows that ξ is a U -number of degree $\leq m$.

REFERENCES

- [1] İÇEN, O.Ş. : *Anhang zu den Arbeiten "Über die Funktionswerte der p-adi-schen elliptischen Funktionen I und II"*, İst. Üniv. Fen Fak. Mec. Serie A, 38 (1973), 25-35.

- [²] BAKER, A. : *On Mahler's classification of transcendental numbers*, Acta Mathematica, 111 (1964), 97-120.
- [³] GÜTING, R. : *Approximation of algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers*, Michigan Math. J., 8 (1961), 149-159.
- [⁴] LEVÊQUE W.J. : *Topics in Number Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts (1961), Vol. 2.
- [⁵] ZEREN, B.M. : *Über die Transzendenz der Werte einiger schnell konvergenter Potenzreihen für algebraische Argumente*, Bulletin of the Technical University of İstanbul, 38 (1985), 473-496.

Ö Z E T

Bu çalışmada, bazı kuvvet serilerinin cebirsel argümanlar için aldığı değerlerin, Mahler'in transandant sayılar için verdiği tasnifteki S -veya T -sayıları oldukları ispat edilmektedir.