

PROJECTIVE AFFINE MOTION IN A PRFN-SPACE, V

A. KUMAR

In this paper has been investigated in a PRFN-space the existence of projective affine motion characterized by

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt$$

and $\mathcal{L}u G^i_{jk} = 0$ of several types.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F_n [1]^1$ be an n -dimensional affinely connected and non-flat Finsler space equipped with symmetric Berwald's connection coefficient $G^i_{jk}(x, \dot{x})$. The covariant derivative of any tensor field $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$ with respect to x^k in the sense of Berwald is given by

$$T_{j(Q)}^i = \partial_k T_j^i - \dot{\partial}_m T_j^i G^m_{\gamma k} \dot{x}^\gamma + T_j^s G^i_{sk} - T_s^i G^s_{jk}. \tag{1.1}$$

The commutation formula involving the Berwald's covariant derivative is given by [1]:

$$2 T_{j(l)(k)}^i = - \dot{\partial}_\gamma T_j^i H^\gamma_{shk} \dot{x}^s + T_j^s H^i_{shk} - T_s^i H^s_{jlk}, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$H^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} 2 \{ \partial_{[k} G^i_{]lh} - G^l_{\gamma h]j} G^\gamma_{kl} \dot{x}^s + G^\gamma_{h]j} G^i_{kl\gamma} \} \tag{1.3}$$

is called Berwald's curvature tensor and satisfies the following identities [1]:

$$H^i_{hjk} = - H^i_{hkj}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$H^i_{hjk} + H^i_{jkh} + H^i_{kjh} = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$H^i_{hjl} = H^i_{hj}. \tag{1.6}$$

Let us consider an infinitesimal point transformation

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt, \tag{1.7}$$

¹⁾ Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

²⁾ $2 A_{[hk]} = A_{hk} - A_{kh}$.

³⁾ $\dot{\partial}_i \equiv \partial/\partial \dot{x}^i$ and $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x^i$.

where $v^i(x)$ is any vector field and dt is an infinitesimal point constant. In view of the above point transformation and Berwald's covariant derivative, the Lie derivatives of $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$ and $G_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$ respectively are given by [2]:

$$\mathfrak{L}_v T_j^i = T_j^i{}_{(0)} v^h - T_j^h v^i{}_{(0)} + T_h^i v^h{}_{(0)} + \dot{\partial}_h T_j^i v^h{}_{(0)} \dot{x}^s \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{L}_v G_{jk}^i = v^i{}_{(0)(0)} - H^i{}_{jkh} v^h + G^i{}_{sjk} v^s{}_{(0)} \dot{x}^r, \quad (1.9)$$

where $G^i{}_{sjk} \equiv \dot{\partial}_s G^i{}_{jk}$.

In an F_n if the Berwald's curvature tensor field $H^i{}_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$ satisfies the relation

$$H^i{}_{hjk(s)} = \lambda_s H^i{}_{hjk}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\lambda_s(x)$ is any covariant vector then the space is called projective recurrent Finsler space of first order or PRFn-space. The present author has investigated in an PRFn-space the existence of projective affine motion characterized by (1.7) and $\mathfrak{L}_v G_{jk}^i = 0$ of the following several types [4], [5], [6]:

- (A) Contra-form characterized by $v^i{}_{(0)} = 0$,
- (B) Concurrent form defined by $v^i{}_{(0)} = a \delta_j^i$ ($a = \text{const.}$),
- (C) Special concircular-form introduced by $v^i{}_{(0)} = \psi(x) \delta_j^i$,
- (D) Projective recurrent-form characterized by $v^i{}_{(0)} = \psi_j(x) v^j$,
- (E) Concircular form satisfying the condition: $v^i{}_{(0)} = \sigma(x) \delta_j^i + \psi_j(x) v^j$
($\psi_j =$ gradient vector).

However, these types are contained, as a special case respectively in the condition

$$v^i{}_{(0)} = \sigma(x) \delta_j^i + \psi_j(x) v^j, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\sigma(x)$ means any function and ψ_j denotes a certain covariant vector. The vector field (v^i) defined by such a condition will be called a torse-forming field. Then, as the most general case, in this paper, the author will discuss about the projective affine motion of torse-forming form defined by

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt, \quad v^i{}_{(0)} = \sigma(x) \delta_j^i + \psi_j(x) v^j. \quad (1.12)$$

2. PROJECTIVE AFFINE MOTION AND THREE CASES

In what follows we shall assume the existence of projective affine motions of torse-forming form (1.12). Then, we come to assume two conditions:

$$\text{a) } \mathfrak{L}_v G^i_{jk} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{b) } v^i_{(j)(k)} = H^i_{jkh} v^h - G^i_{sjk} v^s_{(\gamma)} \dot{x}^\gamma \quad (2.1)$$

that is the equation of projective affine motion and its integrability condition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_v H^i_{hjk} &= v^s \lambda_s H^i_{hjk} - H^s_{hjk} v^i_{(s)} + H^i_{sjk} v^s_{(h)} + H^i_{hsk} v^s_{(j)} + \\ &+ H^i_{hjs} v^s_{(k)} + \dot{\partial}_s H^i_{hjk} v^s_{(\gamma)} \dot{x}^\gamma = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

From the latter part of (1.12), we can construct

$$v^i_{(j)(k)} = \sigma_k \delta_j^i + \psi_j v^i + \psi_j (\sigma \delta_k^i + \psi_k v^i), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_{(k)} = \partial_k \sigma. \quad (2.4)$$

Introducing the latter part of (1.2) and (2.3) into the equation (2.1), we have

$$H^i_{jkh} v^h = \psi_{j(k)} v^i + \psi_j \psi_k v^i + \sigma_k \delta_j^i + \sigma \psi_j \delta_k^i. \quad (2.5)$$

Transvecting the last formula by v^k and using the fact that $H^i_{jkh} v^k v^h = 0$, we get

$$\psi_{j(k)} v^i v^k + \psi_j \psi_k v^i v^k + \sigma_k \delta_j^i v^k + \sigma \psi_j v^i = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

For the non-zero property of the vector $v^i(x)$ the last relation reduces to

$$\psi_{j(k)} v^k + \psi_j \psi_k v^k + \sigma \psi_j = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where we have used the relation [6]:

$$\mathfrak{L}_v \sigma(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_h v^h = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Equating the indices i and k of the formula (2.5), we obtain

$$H^i_{jih} v^h = \psi_{j(k)} v^k + \psi_j \psi_k v^k + \sigma_j + n \sigma \psi_j. \quad (2.9)$$

Introducing (2.7) into the above relation, we find

$$H^i_{jih} v^h = (n-1) \sigma \psi_j + \sigma_j. \quad (2.10)$$

Differentiating covariantly the above formula with respect to x^s and taking care of the equations (1.10) and the latter part of (1.12), we have

$$(\psi_s + \lambda_s) H^i_{jih} v^h + \sigma H^i_{jis} = (n-1) (\sigma_s \psi_j + \sigma \psi_{j(s)}) + \sigma_{j(s)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.10) into the left-hand side of the above equation, we get

$$(\psi_s + \lambda_s) \{ (n-1) \sigma \psi_j + \sigma_j \} + \sigma H^i_{jis} = (n-1) (\sigma_s \psi_j + \sigma \psi_{j(s)}) + \sigma_{j(s)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Transvecting the above formula by v^s and noting the equations (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$(n-1)\sigma\psi_j(2\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma) + \sigma_j(\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, again transvecting the formula (2.13) by v^j and using the equation (2.8), we find

$$(n-1)\sigma\psi_j v^j(2\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Consequently, it is seen from (2.14) that in order to discuss the possibility of torse-forming projective affine motion in an PRF $_n$ -space it is necessary to investigate the three cases for $n \geq 2$:

$$\text{a) } \sigma = 0, \quad \text{b) } \psi_j v^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{c) } 2\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

3. THE CASE OF $\sigma = 0$

In view of this case the projective affine motion (1.12) under consideration is degenerated into

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt, \quad v^i_{(j)} = \psi_j(x) v^i. \quad (3.1)$$

But the above case has been already discussed deeply by the author in the papers [5] and [7]. This is projective recurrent affine motion form.

4. THE CASE OF $\psi_s v^s = 0$

Differentiating covariantly (2.5) with respect to x^m and taking notice of the equations (1.10) and the latter part of (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_m + \psi_m) H^i_{jkh} v^h + \sigma H^i_{jkm} &= \psi_{j(k)(m)} v^i + \psi_{j(k)} v^i_{(m)} + \psi_{j(m)} \psi_k v^i + \\ &+ \psi_j \psi_k v^i_{(m)} + \psi_j \psi_k v^i_{(m)} + \sigma \psi_{j(m)} \delta_k^i + \sigma_m \psi_j \delta_k^i + \sigma_k \delta_j^i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Contracting the above formula with respect to the indices i and j we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_m + \psi_m) H^i_{ikh} v^h + \sigma H^i_{ikm} &= \psi_{i(k)(m)} v^i + \psi_{i(k)} v^i_{(m)} + \psi_{i(m)} \psi_k v^i + \\ &+ \psi_i \psi_k v^i_{(m)} + \sigma \psi_k \delta_{(m)}^i + \sigma_m \psi_k + n \sigma_k \delta_{(m)}^i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\psi_h v^h = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

With the help of the above formula we can deduce

$$\psi_{h(s)} v^h + \psi_h v^h_{(s)} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Again differentiating covariantly the last formula with respect to x^m and using the latter part of (1.12) we find

$$\Psi_{h(s)(m)} v^h + \Psi_{h(s)} v_{(m)}^h + \Psi_{h(m)} v_{(s)}^h + \Psi_h (\sigma \delta_s^h + \Psi_h v^h)_{(m)} = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

or

$$\Psi_{h(s)(m)} v^h + \Psi_{h(s)} v_{(m)}^h + \Psi_{h(m)} \Psi_s v^h + \sigma \Psi_s_{(m)} + \sigma_m \Psi_s + \Psi_s \Psi_h v_{(m)}^h = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used (2.4) and (4.3).

Now, introducing the equation (4.6) into the right-hand side of the formula (4.2), we obtain

$$(\lambda_m + \Psi_m) H^i_{ikh} v^h + \sigma H^i_{ikm} = n \sigma_{k(m)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Commutating the last relation with respect to the indices k and m and using the fact that $\sigma_{k(m)} = \sigma_{m(k)}$, we have

$$(\lambda_m + \Psi_m) H^i_{ikh} v^h + \sigma H^i_{ikm} = n \sigma_{k(m)}. \quad (4.8)$$

The above formula can also be re-written as

$$2\sigma H^i_{ikm} = (\lambda_k + \Psi_k) H^i_{imh} v^h - (\lambda_m + \Psi_m) H^i_{ikh} v^h. \quad (4.9)$$

Transvecting the last result by v^m and using the fact that $H^i_{ikm} v^k v^m = 0$, we get

$$(2\sigma + \lambda_m v^m) H^i_{ikh} v^h = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

where we have used (4.3).

Hence, we have two cases to be discussed:

$$H^i_{ikh} v^h = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$2\sigma + \lambda_m v^m = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

PROJECTIVE AFFINE MOTION GIVEN BY (4.11)

In such a case, from (2.1), we have

$$v^i_{(i)(k)} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Thus, by virtue of the equations (2.3) and (4.13), we can get

$$n \sigma_k + \Psi_{h(i)(k)} v^h + \sigma \Psi_k = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

In view of the equations (1.12) and (4.3), the last formula takes the form

$$n \sigma_k - \Psi_h (\sigma \delta_k^h + \Psi_k v^h) + \sigma \Psi_k = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

or

$$\sigma_k = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Then, we shall have proved $\psi_j = 0$. In what follows we shall always use (4.3) and (4.16). From the formula (2.3), we can get

$$v^i_{(j)(i)} = \sigma_j + \psi_{j(i)} v^i + n \sigma \psi_j. \quad (4.17)$$

By virtue of (4.3), the equation (2.7) takes the form

$$\sigma \psi_j = -\psi_{j(k)} v^k. \quad (4.18)$$

Introducing the last result into the right-hand side of the formula (4.17), we obtain

$$v^i_{(j)(i)} = (n-1) \sigma \psi_j + \sigma_j. \quad (4.19)$$

But, with the help of the equation (4.16), the last relation reduces to

$$v^i_{(j)(i)} = (n-1) \sigma \psi_j. \quad (4.20)$$

From the equations (2.1) and (4.11), we can construct

$$v^i_{(j)(i)} = H^i_{ijk} v^k = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore, commutating the formula (4.20) with respect to the indices j and i and using the equations (1.2) and (4.21), we have

$$(n-1) \sigma \psi_j = -v^s H^i_{sji}. \quad (4.22)$$

Differentiating covariantly the above formula with respect to x^m and taking notice of the equations (1.10), the latter part of (1.12) and (4.16) we get

$$-(\lambda_m + \psi_m) v^s H^i_{sji} - \sigma H^i_{mji} = (n-1) \sigma \psi_{j(m)}. \quad (4.23)$$

With the help of the equations (4.22) and (4.23), we can obtain

$$(\lambda_m + \psi_m) (n-1) \sigma \psi_j - \sigma H^i_{mji} = (n-1) \sigma \psi_{j(m)}. \quad (4.24)$$

Now, transvecting the above result by v^j and taking care of the equation (1.4) and (4.3), we find

$$\sigma H^i_{mij} v^j = (n-1) \sigma \psi_{j(m)} v^j. \quad (4.25)$$

In view of the equation (2.1), the last formula takes the form

$$\sigma v^j_{(m)(i)} = (n-1) \sigma \psi_{j(m)} v^j \quad (4.26)$$

or

$$v^j_{(m)(i)} = (n-1) \psi_{j(m)} v^j, \quad (4.27)$$

where we have neglected the non-vanishing $\sigma(x)$.

Introducing (4.20) into the left-hand side of the above result, we have

$$(n-1)\sigma\psi_m = (n-1)\psi_{j(m)}v^j \quad (4.28)$$

or

$$\sigma\psi_m = \psi_{j(m)}v^j = (\psi_j v^j)_{(m)} - \psi_j v^j_{(m)} = -\psi_j(\sigma\delta_m^j + \psi_m v^j) = -\sigma\psi_m \quad (4.29)$$

or

$$2\sigma\psi_m = 0. \quad (4.30)$$

Hence, by virtue of $\sigma \neq 0$, we get $\psi_m = 0$. This completes the proof of desired property. Thus, in this case the equation (1.12) becomes

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt, \quad v^i_{(j)} = \sigma\delta_j^i \quad (\sigma = \text{const.}) \quad (4.31)$$

This is a concurrent form and such a motion is unable to exist in a general PRFN-space. This fact was shown by the author in the paper [5].

2. PROJECTIVE AFFINE MOTION GIVEN BY (4.12)

From the equations (1.12) and (4.3) we can conclude

$$\psi_{h(m)}v^h + \psi_h\psi_m v^h + \sigma\psi_m = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

Subtracting the last formula with (2.7), we have

$$\psi_{h(m)}v^h = \psi_{m(h)}v^h. \quad (4.33)$$

By virtue of the equations (1.4) and (1.6), the formula (2.10) can be re-written as

$$-H_{jh}v^h = (n-1)\sigma\psi_j + \sigma_j. \quad (4.34)$$

Differentiating covariantly with respect to x^s and using the equations (1.10) and the latter part of (1.12), we get

$$-(\psi_s + \lambda_s)H_{jh}v^h - \sigma H_{js} = (n-1)\{\sigma_s\psi_j + \sigma\psi_{j(s)} + \sigma_{j(s)}\}. \quad (4.35)$$

Commutating the above formula with respect to the indices j and s and taking care of the fact that $(\sigma_{j(s)} = \sigma_{s(j)})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\psi_s + \lambda_s)H_{jh}v^h - \sigma H_{js} - (n-1)(\sigma_s\psi_j + \sigma\psi_{j(s)}) = \\ & = -(\psi_j + \lambda_j)H_{sh}v^h - \sigma H_{sj} - (n-1)(\sigma_j\psi_s + \sigma\psi_{s(j)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Now, transvecting the above formula by v^j and remembering the relations (2.8) and (4.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\psi_s + \lambda_s)H_{jh}v^jv^h - \sigma H_{js}v^j - (n-1)\sigma\psi_{j(s)}v^j = \\ & = -\lambda_jv^jH_{sh}v^h - \sigma H_{sj}v^j - (n-1)\sigma\psi_{s(j)}v^j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

By virtue of (4.33) and the hypothesis (4.12), the above equation reduces to

$$-(\Psi_s + \lambda_s) H_{jh} v^j v^h - \sigma H_{js} v^j = \sigma H_{sj} v^j. \quad (4.38)$$

In view of the equations (1.2), (2.1), (2.3), (2.8) and (4.3), we can deduce :

$$\begin{aligned} -H_{jh} v^j v^h &= -H^s_{jhs} v^j v^h = -(v^s_{(h)(s)} - v^s_{(s)(h)}) v^h = \\ &= -[(\sigma \delta_h^s + \Psi_h v^s)_{(s)} - (n\sigma + \Psi_s v^s)_{(h)}] v^h = \\ &= -[\sigma_h + \Psi_{h(s)} v^s + \Psi_h (n\sigma + \Psi_s v^s) - n\sigma_h] v^h = \\ &= -\Psi_{h(s)} v^h v^s = (\Psi_h \Psi_s v^s + \Psi_h) v^h = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Thus, introducing the last result into the left-hand side of the formula (4.38) and neglecting the non-zero $\sigma(x)$, we have

$$(H_{js} + H_{sj}) v^j = 0 \quad (\sigma \neq 0). \quad (4.40)$$

Differentiating the above formula covariantly with respect to x^m and taking notice of the equations (1.10), (1.12) and (4.40) itself, we get

$$H_{ms} + H_{sm}. \quad (4.41)$$

On the other hand contracting the Bianchi's identity (1.5) for the Berwald's curvature tensor $H^i_{hik}(x, \dot{x})$ with respect to the indices i and k , we obtain

$$H^i_{hji} + H^i_{jih} + H^i_{ijh}. \quad (4.42)$$

By virtue of the above identity and (1.6), we find

$$2H^i_{jih} + H^i_{ijh} = 0. \quad (4.43)$$

From the last formula, we can also have

$$(2H^i_{jih} + H^i_{ijh}) v^j = 0. \quad (4.44)$$

In view of the equations (2.1 b) and (2.3), we can construct

$$H^i_{jih} v^j = -v^j H^i_{jhi} = v^j_{(h)(i)} - v^j_{(i)(h)} = (n-1)(\sigma \Psi_h - \sigma_h). \quad (4.45)$$

Next, introducing the above result into (4.44), we get

$$2(n-1)(\sigma \Psi_h - \sigma_h) + v^j_{(i)(h)} = 0 \quad (4.46)$$

or

$$2(n-1)(\sigma \Psi_h - \sigma_h) + (n\varepsilon + \phi_i v^i)_{(h)} = 0. \quad (4.47)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\Psi_h = \frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \frac{\sigma_h}{\sigma} = \frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)} (\partial_h \log \sigma), \quad (4.48)$$

namely, we have found at last that Ψ_h denotes a gradient vector. In this way, in the present case, we could find that the motion (1.12) under consideration

be a concircular projective affine motion. Such a motion has been investigated in detail by the author in [6].

5. THE CASE OF $\lambda_s v^s + 2\psi_s v^s + 2\sigma = 0$

In this case the equation (2.13) can be written as

$$\sigma_j (\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

So, we have two cases :

$$\sigma_j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(x) = \text{non-zero const.}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\psi_s v^s + \lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

But in view of the case (2.15 c), the condition (5.3) reduces to

$$\psi_s v^s = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

and such a case has been discussed in § 4. So, we except this case.

Thus in what follows, we shall study the possibility of projective affine motion under (5.2). By virtue of this case the formula (2.12) becomes

$$(n-1) \psi_j (\psi_s + \lambda_s) + H^i_{jls} = (n-1) \psi_{j(s)}, \quad (5.5)$$

where we have neglected the non-zero $\sigma(x)$.

With the help of the last equation we get the following commutation equality:

$$(n-1) \psi_j (\psi_s + \lambda_s) + H^i_{jls} - (n-1) \psi_s (\psi_j + \lambda_j) - H^i_{slj} = (n-1) (\psi_{j(s)} - \psi_{s(j)}). \quad (5.6)$$

From the identity (1.5) we can deduce

$$H^i_{jls} - H^i_{slj} - H^s_{isl} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, by virtue of the above identity the formula (5.6) takes the form

$$H^i_{ljs} = (n-1) (\psi_{j(s)} - \psi_{s(j)} - \psi_j \lambda_s + \psi_s \lambda_j). \quad (5.8)$$

Introducing the latter part of (1.12) into the equation (2.2), we have

$$(v^s \lambda_s + 2\sigma) H^i_{hik} - \psi_s v^i H^s_{hjk} + \psi_h v^s H^i_{sjk} + \psi_j v^s H^i_{hsk} + \psi_k v^s H^i_{hjs} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Now, contracting the above formula with respect to the indices i and h we get

$$(v^s \lambda_s + 2\sigma) H^i_{ijk} + \psi_j v^s H^i_{isk} + \psi_k v^s H^i_{ijs} = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

On the other hand, introducing (5.8) into the left-hand side of the above equality and neglecting $(n-1) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$(v^s \lambda_s + 2\sigma) (\Psi_{j(k)} - \Psi_{k(j)} - \Psi_j \lambda_k + \Psi_k \lambda_j) + \Psi_j v^s (\Psi_{s(k)} - \Psi_{k(s)} - \Psi_s \lambda_k + \Psi_k \lambda_s) + \Psi_k v^s (\Psi_{j(s)} - \Psi_{s(j)} - \Psi_j \lambda_s + \Psi_s \lambda_j) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Now, introducing the hypothesis (2.15 c) into the above formula, we find

$$- 2\Psi_s v^s (\Psi_{j(k)} - \Psi_{k(j)} - \Psi_j \lambda_k + \Psi_k \lambda_j) + \Psi_j v^s (\Psi_{s(k)} - \Psi_{k(s)} - \Psi_s \lambda_k + \Psi_k \lambda_s) + \Psi_k v^s (\Psi_{j(s)} - \Psi_{s(j)} - \Psi_j \lambda_s + \Psi_s \lambda_j) = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

After little simplification, the above relation can also be re-written as

$$\Psi_s v^s [- 2 (\Psi_{j(k)} - \Psi_{k(j)}) + \Psi_j \lambda_k - \Psi_k \lambda_j] + \Psi_j v^s (\Psi_{s(k)} - \Psi_{k(s)}) + \Psi_k v^s (\Psi_{j(s)} - \Psi_{s(j)}) = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

In view of the equation (2.7) the last formula yields

$$\Psi_s v^s [- 2 (\Psi_{j(k)} - \Psi_{k(j)}) + \Psi_j \lambda_k - \Psi_k \lambda_j] + \Psi_j v^s \Psi_{s(k)} - \Psi_k v^s \Psi_{s(j)} - \Psi_j (- \Psi_s \Psi_k v^s - \sigma \Psi_k) + \Psi_k (- \Psi_j \Psi_s v^s - \sigma \Psi_j) = 0 \quad (5.14)$$

or

$$\Psi_s v^s [- 2 (\Psi_{j(k)} - \Psi_{k(j)}) + \Psi_j \lambda_k - \Psi_k \lambda_j] + v^s (\Psi_j \Psi_{s(k)} - \Psi_k \Psi_{s(j)}) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Transvecting the above formula by v^k and taking care of (2.7), we have

$$\Psi_s v^s (\Psi_{k(j)} v^k - \Psi_k v^k \lambda_j) - \Psi_j \Psi_k \Psi_s v^s v^k - \sigma \Psi_j \Psi_s v^s = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

From the above result, neglecting the non-vanishing term $\Psi_s v^s$, we get

$$\Psi_{k(j)} v^k - \Psi_k v^k (\lambda_j + \Psi_j) + \sigma \Psi_j = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

Now, subtracting the formula (2.7) with the last equation, we obtain

$$\Psi_{k(j)} v^k - \Psi_{j(k)} v^k - \lambda_j \Psi_k v^k + \Psi_j \lambda_k v^k = 0, \quad (5.18)$$

where we have used the hypothesis (2.15 c).

The above formula can also be re-written as

$$(\Psi_{k(j)} - \Psi_{j(k)} - \lambda_j \Psi_k + \lambda_k \Psi_j) v^k = 0. \quad (5.19)$$

Comparing the last relation with (5.8), we find

$$H^i{}_{ij} v^j = 0 \quad (5.20)$$

or

$$v^i{}_{(i)} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad n\sigma_s + \Psi_{i(s)} v^i + \sigma \Psi_s + \Psi_i \Psi_s v^i = 0 \quad (5.21)$$

or

$$n\sigma_s + \Psi_{i(s)} v^i + \Psi_i (\sigma \delta_s^i + \Psi_s v^i) = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Since $\sigma = \text{const.}$ therefore the above formula reduces to

$$(\Psi_i v^i)_{(i)} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Psi_i v^i = \text{const.}, \quad (5.23)$$

where we have used the latter part of (1.12). The last result can also be re-written as

$$\Psi_{k(i)} v^k + \Psi_k (\sigma \delta_j^k + \Psi_j v^k) = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

Comparing the above equation with (2.7), we find

$$\Psi_{j(i)} v^k = \Psi_{k(i)} v^k. \quad (5.25)$$

Introducing the above relation in (5.18), we have

$$\lambda_j \Psi_k v^k = \lambda_k \lambda_j v^k. \quad (5.26)$$

Since σ and $\Psi_s v^s$ denotes a non-zero constant respectively, so from the hypothesis (2.15 c), we obtain

$$\lambda_s v^s = \text{const.} \quad (5.27)$$

From (5.26) we can put

$$\beta \lambda_j = \alpha \Psi_j, \quad (5.28)$$

where

$$\text{a) } \beta \equiv \Psi_k v^k = \text{non-zero const. and b) } \alpha \equiv \lambda_k v^k = \text{const.} \quad (5.29)$$

Differentiating covariantly (5.20) by x^m and taking care of the equations (1.10), (1.12) and (5.20) itself, we get

$$\sigma H^i_{ims} = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

For the non-vanishing property of the function $\sigma(x)$ the last formula yields

$$H^i_{ims} = 0. \quad (5.31)$$

By virtue of the last formula and the fact that $(n-1) \neq 0$, the relation (5.8) takes the form

$$\Psi_{j(s)} - \Psi_{s(j)} - \Psi_j \lambda_s + \Psi_s \lambda_j = 0. \quad (5.32)$$

From (5.28), we can deduce

$$\beta (\lambda_{j(m)} - \lambda_{m(j)}) = \alpha (\Psi_{j(m)} - \Psi_{m(j)}). \quad (5.33)$$

In view of the equation (5.32), the above result reduces to

$$\beta (\lambda_{j(m)} - \lambda_{m(j)}) = \beta (\Psi_j \lambda_m - \Psi_m \lambda_j). \quad (5.34)$$

With the help of (5.28) the above formula becomes

$$\beta (\lambda_{j(m)} - \lambda_{m(j)}) = \beta \lambda_j \lambda_m - \beta \lambda_m \lambda_j = 0 \quad (5.35)$$

or

$$\lambda_{j(m)} - \lambda_{m(j)} = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

Thus, we can say that λ_j is a gradient vector.

By virtue of (5.36) the formula (5.33) takes the form

$$\alpha (\Psi_{j(m)} - \Psi_{m(j)}) = 0. \quad (5.37)$$

Thus, from (5.28) and (5.37), we can consider the two cases :

i) **The case of $\alpha = 0$.** In this case we have $\lambda_j = 0$. Therefore from the fundamental definition (1.10), we can say that the space under consideration becomes symmetric (i.e. $H^i{}_{j,k} = 0$).

ii) **The case of $\alpha \neq 0$.** In this case from (5.37), we can find

$$\Psi_{j(m)} = \Psi_{m(j)}. \quad (5.38)$$

Hence the transformation (1.7) characterized by (1.12) becomes one of the concircular form.

From all the discussions above we can state :

Theorem 5.1. If a general PRFn-space admits a projective affine motion of torse-forming form, the following three cases occur :

1. **The case of $\sigma = 0$.** In this case, the motion is degenerated into a recurrent motion ($n \geq 2$).

2. **The case of $\psi_s v^s = 0$ and $\lambda_s v^s + 2\sigma = 0$.** In this case only one projective affine motion of concircular form may be considered ($n \geq 3$).

3. **The case of $\lambda_s v^s + 2\psi_s v^s + 2\sigma = 0$.** In this case the motion must be a special one satisfying $\sigma = \text{const.}$ and furthermore we can regard the space reduces to a symmetric space or the motion can be degenerated into concircular motion ($n \geq 2$).

REFERENCES

- [1] RUND, H. : The differential geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer Verlag (1959).
- [2] YANO, K. : The Theory of Lie-derivatives and its application, Amsterdam (1957).
- [3] TAKANO, K. : *Affine motion in non-Riemannian K* - space*, V; Tensor, **11** (3), (1961), 270-278.
- [4] KUMAR, A. : *Projective affine motion in a PRF_n-space* (Communicated).
- [5] KUMAR, A. : *Projective affine motion in a PRF_n-space II* (Comm.).
- [6] KUMAR, A. : *Projective affine motion in a PRF_n-space III* (Comm.).
- [7] KUMAR, A. : *Projective affine motion in a PRF_n-space IV* (Comm.).

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCES
MADAN MOHAN MALAVIYA ENGINEERING GORAKHPUR
GORAKHPUR (273010) U.P.
INDIA

Ö Z E T

Bu çalışmada, bir PRF_n uzayında

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt$$

ve $\mathcal{L}_u G^i_{jk} = 0$ ile karakterize edilen çeşitli tiplerdeki projektif afin hareketin varlığı incelenmektedir.