

ON P-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

M. TARAFDAR - A. MAYRA

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge
Circular Road, Calcutta-700019, INDIA

Summary : A type of P-Sasakian manifold in which $R(\xi, X) \cdot C = 0$ has been considered, where R is the curvature transformation and C is the conformal curvature tensor of the manifold. It has been shown that such a manifold is conformally flat and hence is an SP-Sasakian manifold.

P-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD HAKKINDA

Özet : Bu çalışmada, $R(\xi, x) \cdot C=0$ koşuluna uyan bir tür P-Sasakian manifold incelenmekte (burada R eğrilik transformasyonunu ve C , manifoldun konform eğrilik tensörünü göstermektedir) ve böyle bir manifoldun konform olarak düz ve dolayısıyla bir SP-Sasakian manifold olduğu gösterilmektedir.

1. Introduction. In a recent paper [3] U.C. De and N. Guha proved that if in a P-Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) ($n > 3$) the relation $R(x, y) \cdot C = 0$ holds, where $R(x, y)$ is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vectors, X, Y and C is the conformal curvature tensor, then the manifold is conformally flat and hence is an SP-Sasakian manifold. We have generalized this result by taking the weaker hypothesis $R(\xi, x) \cdot C = 0$ instead of $R(x, y) \cdot C = 0$ in a P-Sasakian manifold.

2. Preliminaries. Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a 1-form η which satisfies the conditions

$$(\nabla_x \eta) y - (\nabla_y \eta) x = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\nabla_x \nabla_y \eta) z = -g(x, z) \eta(y) - g(x, y) \eta(z) + 2\eta(x) \eta(y) \eta(z) \quad (2.2)$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g . If moreover (M^n, g) admits a vector field ξ and a $(1-1)$ tensor field ϕ such that

$$g(x, \xi) = \eta(x) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1 \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_x \xi = 1 \quad (2.5)$$

then such a manifold is called para Sasakian manifold or briefly a P-Sasakian manifold by I. Sato and K. Matsumoto [4], [5]. This paper deals with a type of P-Sasakian manifold in which

$$R(\xi, x) \cdot C = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

where C is the conformal curvature tensor, R is the Riemannian curvature and $R(x, y)$ is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vectors x, y .

Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a 1-form η which satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_x \eta) y = -g(x, y) + \eta(x) \eta(y), \quad (2.7)$$

If moreover (M^n, g) admits a vector field ξ and a (1,1) tensor field ϕ such that conditions (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) are satisfied, then it can be verified that a (M^n, g) becomes a P-Sasakian manifold. Such a P-Sasakian manifold has been called a special P-Sasakian manifold or briefly a SP-Sasakian manifold by Sato and Matsumoto [4], [5].

It is known [4], [5] that in a P-Sasakian manifold the following relations hold:

$$\phi \xi = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$\phi^2 x = x - \eta(x) \xi \quad (2.9)$$

$$g(\phi x, \phi y) = g(x, y) - \eta(x) \eta(y) \quad (2.10)$$

$$S(x, \xi) = -(n-1) \eta(x) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\eta(R(x, y) z) = g(x, z) \eta(y) - g(y, z) \eta(x) \quad (2.12)$$

$$R(\xi, x) y = \eta(y) x - g(x, y) \xi \quad (2.13)$$

$$R(\xi, x) \xi = x - \eta(x) \xi \quad (2.14)$$

$$R(x, y) \xi = \eta(x) y - \eta(y) x. \quad (2.15)$$

We shall use these formulas later on.

3. P-Sasakian manifold satisfying $R(\xi, x) \cdot C = 0$. The conformal curvature tensor C is given by

$$C(x, y) z = R(x, y) z -$$

$$-\frac{1}{n-2} \left[g(y, z) Q x - g(x, z) Q y + S(y, z) x - S(x, z) y \right] + \quad (3.1)$$

$$+\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left[g(y, z) x - g(x, z) y \right]$$

where S is the Ricci tensor, r is the scalar curvature and Q is the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point corresponding to the Ricci tensor S [3], i.e.

$$g(Qx, y) = S(x, y).$$

Therefore, $\eta(C(x, y)z) = g(C(x, y)z, \xi)$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(C(x, y)z) &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left[\left(\frac{r}{n-1} + 1 \right) \{g(y, z)\eta(x) - g(x, z)\eta(y)\} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \{S(y, z)\eta(x) - S(x, z)\eta(y)\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Taking $z = \xi$ in (3.2) we get

$$\eta(C(x, y)\xi) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Again, taking $x = \xi$ in (3.2) we find

$$\eta(C(\xi, y)z) = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{r}{n-1} \right) g(y, z) - S(y, z) - \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n \right) \eta(y)\eta(z) \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\xi, x)C)(u, v)w &= R(\xi, x)C(u, v)w - C(R(\xi, x)u, v)w - \\ &\quad - C(u, R(\xi, x)v)w - C(u, v)R(\xi, x)w. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, x)C(u, v)w - C(R(\xi, x)u, v)w - C(u, R(\xi, x)v)w - \\ - C(u, v)R(\xi, x)w = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(\xi, x)C(u, v)w, \xi) - g(C(R(\xi, x)u, v)w, \xi) - \\ - g(C(u, R(\xi, x)v)w, \xi) - g(C(u, v)R(\xi, x)w, \xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From this, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C(u, v, w, x) - \eta(x)\eta(C(u, v)w) + \eta(u)\eta(C(x, v)w) + \\ + \eta(v)\eta(C(u, x)w) + \eta(w)\eta(C(u, v)x) - g(x, u)\eta(C(\xi, v)w) - \\ - g(x, v)\eta(C(u, \xi)w) - g(x, w)\eta(C(u, v)\xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $C(u, v, w, x) = g(C(u, v)w, x)$. Taking $x = u$ in (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(u, v, w, x) + \eta(v)\eta(C(u, x)w) + \eta(w)\eta(C(u, v)x) - \\ - g(u, u)\eta(C(\xi, v)w) - g(u, v)\eta(C(u, \xi)w) - g(u, w)\eta(C(u, v)\xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let $e_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold. Then the sum for $u = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ gives

$$\eta(C(\xi, v) w) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Using (3.3) we find from (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} C(u, v, w, x) - \eta(x) \eta(C(u, v) w) + \eta(u) \eta(C(x, v) w) + \\ + \eta(v) \eta(C(u, x) w) + \eta(w) \eta(C(u, v) x) - g(x, u) \eta(C(\xi, v) w) - \\ - g(x, v) \eta(C(u, \xi) w) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In virtue of (3.4) and (3.9) we find

$$S(y, z) = \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + 1 \right) g(y, z) - \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n \right) \eta(y) \eta(z). \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.2), (3.9) and (3.11) the relation (3.10) reduces to

$$C(u, v, w, x) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

i.e.

$$C(u, v) w = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus we can state

Theorem. If a P-Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) ($n > 3$) satisfies the relation $R(\xi, x) \cdot C = 0$, then the manifold is conformally flat and hence is an SP-Sasakian manifold [1].

The above theorem has been proved by U.C. De and N. Guha [3].

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] ADATI, T. and MIYAZAWA, T. : *Some properties of P-Sasakian manifolds*, TRU Math., 13 (1977), 33-42.
- [2] BISHOP, R.L. and GOLDBERG, S.I. : *On conformally flat spaces with commuting curvature and Ricci transformations*, Canad. J. Math., XXIV (1972), No. 5, 799-804.
- [3] DE, U. C. and GUHA, N. : *On a type of P-Sasakian manifold*, İstanbul Üniv. Fen Fak. Mat. Der., 51 (1992), 35-39.
- [4] SATO, I. : *On a structure similar to the almost contact structure*, Tensor, N.S., 30 (1976), 219-224.
- [5] SATO, I. and MATSUMOTO, K. : *On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions*, Tensor, N.S., 33 (1979), 173-178.