AKÜ FEMÜBİD 20 (2020) 011302 (41-46) DOI: 10.35414/akufemubid.638580

AKU J. Sci. Eng. 20 (2020) 011302 (41-46)

Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article $\alpha\beta$ -Statistical *e*-Convergence for Double Sequences

Yurdal SEVER

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200 Afyonkarahisar.

e-posta: ysever@aku.edu.tr ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5102-1384

Geliş Tarihi: 26.10.2019 Kabul Tarihi: 27.02.2020

Keywords

Double sequence; e-convergence; $\alpha\beta$ -statistical e-convergence; $\alpha\beta$ -statistical e-limit

inferior and superior.

Abstract

In this article, we define the concept of $\alpha\beta$ natural density which is a generalization of the natural density concept given for pairs of integer. The concept of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical *e*-convergence is introduced with the help of this density. After that some elementary properties of this type of convergence are examined. Also, we define the notions of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical limit inferior and superior in *e*-sense. Finally we give some theorems related to them.

Çift Diziler için $\alpha\beta$ -İstatistiksel *e*-Yakınsaklık

Anahtar kelimeler

Çift dizi; e-yakınsaklık; $\alpha\beta$ -istatistiksel e-yakınsaklık; $\alpha\beta$ -istatistiksel e-alt limit ve üst limit.

Öz

Bu makalede, tam sayı ikilileri için verilen yoğunluk kavramının bir genelleştirilmesi olan $\alpha\beta$ doğal yoğunluk kavramını tanımladık. Bu yoğunluk kavramı yardımıyla çift diziler için $\alpha\beta$ -istatistiksel e-yakınsaklık kavramı tanıtıldı. Daha sonra bu tip yakınsaklığın temel özellikleri incelendi. Ayrıca, e-anlamında $\alpha\beta$ -istatistiksel alt limit ve üst limit kavramlarını tanımladık. Son olarak bu kavramlarla ilgili teoremler verdik.

© Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper the symbols \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N}^2 are used for the positive integers, the real numbers, and the pairs of positive integers respectively. We will use the symbol Ω for the vector space, coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication, of all real or complex double sequences. In double sequences, there exist more than one types of convergence due to order of elements of \mathbb{N}^2 . One of them is Pringsheim (1898) convergence which is the best known and well-studied. In this type convergence, а double sequence $y = (y_{ii})$ converges to the number p, written P - p $\lim_{ij} y_{ij} = p$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|y_{ij} - p| < \varepsilon$ for all $i, j > n_0$. In Pringsheim convergence the row-index i and the column-index *j* tend to infinity independently from each other.

The essential deficiency of this type of convergence

is that a convergent sequence does not require to be bounded. Hardy (1917) defined the concept of regular sense, does not have this shortcoming, for double sequence. In regular convergence, both the row-index and the column-index of the double sequence need to be convergent besides the convergent in Pringsheim's sense.

The notion of *e*-convergence of double sequences, which is substantially weaker than the Pringsheim convergence, was defined by Boos et al. in (1997).

A double sequence $y = (y_{ij})$ is called *e*-convergent to ρ , written $e - \lim_{ij} y_{ij} = \rho$, if

 $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \ j \geq j_0 \ \exists \ i_j \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i \geq i_j \colon |y_{ij} - \rho| < \varepsilon.$

In contrast to Pringsheim convergence, econvergence declares that the row-index i linked to the column-index j whenever it goes to infinity. A real double sequence $y = (y_{ij})$ is called ebounded if there exists positive real number M such that (Zeltser 2001)

$$\exists j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall j \ge j_0 \; \exists i_j \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall i \ge i_j : |y_{ij}| < M.$$

Moreover *e*-convergence of double sequences has been studied by Zeltser (2001, 2002) and Sever and Talo (2014, 2018).

Fast (1951) presented the concept of statistical convergence. Let H be a subset of natural numbers. The natural density of the set H is defined by

$$\delta(H) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \le n : k \in H\}|$$

when the limit exists, where the symbol |S| is used for the number of elements in S. if we consider the definition of natural density, $\delta(H) \neq 0$ means that either $\delta(H)$ is greater than 0 or the set H does not have natural density.

A sequence (y_n) of numbers is called statistically convergent to s, written $st - \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = s$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} |\{n \le k : |y_n - s| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

The concepts of statistical limit inferior and superior were introduced by Fridy and Orhan (1997). Many researchers contribute the statistical analogues of different types of convergence for double sequences (see; Mursaleen and Edely 2003, Móricz 2003, Çakan and Altay 2006, Edely and Mursaleen 2006). Recently statistical еconvergence for double sequence was introduced by Sever and Talo (2017). A double sequence y = (y_{ii}) is called statistically *e*-convergent $st_e - \lim_{ij} y_{ij} =$ to the number ρ , written ρ , if for all positive number ε the natural density of the set

$$\{j: \delta(\{i: |y_{ij} - \rho| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0\}$$

is equal to 1. In other words

$$\delta\bigl(\{j:\delta(\{i:|y_{ij}-\rho|\geq\varepsilon\})=0\}\bigr)=1.$$

Aktuğlu (2014) introduced $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of ordinary sequences as follows:

Let us take $\alpha(k)$ and $\beta(k)$ which are non-decreasing sequences of natural numbers such that $\alpha(k) \leq \beta(k)$ and $\beta(k) - \alpha(k) \to \infty$ when $k \to \infty$. The set of pairs (β, α) are symbolized by Γ . For each pair $(\beta, \alpha) \in \Gamma, 0 < \eta \leq 1$ and $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\delta^{\alpha\beta}(H,\eta) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|H \cap I_k^{\alpha\beta}|}{(\beta(k) - \alpha(k) + 1)^{\eta}}$$
(1.1)

where $I_k^{\alpha\beta}$ is used for the closed interval $[\alpha(k), \beta(k)]$. It is called $\alpha\beta$ natural density order η . A sequence y is said to be $\alpha\beta$ -statistically convergent of order η to s, written $st^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{k \to \infty} y = s$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \delta^{\alpha\beta}(\{n:|y_n-s|\geq\varepsilon\},\eta) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|\{n\in I_k^{\alpha\beta}:|y_n-s|\geq\varepsilon\}|}{(\beta(k)-\alpha(k)+1)^{\eta}} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

For $\eta = 1$, we get that y is $\alpha\beta$ -statistically convergent to s, and written $st^{\alpha\beta} - \lim_{k \to \infty} y = s$. Also, Karaisa (2016) studied statistical $\alpha\beta$ -summability.

We can easily derive the following lemma from (1.1), similarly to Lemma 1 given by Aktuğlu (2014).

Lemma 1.1 Let H_1 and H_2 be two subsets of \mathbb{N} and $0 < \eta \le 1$; then for each pair $(\beta, \alpha) \in \Gamma$,

a) if
$$\delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_1,\eta) = 1$$
 and $\delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_2,\eta) = 1$ then
 $\delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_1 \cap H_2,\eta) = 1$,

b)
$$\delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_1 \cup H_2, \eta) \leq \delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_1, \eta) + \delta^{\alpha\beta}(H_2, \eta).$$

We only interested in real double sequences in the present study.

2. Main Result

In this section we extend the notion of statistical e-convergence of double sequences to the notion of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical e-convergence of order η of double sequences as follow:

In contrast to ordinary sequence, double sequence has two indices. So, we need four non-decreasing sequences of positive integer. For this reason we take $\alpha_t(k)$ and $\beta_t(k)$ for t = 1, 2. By choosing $\alpha_t(k)$ and $\beta_t(k)$, we get new kind of convergence of double sequence, defined before or not, on *e*sense. **Definition 2.1** Let $(\beta_t, \alpha_t) \in \Gamma$, $0 < \eta_t \le 1$ and $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. For t = 1, 2;

$$\delta^{\alpha_t \beta_t}(H, \eta_t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|H \cap I_n^{\alpha_t \beta_t}|}{(\beta_t(k) - \alpha_t(k) + 1)^{\eta_t}}$$

where the closed interval $[\alpha_t(k), \beta_t(k)]$ is represented by $I_k^{\alpha_t \beta_t}$. It is called $\alpha_t \beta_t$ natural density order η_t .

A double sequence $x = (x_{ij})$ is called $\alpha\beta$ statistically *e*-convergent of order η to ξ if for all positive number ε the set

$$\{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: |x_{ij} - \xi| \ge \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 0\}$$

has $\alpha_1\beta_1$ natural density 1 order η_1 . In this case, we denote this as $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = \xi$. If we take $\eta_{1,2} = 1$, then we have $\alpha\beta$ -statistical *e*convergence of the double sequence *x* to ξ and it is abbreviated as $st_e^{\alpha\beta} - \lim_{ij} x = \xi$.

We will see at the Example 2.4 that this definition is significant generalization of both *e*-convergence and statistical *e*-convergence of double sequences.

Remark 2.2 It is obvious that if $0 < \eta_t \le \gamma_t \le 1$, for t = 1, 2 and $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = \xi$ then $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\gamma} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = \xi$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $(\beta_t, \alpha_t) \in \Gamma$ for t = 1, 2 and let x be a double sequence. If $e - \lim_{i \neq j} x_{ij} = \xi$ then

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = \xi.$$

Proof: The proof of the lemma is easily obtained from the fact that $\alpha\beta$ -natural density order η of finite set is zero.

Example 2.4 Let $x = (x_{ij})$ be defined as

$$x_{ij} := \begin{cases} i+j, & j \ge i, \\ i \cdot j, & j < i \text{ and } j \text{ or } i \text{ are square,} \\ 0, & j < i \text{ and } j \text{ and } i \text{ are not square.} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Then, it is easy to see that $st_e - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = 0$. But, take $\alpha_t(k) = 1$, $\beta_t(k) = k^{\frac{1}{\eta_t}}$, and $\eta_t = \frac{1}{2}$ for t = 1, 2 then $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\frac{1}{2}} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = 0$ does not hold. The rest of the paper $(\beta_t, \alpha_t) \in \Gamma$ and $0 < \eta_t \le 1$ for t = 1, 2. **Theorem 2.5** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let (x_{ij}) and (y_{ij}) be two double sequences. If $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} x_{ij} = \xi_1$ and $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} y_{ij} = \xi_2$. Then, the followings hold

a)
$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} \lambda \cdot x_{ij} = \lambda \cdot \xi_1$$
,
b) $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} (x_{ij} + y_{ij}) = \xi_1 + \xi_2$.

Proof:

a) The equality is trivially true if $\lambda = 0$. Let $\lambda \neq 0$. Then we have

$$|\lambda \cdot x_{ij} - \lambda \cdot \xi_1| \ge \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow |x_{ij} - \xi_1| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda|}$$

and for $(\beta_2, \alpha_2) \in \Gamma$, $0 < \eta_2 \le 1$,

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i:|x_{ij}-\xi_1|\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda|}\},\eta_2)=0,$$

and for $(\beta_1, \alpha_1) \in \Gamma$, $0 < \eta_1 \le 1$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\delta^{\alpha_1 \beta_1}(\{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: |x_{ij} - \xi_1| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda|}\}, \eta_2) = 0\}, \eta_1) = 1.$$

This implies $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim_{ij} \lambda \cdot x_{ij} = \lambda \cdot \xi_1$.

b) Take positive number ε . Since (x_{ij}) and (y_{ij}) are $\alpha\beta$ -statistically *e*-convergent of order η to the numbers ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, then for given positive number ε

$$H_1 = \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: |x_{ij} - \xi_1| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}, \eta_2) = 0\}$$

and

$$H_2 = \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: |y_{ij} - \xi_2| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}, \eta_2) = 0\}$$

with $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H_1,\eta_1) = 1$ and $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H_2,\eta_1) = 1$. If we take $H = H_1 \cap H_2$, then we have $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H,\eta_1) = 1$. Since

$$|x_{ij} + y_{ij} - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)| \le |x_{ij} - \xi_1| + |y_{ij} - \xi_2|.$$

For each $j \in H$ we have

$$\{i: |x_{ij} + y_{ij} - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

$$\subseteq \{i: |x_{ij} - \xi_1| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \cup \{i: |y_{ij} - \xi_2| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\bigl(\bigl\{i: \left|x_{ij} + y_{ij} - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)\right| \ge \varepsilon\bigr\}, \eta_2\bigr) \\ &\leq \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\left(\bigl\{i: \left|x_{ij} - \xi_1\right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\bigr\}, \eta_2\bigr) \\ &+ \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\bigl\{i: \left|y_{ij} - \xi_2\right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\bigr\}, \eta_2). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\big(\{i:|x_{ij}+y_{ij}-(\xi_1+\xi_2)|\geq\varepsilon\},\eta_2\big)=0$$

holds and we have

$$H \subseteq \{j: (\{i: |x_{ij} + y_{ij} - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0\}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \big(\{ j: \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2} \big(\{ i: |x_{ij} + y_{ij} - (\xi_1 + \xi_2) | \\ \ge \varepsilon \}, \eta_2 \big) = 0 \}, \eta_1 \big) = 1.$$

In the sequel we can give the definition of $\alpha\beta$ statistical *e*-bounded for double sequences order η . After that we introduce the notions of $\alpha\beta$ statistical *e*-limit superior and inferior order η for double ones and show some theorems which characterize new concepts.

Definition 2.6 A double sequences $x = (x_{ij})$ is called $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded below if there exists a real number M_1 such that

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\big(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i:x_{ij} < M_1\},\eta_2) = 0\},\eta_1\big) = 1.$$

Also, $x = (x_{ij})$ is called $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded above if there exists a real number M_2 such that

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i:x_{ij}>M_2\},\eta_2)=0\},\eta_1)=1.$$

If the sequence $x = (x_{ij})$ is both $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded below and $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded above then it is called $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded.

Definition 2.7 Let $x = (x_{ij})$ be a double sequences. Let us define

$$K_{x} := \{k \in \mathbb{R} : \delta^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}(\{j : \delta^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}(\{i : x_{ij} > k\}, \eta_{2}) = 1\}, \eta_{1}) = 1\},\$$

and

$$L_{x} := \{l \in \mathbb{R} : \delta^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}(\{j : \delta^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}(\{i : x_{ij} < l, \}, \eta_{2}) \\ = 1\}, \eta_{1}) = 1\}.$$

Then

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup x := \begin{cases} \inf L_x, & L_x \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is called $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit superior of x and

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf x := \begin{cases} \sup K_x, & K_x \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is called $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit inferior of x.

Obviously, if $x = (x_{ij})$ is $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ -bounded, then the sets K_x and L_x are not empty set. Hence, both of $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit inferior and $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit superior of x are finite numbers.

Theorem 2.8 If $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit superior of x is finite number ψ , then for all positive number ε

$$\begin{split} \delta^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}\big(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}(\{i:x_{ij} < \psi + \varepsilon\},\eta_{2}) = 1\},\eta_{1}\big) &= 1,\\ \delta^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}\big(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}(\{i:x_{ij} > \psi - \varepsilon\},\eta_{2}) \neq 0\},\eta_{1}\big) \neq 0. \end{split}$$

$$(2.1)$$

On the contrary, if for all positive number ε the condition (2.1) holds then $\psi = st_e^{\alpha\beta - \eta} - \limsup x$.

Proof:

Assume that $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ – limsup $x = \psi$. In this case $\psi = \inf L_x$. According to the definition of infimum of a set, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in L_x$ such that $\psi_{\varepsilon} \le \psi + \varepsilon$. Since $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in L_x$ and considering the definition of the set L_x , we have $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(\{j: \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} < \psi_{\varepsilon}\}, \eta_2) = 1\}, \eta_1) = 1$.

Since

$$\begin{split} \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \big(\{i: x_{ij} < \psi_{\varepsilon}\}, \eta_2 \big) = 1 \} \\ & \subseteq \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} (\{i: x_{ij} < \psi + \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1 \}, \end{split}$$

we get that

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\bigl(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i:x_{ij}<\psi+\varepsilon\},\eta_2)=1\},\eta_1\bigr)=1.$$

We now illustrate the second formula of (2.1). Define $H_1 = \{l: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} > \psi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) \neq 0\}$ and assume that $\delta^{\alpha_1 \beta_1}(H_1, \eta_1) = 0$. In this case for each $l \in H_1^c$, we get $\delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} > \psi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 0$. In other words, $\delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} \leq \psi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1$. Thus,

$$H_1^c \subseteq \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} \le \psi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1\}.$$

So that $\psi - \varepsilon \in L_x$. Hence, $\psi - \varepsilon \ge \inf L_x = \psi$ which is a contradiction. Then, $\delta^{\alpha_1 \beta_1}(H_1, \eta_1) \neq 0$.

On the contrary, assume that the condition (2.1) holds for a real number ψ . This implies that for given positive number ε we get $\psi + \varepsilon \in L_x$.

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \text{limsup } x = \inf B_x \le \psi + \varepsilon.$$
 (2.2)

On the other hand for each $l \in L_{\chi}$ we have $H_2 = \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} (\{i: x_{ij} < l\}, \eta_2) = 1\}$ with $\delta^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} (H_2, \eta_1) = 1$. So $\delta^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} (H_1, \eta_1) \neq 0$, there exists $j_1 \in H_1 \cap H_2$ such that

 $\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\bigl(\bigl\{i:x_{ij_1} < l\bigr\},\eta_2\bigr) = 1$

and

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij_1} > \psi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) \neq 0$$

Thus there exists i_1 such that $\psi - \varepsilon < x_{i_1j_1} < l$. Since this holds for each $l \in L_x$ we get

$$\psi - \varepsilon \le \inf L_x = st_e^{\alpha\beta - \eta} - \limsup x.$$
 (2.3)

Considering the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), since ε is arbitrary we obtain $\psi = st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup x$ which is desired.

By duality we easily obtain the following theorem for $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit infimum of x without proof.

Theorem 2.9 If $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta}$ limit inferior of x is finite real number φ , then for all positive number ε

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \big\{ \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} < \varphi + \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) \neq 0\}, \eta_1 \big\} \neq 0, \\ \delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \big\{ \{j: \delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} > \varphi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1\}, \eta_1 \big\} = 1.$$

$$(2.4)$$

On the contrary, if for all positive number ε the condition (2.4) is satisfied then

 $\varphi = st_e^{\alpha\beta - \eta} - \operatorname{liminf} x.$

Theorem 2.10 Let ξ be a finite real number.

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf x = st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup x = \xi$$
$$\Leftrightarrow st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim x = \xi.$$

Proof:

Let us assume that $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim x = \xi$. Then for all positive number ε , the set

$$H = \left\{ j : \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \left(\{ i : |x_{ij} - \xi| \ge \varepsilon \}, \eta_2 \right) = 0 \right\}$$

with $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H,\eta_1) = 1$. So, we have for $j \in H$,

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\big(\{i: x_{ij} \ge \xi + \varepsilon\}, \eta_2\big) = 0$$

and

 $\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\big(\{i: x_{ij} \leq \xi - \varepsilon\}, \eta_2\big) = 0$

i.e.,

 $\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: x_{ij} < \xi + \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1$

and

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\big(\{i:x_{ij}>\xi-\varepsilon\},\eta_2\big)=1.$$

This implies that $\xi + \varepsilon$ belongs to L_x and $\xi - \varepsilon$ belongs to K_x . Consequently, the inequality

$$\xi - \varepsilon \le st_e^{\alpha\beta - \eta} - \liminf x = \sup K_x$$

$$\leq st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \operatorname{limsup} x = \inf L_x \leq \xi + \varepsilon$$

holds. Since ε is arbitrary,

 $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf x = st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup x = \xi$ is obtained.

On the contrary, let us take

$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf x = st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup x = \xi.$$

Therefore, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist the sets H_1 and H_2 ,

$$\begin{split} H_1 &\coloneqq \left\{ j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \left(\left\{ i: x_{ij} < \xi + \varepsilon \right\}, \eta_2 \right) = 1 \right\}, \\ H_2 &\coloneqq \left\{ j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \left(\left\{ i: x_{ij} > \xi - \varepsilon \right\}, \eta_2 \right) = 1 \right\} \end{split}$$

with $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H_1,\eta_1) = 1$ and $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H_2,\eta_1) = 1$. If we take $H = H_1 \cap H_2$, then we have $\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(H,\eta_1) = 1$. For $j \in H$ we have

 $\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i: |x_{i,i}-\xi| < \varepsilon\}, \eta_2) = 1$

or

$$\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\big(\{i:|x_{ij}-\xi|\geq\varepsilon\},\eta_2\big)=0.$$

Since

$$H \subseteq \left\{j: \delta^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \left(\{i: |x_{ij} - \xi| \ge \varepsilon\}, \eta_2\right) = 0\right\},\$$

we get

$$\delta^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(\{j:\delta^{\alpha_2\beta_2}(\{i:|x_{ij}-\xi|\geq\varepsilon\},\eta_2)=0\},\eta_1)=1.$$

As a result, $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \lim x = \xi.$

Finally, we state the following theorem that can be easily showed similar to the argument used by Sever and Talo (2014).

Theorem 2.11 We have the following statements for double sequences $y = (y_{ij})$ and $z = (z_{ij})$.

a)
$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf y \le st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup y$$
,
b) $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup (-y) = -(st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \liminf y)$,
c) $st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup (y+z) \le st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup y$
 $+st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \limsup z$

d)
$$st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \text{liminf } (y+z) \ge st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \text{liminf } y + st_e^{\alpha\beta-\eta} - \text{liminf } z$$

4. Kaynaklar

- Aktuğlu, H. 2014. Korovkin type approximation theorems proved via $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **259**, 174--181.
- Boos, J., Leiger, T. and Zeller, K. 1997. Consistency theory for SM-methods. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **76**, 83--116.
- Çakan, C. and Altay, B. 2006. Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **317**, 690--697.
- Edely, O. H. H. and Mursaleen, M. 2006. Tauberian theorems for statistically convergent double sequences. *Information Sciences*, **176**, 875--886.
- Fast, H. 1951. Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, **2**, 241--244.
- Fridy, J. A. and Orhan, C. 1997. Statistical limit superior and limit inferior. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **125**(12), 3625--3631.
- Hardy, G. H. 1917. On the convergence of certain multiple series. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **19**, 86--95.
- Karaisa, A. 2016. Statistical $\alpha\beta$ -summability and Korovkin type approximation theorem. *Filomat*, **30**(13), 3483--3491.
- Móricz, F. 2003. Statistical convergence of multiple sequences. *Archiv der Mathematik (Basel)*, **81**(1), 82--89.
- Mursaleen, M. and Edely, O. H. H. 2003. Statistical convergence of double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **288**, 223--231.
- Pringsheim, A. 1898. Elementare theorie der unendliche doppelreihen. Sitsungs berichte der Math. Akad. der Wissenschafftenzu Münch. Ber., 7, 101--153.
- Sever, Y. and Talo, Ö. 2014. *e*-core of double sequences. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 144(1), 236--246.

- Sever, Y. and Talo, Ö. 2017. Statistical *e*-convergence of double sequences and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology. Transaction A. Science*, **41**(3), 851--857.
- Sever, Y. and Talo, Ö. 2018. On statistical e-convergence of double sequences. Iranian Journal of Science and Technology. Transaction A. Science, 42(4), 2063--2068.
- Zeltser, M. 2001. Investigation of double sequence spaces by soft and hard analitical methods. Dissertationes Mathematicae Universitatis Tartuensis 25, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, (Tartu).
- Zeltser, M. 2002. On conservative matrix methods for double sequence spaces. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **95**(3), 225--242.