ON THE STABILITY AND THE BOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN FOURTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Cemil TUNC

University of Yüzüncü Yıl, Faculty of Education, 65080, Van-TURKEY

Summary: The main purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic stability in the large of the zero solution for Eq. (1.1) with $p \equiv 0$ and the boundedness of solutions for Eq. (1.1) with $p \neq 0$.

4. MERTEBEDEN BELİRLİ DİFERANSİYEL DENKLEMLERİN STABİLİTE VE SINIRLILIK ÖZELİKLERİ HAKKINDA

Özet: Bu çalışmanın ana amacı, $p\equiv 0$ halinde (1.1) denkleminin sıfır çözümünün asimtotik stabilitesini ve $p\neq 0$ halinde (1.1) in çözümlerinin sınırlılığını incelemektir.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

We consider the equation

$$x^{(4)} + \varphi(x, x, x, x) + f(x, x) + g(x, x) + h(x) = p(t, x, x, x, x)$$
(1.1)

in which the functions φ , f, g, h and p depend at most on the arguments shown explicitly and the dots denote differentiation with respect to t. Further, it will be assumed that the functions φ , f, g, h and p are continuous for all values of their respective arguments and that the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, z, u), \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, z, u), \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \varphi(x, y, z, u), \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(y, z), \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y),$$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y)$ and h'(x) exist and are continuous for all x, y, z and u. All functions and solutions are supposed to be real. Moreover, the existence and

functions and solutions are supposed to be real. Moreover, the existence and the uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1) will be assumed.

Key words: Nonlinear differential equations of the fourth order, V-function, Stability, Boundedness.

AMS Classification numbers: 34C11, 34D05.

It will be convenient in what follows to use the equivalent system:

$$\dot{x} = y, \ \dot{y} = z, \ \dot{z} = u,$$

$$u = -\phi(x, y, z, u) \ u - f(y, z) - g(x, y) - h(x) + p(t, x, y, z, u),$$
(1.2)

which is obtained from (1.1) by setting y = x, z = x and u = x.

The boundedness and stability properties of solutions for various equations of the fourth order differential equations have been considered by many authors. Many of these results are summarized in [12].

Ezeilo [4] investigated the stability and boundedness of the solutions of the equation

$$x^{(4)} + f(x)\ddot{x} + \alpha_2\ddot{x} + g(x) + \alpha_4 x = p(t).$$

Harrow ([6], [7], [8]) studied the problem for the simple variant of (1.1) given by

$$x^{(4)} + ax + f(x) + g(x) + h(x) = p(t).$$

In [9], Lalli and Skrapek obtained a similar result for the equation

$$x^{(4)} + f_1(\ddot{x})\ddot{x} + f_2(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}) + g(\dot{x}) + h(x) = p(t).$$

Abou-El-Ela [1] investigated the boundedness of the solutions of the equation

$$x^{(4)} + f(x, x) \ddot{x} + \alpha_2 \ddot{x} + g(x) + \alpha_4 x = p(t).$$

Also recently, in [3], Bereketoğlu dealt with the equation of the form

$$x^{(4)} + f_1(x, x, x) + f_2(x, x) + g(x) + h(x) = p(t).$$
 (1.3)

He presented sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability in the large of the trivial solution of (1.3) with $p(t) \equiv 0$ and the boundedness of solutions of (1.3) with $p(t) \neq 0$.

In the case p(t, x, y, z, u) = 0 we have

Theorem 1. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) f(y, 0) = g(x, 0) = h(0) = 0.
- (ii) There are positive constants α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , α_4 and Δ_0 such that

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - \alpha_1 \alpha_4 \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \ge \Delta_0 \text{ for all } x, z \text{ and } y \ne 0.$$

(iii)
$$\varphi(x, y, z, u) \ge \alpha_1 > 0$$
 for all x, y, z and u ,
$$\frac{f(y, z)}{z} \ge \alpha_2 \text{ for all } y, z \ne 0,$$

$$\frac{g(x,y)}{y} \ge \alpha_3 \text{ for all } x,y \ne 0,$$

 $\frac{h(x)}{x} \ge \beta$ for all $x \ne 0$, where β is a positive constant.

(iv)
$$\left(\alpha_4 - \frac{\alpha_1 \Lambda_0}{4\alpha_3}\right) < h'(x) \le \alpha_4$$
 for all x .

(v)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}g(x,y) - \frac{g(x,y)}{y}\right) \le \delta_1$$
 for all $x,y \ne 0$, where δ_1 is a positive constant satisfying $\delta_1 < \frac{2\alpha_4 \Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2}$.

(vi)
$$\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds - \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le \delta_{2}$$
 for all x, y and $z \ne 0$, where

$$\delta_2$$
 is a positive constant such that $\delta_2 < \frac{2\Delta_0}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3}$

(vii)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(y, z) \le 0, y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le 0, z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le 0,$$

 $y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le 0 \text{ and } z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le 0 \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } z.$

(viil)
$$\frac{f(y,z)}{z} - \alpha_2 \le \frac{\varepsilon_0 \alpha_3^3}{\alpha_4^2}$$
 for all $y, z \ne 0$, where ε_0 is a positive constant

such that

$$\epsilon_{0} < \epsilon \leq \min \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}, \frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{3}}, \frac{\Delta_{0}}{4\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}D_{0}}, \frac{\alpha_{3}}{4\alpha_{4}D_{0}} \left(\frac{2\alpha_{4}\Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}^{2}} - \delta_{1} \right), \frac{\alpha_{1}}{4D_{0}} \left(\frac{2\Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3}} - \delta_{2} \right) \right]$$
(1.4)

with
$$D_0 = \alpha_1 \, \alpha_2 + \frac{\alpha_2 \, \alpha_3}{\alpha_4}$$
.

(ix)
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y)\right]^2 \le \frac{\alpha_1 \Delta_0 (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)}{16}$$
 for all x and y ,
and $\frac{1}{y} \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, s) ds \le \frac{\sigma_3 (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)}{4}$ for all $x, y \ne 0$.

(x) $z - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \varphi(x, y, z, u) + d_2 y - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \varphi(x, y, z, u) \ge 0$ for all x, y, z and u, where

$$d_2 = \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3} + \varepsilon. \tag{1.5}$$

Then every solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$x(t) \to 0, \dot{x}(t) \to 0, \ddot{x}(t) \to 0, \ddot{x}(t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$
 (1.6)

In the case $p(t, x, y, z, t) \neq 0$ we have

Theorem 2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and furthermore, the function p(t, x, y, z, u) satisfies

 $|p(t, x, y, z, u)| \le (1 + |y| + |z| + |u'|) q(t)$, for all t, x, y, z and u, (1.7) where q(t) is a nonnegative and continuous function of t, and satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{t} q(s) ds \leq A < \infty,$$

for all $t \ge 0$, with a positive constant. A. Then for any given finite x_0 , y_0 , z_0 , u_0 , there exists a constant $D = D(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0)$, such that the unique solution x(t) of (1.1) is determined by the initial conditions

$$x(0) = x_0$$
, $\dot{x}(0) = y_0$, $\ddot{x}(0) = z_0$, $\ddot{x}(0) = u_0$, (1.8)

and it satisfies for all $t \ge 0$,

$$|x(t)| \le D, |x(t)| \le D, |x(t)| \le D, |x(t)| \le D.$$
 (1.9)

Remark 1. When $\varphi(x, \dot{x}, \dot{x}, \dot{x}) = \alpha_1$, $f(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) = \alpha_2 \dot{x}$, $g(x, \dot{x}) = \alpha_3 \dot{x}$ and $h(x) = \alpha_4 x$, then equation (1.1) reduces to the linear differential equation with constant coefficients and conditions (i)-(x) of Theorem 1 reduce to the corresponding of Routh-Hurwitz criterion.

Remark 2. When we take $\varphi(x, x, x, x) = f_1(x, x, x)$, $g(x, x) = f_3(x)$ and finally p(t, x, x, x, x) = p(t), then conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are reduced to theose of Bereketoğlu [3]. When $\varphi(x, x, x, x)$ and g(x, x) depend only on x and x, respectively, and $f(x, x) = \alpha_2 x$, $h(x) = \alpha_4 x$ and

$$p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{x}) = p(t),$$

then conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are reduced completely to those of Ezeilo [4]. Moreover, conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 reduce to the conditions of the relevant theorems by Lalli and Skrapek [9] and Harrow [6],

up to very small differences. These differences are due to the fact that the Lyapunov function is not identical.

2. The Function
$$V(x, y, z, u)$$

The main tool, in the proof of the theorems, is the function V=V(x, y, z, u) defined by:

$$2V = 2d_{2} \int_{0}^{x} h(s) ds + [d_{2} \alpha_{2} - d_{1} \alpha_{4}] y^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{y} g(x, s) ds + 2 \int_{0}^{z} \left[d_{1} f(y, s) - d_{2} s \right] ds + 2 \int_{0}^{z} s \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds + 2 d_{2} y \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds + d_{1} u^{2} + 2yh(x) + 2 d_{1} zh(x) + 2 d_{1} z g(x, y) + 2 d_{2} yu + 2zu,$$

$$(2.1)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \varepsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

 d_2 being the constant defined by (1.5).

First discuss some important inequalities.

Let Φ_1 be the function defined by

$$\Phi_{1}(x, y, z, 0) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds, z \neq 0 \\ \varphi(x, y, 0, 0), z = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.3)

Using (iii) and (vi) we obtain

$$\Phi_1(x, y, z, 0) \ge \alpha_1 > 0 \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } z, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\Phi_1(x, y, z, 0) - \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \le \delta_2 \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } z.$$
 (2.5)

Further we define

$$\Phi_3(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x,y)}{y}, & y \neq 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x,0), & y = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.6)

We have from (iii) and (v)

$$\Phi_3(x, y) \ge \alpha_3 \text{ for all } x \text{ and } y,$$
 (2.7)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}g(x,y) - \Phi_3(x,y) \le \delta_1 \text{ for all } x \text{ and } y.$$
 (2.8)

From (2.2) and (1.5) we have

$$\alpha_{2} - d_{1} \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - d_{2} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) =$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}}\right) \left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} - \alpha_{3} \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4} \varphi(x, y, z, 0)\right] - \varepsilon \left[\frac{g(x, y)}{y} + \varphi(x, y, z, 0)\right].$$

But also (ii) and (iii) imply that

$$\frac{g\left(x,\,y\right)}{y}<\alpha_{1}\,\alpha_{2}\,,\,\varphi\left(x,\,y,\,z,\,0\right)<\frac{\alpha_{2}\,\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{4}}\,.$$

Thus it follows that

$$\alpha_2 - d_1 \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - d_2 \varphi(x, y, z, 0) > \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} - \varepsilon D_0\right) \text{ for all } x, z \text{ and } y \neq 0,$$
 (2.9)

by using (ii) and (viii).

Since
$$\Phi_1(x, y, z, 0) = \varphi(x, y, \tilde{z}, 0), \tilde{z} = \theta z, 0 \le 0 \le 1$$
, then
$$\alpha_3 - d_1 \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - d_2 \Phi_1(x, y, z, 0) \ge \frac{\Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} - \varepsilon D_0.$$
 (2.10)

The following two lemmas are to prove that the function V(x, y, z, u) is a Lyapunov function of the system (1.2).

Lemma 1. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then there is a positive constant D_1 such that

$$V \ge D_1 \left[x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 \right] \tag{2.11}$$

for all x, y, z and u.

Proof. V(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0, since f(0, 0) = g(0, 0) = h(0) = 0. Rewrite the function 2V(x, y, z, u) as follows:

$$2V(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{\Phi_1(x, y, z, 0)} [u + z \Phi_1(x, y, z, 0) + d_2 y \Phi_1(x, y, z, 0)]^2 + \frac{1}{\Phi_3(x, y)} [h(x) + y \Phi_3(x, y) + d_1 z \Phi_3(x, y)]^2 + V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4,$$
(2.12)

where

$$V_{1} = \left[d_{2} \alpha_{2} - d_{1} \alpha_{4} - d_{2}^{2} \Phi_{1}(x, y, z, 0)\right] y^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{y} g(x, s) ds - y^{2} \Phi_{3}(x, y),$$

$$\begin{split} V_2 &= 2 \, d_1 \int\limits_0^z \left[f(y,s) - \alpha_2 \, s \right] \, ds + \left[d_1 \, \alpha_2 - d_2 - d_1^2 \, \Phi_3 (x,y) \right] \, z^2 \, + \\ &\quad + 2 \int\limits_0^z s \phi \left(x,y,s,0 \right) \, ds - z^2 \, \Phi_1 \left(x,y,z,0 \right), \\ V_3 &= 2 d_2 \int\limits_0^x h(s) \, ds - \frac{1}{\Phi_3 (x,y)} \left[\frac{h(x)}{x} \right]^2 \, x^2 \, , \\ V_4 &= \left[d_1 - \frac{1}{\Phi_1 (x,y,z,0)} \right] u^2 \, . \end{split}$$

From (1.5), (2.2), (iii) and (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{split} d_2 \, \alpha_2 - d_1 \, \alpha_4 - d_2^2 \, \Phi_1 \, (x,y,z,0) &> \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3} \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \, \alpha_3} - \varepsilon \, D_0 \right). \end{split}$$
 Since $yg \, (x,y) = \int_0^y g \, (x,\eta) \, d\eta + \int_0^y \eta g \, (x,\eta) \, d\eta, \text{ then} \\ 2 \int_0^y g \, (x,\eta) \, d\eta - y^2 \, \Phi_3 \, (x,y) \geq \left(-\frac{\delta_1}{2} \right) y^2, \text{ by } (2.8). \end{split}$

Therefore we get

$$V_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{2\alpha_{4} \Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}^{2}} - \frac{2\alpha_{4} D_{0}}{\alpha_{3}} \varepsilon - \delta_{1} \right] y^{2} > \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{2\alpha_{4} \Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}^{2}} - \delta_{1} \right] y^{2}, \text{ by (1.4)}.$$

By similar estimation, using condition (iii), (1.5), (2.2) and (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{1} \alpha_{2} - d_{2} - d_{1}^{2} \Phi_{1}(x, y) &= \\ &= d_{1} \left[\alpha_{2} - d_{1} \Phi_{1}(x, y) - d_{2} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \right] + d_{2} \left[d_{1} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) - 1 \right] > \\ &> d_{1} \left[\alpha_{2} - d_{1} \Phi_{3}(x, y) - d_{2} \varphi(x, y, z, 0) \right] > \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \right) \left[\frac{\Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}} - \varepsilon D_{0} \right]. \end{aligned}$$
 (2.13)

From the identity

$$\int_{0}^{z} s\varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds = z \int_{0}^{z} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds - \int_{0}^{z} s\Phi_{1}(x, y, s, 0) ds$$

we get

$$2\int_{0}^{z} s\varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds - z^{3} \Phi_{1}(x, y, z, 0) =$$

$$= \left[\int_{0}^{z} \{ \varphi(x, y, s, 0) - \Phi_{1}(x, y, s, 0) \} \right] s ds \ge -\left(\frac{\delta_{2}}{2} \right) z^{3}, \text{ by (2.5)}.$$

Also from (iii) we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{z} \left[\frac{f(y,s)}{s} - \alpha_{2} \right] s \, ds \ge 0.$$

Therefore

$$V_2 \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} - \varepsilon D_0 \right) - \frac{\delta_2}{2} \right\} z^3 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\Delta_0}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3} - \delta_2 \right) z^3, \text{ by (1.4)}.$$

For the component V_3 , from (i), (iii), (iv) and (1.5) it follows that

$$V_{3} \ge 2 \left(\varepsilon + \alpha_{4} \alpha_{3}^{-1}\right) \int_{0}^{x} h(s) ds - \frac{1}{\alpha_{3}} \left[\frac{h(x)}{x}\right]^{2} x^{2} \ge (\varepsilon \beta) x^{2} +$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{h(s)}{s} \left[\frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{3}} - \frac{1}{\alpha_{3}} h'(s)\right] s ds \ge (\varepsilon \beta) x^{2}.$$

By using (2.2) and (2.4) we obtain $V_4 \ge \varepsilon u^2$.

Combining the estimates for V_1 , V_2 , V_3 and V_4 with (2.12) we have

$$2V \geq (\varepsilon\beta) x^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{2\alpha_4 \Delta_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_3^2} - \delta_1 \right] y^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\Delta_0}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_3} - \delta_2 \right) z^2 + \varepsilon u^2,$$

noting that all the four coefficients of the above expression are nonnegative. Then there exists a positive constant D_1 such that

$$V \ge D_1 [x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2].$$

Thus the proof is now complete.

Lemma 2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then there is a positive constant D_2 such that whenever (x, y, z, u) is any solution of (1.2) with p(t, x, y, z, u) = 0, then

$$\dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt} V(x, y, z, u) \le -D_2 (y^2 + z^2 + u^2). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation using the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial u}u + \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}u + \frac{\partial V}{\partial v}z + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}y$$

yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} &= -d_1 u^2 \, \varphi \, (x,y,z,u) - d_2 \, y f \, (y,z) - d_2 \, y g \, (x,y) - z f \, (y,z) + u^2 \, + \\ &+ d_1 \, z \int_0^z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \, f \, (y,s) \, ds + d_2 \, y^2 \int_0^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, \varphi \, (x,y,s,0) \, ds + z \int_0^z s \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \, \varphi \, (x,y,s,0) \, ds \, + \\ &+ d_2 \, y z \int_0^z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \, \varphi \, (x,y,s,0) \, ds + d_2 \, z \int_0^z \varphi \, (x,y,s,0) \, ds \, [d_2 \, \alpha_2 - d_1 \, \alpha_4] \, yz \, + \\ &+ d_1 \, y z \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, g \, (x,y) + d_1 \, z^2 \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \, g \, (x,y) + y \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, g \, (x,s) \, ds \, + \, y^2 \, h' \, (x) \, + \\ &+ d_1 \, y z h' \, (x) - [\varphi \, (x,y,z,u) - \varphi \, (x,y,z,0)] \, zu - d_2 \, [\varphi \, (x,y,z,u) - \varphi \, (x,y,z,0)] yu + \\ &+ y \int_0^z s \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \, \varphi \, (x,y,s,0) \, ds. \end{split}$$

Since

$$z \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(y, s) ds \le 0, y \int_{0}^{z} s \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds \le 0, z \int_{0}^{z} s \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds \le 0,$$

$$z \int_{0}^{z} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds \le 0 \text{ and } \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y, s, 0) ds \le 0, \text{ by (vii)},$$

then we obtain

$$\dot{V} \le -\left[\alpha_2 - d_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y) - d_2 \Phi_1(x, y, z, 0)\right] z^2 - \left[d_1 \varphi(x, y, z, u) - 1\right] u^2 - V_5 - V_6 - V_7 - V_8,$$
(2.15)

where

$$V_{\rm 5} = f(y,z) \, z + d_{\rm 2} f(y,z) \, y - \alpha_{\rm 2} \, z^{\rm 2} - \alpha_{\rm 2} \, d_{\rm 2} \, yz \; , \label{eq:V5}$$

$$V_6 = \left[\varphi\left(x,y,z,u\right) - \varphi\left(x,y,z,0\right)\right] z u + d_2 \left[\varphi\left(x,y,z,u\right) - \varphi\left(x,y,z,0\right)\right] y u \,,$$

$$V_{7} = \left[d_{2} \frac{g(x, y)}{y} - \alpha_{4}\right] y^{2} - d_{1} yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y) - y \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, s) ds, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$V_{\rm g} = (\alpha_4 - h'(x)) y^2 + d_1 [\alpha_4 - h'(x)] yz$$
.

By the same way as in (2.13), it follows that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{z}} - d_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g(x, y) - d_{2} \Phi_{1}(x, y, z, 0) \ge \left(\frac{\Delta_{0}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}} - \varepsilon D_{0}\right) > \frac{3\Delta_{0}}{4\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}}, \text{ by (1.4)}. \quad (2.17)$$

By using (iii) and (2.2) we find

$$[d_1 \varphi(x, y, z, u) - 1] \ge \varepsilon \alpha_1. \tag{2.18}$$

The function V_5 is the same as in [3]. The estimates for V_3 as in [3] give that

$$V_5 \ge -\left(\varepsilon_0 \,\alpha_3\right) y^2 \,. \tag{2.19}$$

Also, from (x) we obtain for $u \neq 0$

$$V_6 = [z\varphi_u(x, y, z, \theta u) + d_2 y\varphi_u(x, y, z, \theta u)] u^2 \ge 0, 0 \le \theta \le 1$$

but $V_6 = 0$ when u = 0. Hence

$$V_6 \ge 0$$
 for all x, y, z and u . (2.20)

Combining (2.16) and (2.19) we obtain

$$\begin{split} V_5 + V_7 &\geq -(\varepsilon_0 \alpha_3) \ y^2 + \left[d_2 \frac{g(x,y)}{y} - \alpha_4 \right] y^2 - d_1 \ yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,y) - y \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,s) \ ds \\ &\geq (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \ \alpha_3 \ y^2 - d_1 \ yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,y) - y \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,s) \ ds \\ &\geq (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \ \alpha_1 \ y^2 - d_1 \ yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,y) - \left[\frac{1}{y} \int_0^y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,s) \ ds \right] y^2 \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0 \right) \alpha_3 \ y^2 - d_1 \ yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0 \right) \alpha_3 \ y^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0 \right) \alpha_3 \left[y^2 - \frac{4d_1}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \alpha_3} \ yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,y) \right] \end{split}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \alpha_3 y^2 - \frac{d_1^2}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \alpha_3} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, y) \right]^2 z^2$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) \alpha_3 y^2 - \frac{\Delta_0}{4\alpha_1 \alpha_3} z^2, \qquad (2.21)$$

by using (iii), (1.5), (ix), (2.2) and (1.4).

Now

$$V_{8} = (\alpha_{4} - h'(x))(y^{2} + d_{1}yz) \ge -(\alpha_{4} - h'(x))\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}z^{2}$$

$$> -\frac{\alpha_{1}\Delta_{0}}{16\alpha_{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} + \varepsilon\right)^{2}z^{2} > -\frac{\Delta_{0}}{4\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}}z^{2}, \qquad (2.22)$$

by using (iv), (2.2) and (1.4).

On gathering the estimates (2.17)-(2.22) into (2.15) we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} &\leq -\left(\frac{\Delta_0}{4\alpha_1\,\alpha_3}\right)z^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0\right)\alpha_3\,y^2 - \left(\varepsilon\alpha_1\right)u^2 \leq -D_2\left(y^2 + z^2 + u^2\right), \\ \text{where } D_2 &= \min\left\{\frac{I_0}{4\alpha_1\,\alpha_3}\,,\, \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0\right)\alpha_3\,, \varepsilon\alpha_1\right\}. \end{split}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 1

$$V(x, y, z, u) = 0$$
, at $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 = 0$,
 $V(x, y, z, u) > 0$, if $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 \neq 0$
 $V(x, y, z, u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 \rightarrow \infty$.

Also, let (x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t)) be any solution of (1.2) with p(t, x, y, z, u) = 0, such that $x(0) = x_0$, $y(0) = y_0$, $z(0) = z_0$, $u(0) = u_0$. Consider the function V(t) = V(x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t)) corresponding to this solution. By Lemma 2, we have

$$V(t) < V(0)$$
 for $t > 0$.

Thus, the remainder of the proof of Theorem 1 is the same as the one given by Ezeilo [4] and hence is omitted.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof here is based essentially on the method devised by Antosiewicz [2]. Let (x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t)) be the solution of (1.2) satisfying the initial

conditions (1.8) and consider the function V(t) = V(x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t)), where V(x, y, z, u) is the function V used in the proof of Theorem 1. Using this function, we have that, for the system (1.2),

$$\dot{V} \le -D_2(y^2+z^2+u^2)+(d_2y+z+d_1u)p(t,x,y,z,u),$$

so that

$$\dot{V} \leq D_3(|y|+|z|+|u|)|p(t,x,y,z,u)|,$$

where $D_3 = \max\{d_2, 1, d_i\}$.

It follows from (1.7) and the obvious inequalities

$$|y| \le 1 + y^2, |z| \le 1 + z^2, |u| \le 1 + u^2, 2|yz| \le y^2 + z^2,$$

 $|yu| \le y^2 + u^2, |zu| \le z^2 + u^2,$

that

$$\dot{V} \le D_3 [3 + 4(y^2 + z^2 + u^2)] q(t).$$

By (2.11) we have

$$V \ge D_1 [y^2 + z^2 + u^2]$$
.

Putting $D_4 = 3D_3$, $D_5 = \frac{4D_3}{D_1}$ we obtain

$$\dot{V} - D_5 q(t) V \le D_4 q(t).$$

Therefore we obtain the result

$$V(t) \leq \frac{1}{x(t)} \left(V(0) + D_4 \int_0^t q(s) x(s) ds \right),$$

where $x(t) = \exp\left(-D_5 \int_0^t q(s) ds\right)$. Since $x(t) \le 1$ for $t \ge 0$,

$$V(t) \leq (V(0) + D_4 A) e^{D_4 A},$$

where V(0) = V(x(0), y(0), z(0), u(0)). The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

REFERENCES

(1)	ABOU-EL-ELA, A.M.A.	:	A boundedness theorem for the solutions of a certain differential equation of the fourth order, J. Coll. Sci., King Saud Uni., 15 (1) (1984), 293-304.
[2]	ANTOSIEWICZ, H.A.	:	On non-linear differential equations of the second order with integrable forcing term, J. London Math. Soc., 30 (1955), 64-67.
[3]	BEREKETOĞLU, H.	:	On the boundedness and the stability of some differential equations of the fourth order, Analele Știintifice ale Universitâtii "Al. I. Cuza", Matematicâ, 36 (1990), f. 4, 371-378.
[4]	EZEILO, J.O.C.	:	On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some differential equations of the fourth order, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 5 (1962), 136-146.
[5]	EZEILO, J.O.C.	:	A stability result for solutions of a certain fourth order differential equation, J. London Math. Soc., 37 (1962), 28-32.
[6]	HARROW, M.	:	Further results on the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some differential equations of the fourth order, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1 (1970), 189-194.
[7]	HARROW, M.	7	On the stability and the boundedness of the solutions of some differential equatios of the fourth order, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1 (1970), 28-32.
[8]	HARROW, M.	:	A stability result for solutions of certain fourth order homogeneous differential equations, J. London Math. Soc., 42 (1967), 51-56.
[9]	LALLI, B.S. and SKRAPEK, W.S.	;	On the boundedness and the stability of some dif- ferential equations of the fourth order, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2 (1971), 221-225.
[10]	LA SALLE, J. and LEFSCHETZ, S.	:	Stability by Liapunov's Direct Method, Academic Press, New York, 1961.
[11]	RAMA MOHANA RAO, M.	:	Ordinary Differential Equations, Affiliated East-West Private Limited, Lonzon, 1980.
[12]	REISSING, R., SANSONE, G. and CONTI, R.	:	Nonlinear Differential Equations of Higher Order, Noordhoff International Publishing, 1974.