ON THE EXISTENCE OF RELATIVE FIX POINTS B. K. LAHIRI AND DIBYENDU BANERJEE

Abstract We introduce the idea of relative iterations of functions and using this, extend a theorem on fix point of complex function involving exact order.

1. Introduction

A single valued function f(z) of the complex variable z is said to belong to (i) class I if f(z) is entire transcendental, (ii) class II if it is regular in the plane punctured at a, b (a \neq b) and has an essential singularity at b and a singularity at a and if f(z) omits the values a and b except possibly at a.

The functions in class II may be normalised by taking a = 0 and $b = \infty$. In future we shall consider such normalised functions in class II.

For arbitrary f(z), the iterations are defined inductively by

 $f_0(z) = z$ and $f_{n+1}(z) = f(f_n(z)), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

A point α is called a <u>fix point</u> of f(z) of order n if α is a solution of $f_n(z) = z$. It is said to be of <u>exact order</u> n if α is a solution of $f_j(z) = z$ for j = n but not for j < n.

Regarding the existence of a fix point, Baker [1] proved the following theorem.

<u>Theorem A</u>. If f(z) belongs to class I, then f(z) has fix points of exact order n, except for atmost one value of n.

Bhattacharyya [2] extended Theorem A to functions in class II as follows.

<u>Theorem B.</u> If f(z) belongs to class II, then f(z) has an infinity of fix points of exact order n, for every positive integer n.

In this paper we observe that Theorem B may be proved under more general settings by using the concept of relative fix point (defined below).

283

2. Preliminaries and Definitions

Let f(z) and $\phi(z)$ be functions of the complex variable z. Let

$$f_{1}(z) = f(z)$$

$$f_{2}(z) = f(\phi(z)) = f(\phi_{1}(z))$$

$$f_{3}(z) = f(\phi(f(z))) = f(\phi_{2}(z)) = f(\phi(f_{1}(z)))$$

$$f_{4}(z) = f(\phi(f(\phi(z)))) = f(\phi_{3}(z)) = f(\phi(f_{2}(z)))$$
....
$$f_{n}(z) = f(\phi(f.....(f(z) \text{ or } \phi(z)....))), \text{ according as n is odd or even}$$

$$= f(\phi_{n-1}(z)) = f(\phi(f_{n-2}(z)),$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \phi_1(z) &= \phi(z) \\ \phi_2(z) &= \phi(f(z)) = \phi(f_1(z)) \\ \phi_3(z) &= \phi(f_2(z)) = \phi(f(\phi_1(z))) \\ & \dots \\ \phi_n(z) &= \phi(f_{n-1}(z)) = \phi(f(\phi_{n-2}(z))). \end{split}$$

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $\phi_n(z)$ are functions in class II, if f(z) and $\phi(z)$ are so.

A point α is called a fix point of f(z) of order n with respect to $\phi(z)$, if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ and a fix point of exact order n if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ but $f_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, k = I, 2, ..., n - 1. Such points α are also called relative fix points.

Let $f(z) = z^2 - z$ and $\phi(z) = z^2$. Then $f_2(z) = z^4 - z^2$. So, z = 0 is a fix point of f(z) of order 2 with respect to $\phi(z)$ which is not an exact fix point because z = 0 is a solution of the equation f(z) = z also. It is clear that all the solutions of $z^3 - z - 1 = 0$ are fix points of f(z) of exact order 2 with respect to $\phi(z)$.

Let f(z) be meromorphic in $r_0 \le |z| < \infty$, $r_0 > 0$. We use the following notations [3] : n(t, a, f) = number of roots of f(z) = a in $r_0 < |z| \le t$,

$$N(r, a, f) = \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{n(t, a, f)}{t} dt.$$

If $a = \infty$, then we write $n(t, \infty, f) = n(t, f) =$ the number of poles in $r_0 < |z| \le t$, counted with due regard to multiplicity and $N(r, \infty, f) = N(r, f)$. Also

$$m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathbf{i}\theta})| d\theta,$$
$$m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} \left| \frac{1}{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}) - \mathbf{a}} \right| d\theta$$

With these notations, Jensen's formula can be written as [3]

$$m(r, f) + N(r, f) = m(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + O(logr).$$

Writing m(r, f) + N(r, f) = T(r, f), the above becomes

$$T(r, f) = T(r, {}^{t}/_{f}) + O(logr).$$

In this case the first fundamental theorem takes the form

$$m(r, a, f) + N(r, a, f) = T(r, f) + O(logr)$$
 (1)

where the region is always $r_0 \le |z| < \infty$, $r_0 > 0$.

Suppose that f(z) is nonconstant. Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q , q > 2, be distinct finite complex numbers, $\delta > 0$ and suppose that $|a_{\mu} - a_{\nu}| \ge \delta$ for $1 \le \mu \le \nu \le q$. Then

$$m(r, f) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q} m(r, a_{\nu}, f) \le 2T(r, f) - N_{1}(r) + S(r)$$
(2)

~	~	-
•)	Υ.	۰.
L	o	

where $N_1(r)$ is positive and is given by

$$N_{1}(r) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + 2N(r, f) - N(r, f')$$

and $S(r) = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q} m\left(r, \frac{f'}{(f-a_{\nu})}\right) + O(\log r).$

The proof of (2) can be carried out following the technique as given in $\{[4], p. 32\}$ and using the modified form as given in (1).

It has been obtained in [3] that
$$m\left(r,\frac{f'}{f}\right)$$
 and hence $m\left(r,\frac{f'}{f-a}\right)$ is

 $O\{\max(\log^{+}T(r, f), \log r)\} \text{ as } r \to \infty \text{ outside a set of } r \text{ intervals of finite measure. So, we}$ have $S(r) = O\{\max(\log^{+}T(r, f), \log r)\} + O(\log r)$

 $= O\{\max(\log r, \log^+T(r, f))\}.$

Adding N(r, f) + $\sum_{\nu=1}^{q}$ N(r, a_{\nu}, f) to both sides of (2) and using (1) we obtain

$$(q-1)T(r,f) \le \widetilde{N}(r,f) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q} \widetilde{N}(r,a_{\nu},f) + S_1(r)$$
(3)

where $S_1(r) = O(\log T(r, f))$.

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{q} \overline{N}(r, a_{\gamma}, f) \ge (q-1)T(r, f) - \overline{N}(r, f) - S_{1}(r)$$
(4)

where $\overline{n}, \overline{N}$ correspond to distinct roots.

Further, because f_a has an essential singularity at ∞ , we have {[3], p. 90}, $\frac{\log r}{T(r, f_n)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$

3. Lemma and Theorem

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemma.

<u>Lemma</u>. If n is any positive integer and f and ϕ are functions in class II, then for any $r_0 > 0$ and M_1 , a positive constant

$$\frac{T(r, f_{n+p})}{T(r, f_n)} > M_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{T(r, \phi_{n+p})}{T(r, f_n)} > M_1$$

according as p is even or odd, for all large r, except a set of r intervals of total finite length.

Proof. Case I. p is even. In this case we consider the equation

$$f_{n+p}(z) = a, \text{ where } a \neq 0, \infty$$

i.e.,
$$f_p(f_n(z)) = a.$$

This is equivalent to

i

$$f_p(w) = a$$
 at w_1, w_2, \dots
and $f_n(z) = w_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

We observe that because f_p is transcendental, $f_p(w) = a$ has infinitely many roots for every complex number a with two exceptions $a = 0, \infty$.

From (1)

$$\begin{split} O(\log r) + T(r, f_{n+p}) &= m(r, a, f_{n+p}) + N(r, a, f_{n+p}) \\ \text{i.e.,} \quad T(r, f_{n+p}) &= m(r, a, f_{n+p}) + N(r, a, f_{n+p}) + O(\log r) \\ &\geq N(r, a, f_{n+p}) + O(\log r) \\ &\geq \overline{N}(r, a, f_{n+p}) + O(\log r) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{N}(r, w_i, f_n) \end{split}$$

for a fixed M, say $> M_1 + 3$.

From (4) taking $a_v = w_i$, $f = f_a$ and q = M, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{N}(r, w_i, f_n) \ge (M-l)T(r, f_n) - \overline{N}(r, f_n) - S_1(r)$$
(5)

where $S_1(r) = O(\log T(r, f_n))$ and so for all large r

$$S_1(\mathbf{r}) \le T(\mathbf{r}, f_n). \tag{6}$$

In view of (6) and using $\overline{N}(r, f_n) \le T(r, f_n)$ we have from (5)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{N}(r, w_i, f_n) \ge (M-3)T(r, f_n)$$
$$T(r, f_{a+p}) \ge (M-3)T(r, f_a)$$

outside a set of r intervals of total finite length.

.'.

 $f_n(z) = w'_i$

Case II. p is odd. In this case we consider the equation

$$\label{eq:phi_prod} \begin{split} & \phi_{n+p}(z) = a, \, a \neq 0, \, \infty \end{split}$$
 i.e.,
$$& \phi_p(f_n(z)) = a. \end{split}$$

This is equivalent to

 $\phi_{p}(w') = a$ at $w'_{1}, w'_{2},...$ (i = 1, 2,).

and

From (1) $O(\log r) + T(r, \phi_{n+p}) = m(r, a, \phi_{n+p}) + N(r, a, \phi_{n+p})$

i.e.,

$$T(r, \phi_{n+p}) = m(r, a, \phi_{n+p}) + N(r, a, \phi_{n+p}) + O(\log r)$$

$$\geq N(r, a, \phi_{n+p}) + O(\log r)$$

$$> \overline{N}(r, a, \phi_{n+p}) + O(\log r)$$
$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{N}(r, w'_{i}, f_{n})$$

for a fixed M, say $> M_1 + 3$.

Now we have (as in (5))

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{N}(r, w_i, f_n) \ge (M-1)T(r, f_n) - \overline{N}(r, f_n) - T(r, f_n)$$
$$T(r, \phi_{n+n}) \ge (M-3)T(r, f_n)$$

outside a set of r intervals of total finite length and the lemma is proved.

<u>Theorem</u>. If f(z) and $\phi(z)$ belong to class II, then f(z) has an infinity of relative fix points of exact order n for every positive integer n, provided $\frac{T(r, \phi_n)}{T(r, f_n)}$ is bounded.

<u>**Proof.</u>** We may assume that n > 1, because if n = 1, the theorem follows from Theorem B. For a positive integer n (> 1), we consider the function</u>

$$g(z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{z}, \qquad r_0 < |z| < \infty$$

then $T(r, g) = T(r, f_a) + O(\log r)$.

Assume that f(z) has only a <u>finite</u> number of relative fix points of exact order n. Using (3) and then putting q = 2, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 1$, we obtain for g,

 $T(r,g) \le \overline{N}(r,0,g) + \overline{N}(r,\infty,g) + \overline{N}(r,l,g) + S_1(r,g)$

(7)

where $S_1(r, g) = O(\log T(r, g))$ outside a set of r intervals of finite total length {cf. [4], p. 47}.

Now we calculate
$$\overline{N}(r,0,g)$$
 and $\overline{N}(r,\infty,g)$. We have $\overline{N}(r,0,g) = \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{n(t,0,g)}{t} dt$,

where $\overline{n}(t,0,g)$ is the number of distinct roots of g(z) = 0 in $r_0 < |z| \le t$ counted singly. The distinct roots of g(z) = 0 in $r_0 < |z| \le t$ are the roots of $f_n(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \le t$. By the definition of functions in class II, $f_n(z)$ has a singularity at z = 0 and essential singularity at $z = \infty$ and $f_n(z)$ omits the values 0 and ∞ except possibly at 0. So $\overline{n}(t,0) = 0$. Consequently $\overline{N}(r,0,g) = 0$. Arguing similarly we can say that $\overline{N}(r,\infty,g)= 0$. So, $T(r,g) \le \overline{N}(r,l,g) + S_1(r,g)$. We now calculate $\overline{N}(r,l,g)$. If g(z) = 1, then $f_n(z) = z$. So,

$$\overline{N}(r,l,g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{N}(r,0,f_j-z) + O(\log r).$$

The term $O(\log r)$ arises due to the assumption that f(z) has only a finite number of relative fix points of exact order n.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ddots & T(r,g) \leq \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{N}(r,0,f_j-z) + S_1(r,g) + O(\log r) \\ \\ & \leq \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} [T(r,f_j-z) + O(\log r)] + S_1(r,g) + O(\log r) \\ \\ & = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} T(r,f_j-z) + S_1(r,g) + O(\log r) \\ \\ & = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} T(r,f_j) + O(\log T(r,g)) + O(\log r) \end{array}$$

$$= T(r, f_n) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{T(r, f_j)}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log T(r, g))}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_n)} \right]$$

$$= T(r, f_{n}) \left[\frac{T(r, f_{11})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \frac{T(r, f_{12})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{ip})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \left\{ \frac{T(r, f_{j1})}{T(r, \phi_{n})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{jq})}{T(r, \phi_{n})} \right\} \frac{T(r, \phi_{n})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \frac{O(\log \{T(r, f_{n}) + O(\log r)\})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_{n})} \right], \qquad by (7)$$

where i_1 , i_2 ,, i_p , j_i , j_2 , ..., j_q are (n-1) distinct index together exhausting the set $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $(n-i_p)$'s are even and $(n-j_q)$'s are odd,

$$= T(r, f_{n}) \left[\frac{T(r, f_{i1})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{ip})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \left\{ \frac{T(r, f_{j1})}{T(r, \phi_{n})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{jq})}{T(r, \phi_{n})} \right\} \frac{T(r, \phi_{n})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \frac{O(\log \{T(r, f_{n}) (1 + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_{n})})\})}{T(r, f_{n})} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_{n})} \right]$$

 $< T(r, f_n) \left[\frac{n-1}{4n} + \frac{n+1}{4n} \right]$ for all large r, by the Lemma and since $\frac{T(r, \phi_n)}{T(r, f_n)}$ is

bounded,

$$= \frac{1}{2} T(r, f_n).$$

∴ T(r, g) < $\frac{1}{2} T(r, f_n)$ for all large r. This contradicts (7). Hence f(z) has infinitely

many relative fix points of exact order n (> 1).

This proves the theorem.

Note. If $\phi(z) = f(z)$ then $\frac{T(r, \phi_n)}{T(r, f_n)}$ is necessarily bounded and the theorem coincides with

Theorem B.

References

- 1. Baker, I. N., The Existence of fix points of entire functions. Math. Z. 73 (1960), 280-284.
- 2. Bhattacharyya, P., An extension of a theorem of Baker, Publicationes Mathematicae, Debrecen, 27 (1980), 273 277.

a da a ser a como de substatues de exercica e como e como e como de sector de sector de sector de sector de sec

- 3. Bieberbach, L. (1953) Theorie der Gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen, Berlin.
- 4. Hayman, W. K. (1964), Meromorphic Functions, Oxford University Press.

B – 1 / 146, Kalyani, West Bengal – 741235 India and Courtpara, P. O. Ranaghat, Dt. Nadia, West Bengal – 741201 India