## ON THE EXISTENCE OF RELATIVE FIX POINTS

## B. K. LAHIRI AND DIBYENDU BANERJEE

Abstract We introduce the idea of relative iterations of functions and using this, extend a theorem on fix point of complex function involving exact order.

## 1. Introduction

A single valued function $f(z)$ of the complex variable $z$ is said to belong to (i) class I if $f(z)$ is entire transcendental, (ii) class II if it is regular in the plane punctured at $a, b$ $(a \neq b)$ and has an essential singularity at $b$ and a singularity at $a$ and if $f(z)$ omits the values a and b except possibly at a .

The functions in class II may be normalised by taking $\mathrm{a}=0$ and $\mathrm{b}=\infty$. In future we shall consider such normalised functions in class II.

For arbitrary $f(z)$, the iterations are defined inductively by

$$
f_{0}(z)=z \text { and } f_{n+1}(z)=f\left(f_{n}(z)\right), n=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots
$$

A point $\alpha$ is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order $n$ if $\alpha$ is a solution of $f_{n}(z)=z$. It is said to be of exact order $n$ if $\alpha$ is a solution of $f_{j}(z)=z$ for $j=n$ but not for $j<n$.

Regarding the existence of a fix point, Baker [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. If $f(z)$ belongs to class I, then $f(z)$ has fix points of exact order $n$, except for atmost one value of n .

Bhattacharyya [2] extended Theorem A to functions in class II as follows.

Theorem B. If $f(z)$ belongs to class II, then $f(z)$ has an infinity of fix points of exact order n , for every positive integer n .

In this paper we observe that Theorem B may be proved under more general settings by using the concept of relative fix point (defined below).

## 2. Preliminaries and Definitions

Let $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ be functions of the complex variable $z$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{f}(\phi(\mathrm{z}))=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{1}(\mathrm{z})\right) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{3}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{f}(\phi(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})))=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{2}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{4}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{f}(\phi(\mathrm{f}(\phi(\mathrm{z}))))=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{3}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{f}(\phi(\mathrm{f} \ldots \ldots .(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \text { or } \phi(\mathrm{z}) \ldots \ldots \ldots .))), \text { according as } \mathrm{n} \text { is odd or even } \\
& =\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}(\mathrm{z})\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\phi(\mathrm{z}) \\
& \phi_{2}(\mathrm{z})=\phi(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}))=\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{z})\right) \\
& \phi_{3}(\mathrm{z})=\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\phi\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{1}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\phi\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{z})\right)=\phi\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}-2}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right)
$$

Clearly all $f_{n}(z)$ and $\phi_{n}(z)$ are functions in class II, if $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ are so.
A point $\alpha$ is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order $n$ with respect to $\phi(z)$, if $f_{n}(\alpha)=\alpha$ and a fix point of exact order $n$ if $f_{n}(\alpha)=\alpha$ but $f_{k}(\alpha) \neq \alpha, k=I, 2, \ldots \ldots, n-1$. Such points $\alpha$ are also called relative fix points.

Let $f(z)=z^{2}-z$ and $\phi(z)=z^{2}$. Then $f_{2}(z)=z^{4}-z^{2}$. So, $z=0$ is a fix point of $f(z)$ of order 2 with respect to $\phi(z)$ which is not an exact fix point because $z=0$ is a solution of the equation $f(z)=z$ also. It is clear that all the solutions of $z^{3}-z-1=0$ are fix points of $f(z)$ of exact order 2 with respect to $\phi(z)$.

Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $r_{0} \leq|z|<\propto, r_{0}>0$. We use the following notations [3] : $n(t, a, f)=$ number of roots of $f(z)=a$ in $r_{0}<|z| \leq t$, $N(r, a, f)=\int_{r_{0}}^{5} \frac{n(t, a, f)}{t} d t$.

If $a=\propto$, then we write $n(t, \propto, f)=n(t, f)=$ the number of poles in $r_{0}<|z| \leq t$, counted with due regard to multiplicity and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{r}, \propto, \mathrm{f})=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(r, f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|f\left(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \\
& m(r, a, f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|\frac{1}{f\left(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)-\mathrm{a}}\right| d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

With these notations, Jensen's formula can be written as [3]

$$
m(r, f)+N(r, f)=m(r, 1 / f)+N(r, 1 / f)+O(\log r)
$$

Writing $m(r, f)+N(r, f)=T(r, f)$, the above becomes

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, 1 / \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r})
$$

In this case the first fundamental theorem takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r, a, f)+N(r, a, f)=T(r, f)+O(\log r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the region is always $r_{0} \leq|z|<\propto, r_{0}>0$.
Suppose that $f(z)$ is nonconstant. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, a_{q}, q>2$, be distinct finite complex numbers, $\delta>0$ and suppose that $\left|a_{\mu}-a_{v}\right| \geq \delta$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq v \leq q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r, f)+\sum_{v=1}^{q} m\left(r, a_{v}, f\right) \leq 2 T(r, f)-N_{1}(r)+S(r) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{1}(\mathrm{r})$ is positive and is given by

$$
N_{1}(r)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+2 N(r, f)-N\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $S(r)=m\left(r, \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)+\sum_{v=1}^{q} m\left(r, \frac{f^{\prime}}{\left(f-a_{v}\right)}\right)+O(\operatorname{logr})$.
The proof of (2) can be carried out following the technique as given in $\{[4], p .32\}$ and using the modified form as given in (1).

It has been obtained in [3] that $m\left(r, \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)$ and hence $m\left(r, \frac{f^{\prime}}{f-a}\right)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left\{\max \left(\log ^{+} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}), \log \mathrm{r}\right)\right\}$ as $\mathrm{r} \rightarrow \infty$ outside a set of r intervals of finite measure. So, we have $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{O}\left\{\max \left(\log ^{+} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}), \log \mathrm{r}\right)\right\}+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r})$
$=\mathrm{O}\left\{\max \left(\log \mathrm{r}, \log ^{+} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})\right)\right\}$.
Adding $N(r, f)+\sum_{v=1}^{q} N\left(r, a_{v}, f\right)$ to both sides of (2) and using (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-1) T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\sum_{v=1}^{q} \bar{N}\left(r, a_{v}, f\right)+S_{1}(r) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}(r)=O(\log T(r, f))$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \sum_{v=1}^{q} \bar{N}\left(r, a_{\gamma}, f\right) \geq(\mathrm{q}-1) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})-\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{S}_{1}(\mathrm{r}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{n}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$ correspond to distinct roots.
Further, because $f_{a}$ has an essential singularity at $\propto$, we have $\{[3], p .90\}$, $\frac{\log r}{\mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{r} \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Lemma and Theorem

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemma.
Lemma. If n is any positive integer and f and $\phi$ are functions in class II, then for any $\mathrm{r}_{0}>0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, a positive constant

$$
\frac{T\left(r, f_{n+p}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}>M_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n+p}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}>M_{1}
$$

according as $p$ is even or odd, for all large $r$, except a set of $r$ intervals of total finite length.

Proof. Case I. p is even. In this case we consider the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad f_{n+p}(z)=a \text {, where } a \neq 0, \propto \\
& \text { i.e., } \quad f_{p}\left(f_{n}(z)\right)=a \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{a} \text { at } \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{l}}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\text { and } & \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots) .
\end{array}
$$

We observe that because $f_{p}$ is transcendental, $f_{p}(w)=$ a has infinitely many roots for every complex number a with two exceptions $\mathrm{a}=0, \propto$.

From (1)

$$
\text { i. } \begin{aligned}
& O(\log r)+T\left(r, f_{n+p}\right)=m\left(r, a, f_{n+p}\right)+N\left(r, a, f_{n+p}\right) \\
& \text { i.e., } T\left(r, f_{n+p}\right)=m\left(r, a, f_{n+p}\right)+N\left(r, a, f_{n+p}\right)+O(\log r) \\
& \geq N\left(r, a, f_{d+p}\right)+O(\log r) \\
& \geq \bar{N}\left(r, a, f_{n+p}\right)+O(\log r) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{N}\left(r, w_{i}, f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a fixed $M$, say $>M_{1}+3$.
From (4) taking $a_{v}=w_{i}, f=f_{n}$ and $q=M$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{N}\left(r, w_{i}, f_{n}\right) \geq(M-1) T\left(r, f_{n}\right)-\bar{N}\left(r, f_{n}\right)-S_{1}(r) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}(r)=O\left(\log T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\right)$ and so for all large $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(r) \leq T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (6) and using $\bar{N}\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq T\left(r, f_{n}\right)$ we have from (5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{N}\left(r, w_{i}, f_{n}\right) \geq(M-3) T\left(r, f_{n}\right) . \\
\therefore \quad & T\left(r, f_{a+p}\right) \geq(M-3) T\left(r, f_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

outside a set of $r$ intervals of total finite length.

Case II. p is odd. In this case we consider the equation

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \phi_{n+p}(z)=a, a \neq 0, \propto \\
\text { i.e., } \quad & \phi_{p}\left(f_{n}(z)\right)=a .
\end{array}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{w}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{a} \quad \text { at } \mathrm{w}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .
$$

and

$$
f_{n}(z)=w_{i}^{\prime} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots)
$$

$\operatorname{From}(1) \quad O(\log r)+T\left(r, \phi_{n+p}\right)=m\left(r, a, \phi_{n+p}\right)+N\left(r, a, \phi_{n+p}\right)$
i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{r}, \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right) & =\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}, \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right)+\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}, \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r}) \\
& \geq \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}, \phi_{n+p}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\overline{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}, \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r}) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a fixed $M$, say $>M_{1}+3$.
Now we have (as in (5))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{N}\left(r, w_{i}, f_{n}\right) \geq(M-1) T\left(r, f_{n}\right)-\bar{N}\left(r, f_{n}\right)-T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \\
& T\left(r, \phi_{n+p}\right)>(M-3) T\left(r, f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

outside a set of $r$ intervals of total finite length and the lemma is proved.

Theorem. If $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ belong to class II, then $f(z)$ has an infinity of relative fix points of exact order $n$ for every positive integer $n$, provided $\frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}$ is bounded.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathrm{n}>1$, because if $\mathrm{n}=1$, the theorem follows from Theorem B . For a positive integer $n(>1)$, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{f_{n}(z)}{z}, \quad r_{0}<|z|<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T(r, g)=T\left(r, f_{n}\right)+O(\log r)$.
Assume that $f(z)$ has only a finite number of relative fix points of exact order $n$. Using (3) and then putting $\mathrm{q}=2, \mathrm{a}_{1}=0, \mathrm{a}_{2}=1$, we obtain for g ,

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~g}) \leq \overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, 0, \mathrm{~g})+\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, \infty, \mathrm{~g})+\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{S}_{1}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~g})
$$

where $S_{1}(r, g)=O(\log T(r, g))$ outside a set of $r$ intervals of finite total length \{cf. [4], p. 47\}.

Now we calculate $\bar{N}(r, 0, g)$ and $\bar{N}(r, \infty, g)$. We have $\bar{N}(r, 0, g)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\bar{n}(t, 0, g)}{t} d t$, where $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~g})$ is the number of distinct roots of $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})=0$ in $\mathrm{r}_{0}<|\mathrm{z}| \leq \mathrm{t}$ counted singly. The distinct roots of $g(z)=0$ in $r_{0}<|z| \leq t$ are the roots of $f_{n}(z)=0$ in $r_{0}<|z| \leq t$. By the definition of functions in class II, $f_{n}(z)$ has a singularity at $z=0$ and essential singularity at $\mathrm{z}=\propto$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{z})$ omits the values 0 and $\propto$ except possibly at 0 . So $\overline{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}, 0)=0$. Consequently $\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, 0, \mathrm{~g})=0$. Arguing similarly we can say that $\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}, \infty, \mathrm{g})=0$. So, $T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, l, g)+S_{1}(r, g)$. We now calculate $\bar{N}(r, l, g)$. If $g(z)=1$, then $f_{n}(z)=z$. So,

$$
\bar{N}(r, l, g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{N}\left(r, 0, f_{j}-z\right)+O(\log r)
$$

 relative fix points of exact order $n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore T(r, g) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{N}\left(r, 0, f_{j}-z\right)+S_{1}(r, g)+O(\log r) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left[T\left(r, f_{j}-z\right)+O(\log r)\right]+S_{1}(r, g)+O(\log r) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T\left(r, f_{j}-z\right)+S_{1}(r, g)+O(\log r) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T\left(r, f_{j}\right)+O(\log T(r, g))+O(\log r)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{T\left(r, f_{j}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\frac{O(i o g T(r, g))}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\frac{O(\log r)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right] \\
=T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\left[\frac{T\left(r, f_{i 1}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\frac{T\left(r, f_{i 2}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .+\frac{T\left(r, f_{i p}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\left\{\frac{T\left(r, f_{j 1}\right)}{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}+\ldots . .+\frac{T\left(r, f_{j q}\right)}{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}\right\} \frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{O\left(\log \left\{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)+O(\log r)\right\}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\frac{O(\log r)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right], \quad \text { by (7) }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots \ldots, i_{p}, j_{i}, j_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, j_{q}$ are ( $n-1$ ) distinct index together exhausting the set $\{1,2, \ldots \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\left(n-i_{p}\right)$ 's are even and ( $n-j_{q}$ )'s are odd,

$$
=T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\left[\frac{T\left(r, f_{i 1}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+\frac{T\left(r, f_{i p}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\left\{\frac{T\left(r, f_{j 1}\right)}{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}+\ldots \ldots+\frac{T\left(r, f_{j q}\right)}{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}\right\} \frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{O\left(\log \left\{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{O(\log r)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right)\right\}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}+\frac{O(\log r)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}\right]
$$

$<T\left(r, f_{n}\right)\left[\frac{n-1}{4 n}+\frac{n+1}{4 n}\right]$ for all large $r$, by the Lemma and since $\frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}$ is bounded,

$$
=\frac{1}{2} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) .
$$

$\therefore \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{g})<\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ for all large r . This contradicts (7). Hence $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ has infinitely many relative fix points of exact order $\mathrm{n}(>1)$.
This proves the theorem.
Note. If $\phi(z)=f(z)$ then $\frac{T\left(r, \phi_{n}\right)}{T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}$ is necessarily bounded and the theorem coincides with Theorem B.
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