

Infinitesimal Paraholomorphically Projective Transformation On Cotangent Bundle With Riemannian Extension

Lokman BİLEN^{1*}

ABSTRACT: The main purpose of the present paper is to study some properties of infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation on T^*M with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian extension (${}^R\nabla$) and adapted almost paracomplex structure J . Moreover, if T^*M be admits a non-affine infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation, than M and T^*M are locally flat.

Keywords: Paraholomorphically projective transformation, almost paracomplex structure, Riemannian extension, adapted frame.

¹ Lokman BİLEN (Orcid ID: 0000 0001 8240 5359), Iğdir University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics, Iğdir, Turkey

*Corresponding Author: Lokman BİLEN, e-mail: lokman.bilen@igdir.edu.tr

Geliş tarihi / *Received:* 13-03-2020

Kabul tarihi / *Accepted:* 07-06-2020

INTRODUCTION

Let M be an n –dimensional manifold and T^*M its cotangent bundle. Note that in the present paper everything will be always discussed in the C^∞ - category, manifolds will be assumed to be connected and dimension $n > 1$. And let π the natural projection $T^*M \rightarrow M$. The local coordinates (U, x^j) , $j = 1, \dots, n$ on M induces a system of local coordinates $(\pi^{-1}(U), x^j, x^{\bar{j}} = p_j)$, $\bar{j} = n + 1, \dots, 2n$ on T^*M , where $x^{\bar{j}} = p_j$ are the components of the covector p in each cotangent space T_x^*M and $x \in U$ with respect to the natural coframe $\{dx^j\}$. We denote the set of all tensor fields of type (r, s) , by $\mathfrak{S}_s^r(M), \mathfrak{S}_s^r(T^*(M))$ on M and T^*M respectively.

The problem of determining infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation on M and TM have been studied some authors, including (Hasegawa and Yamauchi, 1979; Hasegawa and Yamauchi, 2003; Hasegawa and Yamauchi, 2005; Tarakci et al., 2009; Gezer, 2011). Also, (Etayo and Gadea, 1992; Iscan and Magden, 2008), investigated some properties of infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformations on tangent bundle.

In this paper, we shall use the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian extension by using the horizontal and vertical lifts and we give definition and formulas almost paracomplex structure J . Then we research the infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation on cotangent bundle with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian extension (${}^R\nabla$) and adapted almost paracomplex structure.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Let ∇ be an affine connection on M . A vector field V on M is called an infinitesimal projective transformation if there exist a 1-form Ω on M such that

$$(L_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \Omega(X)Y + \Omega(Y)X,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$, where L_V is the Lie derivation with respect to V . In this case Ω is called the associated 1- form of V . Especially, if $\Omega = 0$ then V is called an infinitesimal affine transformation.

An almost paracomplex manifold is an almost product manifold (M, J) , $J^2 = I$, such that the two eigenbundles T^+M and T^-M associated to the two eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 of J , respectively (Cruceanu et al., 1995; Salimov et al., 2007). (M, J) be an almost paracomplex manifold with affine connection ∇ . A vector field V on M is called an infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation if there exist a 1-form Ω on M such that

$$(L_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \Omega(X)Y + \Omega(Y)X + \Omega(JX)JY + \Omega(JY)JX,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$. In this case Ω is also called the associated 1- form of V (Prvanovic, 1971; Etayo and Gadea, 1992).

Let $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $\omega = \omega_i dx^i$ be the local expressions of a vector field X and a covector (1-form) field ω on M , respectively. According to the induced coordinates the vertical lift ${}^V\omega$ of ω , the horizontal lift ${}^H X$ and the complete lift ${}^C X$ of X are obtained as follows

$${}^V\omega = \omega_i \partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad (1)$$

$${}^H X = X^i \partial_i + p_h \Gamma_{ij}^h X^j \partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad (2)$$

$${}^C X = X^j \partial_i - p_h \partial_i X^h \partial_{\bar{i}},$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\partial_{\bar{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{i}}}$ and Γ_{ij}^h are the coefficients of symmetric (torsion-free) affine connection ∇ on M (Yano and Ishihara, 1973). For arbitrary $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_0^1(M)$ and $\theta, \omega \in \mathfrak{X}_1^0(M)$, the Lie bracket operation of vertical and horizontal vector fields on T^*M is given as follows

$$\begin{cases} [{}^H X, {}^H Y] = {}^H[X, Y] + {}^V(p \circ R(X, Y)) \\ [{}^H X, {}^V \omega] = {}^V(\nabla_X \omega) \\ [{}^V \theta, {}^V \omega] = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $R = R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$ is the curvature tensor of the symmetric connection ∇ (Yano and Ishihara, 1973).

The adapted frame

The adapted frame $\{E_\alpha\} = \{E_j, E_{\bar{j}}\}$ on each induced coordinate neighbourhood $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of T^*M is given by (Yano and Ishihara, 1973)

$$\begin{cases} E_j = {}^H X_{(j)} = \partial_j + p_a \Gamma_{hj}^a \partial_{\bar{h}}, \\ E_{\bar{j}} = {}^V \theta_{(j)} = \partial_{\bar{j}}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$X_{(j)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \theta^j = dx^j, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots = 1, \dots, 2n$ denote the indices according to the adapted frame. It follows from (1), (2) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^V \omega &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \omega_j \end{pmatrix} \\ {}^H X &= \begin{pmatrix} X^j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

according to the adapted frame $\{E_\alpha\}$.

Lemma 1 The Lie bracket of the adapted frame of T^*M satisfies the following identities (Yano and Ishihara, 1973)

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i, E_j] &= p_s R_{ijl}^s E_{\bar{l}}, \\ [E_i, E_{\bar{j}}] &= -\Gamma_{il}^j E_{\bar{l}}, \\ [E_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{ijl}^s = \partial_i \Gamma_{jl}^s - \partial_j \Gamma_{il}^s + \Gamma_{ik}^s \Gamma_{jl}^k - \Gamma_{jk}^s \Gamma_{il}^k$ indicates the Riemannian curvature tensor of (M, g) .

Lemma 2 Let V be a vector field of T^*M with the components $(v^h, v^{\bar{h}})$. Then, the Lie derivatives of the adapted frame and the dual basis are obtained as follows (Bilen, 2019):

1. $L_V E_i = -(E_i v^k) E_k - (v^a p_s R_{iak}^s + E_i v^{\bar{k}} - v^{\bar{a}} \Gamma_{ik}^a) E_{\bar{k}},$
2. $L_V E_{\bar{i}} = -(E_{\bar{i}} v^k) E_k - (v^a \Gamma_{ak}^i + E_{\bar{i}} v^{\bar{k}}) E_{\bar{k}},$
3. $L_V dx^h = (E_k v^h) dx^k + (E_{\bar{k}} v^{\bar{h}}) \delta p_k,$
4. $L_V \delta p_h = (v^a p_s R_{kah}^s - v^{\bar{a}} \Gamma_{kh}^a + (E_k v^{\bar{m}}) \delta_h^m) dx^k + (v^a \Gamma_{ah}^k + (E_{\bar{k}} v^{\bar{m}}) \delta_h^m) \delta p_k.$

{For more work on tangent bundles see (Hasegawa and Yamauchi, 2003; Gezer, 2011)}.

Riemannian Extension

A pseudo-Riemannian metric ${}^R\nabla \in \mathfrak{S}_2^0(T^*M)$ is given by (Yano and Ishihara, 1973).

$${}^R\nabla({}^C X, {}^C Y) = -\gamma(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X),$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$, where

$$-\gamma(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X) = p_m(X^j \nabla_j Y^m + Y^j \nabla_j X^m),$$

${}^R\nabla \in \mathfrak{S}_2^0(T^*M)$ with the following components in $\pi^{-1}(U)$

$${}^R\nabla = ({}^R\nabla_{JI}) = \begin{pmatrix} -2p_h \Gamma_{ji}^h & \delta_j^i \\ \delta_i^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to the natural frame, where δ_j^i is the Kronecker delta. The indices $i, j, k, \dots = 1, \dots, 2n$ correspond to the natural frame $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{i}}} \right\}$. The analyzed tensor field defines a pseudo-Riemannian metric in T^*M and a line element of the pseudo-Riemannian metric ${}^R\nabla$ is given by the formula

$$ds^2 = 2dx^i \delta p_i,$$

where

$$\delta p_i = dp_i - p_h \Gamma_{ji}^h dx^j.$$

This metric is called the Riemannian extension of the symmetric affine connection ∇ (Patterson and Walker, 1952; Yano and Ishihara, 1973). Any tensor field of type (0,2) is entirely detected by its action of ${}^H X$ and ${}^V \omega$ on T^*M (Yano and Ishihara, 1973). Then the Riemannian extension ${}^R\nabla$ is defined by

$${}^R\nabla({}^V \omega, {}^V \theta) = 0,$$

$${}^R\nabla({}^V \omega, {}^H X) = {}^V(\omega(X)) = (\omega(X)) \circ \pi,$$

$${}^R\nabla({}^H X, {}^H Y) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$ and $\omega, \theta \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M)$ (Aslanci et al., 2010).

The Levi-Civita connection of ${}^R\nabla$

${}^C\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of ${}^R\nabla$, because of ${}^C\nabla({}^R\nabla) = 0$. (${}^C\nabla$ is called the complete lift of ∇ to T^*M) The Levi-Civita connection of ${}^C\nabla$ in $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset T^*M$ are given by

$${}^C\Gamma_{ji}^h = \Gamma_{ji}^h$$

$${}^C\Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = -\Gamma_{jh}^i$$

$${}^C\Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = \frac{1}{2} p_m (R_{jih}^m - R_{ihj}^m + R_{hji}^m) = p_m R_{hij}^m$$

$${}^C\Gamma_{ji}^h = {}^C\Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = {}^C\Gamma_{ji}^h = {}^C\Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = {}^C\Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = 0$$

with respect to adapted frame $\{E_\alpha\}$, where Γ_{ji}^h denote the Christoffel symbols constructed with g_{ji} on M (Aslanci et al, 2010).

Let us consider a tensor field J of type (1,1) on T^*M defined by

$$J^H X = -{}^H X, J^V \omega = {}^V \omega,$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$, i.e., $J E_i = -E_i$, $J E_{\bar{i}} = E_{\bar{i}}$. Then we obtain $J^2 = I$. Therefore J is an almost paracomplex structure on T^*M . This almost paracomplex structure is called adapted almost paracomplex structure (Etayo and Gadea, 1992).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theorem 3 Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and T^*M be its cotangent bundle with the Riemannian extension and adapted almost paracomplex structure. A vector field V is an infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation with associated 1- form Ω on T^*M if and only if there exist $B = (B^h) \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$, $D = (D_h) \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M)$ and $A = (A_i^h), C = (C_i^h) \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M)$ satisfying

1. $\begin{pmatrix} v^k \\ v^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^s A_s^k + B^k \\ D_k + p_a C_k^a + 4\varphi p_k + 2p_a p_k \Psi^a \end{pmatrix}$
2. $\nabla_j A^{ki} = 0, \nabla_j C_i^i = 0$
3. $\nabla_j \varphi = 0, \nabla_j \psi = 0, \nabla_j \Psi^i = 0$
4. $A^{ia} R_{aij}^s = 0$
5. $A_s^a R_{aij}^k + A_h^k R_{sij}^h = 0$
6. $\nabla_i \nabla_j B^k + B^a R_{aij}^k = 2\Omega_i \delta_j^k + 2\Omega_j \delta_i^k = L_B \Gamma_{ij}^k$
7. $\nabla_i R_{jak}^s - \nabla_a R_{kji}^s = 0$
8. $R_{jhi}^s \Psi^h = 0$
9. $\nabla_i \nabla_j D_k + D_a R_{kji}^a = 0$
10. $C_k^h R_{jih}^s + C_a^s R_{ijk}^a = 0$
11. $\Omega_j = \frac{1}{4n} \nabla_i \nabla_j B^j, \Omega_{\bar{j}} = \Psi^j$

where $V = \begin{pmatrix} v^k \\ v^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = v^k E_k + v^{\bar{k}} E_{\bar{k}}, \Omega = (\Omega_j dx^j + \Omega_{\bar{j}} \delta y^j)$.

Proof. Here we prove only the necessary condition because it is easy to prove the sufficient condition. Let V be an infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation with the associated 1- form Ω on T^*M

$$(L_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \Omega(X)Y + \Omega(Y)X + \Omega(JX)JY + \Omega(JY)JX$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M)$.

From

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}) = \Omega(E_{\bar{i}})E_{\bar{j}} + \Omega(E_{\bar{j}})E_{\bar{i}} + \Omega(JE_{\bar{i}})JE_{\bar{j}} + \Omega(JE_{\bar{j}})JE_{\bar{i}}$$

we obtain

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}) = 2(\Omega_{\bar{i}} \delta_k^j + \Omega_{\bar{j}} \delta_k^i) E_{\bar{k}} \quad (5)$$

also

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}) = [\partial_{\bar{i}}(\partial_{\bar{j}} v^k)] E_k + [\partial_{\bar{i}}(\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}})] E_{\bar{k}} \quad (6)$$

from (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{i}}(\partial_{\bar{j}} v^k) = 0 \Rightarrow v^k = p^s A_s^k + B^k \quad (7)$$

and

$$\partial_{\bar{i}}(\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}}) = 2(\Omega_{\bar{i}} \delta_k^j + \Omega_{\bar{j}} \delta_k^i). \quad (8)$$

Contracting k and j in (8), we have

$$\Omega_{\bar{i}} = \partial_{\bar{i}} \psi, \quad (9)$$

where $\psi = \frac{1}{2n+2} \partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{j}}$. If we use the expression (9) in (8), expression (8) is rewritten as follows:

$$\partial_{\bar{i}} (\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}}) = 2(\partial_{\bar{i}} \psi) \delta_k^j + 2(\partial_{\bar{j}} \psi) \delta_k^i. \quad (10)$$

Differentiating (10) partially, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} &= 2\partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \psi \delta_k^j + 2\partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \psi \delta_k^i \\ &= 2\partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \psi \delta_k^j + 2\partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \psi \delta_k^i \delta_i^j \\ &= \partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} (4\psi \delta_k^j) \end{aligned}$$

from here we get

$$\partial_{\bar{h}} \partial_{\bar{i}} (\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} - 4\psi \delta_k^j) = 0.$$

Written here as

$$M_k^{ij} = \partial_{\bar{i}} (\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} - 4\psi \delta_k^j) \quad (11)$$

and

$$C_k^j + p_a M_k^{aj} = \partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} - 4\psi \delta_k^j, \quad (12)$$

where C_k^j and M_k^{ij} are certain functions which depend only on the variables (x^h) . Also

$$M_k^{ij} + M_k^{ji} = \partial_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} - 4\partial_{\bar{i}} \psi \delta_k^j + \partial_{\bar{j}} \partial_{\bar{i}} v^{\bar{k}} - 4\partial_{\bar{j}} \psi \delta_k^i.$$

Using (10) in above equation

$$M_k^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (M_k^{ij} - M_k^{ji}) = 2 \left[(\partial_{\bar{j}} \psi) \delta_k^i - (\partial_{\bar{i}} \psi) \delta_k^j \right]. \quad (13)$$

Contracting k and j in (12), we have

$$C_k^k + p_a M_k^{ak} = (2 - 2n)\psi.$$

From which

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2 - 2n} C_k^k + p_a \frac{1}{2 - 2n} M_k^{ak}$$

and we get

$$\psi = \varphi + p_a \Psi^a, \quad (14)$$

where $\varphi = \frac{1}{2-2n} C_k^k$ and $\Psi^a = \frac{1}{2-2n} M_k^{ak}$, from which we have

$$\Omega_{\bar{i}} = \partial_{\bar{i}} \psi = \Psi^i. \quad (15)$$

If used (13) and (14) in (12) we get

$$\partial_{\bar{j}} v^{\bar{k}} = C_k^j + 4\varphi \delta_k^j + 2p_a \Psi^a \delta_k^j + 2p_k \Psi^j$$

and

$$v^{\bar{k}} = D_k + p_a C_k^a + 4\varphi p_k + 2p_a p_k \Psi^a, \quad (16)$$

where D_k are certain functions which depend only on (x^h) . The coordinat transformation rule implies that $D = (D_k) \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M)$.

Next, from

$$(L_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \Omega(X)Y + \Omega(Y)X + \Omega(JX)JY + \Omega(JY)JX,$$

we have

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_{\bar{i}}, E_j) = 0$$

or

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_i, E_{\bar{j}}) = 0$$

from which, we get

$$0 = [\nabla_j A^{ki}]E_k + [A^{ia} p_s R_{kaj}^s + v^a R_{jak}^i + \nabla_j C_k^i + 2p_k(\nabla_j \Psi^i) + 2p_a \delta_k^i \nabla_j \Psi^a + 4(\partial_j \varphi) \delta_k^i] E_{\bar{k}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_j A^{ki} = 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$A^{ia} p_s R_{kaj}^s + v^a R_{jak}^i + \nabla_j C_k^i + 2p_s(\nabla_j \Psi^s) + 2p_a \delta_k^i \nabla_j \Psi^a + 4(\partial_j \varphi) \delta_k^i = 0. \quad (18)$$

Contracting k and i in (18), we have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_j C_i^i = 0, \\ \nabla_j \varphi = 0 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\nabla_j \Psi^s = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} A^{ia} R_{aij}^s. \quad (20)$$

Lastly, from

$$(L_V^R \nabla)(E_i, E_j) = (2\Omega_i \delta_j^k + 2\Omega_j \delta_i^k) E_k$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2\Omega_i \delta_j^k + 2\Omega_j \delta_i^k) E_k &= [\nabla_i \nabla_j v^k + v^a R_{aij}^k + A^{hk} p_s R_{hji}^s] E_k \\ &+ [p_s \left((\nabla_i v^h) R_{khj}^s + (\nabla_j v^h) R_{khi}^s - (E_{\bar{h}} v^{\bar{k}}) R_{hji}^s \right) \\ &+ v^a p_s (\nabla_i R_{jak}^s - \nabla_a R_{kji}^s) + (v^{\bar{a}} R_{kji}^{\bar{a}} + \nabla_i \nabla_j v^{\bar{k}})] E_{\bar{k}} \end{aligned}$$

from which, using (7) and (16), we obtain

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j A_s^k + A_s^a R_{aij}^k + A_h^k R_{sij}^h = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j B^k + B^a R_{aij}^k = 2\Omega_i \delta_j^k + 2\Omega_j \delta_i^k = L_B \Gamma_{ij}^k, \quad (22)$$

$$\nabla_i R_{jak}^s - \nabla_a R_{kji}^s = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j \Psi^s + R_{jhi}^s \Psi^h = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j D_k + D_a R_{kji}^a = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$(\nabla_i B^a) R_{kaj}^s + (\nabla_j B^a) R_{kai}^s + C_k^h R_{jhi}^s + C_a^s R_{kji}^a + \nabla_i \nabla_j C_k^s = 0. \quad (26)$$

From (26), we get

$$K_{ij} = (\nabla_i B^a) R_{kaj}^s + (\nabla_j B^a) R_{kai}^s + C_k^h R_{jhi}^s + C_a^s R_{kji}^a + \nabla_i \nabla_j C_k^s = 0,$$

$$K_{ji} = (\nabla_j B^a) R_{kai}^s + (\nabla_i B^a) R_{kaj}^s + C_k^h R_{ihj}^s + C_a^s R_{kij}^a + \nabla_j \nabla_i C_k^s = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} - K_{ji} &= C_k^h (R_{jhi}^s - R_{ihj}^s) + C_a^s (R_{kji}^a - R_{kij}^a) = 0 \\ C_k^h R_{jih}^s + C_a^s R_{ijk}^a &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Contracting j and k in (22), we obtain

$$\Omega_i = \frac{1}{4n} \nabla_i \nabla_j B^j. \quad (28)$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4 Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and T^*M be its cotangent bundle with the Riemannian

extension and adapted almost paracomplex structure. If T^*M admits a non-affine infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation, then M and T^*M are locally flat.

Proof. Let V be non-affine infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation on T^*M , using (3) in the expression of theorem 3, we have $\nabla_i \|\Psi\|^2 = \nabla_j \|\partial\psi\|^2 = 0$. Hence, $\|\Psi\|$ and $\|\partial\psi\|$ are constant on M . Suppose that M is non-locally flat, then $\Psi = \partial\psi = 0$ by virtue of (9) and (3) in the expression of theorem 3, that is, V is an infinitesimal affine transformation. This is a contradiction. Therefore, M is locally flat. In this case T^*M is locally flat.

Corollary 5 Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and T^*M be its cotangent bundle with the Riemannian extension and adapted almost paracomplex structure. A vertical vector field V is an infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation with associated 1- form Ω on T^*M if and only if there exist $D = (D_h) \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M)$ and $C = (C_i^h) \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M)$ satisfying

1. $\begin{pmatrix} v^k \\ v^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D_k + p_a C_k^a + 4\varphi p_k + 2p_a p_k \Psi^a \end{pmatrix}$
2. $\nabla_j C_k^i = 0$
3. $\nabla_j \varphi = 0, \nabla_j \psi = 0, \nabla_j \Psi^i = 0$
4. $\nabla_i \nabla_j D_k + D_a R_{kji}^a = 0$
5. $C_a^s R_{kji}^a + C_k^h R_{jhi}^s = 0$
6. $\Psi^h R_{jhi}^s = 0$
7. $\Psi^a R_{jki}^s + \Psi^s R_{kji}^a = 0$
8. $\Omega_j = 0, \Omega_{\bar{j}} = \Psi^j$

where $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = v^{\bar{k}} E_{\bar{k}}$, $\Omega = (\Omega_j dx^j + \Omega_{\bar{j}} \delta y^j)$.

CONCLUSION

In this article, we use the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian extension and we give definition and formulas almost paracomplex structure J . Then we research the infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformation on cotangent bundle with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian extension (${}^R\nabla$) and adapted almost paracomplex structure J .

REFERENCES

- Aslanci S, Kazimova S and Salimov A A, 2010. Some remarks concerning Riemannian extensions. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 62(5): 661-675.
- Bilen L, 2019. Projective Vector Fields on the Cotangent Bundle with Modified Riemannian Extension. Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology, 9(1): 389-396.
- Cruceanu V, Gadea PM and Munoz Masque J, 1995. Para-Hermitian and Para-Kahler Manifolds. Quaderni Inst. Math. Messina, 2: 1-70.
- Etayo F and Gadea PM, 1992. Paraholomorphically projective vector field. An. St.Univ."Al. I. Cuza" Iași Sect. a Mat. (N. S.), 38: 201-210.
- Gezer A, 2011. On infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations on the tangent bundles with

- respect to the Sasaki metric. Proc. Est. Acad. Sci, 60(3): 149-157
- Hasegawa I and Yamauchi K, 1979. On infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations in compact Kaehlerian manifolds. Hokkaido Math. J, 8: 214–219.
- Hasegawa I and Yamauchi K, 2003. Infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations on the tangent bundles with horizontal lift connection and adapted almost complex structure. J. Hokkaido Univ. Education, 53: 1–8.
- Hasegawa I and Yamauchi K, 2005. Infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations on the tangent bundles with complete lift connection. Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst, 7: 42–48.
- Iscan M and Magden A, 2008. Infinitesimal paraholomorphically projective transformations on tangent bundles with diagonal lift connection. Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems, Vol.10: 170-177.
- Patterson E M and Walker A G, 1952. Riemannian extensions. Quant. J. Math, 3: 19–28.
- Prvanovic M, 1971. Holomorphically projective transformations in a locally product spaces. Math. Balkanika (N.S.), 1: 195-213.
- Salimov A A, Iscan M and Etayo F, 2007. Paraholomorphic B-manifold and its properties. Topology and its Application, 154: 925-933.
- Tarakci O, Gezer A and Salimov A A, 2009. On solutions of IHPT equations on tangent bundle with the metric II+III. Math.Comput. Modelling, 50: 953–958.
- Yano K, Ishihara S, 1973. Tangent and cotangent bundles. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York.