ON LINDELOF'S PROXIMATE ORDER

P. K. KAMTHAN(*)

The object of this paper is to prove some inequalities for the upper and lower limits of certain ratios of particular integral functions of Lindelöy's proximate order.

Introduction: Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function having order $\varrho (0 < \varrho < \infty)$ and Lindelör's proximate order $\varrho(r)$ (see [1], p. 54). Define:

$$M(r) = \exp \int_{\Delta}^{r} \frac{\varrho(x)}{x} dx$$
; $N(r) = \int_{\Delta}^{r} \frac{n(x)\varrho(x)}{x} dx$; $\delta > 0$,

where n(x) is a non-decreasing function of x, at least for $x \geq x_0$. Let

$$\underline{\lim}_{r\to\infty} \frac{N(r)}{M(r)} = \frac{A}{D}; \qquad \underline{\lim}_{r\to\infty} \frac{n(r)}{M(r)} = \frac{C}{D};$$

The following relationships between these limits may then be proved.

Theorem:

(i)
$$A \leq C$$
; (ii) $B \leq D \{1 + \log(C/D)\}$,

$$(iii) \quad A \geq \frac{C}{e} \, e^{D/C} \, ; \qquad \qquad (iv) \quad B \geq D \, ; \qquad \qquad (iv') \quad A \geq D ;$$

(v)
$$C \leq Ae$$
; (vi) $D + C \leq Ae$.

^(*) The Author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. S. C. Mitra. His thanks are also due to the Government of India for its financial assistance.

Corollary: Equality cannot hold at the same time in (iv') and (vi).

To prove the theorem, the following intermediate lemma is required:

Lemma: If M(r) is defined as above, then for every finite $\eta \geq 0$,

$$\frac{M(r+\eta r)}{M(r)} \to (1+\eta)^{\varrho}$$

uniformly as $r \to \infty$.

Proof of the lemma: We have

$$\log \left\{ \frac{M(r+\eta r)}{M(r)} \right\} = \int_{r}^{r+\eta r} \frac{\varrho(x)}{x} dx = \left[\varrho(x) \log x \right]_{x=r}^{x=r+\eta r} - \int_{r}^{r+\eta r} \varrho'(x) \log x dx.$$

But

$$\left|\int_{r}^{r+\eta r} \varrho'(x) \log x \, dx\right| < \varepsilon \log (1+\eta), \ r > r_0(\varepsilon),$$

by (iii), ([1], p. 54). Hence for sufficiently large r

$$\log \left\{ \frac{M\left(r+\eta r\right)}{M\left(r\right)} \right\} = \log \frac{(1+\eta)\,r)\varrho(r+\eta r)}{r^{\varrho(r)}} + O\left(1\right) \rightarrow \log \left(1+\eta\right)^{2},$$

by lemma 1 ([1], p. 55), uniformly as $r \to \infty$.

Proof of the theorem: We have

$$N(r + \eta r) = O(1) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{n(x) \varrho(x)}{x} dx + \int_{r}^{r + \eta r} \frac{n(x) \varrho(x)}{x} dx$$

$$= O(1) + \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{n(x) M'(x)}{M(x)} dx + \int_{r}^{r + \eta r} \frac{n(x) M'(x)}{M(x)} dx$$

$$< O(1) + (C + s) M(r) + n(r + \eta r) \log \left\{ \frac{M(r + \eta r)}{M(r)} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{M\left(r+\eta r\right)}{N\left(r+\eta r\right)} < o\left(1\right) + \left(C+\varepsilon\right) \frac{M\left(r\right)}{M\left(r+\eta r\right)} + \frac{n\left(r+\eta r\right)}{M\left(r+\eta r\right)} \log \left\{\frac{M\left(r+\eta r\right)}{M\left(r\right)}\right\} \cdot$$

Hence

(1)
$$A \leq \frac{C}{(1+\eta)^{\varrho}} + \varrho C \log (1+\eta);$$

(2)
$$B \leq \frac{C}{(1+\eta)^{\varrho}} + \varrho D \log (1+\eta).$$

Substituting $\eta = (C/D)^{1/2} - 1$ in (2)] and $\eta = 0$ in (1), we get (ii) and (i) respectively. Similarly we have

$$\frac{N\left(r+\eta r\right)}{M\left(r+\eta r\right)} > o\left(1\right) + \left(D-\varepsilon\right) \frac{M\left(r\right)}{M\left(r+\eta r\right)} + \frac{n\left(r\right)}{M\left(r\right)} \cdot \frac{M\left(r\right)}{M\left(r+\eta r\right)} \log \left\{ \frac{M\left(r+\eta r\right)}{M\left(r\right)} \right\} \cdot$$

Therefore

(3)
$$A \ge \frac{D}{(1+\eta)^{\varrho}} + \frac{C \varrho}{(1+\eta)^{\varrho}} \log (1+\eta);$$

(4)
$$B \ge \frac{D}{(1+\eta)^{Q}} + \frac{D \varrho}{(1+\eta)^{Q}} \log (1+\eta).$$

Substituting $\eta = \exp \{(C-D)/\varrho C\} - 1$ in (3) and $\eta = 0$ in (4); (iii) and (iv) are obtained respectively.

Now from (iii)

(5)
$$Ae \ge C(1+D/C+\cdots) \ge C,$$

and so (v) follows. Also from (5)

$$Ae \geq C(1+D/C),$$

and so (vi) follows. (iv') is obvious from (iv).

Proof of the corrollary: Suppose first A=D. Then from (3), for η sufficiently small

$$C \leq \frac{\left\{ (1+\eta)^{\varrho} - 1 \right\} A}{\varrho \log (1+\eta)} = \left\{ \frac{\varrho \eta + O(\eta^2)}{\varrho \eta + O(\eta^2)} \right\} A \to A,$$

as $\eta \to 0$. Hence

$$C \leq A = D$$

but $C \ge D$ always, hence C = D = A. Therefore

$$C+D=2A< eA$$
.

Next suppose C + D = eA, then we say that D < A, for if it were equal to A, then from the above argument C + D < eA, contrary to the hypothesis.

Remark: The above results include those of S. K. Singh [2].

REFERENCES

[1] CARTWRIGHT, M. L.: Integral functions, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS, Cambridge (1956).

[2] Sinoh, S. K.: On the maximum term and its rank of an entire function, Acta Mathematica, 94, 1-11, (1955).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BIRLA COLLEGE PILANI — INDIA (Manuscript received July 1, 1963)

ÖZET

Bu yazıda Lindriör "yaklaşık mertebesinin" bazı özel integral fonksiyonlariyle meydana getirilen birtakım kesirlerinin alt ve üst limitleri arasında meycut birkaç eşitsizlik ispat edilmektedir.