

ON THE GROWTH OF A CLASS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

S. K. SINGH AND K. MANJANATHAIAH

Let $f(z)$ be an entire function, $M(r, f)$ its maximum modulus on $|z| = r$ and

$$N(r, a) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a)}{t} dt.$$

M is compared to both N and n .

0. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function, let $M(r, f)$ be the maximum modulus of $f(z)$ on $|z| = r$ and let

$$N(r, a) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a)}{t} dt.$$

In section 1 we compare $\log M(r, f)$ with $N(r, a)$ and in section 2, we compare it with $n(r, a)$.

1. Theorem 1. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ such that*

$$(1) \quad A_1 \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1 - c} < \log M(r, f) < A_2 \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}$$

where

$$l_1(r) = \log r, \quad l_m(r) = \log l_{m-1}(r);$$

$\alpha_1 \geq 1$ and $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_m$ are non negative and $0 < c < 1$; then

$$\log M(r, f) \sim N(r, a)$$

for all a .

Proof: Without any loss of generality we can take $a = 0$. Put

$$N(r) = N(r, 0); \quad n(r) = n(r, 0).$$

Then

$$N(r^2) > A + \int_r^{r^2} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt > n(r) \log r.$$

So

$$n(r) \log r < N(r^2) < \log M(r^2, f) < A_0 \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}$$

Hence

$$(1.1) \quad n(r) = O\{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}$$

Further from the right hand of the inequality of (1) it follows that $f(z)$ is of order zero.

Hence

$$(1.2) \quad \log M(r, f) < \int_0^r \frac{n(x)}{x} dx + r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx = N(r) + r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx &< r A \int_r^\infty \frac{\{l_1(x)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(x)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(x)\}^{\alpha_m} dx}{x^2} \\ &= A r \int_r^\infty \frac{\{l_1(x)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(x)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(x)\}^{\alpha_m}}{x^k} \left(\frac{dx}{x^{2-k}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we take $0 < k < 1$.

Since

$$\frac{\{l_1(x)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(x)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(x)\}^{\alpha_m}}{x^k}$$

is a decreasing function of x for $r \geq r_0$, so (*)

$$\begin{aligned} r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx &< \frac{A_1 r \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}}{r^k} \int_r^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{2-k}} \\ &\leq A \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.2) we then get,

$$\log M(r, f) < N(r) + A \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m},$$

hence

$$1 < \frac{N(r)}{\log M(r, f)} + \frac{A \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-1} \{l_2(r)\}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \{l_m(r)\}^{\alpha_m}}{\log M(r, f)}.$$

But

$$\log M(r, f) > A_1 \{l_1(r)\}^{\alpha_1-c}$$

hence

(*) A denotes a constant which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

$$1 < \frac{N(r)}{\log M(r, f)} + o(1)$$

so

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{\log M(r, f)} \geq 1.$$

But, always

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{\log M(r, f)} \leq 1,$$

hence

$$\log M(r, f) \sim N(r).$$

2. **Theorem 2.** Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order ϱ ($0 < \varrho < 1$), then

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{n(r)} \leq \frac{1}{\varrho(1-\varrho)}$$

Proof: Let ϱ_1 be the exponent of convergence of the zeros of $f(z)$. Then,

$$\varrho_1 = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(r)}{\log r}$$

Hence there exists a proximate order $\varrho_1(r)$ having the following properties:

(i) $\varrho_1(r)$ is differentiable for $r > r_0$ except at isolated points at which $\varrho_1'(r-0)$ and $\varrho_1'(r+0)$ exist;

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_1(r) = \varrho_1;$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \varrho_1'(r) \log r = 0;$$

$$(iv) \quad n(r) \leq r^{\varrho_1(r)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0;$$

$$n(r) = r^{\varrho_1(r)} \quad \text{for an infinity of values of } r.$$

The proof of the existence of $\varrho_1(r)$ is similar to that of the existence of $\varrho(r)$ with respect to $\log M(r, f)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f) &< \int_0^r \frac{n(x)}{x} dx + r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(x)}{x^2} dx \\ &= N(r) + r \int_r^\infty \frac{N'(x)}{x} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= N(r) + r \left[\frac{N(x)}{x} \right]_r^\infty + r \int_r^\infty \frac{N(x)}{x^2} dx \\
 &= r \int_r^\infty \frac{N(x)}{x^2} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

because

$$N(x) = O(x^{\varrho+\epsilon}).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(x) &= A + \int_{r_0}^x \frac{n(t)}{t} dt < A + \int_{r_0}^x t^{\varrho_1(t)-1} dt \\
 &\sim \frac{x^{\varrho_1(x)}}{\varrho_1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for x sufficiently large.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log M(r, f) &< \frac{r}{\varrho_1} \int_r^\infty x^{\varrho_1(x)-2} dx \\
 &\sim \frac{r}{\varrho_1} \frac{r^{\varrho_1(r)-1}}{1-\varrho_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log M(r, f) &< \frac{r^{\varrho_1(r)}}{\varrho_1(1-\varrho_1)} \\
 &= \frac{n(r)}{\varrho_1(1-\varrho_1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for a sequence of values of r .

Hence

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{n(r)} \leq \frac{1}{\varrho_1(1-\varrho_1)}$$

and the result follows because $\varrho = \varrho_1$, as $\varrho < 1$.

3. POLYA [1] has proved that if $f(z)$ is an entire function of non-integral order ϱ ($\varrho > 0$) then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{n(r)} < \infty.$$

We give here an alternative proof of this theorem using the properties of proximate order.

Proof: From [2] we have the inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f) &< A r^q + K r^q \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + K r^{q+1} \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt \\ &< A r^q + K r^q \int_{r_0}^r \varrho t^{(t)-q-1} dt + K r^{q+1} \int_r^\infty t^{\varrho(t)-q-2} dt \\ &\sim A r^q + K r^q \frac{r^{\varrho(r)-q}}{\varrho-q} + K r^{q+1} \frac{r^{\varrho(r)-q-1}}{q+1-\varrho}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(z)$ is of nonintegral order, so $q < \varrho(r)$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f) &< O(r^{\varrho(r)}) + A r^{\varrho(r)} \\ &= [A + O(1)] n(r) \end{aligned}$$

for a sequence of values of r tending to ∞ .

Hence the result follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. POLYA : Math. Ann., 88, Pp. 169-183, (1920).
 [2] R. NEVANLINNA : *Le Theoreme de PICARD-BOREL et la theorie des fonctions meromorphes*, P. 42, Paris (1929).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
 KARNATAK UNIVERSITY
 DHARWAR — INDIA

(Received February 28, 1962)

ÖZET

$f(z)$ bir tam fonksiyon, $M(r, f)$ bu fonksiyonun $|z|=r$ üzerindeki maksimum modülü ve

$$N(r, a) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a)}{t} dt$$

olsun. M gerek N gerekse n ile mukayese edilmiştir.