

FOURIER SERIES FOR FOX'S H -FUNCTIONS ¹⁾

H. N. NIGAM

The object of the present paper is to establish two FOURIER series expansions for Fox's H -functions.

1. The object of the present paper is to establish the following two FOURIER series expansions for Fox's H -functions:

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (1-r, 1), (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (2+r, 1) \\ (\frac{3}{2}, 1), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q), (1, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \sin(2r+1)\theta$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sin \theta \cdot H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \theta} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right],$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $\sum_1^p \alpha_j - \sum_1^q \beta_j \leq 0$, $\sum_1^n \alpha_j - \sum_{n+1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_1^m \beta_j - \sum_{m+1}^q \beta_j \equiv \lambda > 0$

and $|\arg Z| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi$.

$$(1.2) \quad H_{p+1, q+1}^{m+1, n} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (1, 1) \\ (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right] +$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (1-r, 1), (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (1+r, 1) \\ (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q), (1, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \cos r\theta$$

$$= \sqrt{\pi} H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right],$$

where $0 < \theta \leq \pi$, $\sum_1^p \alpha_j - \sum_1^q \beta_j \leq 0$, $\sum_1^n \alpha_j - \sum_{n+1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_1^m \beta_j - \sum_{m+1}^q \beta_j \equiv \lambda > 0$

and $|\arg Z| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi$.

¹⁾ I am thankful to University Grants Commission, Government of India, for the Senior Research Fellowship.

The H -function introduced by Fox [1, 408] will be represented and defined in the following manner :

$$(1.3) \quad H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j s)} z^s ds,$$

where z is not equal to zero and an empty product is interpreted as having the value unity ; m , n , p and q are integers satisfying $1 \leq m \leq q$, $0 \leq n \leq p$; $\alpha_j (j = 1, \dots, p)$, $\beta_j (j = 1, \dots, q)$ are positive numbers and $a_j (j = 1, \dots, p)$, $b_j (j = 1, \dots, q)$ are complex numbers such that no pole of $\Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ coincides with any pole of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The poles of the integrand must be simple and those of $\Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ lie on one side of the contour L and those of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ must lie on the other side.

To prove (1.1) and (1.2) whose conditions of validity are given in section 2, we require the following FOURIER series established by MACROBERT [7, 79] and [9, 143].

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\sqrt{x} \Gamma(2-s)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-s\right)} (\sin \theta)^{1-2s} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s; r)}{(2-s; r)} \sin(2r+1)\theta,$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $\operatorname{Re} s \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Here $(s; 0) = 1$, $(s; r) = s(s+1)\dots(s+r-1)$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\sqrt{x} \Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right)} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-2s} = 1 + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s; r)}{(1-s; r)} \cos r\theta,$$

where $0 < \theta \leq \pi$,

Using (1.3), the expression on the left side of (1.1) can be written as

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-s\right) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s) \Gamma(r+s)}{\Gamma(s) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j s) \Gamma(2+r-s)} Z^s ds \cdot \sin(2r+1)\theta.$$

Here the contour L runs from $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$. The conditions

$$0 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} b_j > \sigma \beta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\operatorname{Re} a_j < \sigma \alpha_j + 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

ensure that all the poles of $\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-s\right)$ and $\Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ lie to the right of L and those of $\Gamma(r+s)$ and $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ lie to the left of L , as required for the

definition of the H -function on the left side of (1.1). The integral converges if $\sum_1^p \alpha_j - \sum_1^q \beta_j \leq 0$,

$$\sum_1^n \alpha_j - \sum_{n+1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_1^m \beta_j - \sum_{m+1}^q \beta_j \equiv \lambda > 0 \text{ and } |\arg Z| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi.$$

On changing the order of integration and summation which is easily seen to be justified, the above expression becomes

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} - s\right) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\Gamma(2 - s) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j s)} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s; r)}{(2 - s; r)} \sin(2r + 1)\theta \right] Z^s ds$$

and on using the relation (1.4), it takes the form

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sin \theta \cdot \frac{i}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j) s}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j s)} \left(\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \theta} \right)^s ds$$

which is just the expression on the right side of (1.1). (1.1) is the FOURIER sine series for the H -functions.

The FOURIER cosine series (1.2) is proved in an analogous manner by using (1.3) and (1.5). The conditions of validity of (1.2) are

$$0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} b_j > \sigma \beta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\operatorname{Re} a_j < \sigma \alpha_j + 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

2. As the H -function is a very general function, we get, on specializing the parameters, many cases, some of which are known and others are believed to be new. Some interesting cases are given below:

(i) Using the relation [1, 199]

$$H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \equiv Z^{-\mu} H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

in (1.1) and (1.2), we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (1-r+\mu, 1), (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p), (2+r+\mu, 1) \\ \left(\frac{3}{2} + \mu, 1\right), (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q), 1 + \mu, 1 \end{matrix} \right. \right] \sin(2r + 1)\theta$$

(2.1)

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} (\sin \theta)^{1+2\mu} H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \theta} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{p+1, q+1}^{m+1, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p), (1 + \mu, 1) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu, 1\right), (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] + \\
 (2.2) \quad & + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (1-r+\mu, 1), (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p), (1+r+\mu, 1) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu, 1\right), (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q), (1 + \mu, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \cos r\theta \\
 & = \sqrt{\pi} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2\mu} H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 + \mu\alpha_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p + \mu\alpha_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1 + \mu\beta_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q + \mu\beta_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Using another relation [4, 199]

$$H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \equiv H_{q, p}^{n, m} \left[\frac{1}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q) \\ (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

in (i.i) and (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{q+2, p+2}^{n+1, m+1} \left[\frac{1}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), 1-b_1, \beta_1, \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q), 0, 1 \\ (r, 1), (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p), (-1-r, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \sin(2r+1)\theta \\
 (2.3) \quad & = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sin \theta \cdot H_{q, p}^{n, m} \left[\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q) \\ (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{q+1, p+1}^{n, m+1} \left[\frac{1}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), (1-b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q) \\ (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p), (0, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] + \\
 (2.4) \quad & + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{q+2, p+2}^{n+1, m+1} \left[\frac{1}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), (1-b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q), (0, 1) \\ (r, 1), (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p), (-r, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \cos \gamma\theta \\
 & = \sqrt{\pi} H_{q, p}^{n, m} \left[\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{Z} \left| \begin{matrix} (-b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (1-b_q, \beta_q) \\ (1-a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (1-a_p, \alpha_p) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Again, using the relation [4, 199]

$$H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \equiv c H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z^c \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, c\alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c\alpha_p) \\ (b_1, c\beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c\beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad c > 0$$

in (1.1) and (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z^c \left| \begin{matrix} (1-r, c), (a_1, c\alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c\alpha_p), (2+r, c) \\ \left(\frac{3}{2}, c\right), (b_1, c\beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c\beta_q), (1, c) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \sin(2r+1)\theta \\
 (2.5) \quad & = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sin \theta \cdot H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z^c}{(\sin \theta)^{2c}} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, c\alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c\alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c\beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & H_{p+1, q+1}^{m+1, n} \left[Z^c \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, c \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c \alpha_p), (1, c) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}, c\right), (b_1, c \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right] + \\
 & + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z^c \left[\begin{matrix} (1-r, c), (a_1, c \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c \alpha_p), (1+r, c) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}, c\right), (b_1, c \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c \beta_q), (1, c) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \\
 & = \sqrt{x} H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z^c}{\left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2c}} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, c \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, c \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, c \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, c \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If we make use of the relation [1, 199].

$$H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, 1), \dots, (\alpha_p, 1) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \equiv G_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right] \right],$$

the formulae (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to the FOURIER series for MEIJER'S G -functions obtained by KESARWANI [6, 149].

Further, on using the relation [4, 199]

$$H_{q+1, p}^{p, 1} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1), (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1) \\ (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right] \equiv E(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z),$$

where $E(\cdot)$ denotes MACROBERT'S E -function [5, 203], the formulae (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to the FOURIER series for E -functions obtained by MACROBERT [2, 79, eqs. (1) and (2)].

3. From (1.1) and (1.2), we easily deduce the integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \int_0^{\pi} \sin(2r+1)\theta \sin \theta \cdot H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \theta} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right] d\theta \\
 & = \sqrt{x} H_{p+2, q+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (1-r, 1), (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (2+r, 1) \\ \left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q), (1, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \int_0^{\pi} \cos r\theta \cdot H_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[\frac{Z}{\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right] \right] d\theta \\
 & = \sqrt{x} H_{p+2, r+2}^{m+1, n+1} \left[Z \left[\begin{matrix} (1-r, 1), (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (1+r, 1) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q), (1, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_1^p \alpha_j - \sum_1^q \beta_j \leq 0, \sum_1^n \alpha_j - \sum_{n+1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_1^m \beta_j - \sum_{m+1}^q \beta_j \equiv \lambda > 0,$$

$$|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi \text{ and } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

REFERENCES

- [1] FOX, C. : *The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3, 98, 395-429, (1961).
- [2] MACROBERT, T. M. : *Fourier series for E-functions*, *Math. Zeitschr.*, 75, 79-82 (1961).
- [3] MACROBERT, T. M. : *Infinite series of E-functions*, *Math. Zeitschr.*, 71, 143-145 (1959).
- [4] SHARMA, O. P. : *Some finite and infinite integrals involving K-function and Gauss's Hypergeometric functions*, *Collectanea Mathematica*, 17, 197-209 (1965).
- [5] KESARWANI, R. N. : *Fourier Series for Meijer's G-functions*, *Compositio Mathematica*, 17, 149-151, (1966).
- [6] ERDELYI, A. : *Higher Transcendental Functions*, 1, MCGRAW-HILL BOOK CO., NEW YORK (1953).

DEPARTMENT of MATHEMATICS
and ASTRONOMY,
LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY,
LUCKNOW (INDIA)

(Manuscript received June 1, 1969)

Ö Z E T

Bu araştırmanın gayesi FOX tarafından [1] tanımlanan *H*-fonksiyonları için iki FOURIER serisi açılımını bulmaktır. Elde edilen ifadeler (1.1) ve (1.2) de gösterilmiştir.