

Δ-CURVATURES AND Δ-GEODESIC PRINCIPAL DIRECTIONS OF A CONGRUENCE OF CURVES IN THE SUBSPACE OF A FINSLER SPACE¹⁾

C. M. PRASAD

The process of δ -differentiation [4] leads to the use of the DUPIN indicatrix in finding out the principal directions of a congruence. The process of Δ -differentiation [2] requires the use of the osculating indicatrix corresponding to a direction \dot{x}^i in finding out the principal directions of a congruence of curves. In the present paper, by using the process of Δ -differentiation, the Δ -curvatures and the Δ -geodesic principal directions of a congruence of curves in the subspace of a FINSLER space have been obtained and some of their properties have been studied.

1. Introduction. Let $F(x, \dot{x})$ be the fundamental metric function of the FINSLER space F_n with local coordinates x^i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and satisfy the conditions usually imposed upon a FINSLER metric [4]. Let F_m be a FINSLER subspace with local coordinates u^α ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Let $L : u^\alpha = u^\alpha(t)$ be a curve on F_m . The components $\dot{x}^i = dx^i/dt$ and $\dot{u}^\alpha = du^\alpha/dt$ of its unit tangent vectors with respect to the x^i and u^α coordinate system are related by $\dot{x}^i = B_\alpha^i \dot{u}^\alpha$ where $B_\alpha^i = \partial x^i / \partial u^\alpha$. At each point of L , a combination $(u^\alpha, \dot{u}^\alpha)$ or (x^i, \dot{x}^i) determines a line-element of F_m . Quantities in our discussion will be considered for this line-element unless stated otherwise. The fundamental metric tensors $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u})$ of F_n and F_m are connected by

$$(1.1) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) = g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j.$$

There exists a system of $n-m$ linearly independent unit vectors $n_{(v)}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$, $v = m+1, \dots, n$, normal to F_m . These vectors are called secondary normal vectors [1] and are defined by the solutions

$$(1.2) \quad n_{(v)}^{*j} B_\alpha^j = g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) n_{(v)}^{*i} B_\alpha^j = 0$$

and are normalised by

$$(1.3) \quad F(x, n_{(v)}^{*i}) = g_{ij}(x, n_{(v)}^{*i}) n_{(v)}^{*i} n_{(v)}^{*j} = 1.$$

These vectors also satisfy the relation

$$(1.4) \quad g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) n_{(v)}^{*i} n_{(u)}^{*j} = \delta_\mu^v \psi_{(v)}, \quad (\text{no summation on } v).$$

¹⁾ The author is extremely grateful to Professor K. B. LAL for his encouragement and guidance.

The covariant derivative of B_α^i with respect to u^β is given by [1],

$$(1.5) \quad B_{\alpha;\beta}^i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_{\alpha\beta}^i = \sum_{\nu} \Omega_{(\nu)\alpha\beta}^* n_{(\nu)}^{*i}$$

where $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^*(u, \dot{u})$ is defined as the secondary second fundamental tensor of the subspace. The covariant derivative of the secondary unit normal is given by

$$(1.6) \quad n_{(\nu);\beta}^{*i} = A_{(\nu)\beta}^{\delta} B_{\delta}^i + \sum_{\mu} N_{(\nu)}^{(\mu)} n_{(\mu)}^{*i}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad A_{(\nu)\beta}^{\delta} = -\psi_{(\nu)} \Omega_{(\nu)\alpha\beta}^* g^{\alpha\delta} - E_{ijk}^*(x, \dot{x}) B_{\beta}^k B_{\alpha}^i n_{(\nu)}^{*j} g^{\alpha\delta}$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad N_{(\nu)\beta}^{(\mu)} \psi_{(\mu)} = u_{(\nu);\beta}^{*j} n_{(\mu)j}^{*i}, \quad E_{ijk}^* = g_{ij;k}(x, \dot{x}).$$

Consider a system of congruences determined by the vector-field $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$. At a point of the subspace, it can be expressed as

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda_{(\sigma)}^i(u, \dot{u}) = t_{(\sigma)}^{\alpha} (u, \dot{u}) B_{\alpha}^i(u) + \sum_{\nu} C_{(\sigma\nu)}(u, \dot{u}) n_{(\nu)}^{*i}(u, \dot{u}).$$

It may be noted that at a point of F_m , the functions $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i(u, \dot{u})$ are single-valued functions of (u, \dot{u}) . Let $C: u^{\alpha} = u^{\alpha}(s)$ be a curve (not in the direction of \dot{u}^{α}) of the subspace. The components, in the x^i and u^{α} coordinate system, of the tangent vector to C are such that

$$(1.10) \quad x'^i = B_{\alpha}^i u'^{\alpha},$$

where $x'^i = \frac{dx^i}{ds}$ and $u'^{\alpha} = \frac{du^{\alpha}}{ds}$.

These vectors satisfy the condition

$$(1.11) \quad g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) x'^i x'^j = g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) u'^{\alpha} u'^{\beta} = \varphi.$$

Let

$$x'^i / \sqrt{\varphi} = g^i \quad \text{and} \quad u'^{\alpha} / \sqrt{\varphi} = g^{\alpha}$$

then equation (1.11) gives

$$(1.12) \quad g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) g^i g^j = g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) g^{\alpha} g^{\beta} = 1.$$

In the following section, by using the process of Δ -differentiation [2], we shall define the Δ -curvatures of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$.

2. Δ -curvatures of a congruence

The Δ -differential of the vector $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ (given by (1.9)) along C is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^i}{\Delta s} = \lambda_{(\sigma);\beta}^i y^{\beta} = \left(W_{(\sigma)}^{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^i + \sum_{\nu} D_{(\sigma\nu)} n_{(\nu)}^{*i} \right),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad W_{(\sigma)}^\alpha = q_{(\sigma)\beta}^\alpha y^\beta = \left(r_{(\sigma);\beta}^\alpha + \sum_\nu C_{(\sigma\nu)} A_{(\nu\beta)}^\alpha \right) y^\beta$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad D_{(\sigma\nu)} = P_{(\sigma\nu)\beta} y^\beta = \left(r_{(\sigma)}^\alpha \Omega_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* + \sum_\tau C_{(\sigma\tau)} N_{(\tau)\beta}^{(\nu)} + C_{(\sigma\nu);\beta} \right) y^\beta.$$

In analogy to the definition of the geodesic curvature of a subspace [4], we define the geodesic curvature of the congruence, $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ as follows :

Definition (2.1). The quantity $K_{(\sigma)G}$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad K_{(\sigma)G}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) W_{(\sigma)}^\alpha W_{(\sigma)}^\beta = \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) = g_{\gamma\delta}(u, \dot{u}) q_{(\sigma)\alpha}^\gamma q_{(\sigma)\beta}^\delta,$$

is called Δ -geodesic curvature of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}$ along C in F_m .

Definition (2.2). If the Δ -geodesic curvature of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}$ in the subspace vanishes at every point of the curve C , the curve is called a Δ -geodesic.

The differential equation of a Δ -geodesic of the congruence is given by

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) \frac{du^\alpha}{ds} \frac{du^\beta}{ds} = 0.$$

If $u^\alpha = u'^\alpha$, the Δ -geodesic is called the λ -geodesic and its differential equation is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, u') du^\alpha du^\beta = 0.$$

If the space F_m is a Riemannian space, (2.7) reduces to λ -geodesic [3].

Since the Δ -geodesic curvature of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ in F_m vanishes along a Δ -geodesic (i.e. $W_{(\sigma)}^\alpha = 0$), the equation (2.1) reduces to

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^i}{\Delta s} \right)_G = \sum_\nu D_{(\nu)} n_{(\nu)}^{*i}.$$

In view of the definition of the secondary normal curvature of the subspace [1], we define the following :

Definition (2.3). The scalar $K_{n^*(\sigma)}$ defined by

$$(2.9) \quad K_{n^*(\sigma)}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^i}{\Delta s} \right)_G \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^j}{\Delta s} \right)_G$$

is called the Δ -secondary normal curvature of the congruence in the subspace and since $n_{(\sigma)}^*$ are unit vectors, it follows from (2.8) that the curvature of this Δ -geodesic (regarded as a curve of F_n) is represented by (2.9).

Simplifying the equation (2.9), we have

$$(2.10) \quad K_{n^*(\sigma)}^2 = \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* (u, \dot{u}) g^\alpha g^\beta,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* = \sum_{\nu} \psi(\nu) D^{(\sigma)\nu} p^{(\sigma)\beta}.$$

Definition (2.4). The scalar $K_{(\sigma)}$ defined by

$$(2.12) \quad K_{(\sigma)}^2 = g_{ij} (x, \dot{x}) \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^i}{\Delta s} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda_{(\sigma)}^j}{\Delta s} \right)$$

is called the Δ -absolute curvature of the congruence in the subspace.

Theorem (2.1). *If the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ does not lie in a variety spanned by the secondary normals, the Δ -geodesic curvature of the congruence is the magnitude of the Δ -derived vector of the tangential component of the congruence along C .*

Proof. If $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ does not lie in a variety spanned by the vectors $n_{(\sigma)}^{*i}$, $C^{(\sigma\nu)} = 0$ and $W_{(\sigma)}^\alpha = \frac{\Delta t_{(\sigma)}^\alpha}{\Delta s}$ and the equation (2.4) reduces to

$$(2.13) \quad K_{(\sigma)G}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}) \left(\frac{\Delta t_{(\sigma)}^\beta}{\Delta s} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta t_{(\sigma)}^\alpha}{\Delta s} \right),$$

which is the required result. Moreover, if $du^\alpha/dt = du^\alpha/ds$, the equation (2.13) yields

$$(2.14) \quad K_{(\sigma)G}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} (u, u') \frac{\delta t_{(\sigma)}^\alpha}{\delta s} \frac{\delta t_{(\sigma)}^\beta}{\delta s}.$$

Theorem (2.2). *If the components of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$ tangential to F_m are tangential to the curve C and $du^\alpha/ds = du^\alpha/dt$, the Δ -absolute curvature, the Δ -geodesic curvature and the Δ -secondary normal curvature are respectively the geodesic curvature with respect to F_n , the geodesic curvature with respect to F_m and the secondary normal curvature of the subspace.*

Proof. Since $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i = x'^i = B_\alpha^i u'^\alpha$, the proof of the theorem follows from the definitions (2.4), (2.1) and (2.3).

From theorem (2.2) obviously the Δ -curvatures of the congruence may be considered as the generalised curvatures of the subspace and as such we may regard $\tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* (u, \dot{u}) du^\alpha du^\beta$ as the generalised secondary second fundamental form of the subspace.

Definition (2.5). A direction along which the Δ -secondary normal curvature of the congruence in F_m vanishes, is called the Δ -asymptotic direction and a curve whose direction at each point of it is Δ -asymptotic, is called a Δ -asymptotic line of the congruence in F_m . Its differential equation is given by

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* (n, \dot{u}) du^\alpha du^\beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } \sigma.$$

3. Δ -geodesic principal directions

Definition (3.1). For a preassigned $(u^\alpha, \dot{u}^\alpha)$, a direction du^α / ds is said to be Δ -geodesic principal direction with respect to $n_{(\sigma)}^{*i}$ provided that $K_{(\sigma)G}^2 (u, \dot{u}, u')$ assumes an extreme value. The corresponding value of $K_{(\sigma)G}^2$ is called a Δ -geodesic principal curvature of the congruence in the subspace.

We now give the following propositions.

Theorem (3.1) *The Δ -geodesic principal directions and the Δ -geodesic principal curvatures (with respect to $n_{(\sigma)}^{*i}$) of the congruence are respectively the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the tensor $\tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}$.*

Theorem (3.2). *There exist m Δ -geodesic principal directions $\xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of the congruence in F_m satisfying the conditions*

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta} (u, \dot{u}) \xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha \xi_{(\rho)}^\beta = 0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} (u, \dot{u}) \xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha \xi_{(\rho)}^\beta = 0 \quad (\rho \neq \mu).$$

The proofs of the above theorems are similar to those of the corresponding propositions in [2].

4. Some Invariants

Let $\xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be the Δ -geodesic principal directions and ν^α be a vector field of F_m normalised by the condition

$$(4.1) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} (n, \dot{u}) \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta = 1.$$

Since $\xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha$ are linearly independent in F_m , we write

$$(4.2) \quad \nu^\alpha = \sum_{\mu} l_{(\mu)} \xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha.$$

Substituting from (4.1) and using the relation (3.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{\mu} l_{(\mu)}^2 = 1.$$

The square of the Δ -geodesic curvature $K_{(\sigma)G}$ along ν^α is given by

$$K_{(\sigma)G}^2(u^\alpha, u^\alpha, v^\alpha) = \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, u) v^\alpha v^\beta.$$

Substituting from (4.2) and putting

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, u) \xi_{(\rho)(\mu)}^\alpha = \delta_{\mu\rho} \bar{K}_{(\sigma\mu)} \quad (\text{no summation on } \mu)$$

we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad K_{(\sigma)G}^2 = \sum_{\mu} l_{(\mu)}^2 \bar{K}_{(\sigma\mu)}$$

where $\bar{K}_{(\sigma\mu)}$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are the Δ -geodesic principal curvatures of the congruence.

Theorem (4.1). *The sum of the squares of the Δ -geodesic curvatures of the congruence along m mutually orthogonal directions of F_m is an invariant and is equal to the sum of Δ -geodesic principal curvatures of the congruence.*

Proof. Consider m mutually orthogonal vectors $v_{(\rho)}^\alpha$ ($\rho = 1, \dots, m$) each satisfying the normalising condition (4.1). We write

$$(4.5) \quad v_{(\rho)}^\alpha = \sum_{\mu} l_{(\rho\mu)} \xi_{(\mu)}^\alpha \quad (\rho = 1, \dots, m).$$

Since $g_{\alpha\beta}(u, u) v_{(\rho)}^\alpha v_{(\mu)}^\beta = \delta_{\rho\mu}$, we get after simplification,

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{\varepsilon} l_{(\rho\varepsilon)} l_{(\mu\varepsilon)} = \delta_{\rho\mu}.$$

Hence the matrix $\|l_{\rho\mu}\|$ is orthogonal and

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{\rho} l_{(\rho\mu)}^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } \mu = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

By (4.4), the square of the Δ -geodesic curvature $K_{(\sigma)G}$ in the direction of $v_{(\rho)}^\alpha$ is given by

$$(4.8) \quad K_{(\sigma)G(\rho)}^2 = \sum_{\mu} l_{(\rho\mu)}^2 \bar{K}_{(\sigma\mu)}.$$

Hence using the equation (4.7), we get

$$\sum_{\rho} K_{(\sigma)G(\rho)}^2 = \sum_{\mu} \bar{K}_{(\sigma\mu)}$$

which was to be proved.

5. Δ -absolute geodesics

From the equations (2.4), (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$(5.1) \quad K_{(\sigma)}^2 = \Phi_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}(u, u) g^\alpha g^\beta,$$

where

$$\Phi_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta} \{ \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\Omega}_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}^* \}$$

is a symmetric covariant tensor.

Definition (5.1). If the Δ -absolute curvature of the congruence vanishes along a curve C , the curve is called the Δ -absolute geodesic of the congruence in the subspace. Its differential equation is given by

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta} (u, \dot{u}) \frac{du^\alpha}{ds} \frac{du^\beta}{ds} = 0 .$$

The principal directions associated with the tensor $\Phi_{(\sigma)\alpha\beta}$ may be called Δ -absolute principal directions and corresponding values of $K_{(\sigma)}$ are called the Δ -absolute principal curvatures of the congruence $\lambda_{(\sigma)}^i$. When $du/dt = dn/ds$, the equation (5.2) gives the equation of the absolute geodesic of the congruence in F_m .

From the equations (2.4), (2.9) and (2.12), we have

$$(5.3) \quad K_{(\sigma)}^2 = K_{(\sigma)G}^2 + K_{n_{(\sigma)}}^2 .$$

Now we prove the following

Theorem (5.1). A necessary and sufficient condition that a curve of the subspace be Δ -absolute geodesic is that it is a Δ -geodesic as well as a Δ -asymptotic line of the congruence in the subspace.

Proof. From the definition (5.1) and the equation (5.3), we have $K_{(\sigma)} = 0$ and then from the equation (5.3) obviously $K_{(\sigma)G} = 0$ and $K_{n_{(\sigma)}} = 0$. Conversely, if $K_{(\sigma)G} = K_{n_{(\sigma)}} = 0$, then $K_{(\sigma)} = 0$ which completes the proof.

In view of the equation (5.3) and the definitions (2.1)-(2.5) and (5.1), the following propositions are immediate.

Theorem (5.2). The Δ -absolute curvature and Δ -geodesic curvature of the congruence are equal along the Δ -asymptotic line of the congruence in F_m .

Theorem (5.3). The Δ -absolute curvature and Δ -secondary normal curvatures are equal along a Δ -geodesic curve of the congruence in the subspace.

Theorem (5.4). If any two of the following hold, the third will also hold :

- (i) the curve be a Δ -absolute geodesic,
- (ii) it is a Δ -geodesic and
- (iii) it is a Δ -asymptotic line of the congruence.

REFERENCES

- [1] ELIOPoulos, H. A. : *Subspaces of a generalised metric space*, Canad. J. of Math., XI (3), (1959).
- [2] LAL, K. B. : *The generalised curvatures of a congruence of curves in the subspace of a Finsler space*, Rev. Fac. Sci. Univ. Istanbul, Serie A, 31, 49 - 55, (1966).
AND
PRASAD C. M.
- [3] NIRMALA, K. : *Curves and Invariants associated with a vector-field of a Riemannian V_n in relation to a curve C in a subspace V_n* , Proc. Nat. Inst. of Sciences, India, 29, A, No. 4, (1963).
- [4] RUND, H. : *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*, SPRINGER VERLAG (1959).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF GORAKHPUR,
GORAKHPUR, (U. P.), INDIA

(Manuscript received March 21, 1970)

ÖZET

Δ -türetme işlemi [1], bir kongrüansın esas doğrultularının belirtilmesi için DUFİN göstergesinin kullanılmasına imkân vermektedir. Δ -türetme işlemi [2] ise, bir kongrüansın esas doğrultularının bulunulmasında bir x^i doğrultusuna tekabül eden oskülâtör göstergenin kullanılmasını gerektirmektedir. Bu araştırmada, Δ -türetme işlemi kullanarak bir FINSLER uzayının bir alt uzayında bir eğri kongrüansının Δ -eğrilikleri ve Δ -geodezik esas doğrultuları elde edilmiş ve bunlardan bazı sonuçlar çıkarılmıştır.