

ON THE EXISTENCE OF RELATIVE FIX POINTS OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF COMPLEX FUNCTIONS

DIBYENDU BANERJEE AND BISWAJIT MANDAL

ABSTRACT. Using the idea of relative iterations of functions we prove a fix point theorem for certain class of complex functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A single valued function $f(z)$ of the complex variable z is said to belong to (i) class I if $f(z)$ is entire transcendental, (ii) class II if it is regular in the complex plane punctured at a, b ($a \neq b$) and has an essential singularity at b and a singularity at a and if $f(z)$ omits the values a and b except possible at a .

The functions in class II may be normalized by taking $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$.

Throughout this paper we shall consider only such normalized functions, whenever we deal with functions in class II.

Let $f(z)$ be any arbitrary function. Then the iterations are defined inductively by

$$f_0(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n+1}(z) = f(f_n(z)), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

A point α is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order n if α is a solution of $f_n(z) = z$ and called a fix point of exact order n if α is a solution of $f_n(z) = z$ but not a solution of $f_k(z) = z$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

In this manner, Baker [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f(z)$ belongs to class I, then $f(z)$ has fix points of exact order n except for atmost one value of n .*

In 1980, Bhattacharyya [4] extended Theorem 1.1 to functions in class II as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If $f(z)$ belongs to class II, then $f(z)$ has an infinity of fix points of exact order n , for every positive integer n .*

In [5] Lahiri and Banerjee introduced a new concept of fix point, called relative fix point (defined below) and using this, proved the result of Bhattacharyya [4].

Received December 7, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D60.

Keywords and phrases. Relative fix points, exact factor order, complex functions.

Let $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ be functions of complex variable z . Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= f(z) \\ f_2(z) &= f(\phi(z)) = f(\phi_1(z)) \\ f_3(z) &= f(\phi(f(z))) = f(\phi(f_1(z))) \\ &\vdots \\ f_n(z) &= f(\phi(f(\phi(\dots(f(z) \text{ or } \phi(z) \text{ according as } n \text{ is odd or even } \dots))) \\ &= f(\phi_{n-1}(z)) = f(\phi(f_{n-2}(z))), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(z) &= \phi(z) \\ \phi_2(z) &= \phi(f(z)) = \phi(f_1(z)) \\ \phi_3(z) &= \phi(f_2(z)) = \phi(f(\phi_1(z))) \\ &\vdots \\ \phi_n(z) &= \phi(f_{n-1}(z)) = \phi(f(\phi_{n-2}(z))). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $\phi_n(z)$ are functions in class II, if $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ are so.

A point α is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order n with respect to $\phi(z)$, if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ and a fixpoint of exact order n if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ but $f_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Such points α are also called relative fix points.

Theorem 1.3. *If $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ belong to class II, then $f(z)$ has an infinity of relative fix points of exact order n for every positive integer n , provided $\frac{T(r, \phi_n)}{T(r, f_n)}$ is bounded.*

Recently Banerjee and Jana [6] introduced a new concept of fix point, called relative fix point of factor order and using this, extend Theorem 1.1.

A point α is called a relative fix point of $f(z)$ of factor order n if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ but either $f_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ or $\phi_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ or both, for all divisors k ($k < n$) of n .

Theorem 1.4. *If $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ are transcendental entire functions, then there are relative fix points of factor order n of $f(z)$, except for at most one value of n .*

First we modify the definition of relative fix points of factor order n given by Banerjee and Jana [6] and with this modified definition prove the result of Bhattacharyya [4].

Definition 1.5. A point α is called a relative fix point of $f(z)$ of exact factor order n if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ but $f_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ and $\phi_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ for all divisors k ($k < n$) of n .

Example. Let $f(z) = z - 1$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z+1}$. Clearly $f_2(z) = -\frac{z}{z+1}$. Here $z = 0, -2$ are relative fix points of exact factor order 2 of $f(z)$.

Remark. *Every relative fix point of exact factor order is also a relative fix point of factor order but converse is not always true.*

Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $r_0 \leq |z| < \infty$, $r_0 > 0$. We use the following notations [1]:

$n(t, a, f)$ = number of roots of $f(z) = a$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$, counted according to multiplicity,

$$N(r, a, f) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(t, a, f)}{t} dt,$$

$n(t, \infty, f) = n(t, f)$ = the number of poles of $f(z)$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$, counted due to multiplicity,

$$N(t, \infty, f) = N(t, f),$$

$$m(r, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

$$\text{and, } m(r, a, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(re^{i\theta}) - a} \right| d\theta.$$

With these notations, Jensen's formula can be written as [1],

$$m(r, f) + N(r, f) = m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(\log r).$$

Writing $m(r, f) + N(r, f) = T(r, f)$, the above becomes

$$T(r, f) = T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(\log r).$$

In this case the first fundamental theorem takes the form

$$(1) \quad m(r, a, f) + N(r, a, f) = T(r, f) + O(\log r),$$

where $r_0 \leq |z| < \infty$, $r_0 > 0$.

Suppose that $f(z)$ is non-constant. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q , $q \geq 2$, be distinct finite complex numbers, $\delta > 0$ and suppose that $|a_\mu - a_\nu| \geq \delta$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq \nu \leq q$. Then

$$(2) \quad m(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q m(r, a_v, f) \leq 2T(r, f) - N_1(r) + S(r),$$

where

$$N_1(r) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + 2N(r, f) - N(r, f')$$

and

$$S(r) = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + \sum_{v=1}^q m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - a_v}\right) + O(\log r).$$

Adding $N(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q \overline{N}(r, a_v, f)$ to both sides of (2) and using (1), we obtain

$$(3) \quad (q-1)T(r, f) \leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q \overline{N}(r, a_v, f) + S_1(r),$$

where $S_1(r) = O(\log T(r, f))$.

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{v=1}^q \overline{N}(r, a_v, f) \geq (q-1)T(r, f) - \overline{N}(r, f) - S_1(r),$$

where \overline{N} corresponds to distinct roots.

Further, because f_n has an essential singularity at ∞ , we have [1], $\frac{\log r}{T(r, f_n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

2. LEMMAS

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [5] *If n is any positive integer and f and ϕ are functions in class II, then for any $r_0 > 0$ and a positive constant M , we have*

$$\frac{T(r, f_{n+p})}{T(r, f_n)} > M \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{T(r, \phi_{n+p})}{T(r, \phi_n)} > M$$

according as p is even or odd, for all large r except a set of r intervals of total finite length.

If we interchange simply f and ϕ then we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If n is any positive integer and f and ϕ are functions in class II, then for any $r_0 > 0$ and M , a positive constant*

$$\frac{T(r, \phi_{n+p})}{T(r, \phi_n)} > M \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{T(r, f_{n+p})}{T(r, f_n)} > M$$

according as p is even or odd, for all large r except a set of r intervals of total finite length.

3. MAIN RESULT

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ belong to class II, then $f(z)$ has an infinity of relative fix points of exact factor order n for every positive integer n , provided $\frac{T(r, f_{n-1})}{T(r, f_n)}$ is bounded.*

Proof. We may assume that $n > 1$, because if $n = 1$, the theorem has no relevance. We consider the function $g(z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{z}$, $r_0 < |z| < \infty$. Then

$$(5) \quad T(r, g) = T(r, f_n) + O(\log r).$$

Assume that $f(z)$ has only a finite number of relative fix points of exact factor order n . Now from (3) by taking $q = 2$, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 1$, we obtain,

$$T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty, g) + \bar{N}(r, 0, g) + \bar{N}(r, 1, g) + S_1(r, g),$$

where $S_1(r, g) = O(\log T(r, g))$ outside a set of r intervals of finite length [3]. First we calculate $\bar{N}(r, 0, g)$. We have

$$\bar{N}(r, 0, g) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\bar{n}(t, 0, g)}{t} dt,$$

where $\bar{n}(t, 0, g)$ is the number of roots of $g(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$, each multiple root taken once at a time. The distinct roots of $g(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$ are the roots of $f_n(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$. By the definition of functions in class II, $f_n(z)$ has a singularity at $z = 0$ and an essential singularity at $z = \infty$ and $f_n(z) \neq 0, \infty$. So $\bar{n}(t, 0, g) = 0$. Consequently, $\bar{N}(r, 0, g) = 0$. By similar argument $\bar{N}(r, \infty, g) = 0$. So

$$(6) \quad T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, 1, g) + S_1(r, g).$$

We now calculate $\bar{N}(r, 1, g)$. If $g(z) = 1$, then $f_n(z) = z$. Due to our definition two cases arise.

Case (i). When n is even.

Now by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, 1, g) &= \bar{N}(r, 0, f_n - z) \\ &\leq \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [\bar{N}(r, 0, f_j - z) + \bar{N}(r, 0, \phi_j - z)] + O(\log r) \end{aligned}$$

(The term $O(\log r)$ arises due to the assumption that $f(z)$ has only a finite number of relative fix points of exact factor order n .)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j - z) + O(\log r) + T(r, \phi_j - z) + O(\log r)] + O(\log r) \\
&= \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j - z) + T(r, \phi_j - z)] + O(\log r) \\
&= \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j) + T(r, \phi_j)] + O(\log r) \\
&= \{T(r, f_{j_1}) + T(r, f_{j_3}) + \dots + T(r, f_{j_{2p-1}}) + T(r, \phi_{j_2}) + T(r, \phi_{j_4}) + \dots + T(r, \phi_{j_{2q}})\} \\
&\quad + \{T(r, f_{j_2}) + T(r, f_{j_4}) + \dots + T(r, f_{j_{2q}}) + T(r, \phi_{j_1}) \\
&\quad + T(r, \phi_{j_3}) + \dots + T(r, \phi_{j_{2p-1}})\} + O(\log r),
\end{aligned}$$

(where $j_1, j_3, \dots, j_{2p-1}$ are odd divisors of n and j_2, j_4, \dots, j_{2q} are even divisors of n and strictly less than n .)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= T(r, f_n) \left[\frac{T(r, f_{j_2})}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{T(r, f_{j_4})}{T(r, f_n)} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{j_{2q}})}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_1})}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_3})}{T(r, f_n)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \dots + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_{2p-1}})}{T(r, f_n)} \right] + T(r, f_{n-1}) \left[\frac{T(r, f_{j_1})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} + \frac{T(r, f_{j_3})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, f_{j_{2p-1}})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_2})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_4})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} + \dots + \frac{T(r, \phi_{j_{2q}})}{T(r, f_{n-1})} \right] + O(\log r) \\
&< \frac{n-1}{4n} T(r, f_n) + \frac{n+1}{4n} T(r, f_{n-1}) + O(\log r),
\end{aligned}$$

for all large r .

Case(ii). When n is odd, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}(r, 1, g) &= \bar{N}(r, 0, f_n - z) \\
&\leq \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [\bar{N}(r, 0, f_j - z) + \bar{N}(r, 0, \phi_j - z)] + O(\log r) \\
&\leq \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j - z) + O(\log r) + T(r, \phi_j - z) + O(\log r)] + O(\log r) \\
&= \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j - z) + T(r, \phi_j - z)] + O(\log r) \\
&= \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} [T(r, f_j) + T(r, \phi_j)] + O(\log r) \\
&= T(r, f_n) \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} \frac{T(r, f_j)}{T(r, f_n)} + T(r, f_{n-1}) \sum_{j/n, j=1}^{n-2} \frac{T(r, \phi_j)}{T(r, f_{n-1})} + O(\log r) \\
&< \frac{n-1}{4n} T(r, f_n) + \frac{n+1}{4n} T(r, f_{n-1}) + O(\log r),
\end{aligned}$$

for all large r . Thus in any case,

$$\bar{N}(r, 1, g) < \frac{n-1}{4n} T(r, f_n) + \frac{n+1}{4n} T(r, f_{n-1}) + O(\log r).$$

So from (6) and since $\frac{T(r, f_{n-1})}{T(r, f_n)}$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, g) &< \frac{n-1}{4n} T(r, f_n) + \frac{n+1}{4n} T(r, f_{n-1}) + O(\log r) + S_1(r, g) \\
&= \frac{n-1}{4n} T(r, f_n) + \frac{n+1}{4n} T(r, f_{n-1}) + O(\log r) + O(\log T(r, g)) \\
&= T(r, f_n) \left[\frac{n-1}{4n} + \frac{n+1}{4n} \frac{T(r, f_{n-1})}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log T(r, g))}{T(r, f_n)} \right] \\
&\leq T(r, f_n) \left[\frac{n-1}{4n} + \frac{n+1}{4n} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log(T(r, f_n) + O(\log r)))}{T(r, f_n)} \right], \\
&= T(r, f_n) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_n)} + \frac{O(\log(T(r, f_n)(1 + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_n)}))}{T(r, f_n)} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} T(r, f_n),
\end{aligned}$$

for all large r .

Therefore, $T(r, g) < \frac{1}{2} T(r, f_n)$. This contradicts to (5). Hence $f(z)$ has infinitely many relative fix points of exact factor order n . This proves the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bieberbach L., *Theorie der Gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen*, Berlin, 1953.
- [2] Baker I.N., *The existence of fix points of entire functions*, Math. Zeit., 73 (1960), 280–284.
- [3] Hayman W.K., *Meromorphic functions*, The Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [4] Bhattacharyya P., *An extention of a theorem of Baker*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 27 (1980), 273–277.
- [5] Lahiri B.K., Banerjee D., *On the existence of relative fix points*, Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Dergisi, 55-56 (1996-1997), 283–292.
- [6] Banerjee D., Jana S., *Relative fix points of factor order of transcendental entire functions*, Indian Journal of Mathematics, 52(1) (2010), 209–216.

Dibyendu BANERJEE, Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, West Bengal, India, *e-mail*: dibyendu192@rediffmail.com

Biswajit MANDAL, Bunia Dangal High School, Bunia-731303, Birbhum, West Bengal, India, *e-mail*: biswajitmandal.math@gmail.com